Florida Department of Education



DRAFT School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

School Information

School Name: Booker Middle School	District Name: Sarasota
Principal: LaShawn Houston Frost	Superintendent: Lori White
SAC Chair: Ronnique Major-Hundley	Date of School Board Approval:

Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

Administrators

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
----------	------	--------------------------------	--	---	---

Principal	LaShawn Houston Frost	Bachelor of Science in Philosophy	3	7	Assistant Principal of Booker Middle School in 2010-2011 Grade B, Reading 63%; Math Mastery 60%; Writing 80%Science 31%: AYP=69% 2009-2010: Grade C, Reading Mastery: 61%, Math mastery 61%, Science mastery 31%. AYP: 77%, Only the White subgroup made AYP in reading. Total, White, Hispanic, and Economically Disadvantaged met Proficiency in Math. 53% Lowest 25%tile made Annual Learning Gains in Reading; 65% of the Lowest 25%tile made Annual Gains in Math Assistant Principal of Venice High School 2008-2009 2008-2009: Grade C, Reading Mastery: 59%, Math mastery 86%, Science mastery 47%. AYP: 85%, None of the subgroups made AYP in reading. Only white and economically disadvantaged subgroup made AYP in math. 2007-2008: Grade A. Reading Mastery: 57%, Math mastery 84%, Science mastery 50%. AYP: 87%, None of the subgroups made AYP in reading. Only white and economically disadvantaged subgroup made AYP in math.
Assistant Principal	Derek Jenkins		1	6	Assistant Principal of Venice Middle School 2007-2012 Assistant Principal of Oak Park South in 2007-2012 Assistant Principal of Venice Middle School in 2011 - 2012 Grade A, Reading Level 3 and Above: 66% Met High Standards in Reading, 68% Made Annual Learning Goal, 67% of Lowest 25% Made Annual Learning Goal Math Level 3 and Above: 67% Met High Standards in Math , 72% Made Annual Learning Goal 61% of Lowest 25% Made L. Goal Science Level 3 and Above: 63% Met High Standards in Science Writing Level 3 and Above: 91% Met High Standards in Writing Oak Park South was a non-graded school 2011 - 2012

Instructional Coaches

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
N/A					

Effective and Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

De	scription of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	
1.	New Teacher Mentoring Program (SCIP)	SCIP Lead Mentor/Administration	On-Going	
2.	Professional Development Opportunities	Administration	On-Going	
3.	Observe, monitor, coach and support teacher effectiveness with regular classroom walkthroughs	Administration	On-Going	
4.	Provide additional curriculum resources and materials as needed	Administration	On-Going	

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only). *When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
NONE	

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Total number of Instructional Staff	% of first- year teachers	% of teachers with 1-5 years of experience	% of teachers with 6-14 years of experience	% of teachers with 15+ years of experience	% of teachers with Advanced Degrees	% of teachers with an Effective rating or higher	% of Reading Endorsed Teachers	% of National Board Certified Teachers	% of ESOL Endorsed Teachers
67	10.4% (7)	24% (16)	34% (23)	42% (28)	70%(47)	10.4% (7)	16.4% (11)	3% (2)	24% (16)

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities	
Traci Bell	Christopher Pauling	Language Arts Background	Sarasota County Induction Program	
JoAnna Fox	Emma Matejka	Arts Background	Sarasota County Induction Program	
Cheri Kerr	James Leggett	ESE/Reading Background	Sarasota County Induction Program	

Brittany Shurley	Blake Goodwin-Crooks	Gifted Background	Sarasota County Induction Program
Sonny Talcott	Patricia Ungar	ESE/Reading Background	Sarasota County Induction Program
Henderson Riley	Cynthia Dickson	Math Background	Sarasota County Induction Program
Henderson Riley	Mary Viverito	Math Background	Sarasota County Induction Program

Additional Requirements

Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A: Title I is a federally funded program designed to address the academic needs of low performing students in schools with a high percentage of economically disadvantaged students and to assist them in meeting the state's high standards, particularly in the areas of reading, writing, science and mathematics. The district coordinates with Title II in ensuring staff development needs are provided and with Title IV 21st Century Community Learning Centers grants to provide after school programs. Supplemental Educational Services are also provided for free tutoring to those who qualify.

Title I, Part C- Migrant: The district supports a Migrant Identifier/Recruiter provides referral services and support to migrant students and families. The ESOL Liaison coordinates with the Title I and other programs to ensure student and family needs are met.

Title I, Part D: The district receives funds to provide students in alternative schools with services needed to make a successful transition from at-risk programs to further schooling or employment.

Title II: Funds from Title IIA are used for teacher and principal quality training. Professional development activities are provided to improve the knowledge of teachers, principals and paraprofessionals, as appropriate. Instruction is provided to teach children with different learning styles and/or children with disabilities and special learning needs. Professional development activities are provided to improve behavior in the classroom. The district has opted not to assign Title I schools

Title III: Supplemental services and materials are provided to improve the academic achievement and language acquisition of immigrant and English Language Learner students throughout the district.

Title X- Homeless: Homeless education case managers provide resources (clothing, school supplies, and social service referrals) for students identified as homeless under the McKinney-Vento Act to eliminate barriers for a free and appropriate education. Program provides on-going outreach, training and tutoring. We partner with the YMCA to provide support for our homeless youth.

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI): SAI funds will be coordinated with Title I funds to provide summer school for Level 1 readers, support reading teachers at schools and offer credit retrieval and dropout prevention programs for high school students.

Violence Prevention Programs: Programs such as Second Step and Community of Caring support prevention of substance abuse and violence in around the school as well as promote character education. Law enforcement and Juvenile Justice are also program components. These programs foster a safe, drug-free learning environment that supports student achievement. The district also provides violence and drug prevention programs that incorporate bullying prevention, suicide prevention, internet safety and personal safety. Both intentional and unintentional injury prevention programs are provided.

Nutrition Programs

N/A

Housing Programs

N/A

Head Start
N/A
Adult Education
N/A
Career and Technical Education
N/A
Job Training N/A
N/A
Other

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RTI)

School-Based MTSS/RTI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team: The School Wide Support Team is comprised of a member of the Administrative staff, school counselors, ESE Liaisons, School Social Worker, School Psychologist, truancy worker, Academic Intervention Teacher, Behavior Intervention Teacher, General and Special Education Teachers.

Select General Education Teachers: Provides information about core instruction, participates in student data collection, delivers Tier 1 instruction/intervention, collaborates with other staff to implement Tier 2 interventions; integrates Tier 1 materials/instruction with Tier 2/3 activities.

Exceptional Student Education (ESE) Teachers: Participates in student data collection; integrates core instructional activities/materials into Tier 3 instruction; collaborates with general education teachers through such activities as co-teaching. Guidance Counselor: Oversees the scheduling of MTSS meetings with parents; disseminate student MTSS files from year to year to teachers currently involved in the MTSS process; collects and keeps MTSS files of students; maintains documentation log of all students involved in the MTSS process; takes notes for intervention team during meetings for student folder; obtains parent permission for screenings, and provide Tier II interventions for behavior. Reading Instructional Specialist: planning; supports Provides guidance on k-12 reading plan; facilitates and support data collection activities; assist in data analysis; provides professional development and technical assistance to teachers regarding data-based instructional the implementation of Tier 1, Tier 2, and Tier 3 intervention plans.

ESE Liaison: Provides guidance related to special needs support and strategies. Assures access to needed interventions, while helping to identify children with disabilities. Assist with providing data about how a child responds to scientifically-based intervention as part of the comprehensive evaluation required for identification of any disability.

School Psychologist: Conducts needs assessments to identify potential obstacles, concerns, and initial training needs. Designs evidence-based models that best fit the school's needs and resources. Plans for and conducts necessary staff training for implementation (e.g., training in evidence-based instructional interventions, evaluating student progress). Develops school norms for academic achievement (e.g., curriculum-based measures and other measures of student progress) and monitoring the reliability and validity of these norms over time. Oversees district level implementation and ongoing evaluation. Engages in ongoing communication and consultation with administration, school board, teachers, and parents. Identifies systemic patterns of student need (e.g., persistent difficulties among kindergarten and first grade students in basic phonics skills) and working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies.

School Social Worker: Maintains accurate data that are relevant to planning, management, and evaluation of school social work service. Conducts assessments that are individualized and provide information that is directly useful for designing interventions that address behaviors of concern. Incorporates assessments in developing and implementing intervention and evaluation plans that enhance students' abilities to benefit from educational experiences. Works collaboratively to mobilize the resources of local education agencies (LEA) and communities to meet the needs of students and families.

Truancy Worker: Addresses truancy concerns and works collaboratively with families to ensure student success. Provides support to school personnel as needed to address attendance issues. Provides training to school personnel as needed.

Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts? The school based MTSS Leadership team is comprised of general education personnel that facilitate MTSS as a related but distinct process from the CARE (Children At-Risk in Education) eligibility determination process. This team of educators was chosen as a member of the MTSS Leadership Team due to Booker Middle's desire to implement a systematic method for evaluating the needs of all students and for fostering positive student outcomes through carefully selected and implemented interventions. The team also assists the school in identifying students who may require more intensive instructional services and/or be eligible for an exceptional student education program. The team meets once a week to provide support to ALL students who are experiencing difficulties that may prevent them from achieving success to the best of their ability. The team engages in the following activities: The team will review summative and formative data to identify school, grade, team, and class level academic needs. Individual student information will be reviewed. Based on the data review, instructional strategies will be identified and a timeline of implementation will be constructed. Student progress will be monitored and individual cases reviewed periodically to determine progress and reassess further instructional interventions.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the MTSS problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP? The MTSS leadership team is a vital link to the development and implementation of the SIP. The team engages in a process of using data to improve the learning environment. This continuous improvement process allows the team to provide input and progress monitor their goals throughout the school year.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior. The school uses a variety of reports produced by the District Office of Research, Assessment and Evaluation on the academic achievement of students at all Tiers. Disaggregated AMO subgroup data by reading, mathematics, science and writing is utilized. Further, the school will participate in the FAIR Reading assessment and utilize the district-wide benchmark assessments to summarize data for students at Tier 1, 2, and 3.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS. The MTSS leadership team participated in a Summer Institute during the pre-service week. On-going training and support are being provided as we continue build capacity among the staff members.

Describe the plan to support MTSS. The MTSS process will be facilitated by a strong system of professional development and support. Continuous coaching from administration will allow for an effective feedback and progress monitoring system.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT). The LLT is comprised of site based administrative team, Language Arts Curriculum Leaders, Academic Intervention Specialist, ESOL Liaison, Media Specialist, and Star books Coordinator.

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). The LLT team meets monthly to develop and review the implementation of campus wide literacy initiatives, agendas are created and shared with all stakeholders and all information from the Literacy Leadership Team meetings are shared with the staff of the school. It is the responsibility of the LLT to ensure that all stakeholders understand and support the school's Reading goals.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year? Literacy Leadership Team will contribute to increase student achievement and create a culture of literacy learners. The committee will ensure that the focus, goals, and initiatives of the committee are developed based on student and teacher data and are aligned with the Reading SIP goals. The LLT will create a culture of engaging in effective Lesson Study, modeling in classrooms, using data to analyze the effectiveness of instruction and redesigning instruction and resources to meet student learning and intervention needs. The LLT will monitor and support the implementation of the reading program initiatives on campus and continue to research scientifically based reading instruction and strategies for continuous growth. Finally, the LLT will creating and share school-wide initiatives and activities (be specific) promote literacy throughout the learning community.

Public School Choice

• Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification
Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition
Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.
*Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413 (2) (b) F.S
For schools with grades 6-12, how does the school ensure that every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student?
Through monthly staff meetings and weekly Collaborative Planning Team meetings facilitated by Curriculum Leaders, all instructional staff will be directly involved in the design, deployment, and delivery of literacy learning for all students through the implementation of reading strategies focused on comprehension, fluency, vocabulary, and decoding non-fictional text.
*High Schools Only
Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(2) (g), (2) (j) F.S.
How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?
How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally academic for the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally academic for the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally academic for the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally academic for the school incorporate students' academic and career planning.
meaningful?
Postsecondary Transition
·
Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S. Describe strategies for improving student readings for the public nests according level based on appual analysis of the High School Feedback Penert.
Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u> .

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Reading Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
data and reference to "Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement for the following group:						
1A. FCAT 2.0:				1A.1.	1A.1.	
Students scoring at	Increased	Use of Close	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		FAIR	
Achievement Level 3	Rigor in test	Reading Strategies to	Admin	Performance data	Internal Progress	
in reading.		address skill		Classroom Walkthroughs	internal Frogress	
		deficiencies			Benchmark Assessments	
				Lesson Plan checks		

Reading Goal #1A: By the year 2012, there will be a minimum of a four percentage point increase for all student subgroups when less than 70% are currently demonstrating proficiency. There will be a minimum of a two percentage point increase for all student groups where 70% or more are currently demonstrating proficiency.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for					
	current level of performance in this box.	expected level of performance in this box.	I-Engage strategies:		1A.2. Classroom Walkthroughs	IA.2. Benchmark	
		of Question Analysis	Guided Instruction and Productive Group Work	Department 1A.3.	Lesson Plan Checks Lesson Studies 1A.3.	Assessments 1A.3.	
		Vocabulary Deficits	Participation in school-wide vocabulary initiative	Media Specialist	Review of FAIR and student vocabulary journals	FAIR Science/Math Benchmark Assessments	
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.	IB.1. Deficiency in Reading Skills		ESE Liaison and Case	IB.1. IEP Lesson Plan Checks	IB.1. Pre and Post DAR		

		2013 Expected Level of					
By the year 2012,		Performance:*					
there will be a							
minimum of a four							
percentage point							
increase for all							
student subgroups							
when less than							
70% are currently							
demonstrating							
proficiency. There							
will be a minimum of							
a two percentage point							
increase for all student							
groups where 70%							
or more are currently							
demonstrating							
proficiency.							
	Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
			1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
			One Minute Writes	ESE Liaison and Case		Rubrics	1
		Writing Skills		Managers			1
			Sentence Framing		Review of Writing	IEP Goals	1
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	Samples 1B.3.	1B.3.	
		Vocabulary	Participation in school-wide	Media Specialist and Case	IEP	IEP goals	1
		v ocabalal y	vocabulary Initiative	Manager		l godis	
			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Vocabulary notes	Benchmark assessments	

D 1 4 1 1	A 12 2 1 1	C	D D :::	D II II D	F 1 : T 1	i -	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
		2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.		
			Site Administration	Administrative lesson plan	FAIR		
at an abava	rigor of stem			review			
	questions on	Follow	Curriculum Leaders		FOCUS		
Achievement Levels	FCAT 2.0.	district		Classroom Walk Through			
4 in reading.		developed					
Ü		Instructional					
Reading Goal #2A:		2013 Expected					
reducing Cour ii zi i.		Level of					
By the year 2012,		Performance:*					
there will be a							
minimum of a four							
percentage point							
increase for all							
student subgroups							
when less than							
70% are currently							
demonstrating							
proficiency. There							
will be a minimum of							
a two percentage point							
increase for all student							
groups where 70%							
or more are currently							
demonstrating							
proficiency.							
proficiency.	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	Enter numericai data for	Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	

		2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	
		211.3.			271.3.	211.5.	
2B. Florida	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		
Alternate		I-Engage strategies:	Title I Reading Teacher, Case Manager and ESE	Lesson Plans	Alternative Assessment		
Assessment:	and the	Modeling,	Liaison	Formative Assessments	Pre and Post DAR		
Students scoring at	Alternate	Thinking		I officiative Assessments	The drid host DAIX		
	Assessment						
reading.		Guided					
		Instruction					
		and					
		Productive Group Work					
Reading Goal #2B:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
By the year 2012,	Level of	Level of					
there will be a	Performance:*	Performance:*					
minimum of a four							
percentage point							
increase for all							
student subgroups							
when less than							
70% are currently							
demonstrating							
proficiency. There							
will be a minimum of							
a two percentage point							
increase for all student							
groups where 70%							
or more are currently							
demonstrating							
proficiency.							
<u>r</u> <i>j</i> .	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of performance in	expected level of performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
			2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
		Prerequisite knowledge	I-Engage strategies: Modeling, Thinking Aloud,	Title I Reading Teacher, Case Manager and ESE	Lesson Plans	Alternative Assessment	
		and skills	Guided Instruction and	Liaison	Formative Assessments	Pre and Post DAR	
			Productive Group Work				

	21	B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	
1	V	ocabulary	Engage in REV IT UP	Title I Reading Teacher and	Lesson Plans	Alternative Assessment	
1	d	eficits		ESE Liaison			
1					Classroom Walkthroughs	Pre and Post DAR	
					-		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
3A. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading.	More rigorous standards on	3A.1. Follow district developed Instructional Focus Calendar	3A.1. Administration Instructional Staff	Review of FAIR and performance data from	3A.1. FAIR Benchmark Assessments	
		Increase higher order thinking question stems in daily lessons				
		Implementa tion of close reading strategies Design lessons to				
		address specific standards- based concepts or skills				

By the year 2012, there will be a minimum of a four percentage point increase for all student subgroups when less than 70% are currently demonstrating proficiency. There will be a minimum of a two percentage point increase for all student groups where 70% or more are currently demonstrating proficiency.	Level of Performance.*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for					
	aata for current level of performance in this box.	aata for expected level of performance in this box.					
		Deficiency in reading skills and their knowledge of application.	Effective Scheduling Methods	Administration Instructional Staff	3A.2. Review FAIR and Performance data from benchmark assessments Classroom walk through Lesson Plan Checks	3A.2. FAIR Benchmark Assessments Internal progress monitoring	

		la	la a	la a	Ia a	10.0	
		3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	
		Reading	Increase opportunities for	Administration	Review FAIR and	FAIR	
		Endurance	intermittent self-selected		Performance data from		
			independent reading	Instructional Staff	benchmark assessments	Benchmark Assessments	
			throughout the school day.			20110111101111710000011101110	
			chioaghout the school day.			Internal progress	
			To anno a continuo manadina n				
			Increase online reading			monitoring	
			practice				
3B. Florida	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
Alternate	Deficiency in	Effective	Site Administration	Formative assessments	Pre and Post DAR		
	reading skills	Scheduling	Instructional Staff				
Assessment:	and their	Methods	Case Managers	Classroom walk through	Internal progress		
Percentage of	application		ESE Liaison		monitoring		
students making		Provide					
learning gains in		integrated					
		remedial					
reading.		Reading and					
		grade level					
		Language					
		Arts					
		instruction in	1				
		a block "LA					
		FUSION"					
		course.					
		I-Engage					
		strategies:					
		Modeling,					
		Thinking					
		Aloud,					
		Guided					
		Instruction					
		and					
		Productive					
		Group Work					

By the year 2012	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		Changes in Alternate Assessment requirement s	3B.2. Increase rigor of question stems	Site Administration Instructional Staff Case Managers ESE Liaison	3B.2. Formative assessments Classroom walk through	3B.2. Pre and Post DAR Internal progress monitoring	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
					4A.1.	
n ercentage or					FAIR	
	rigorous standards on	district		performance data from benchmark assessments	Benchmark Assessments	
25% making		Instructional	instructional Stan	benefitial k assessifierts	Deficilitation Assessifients	
learning gains in		Focus		Classroom walkthrough		
reading.		Calendar				
		Increase higher order thinking question stems in daily lessons Implementa tion of close reading strategies Design lessons to address specific standards- based concepts or				

By the year 2012	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.				
		Deficiency in reading skills and their knowledge of application.	Administration Instructional Staff	4A.2. Review of FAIR and performance data from benchmark assessments Classroom walkthrough	4A.2. FAIR Benchmark Assessments Reading Logs Literacy Letters	

4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	
Reading	Increase opportunities for	Administration	Review of FAIR and	FAIR	
Endurance	intermittent self-selected		performance data from		
	independent reading	Instructional Staff	benchmark assessments	Benchmark Assessments	
	throughout the school day.				
	,		Classroom walkthrough	Reading Logs	
	Increase online reading				
	practice			Literacy Letters	

	2011 2012	2012 2012	0010 0014	1 2014 2015	2015 2016	2016 2017	
Based on ambitious but achievable Annual	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
Measurable Objectives							
(AMOs), identify							
reading and mathematics							
performance target for							
the following years							
5A. In six years	Duscinic units	52	57	61	65	70	
school will reduce	2010-2011						
their achievement							
gap by 50%.							
Reading Goal #5A:							
By the year 2012,							
there will be a							
minimum of a four							
percentage point							
increase for all							
student subgroups							
when less than							
70% are currently							
demonstrating							
proficiency. There							
will be a minimum of							
a two percentage point							
increase for all student							
groups where 70%							
or more are currently							
demonstrating							
proficiency.							
Based on the analysis	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	·		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroups:							

5B. Student 51	B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	
					FAIR	
subgroups by		reading and grade level		performance data from		
ethnicity (white,		Language Arts instruction in		Compass	Compass Learning	
Black, Hispanic,		a double block "LA Fusion"			Odyssey	
Asian, American		course during the school		Classroom walk through		
Indian) not making		day.			Internal progress	
satisfactory progress		Implementation of close		Lesson Plan checks	monitoring	
in reading.		reading strategies				
		reading strategies				
		Design lessons to address				
		specific standards-based				
		concepts or skills				
		I-Engage strategies:				
		Modeling, Thinking Aloud,				
		Guided Instruction and				
		Productive Group Work				
recauling Goal Wald.	012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of				
By the year 2012,	erformance:*	Performance:*				
there will be a						
minimum of a four						
percentage point						
increase for all						
student subgroups						
when less than						
70% are currently						
demonstrating						
proficiency. There						
will be a minimum of						
a two percentage point						
increase for all student						
groups where 70%						
or more are currently						
demonstrating						
proficiency.						

Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
	5B.2. Reading Endurance	5B.2. Increase opportunities for intermittent self-selected independent reading throughout the school day.	Instructional Staff	performance data from	5B.2. FAIR and Internal progress monitoring	
	5B.3. Level of rigor on FCAT 2.0	5B.3. Follow district developed Instructional Focus Calendars. Implementation of close reading strategies I-Engage strategies: Modeling, Thinking Aloud, Guided Instruction and Productive Group Work Increase in higher order stem questions	Administration Instructional Staff	Review FAIR and performance data from Compass (ILS)	5B.3. FAIR and Internal progress monitoring	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
data and reference to						
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following						
subgroup:						

5C. English	5C.1.	5C.1. Provide	5C.1. Administration	5C.1. Review FAIR and	5C.1. FAIR	
Language Learners	Deficiency in	integrated		performance data from		
(ELL) not making	reading skills	sremedial .		Compass	Compass Learning	
satisfactory progress	and their	reading and grade level			Odyssey	
in reading.	аррисаціон.	Language		Classroom walk throughs	Internal progress	
· · · · · - · · · · · · · · · ·		Arts		Lesson Plan checks	monitoring	
		instruction		Leason Flan Checks	omeornig	
		in a double				
		block "LA				
		Fusion"				
		course during the				
		school day.				
		Implementat				
		ion of close				
		reading				
		strategies				
		Design				
		lessons to				
		address				
		specific				
		standards- based				
		concepts or				
		skills				
		_				
		I-Engage strategies:				
		Modeling,				
		Thinking				
		Aloud,				
		Guided				
		Instruction				
		and				
		Productive Group Work				

Reading Goal #5C:		2013 Expected					
By the year 2012	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
there will be a	Performance.	Performance.					
minimum of a four							
percentage point							
increase for all							
student subgroups							
when less than							
70% are currently							
demonstrating							
proficiency. There							
will be a minimum of							
a two percentage point							
increase for all student							
groups where 70%							
or more are currently							
demonstrating							
proficiency.	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of performance in	expected level of performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
			5C.2. Increase opportunities		5C.2. Review FAIR and	5C.2. FAIR and	
			for intermittent self-selected	Instructional Staff	performance data from	Internal progress	
			independent reading throughout the school day.		Compass (ILS)	monitoring	
				5C.3. Administration	5C.3. Review FAIR and	5C.3. FAIR and	
					performance data from	Internal progress	
		FCAT 2.0	Focus Calendars.		Compass (ILS)	monitoring	
			Implementation of close		Frequent Formative		
			reading strategies		Assessments		
			I-Engage strategies:				
			Modeling, Thinking Aloud, Guided Instruction and				
			Productive Group Work				
			Increase in higher order				
			stem questions				

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
data and reference to						
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following						
subgroup:						

5D Stradonts	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	
5D. Students	Deficiency in	Drovido		Review FAIR and	FAIR	
with Disabilities	reading skills		Instructional Staff	performance data from	FAIR	
(SWD) not making	من ما خام من	ua ma a dia l	ECE Linings	Compass	Compass Learning	
satisfactory progress	and their	renieulai	Case Manger	Compass	Odyssey	
in reading.	аррисаціон.	reading and	Case Manger	Classroom walk through	Odyssey	
in reading.		grade level		Classroom walk through	Internal presumes	
		Language		Language Diagraphy	Internal progress	
		Arts instruction		Lesson Plan checks	monitoring	
		in a double				
		block "LA				
		Fusion"				
		course				
		during the				
		school day.				
		Scribbi day.				
		Implementat	_			
		ion of close				
		reading				
		strategies				
		strategies				
		Design				
		lessons to				
		address				
		specific				
		standards-				
		based				
		concepts or				
		skills				
		I-Engage				
		strategies:				
		Modeling,				
		Thinking				
		Aloud,				
		Guided				
		Instruction				
		and				
		Productive				
		Group Work				

Reading Goal #5D:	2012 Current	2013 Expected			I		
By the year 2012,	Level of	Level of					
there will be a	Performance:*	Performance:*					
minimum of a four							
percentage point							
increase for all							
student subgroups							
when less than							
70% are currently							
demonstrating							
proficiency. There							
will be a minimum of							
a two percentage point							
increase for all student							
groups where 70%							
or more are currently							
demonstrating							
proficiency.							
	Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box. 5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		Reading	Increase opportunities for		Review FAIR and	FAIR and	
		Endurance	intermittent self-selected		performance data from	Internal progress	
			independent reading	ESE Liaison	Compass (ILS)	monitoring	
				Case Manger		3	
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	
		Level of	Follow district developed		Review FAIR and	FAIR and	
		rigor on	Instructional Focus		performance data from	Internal progress	
		FCAT 2.0	Calendars.	ESE Liaison	Compass (ILS)	monitoring	
			Implementation of close	Case Manger	Frequent Formative		
			reading strategies		Assessments		
			l cading strategies		, 1303311101103		
			I-Engage strategies:				
			Modeling, Thinking Aloud,				
			Guided Instruction and				
			Productive Group Work				
			L				
			Increase in higher order				
			stem questions				

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
data and reference to						
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following						
subgroup:						

FE Essessias II	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	
1	Deficiency in	Drovido	Administration	Review FAIR and	FAIR	
Disadvantaged	roading skills	intograted	Instructional Staff	performance data from	FAIR	
students not making	and their	romodial	instructional Stair	Compass	Compass Learning	
satisfactory progress	and their	reading and		Compass		
in reading.	аррисации			Classes are walls there was	Odyssey	
in reading.		grade level		Classroom walk through	Internal progress	
		Language Arts		Lesson Plan checks		
		instruction		Lesson Plan Checks	monitoring	
		in a double				
		block "LA				
		Fusion"				
		course during the				
		auring the				
		school day.				
		Implementat				
		ion of close				
		reading				
		strategies				
		strategies				
		Design				
		lessons to				
		address				
		specific				
		standards-				
		based				
		concepts or				
		skills				
		SKIIIS				
		I-Engage				
		strategies:				
		Modeling,				
		Thinking				
		Aloud,				
		Guided				
		Instruction				
		and				
		Productive				
		Group Work				

By the year 2012	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		Reading Endurance	5E.2. Increase opportunities for intermittent self-selected independent reading throughout the school day.	Administration	Review FAIR and performance data from	SE.2. FAIR and Internal progress monitoring	

5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	
Level of	Follow district developed	Administration	Review FAIR and	FAIR and	
rigor on	Instructional Focus	Instructional Staff	performance data from	Internal progress	
FCAT 2.0	Calendars.		Compass (ILS)	monitoring	
	Implementation of close		Frequent Formative		
	reading strategies		Assessments		
	I-Engage strategies:				
	Modeling, Thinking Aloud,				
	Guided Instruction and				
	Productive Group Work				
	Increase in higher order				
	stem questions				
	Sterri questions				

Reading Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader		Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
I-Engage Instructional Strategies	6,7 and 8	District Specilaist Curriculum Coordinators Administration	School-wide	Ongoing	Classroom walk through	Administration
Fusion Training	6,7 and 8	District Specialist Curriculum Coordinators	6,7 and 8 LA Reading Teachers	Ongoing	Classroom walk through	Administration

Redesigning Lessons for students based on FAIR Assessment		Distrci Specialist Curriculum Coordinators Leadership Team Administration	6,7 and 8 LA/SS Teachers	Ongoing	Lesson Plan Checks and PLC's	Administration
Transitioning to Common Core	6,7 and 8	Leadership Team	School-wide	Summer Institute/Ongoing	Classroom walk through PLC's Lesson Plan Checks	Administration
Close Reading Workshop	6,7 and 8	Tim Shanahan District Specialist Curriculum Coordinators Leadership Team Administration	School-wide	Ongoing	Classroom walk through PLC's Lesson Plan Checks	Administration
How to Engage the 21st Century Leaner	6,7 and 8	Consultant Chad Prather	Curriculum Leaders		Classroom walk through PLC's Lesson Plan Checks	Administration
Engaging the Gifted Learner	6,7 and 8	District Specialist Curriculum Coordinators Leadership Team Administration	Gifted Teachers	Ongoing	PLC's Classroom walk throughs	Administration
Analyzing Teacher Data: Using Guiding Questions for Reflective Planning	6,7 and 8	District Specialist Curriculum Leaders	School-wide	Ongoing	Lesson Plan Checks and PLC's	Administration
FAIR Toolkit workshop for Leaders	6,7 and 8	District Specialist Curriculum Coordinators Leadership Team Administration	Media Specialist ESE Liaisons Curriculum Leaders	November Curriculum Coordinator's meeting	Lesson Plan Checks and PLC's	Administration

Text Complexity: How to sort texts into correct "bands" for any subject area.	6,7 and 8	District Specialist Curriculum Coordinators Leadership Team Administration	School-wide	Ongoing	PLC's Classroom walk through	Administration
Coaching Days	6,7 and 8	Curriculum Leaders Administration	School-wide	Ongoing	PLC's Classroom walk through	Administration

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school funded activities/			
materials and exclude district funded			
activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Increase Student Engagement through the use of technology	Kids College: A computerized learning system is designed to increase student engagement in reading, writing and math. The systems are grade-level appropriate and aligned to national and state core standards	Title I	\$1500.00
Homework Assistance	After School Program designed to assist students with mastery of grade level standards	Title I	\$8000.00
Booker Brain Train	Saturday School program is designed to provide students with additional academic support	Title I	\$3000.00
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Designing Lesson with use of Kindle Fires	Kindle Fires	Title I/Gulf Coast Community Foundation	\$4000.00
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Transition to Common Core	Summer Institute for Teachers	Title I	\$30,000.00
Close Reading	Close Reading Strategies for Teachers	Title I	\$3,000.00
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Reader's Theatre		Title I	\$3000.00
Subtotal:			

\$52,500.00 Total:	

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CELLA Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition					
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
proficient in listening/speaking.	1.1. Deficiencies in vocabulary	1.1. Increase vocabulary development through word walls, drawing and vocabulary games	Site Administration	1.1. Classroom Walkthroughs Formative Assessments	1.1. Pre and Post Assessments	
CELLA Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking:					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.					
		1.2. Deficiencies in fluency skills	group interaction, role playing and oral presentation	1.2. ESOL Liaison Site Administration	Formative Assessments	1.2. Pre and Post Assessments
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Students read grade- level text in English in a manner similar to non- ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

2. Students scoring	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	
proficient in reading.	Deficiency in reading	Provide inclusive and		Review FAIR and	FAIR	
	skills and their application	sheltered reading instruction	Instructional Staff	performance data from		
	0 0	in a "fused" model which		Compass (ILS)	Focus	
	1	allows for remediation				
		and grade level instruction		Classroom walk throughs		
		during the school day.			monitoring	
				Lesson Plan checks		
		Use the Gradual Release of				
		Responsibility and Fusion				
		Model to differentiate				
		instruction in order to				
		address skill deficits.				
	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading:					
Enter narrative for the	roneient in Reading.					
goal in this box.						
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		Reading Endurance	Increase opportunities for	Site Administration	Review FAIR and	FAIR and
			intermittent self-selected	Instructional Staff		Internal progress
			independent reading			monitoring
			throughout the school day			
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
proficient in writing.	Deficit in Writing	2.1. Implementation of writing instruction for all students aligned with district Instructional Focus Calendar Train teachers using the rubric outlined in the comprehensive school-wide writing plan.	2.1. Site Administrators Curriculum Leaders	2.1. Analysis of student writings. Administrative lesson plan review Classroom Walk Through	2.1. District Benchmark Prompts	
	Proficient in Writing : Enter numerical data for current					
		2.2. Writing Stamina	Increase opportunities for students to do demand writing across contents.	2.2. Site Administrators Curriculum Leaders	Results on demand writing assessments	2.2. Writing rubrics and District Writing Assessment Spreadsheet
		Planning.	understanding writing prompts	2.3. Site Administrators Curriculum Leaders	assessments	2.3. Writing rubrics and District Writing Assessment Spreadsheet.

CELLA Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Increase listening and Speaking Skills	Kids College	Title I	\$1500.00
	-		
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
NONE			
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Data Analysis for ELL Students	Teachers will learn to analyze data for ELL	Title I	\$2000.00
	and develop strategies to increase student		
	achievement		
Called al.			
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
\$3500.00 Total:			

End of CELLA Goals

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary Mathematics Goals Based on the analysis	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent		Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.			1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.		
Mathematics Goal #1A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	

1B. Florida	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
Levels 4, 5, and 6 in							
mathematics.							
		2013 Expected					
#1B:	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
		this box. 1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		110.2.	10.2.	ID.2.	110.2.	110.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.		
Students scoring							
at or above							
Achievement							
Levels 4 and 5 in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#2 ∆ ·	Level of	Level of					
<i></i>	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	
		24.2	2 4 2	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	
		2A.3.	2A.3.	ZA.3.	ZA.3.	ZA.3.	
2B. Florida	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		
Alternate							
	I	1					
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
mathematics.							
mathematics.			l .]	ļ		

Mathematics Goal #2B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	current level of	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

		_				•	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
3A. FCAT 2.0:	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.		
Percentage of							
students making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.	2012 G	2012 5					
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#3A:	Level of	Level of Performance:*					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	
		J. 1.2.	51 1. 2 .	51 1. 2 .	51.1. 2 .	[
		3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	
3B. Florida	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Percentage of							
students making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
mathematics.							

1	#3B.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
			3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
20111 2000	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.		
Percentage of							
students in lowest							
25% making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	
		4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
	Baseline data 2010-2011						
school will reduce							
their achievement							
gap by 50%.							
Mathematics Goal #5A:							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
		9					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions,"	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following subgroups:							
5B. Student		5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.		
subgroups by	White:						
41 (3371.)	Black:						
	Hispanic: Asian:						
	American Indian:						
Indian) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							

Mathematics Goal #5B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

			•				
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroup:							
5C. English	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		
Language Learners							
(ELL) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
THE COURT OF THE COURT	Level of	Level of					
#5C:		Performance:*					
	r criormanec.	r criormance.					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	E	F 4					
	Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroup:							
subgroup.							

021 01441115	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		
with Disabilities (SWD) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							
		2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
		data for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5E. Economically Disadvantaged	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		
students not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							
#5F:	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
			5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Middle School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

e School Mathema	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.	IA.1. Increased Rigor in test specification s	lice of Close	Admin	IA.1. Review of FAIR and Performance data Classroom Walkthroughs Lesson Plan checks	IA.1. FAIR Internal Progress Benchmark Assessments	
Mathematics Goal #1A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.				

		Skill level of Question Analysis		IA.2. Admin/District PD Department	1A.2. Classroom Walkthroughs Lesson Plan Checks	1A.2. Benchmark Assessments	
		Vocabulary	IA.3. Participation in school-wide vocabulary initiative	IA.3. Media Specialist	Lesson Studies 1A.3. Review of FAIR and student vocabulary journals	1A.3. FAIR Science/Math Benchmark Assessments	
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.	Deficiency in Math	Use of Visual Representati ons	IB.1. ESE Liaison and Case Manager Admin	IB.1. IEP Classroom Walkthroughs	IB.I. Pre and Post Assessments		
Mathematics Goal #1B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		Vocabulary	vocabulary Initiative	IB.2. Media Specialist and Case Manager	1B.2. IEP Vocabulary notes	1B.2. IEP goals Benchmark assessments	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier	Suategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation 1001		
data and reference to	Buillet		Responsible for Wonttoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
2A. FCAT 2.0:					2A.1.		
					FAIR		
a4 au ah au	Rigor in test	Reading	Admin	Performance data			
Achievement	specification	Strategies to			Internal Progress		
	S	address skill		Classroom Walkthroughs			
Levels 4 and 5 in		deficiencies			Benchmark Assessments		
mathematics.				Lesson Plan checks			
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#2A:		Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
			2A.2.			2A.2.	
	Skill level		Admin/District PD	Classroom Walkthroughs	Benchmark	Benchmark	
			Department		Assessments	Assessments	
	Analysis	Modeling,		Lesson Plan Checks			
	1A.3.	Thinking					
		Aloud,		Lesson Studies			
		Guided					
		Instruction					
		and					
		Productive					
		Group Work					
			2A.3.			2A.3.	
			Media Specialist	Review of FAIR and student	FAIR	FAIR	
		in school-		vocabulary journals			
		wide			Science/Math Benchmark		
		vocabulary			Assessments	Assessments	
	ļ	initiative					

2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.	Deficiency in	Use of Visual Representati ons	2B.1. ESE Liaison and Case Manager Admin	2B.1. IEP Classroom Walkthroughs	2B.1. Pre and Post Assessments		
Mathematics Goal #2B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. 1B.2. Vocabulary	Participation	2B.2. Media Specialist and Case Manager	2B.2. IEP Vocabulary notes	2B.2. IEP goals Benchmark assessments	2B.2. IEP goals Benchmark	
		vocabulary Initiative	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Percentage of students making	More rigorous standards on the FCAT 2.0 in Math	Follow district developed Instructional Focus Calendar Increase higher order thinking question stems in daily lessons Develop inquiry and problem solving focused lessons Design lessons to address specific standards- based	3A.1. Administration Instructional Staff	benchmark assessments Formative Assessments	3A.1. Summative Assessments Benchmark Assessments Internal progress monitoring	
		lessons to address specific standards-				

Mathematics Goal #3A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box. 3A.2. Deficiencies in math skills and application	Modeling, Thinking Aloud, Guided Instruction and Productive Group Work Provide integrated remedial Math and Intensive Math instruction in a block "Math FUSION" course. Differentiate instruction through flexible grouping, individualizing lessons, compacting, using	3A.2. Administration Instructional Staff	Formative Assessments	3A.2. Summative Assessments Benchmark Assessments Internal progress monitoring	
		3A.3.	tiered assignments, and varying question levels 3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	

3B. Florida	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	
Alternate	Deficiency in	Effective	Site Administration	Formative assessments	Pre and Post DAR	
	reading skills	Schedulina	Instructional Staff			
Assessment:	and their	Methods	Case Managers	Classroom walk through	Internal progress	
Percentage of	application		ESE Liaison		monitoring	
students making		Provide				
learning gains in		integrated				
mathematics.		remedial				
		Reading and				
		grade level				
		Language				
		Arts				
		instruction in				
		a block "LA				
		FUSION"				
		course.				
		I-Engage				
		strategies:				
		Modeling,				
		Thinking				
		Aloud,				
		Guided				
		Instruction				
		and				
		Productive				
		Group Work				
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
#3B:	Level of	Level of				
	Performance:*	Performance:*				
Enter narrative for the						
goal in this box.						
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical			1	
	data for	data for				
	current level of	expected level of				
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.				
	00%	00%				

3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2	3B.2.	3B.2.	
Changes in	Ensure that instructional	Site Administration	Formative assessments	Summative Assessments	
Alternate	activities	Instructional Staff			
Assessment	are learner-centered and	Case Managers	Classroom walk through	Internal progress	
requirements	emphasize	ESE Liaison.		monitoring	
	inquiry/problem-solving				
	Use experience and prior				
	knowledge as a basis for				
	building				
	new knowledge				
	Use scaffolding to make				
	connections to concepts,				
	procedures				
	and understanding				
			3B.3.	3B.3.	
				Inclusion of diagnostic,	
			3	formative and summative	
			aligned with standards/	strategies	
application			concepts		
	Use productive group work		being taught	Utilize both traditional and	
	strategies			alternative assessment	
	and make real life		9 1	strategies	
	connections		with		
			feedback		

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier	Shalegy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation 1001	
data and reference to	Barrier		Responsible for Wonttoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following group:						
4. FCAT 2.0:			4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	
Percentage of			Administration		FOCUS	
students in lowest		district		performance data from		
250/	standards on		Instructional Staff	benchmark assessments	Benchmark Assessments	
25% making	the FCAT 2.0					
learning gains in		Focus		Classroom walkthrough	Summative Assessments	
mathematics.		Calendar				
		Increase				
		higher order				
		thinking				
		question				
		stems in				
		daily lessons				
		Develop				
		inquiry and				
		problem				
		solving				
		focused				
		lessons				
		Design				
		lessons to				
		address				
		specific				
		standards-				
		based				
		concepts or				
		skills .				
Mathematics Goal #4:	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
	Level of	Level of				
Enter narrative for the	Performance:*	Performance:*				
goal in this box.						

Enter nun data for current le performan this box.	data for expected level of					
	Deficiency in Math skills and their knowledge of application.	I-Engage strategies: Modeling, Thinking Aloud,	Administration Instructional Staff	Review of FOCUS and performance data from benchmark assessments	4A.2. FOCUS Benchmark Assessments Summative Assessments	
	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
• /	Baseline data 2010-2011	49	53	58	63	67	
school will reduce their achievement							
gap by 50%.							
Mathematics Goal #5A:							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
ethnicity (White,	Deficiency in foundational mathematics skills and their application.		5B.1. Administration Instructional Staff After School Director Volunteer Coordinator	summative assessment data. Review performance data	5B.1. Benchmark testing Common Assessments Internal progress monitoring		

Mathematics Goal #5B:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. White: Black:	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black:					
	Hispanic: Asian:	Hispanic: Asian:					
	American Indian:	American Indian:					
		5B.2. Level of rigor on FCAT 2.0	Focus lessons on specific	Administration Instructional Staff	5B.2. Formative Assessments Referrals to AI Classroom walk through Lesson Plan Checks	5B.2. Benchmark testing Common Assessments Internal progress monitoring	
			Ask probing questions which require students to justify their responses				
		5B.3. Level of rigor on FCAT 2.0	Scaffolding and making connections to concepts,	5B.3. Curriculum Leaders Support Staff Administration	5B.3. Classroom walk through	5B.3. Benchmark testing Common	
			I-Engage strategies: Modeling, Thinking Aloud, Guided Instruction and Productive Group Work			Assessments Internal progress monitoring	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.	Deficiency in foundational mathematics skills and their application.	Intensive	5C.1. Administration Instructional Staff After School Director Volunteer Coordinator	5C.1. Review formative and summative assessment data. Review performance data from ILS Classroom walk through & Lesson Plan checks Monitor Volunteer hours	SC.1. Benchmark testing Common Assessments Internal progress monitoring	
Mathematics Goal #5C: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.				

		Level of rigor on FCAT 2.0	Focus lessons on specific	Administration Instructional Staff	5C.2. Formative Assessments Referrals to AI Classroom walk through Lesson Plan Checks	SC.2. Benchmark testing Common Assessments Internal progress monitoring	
		Level of rigor on FCAT 2.0	5C3. Scaffolding and making connections to concepts, procedures, and understanding I-Engage strategies: Modeling, Thinking Aloud, Guided Instruction and Productive Group Work	Curriculum Leaders Support Staff Administration	5C.3. Classroom walk through	5C.3. Benchmark testing Common Assessments Internal progress monitoring	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

5D. Students	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		
with Disabilities	Level of rigor		Curriculum Leaders	Classroom walk through	Benchmark testing		
(SWD) not making	on FCAT 2.0		Support Staff				
			Administration		Common Assessments		
satisfactory progress		to concepts,					
in mathematics.		procedures,			Internal progress		
		and			monitoring		
		understandin	1				
		g					
		I-Engage					
		strategies:					
		Modeling,					
		Thinking					
		Aloud,					
		Guided					
		Instruction					
		and					
		Productive					
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	Group Work 2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
#5D:	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
5							
	Entan nacon orient	Enter numerical					
	Enter numerical data for	Enter numericai data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
	VIVI DUN		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
				Administration	Review formative and	Benchmark testing	
		Deficiency in		Instructional Staff	summative assessment		
		foundational		After School Director	data.	Common Assessments	
			afterschool support		Review performance data	Internal nuceurs	
		skills and their	Provide direct tutorial	Volunteer Coordinator	from ILS	Internal progress monitoring	
			support with skill deficits	Volunteer Coordinator	Classroom walk through &		
			through trained volunteers		Lesson Plan checks		
			in classrooms				
					Monitor Volunteer hours		

	Level of rigor on FCAT 2.0	Focus lessons on specific concept/skills that are	Administration Instructional Staff	Formative Assessments	SD.3. Benchmark testing	
		standards based Differentiate instruction through flexible grouping, individualizing lessons, compacting, using tiered assignments, and varying question levels		Referrals to AI Classroom walk through Lesson Plan Checks	Common Assessments Internal progress monitoring	
		Ask probing questions which require students to justify their responses				
						_

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier	Sumogy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	2,4,4,4,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,	
data and reference to				, and the same of		
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following						
subgroup:						
5E. Economically	5E1.		5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	
Disadvantaged			Administration		Benchmark testing	
students not making	Deficiency in	Intensive	Instructional Staff	summative assessment		
students not making	foundational	Math		data.	Common Assessments	
satisfactory progress	mathematics	Remediation		Review performance data		
in mathematics.	skills	during the		from ILS	Internal progress	
		school day	Volunteer Coordinator		monitoring	
		and through		Classroom walk through &		
		afterschool		Lesson Plan checks		
		support				
				Monitor Volunteer hours		
		Provide				
		direct				
		tutorial				
		support with				
		skill deficits				
		through				
		trained				
		volunteers in				
		classrooms				
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
#5E:	Level of	Level of				
#315.	Performance:*	Performance:*				
Enter narrative for the						
goal in this box.						
goui in inis vox.						
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical				
	data for	data for				
	current level of	expected level of				
	performance in	performance in				
	this box.	this box.				

5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.
		Administration	Formative Assessments	Benchmark testing
on FCAT 2.0	concept/skills that are standards based	Instructional Staff	Referrals to AI	Common Assessments
	Differentiate instruction through		Classroom walk through	Internal progress monitoring
	flexible grouping, individualizing		Lesson Plan Checks	
	lessons, compacting, using tiered			
	assignments, and varying question			
	levels			
	Ask probing questions which	1		
	require students to justify their			
	responses			
5E.3. Lack of student		5E.3. Administration Instructional Staff	5E.3. Classroom walk through & Lesson Plan checks	5E.3. Benchmark testing
engagement during	procedures, and understanding			Common Assessments
instructional delivery	I-Engage strategies:			Internal progress monitoring
	Modeling, Thinking Aloud, Guided Instruction and Productive Group Work			

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

		ide the numb	per of students the percenta	age represents (e.g., 70% [35]).		
hool Mathemat	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.		2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Mathematics Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation 1001		
data and reference to	Darrici		Responsible for Wonttornig	Effectiveness of Strategy			
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
3. Florida Alternate	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.		
Assessment:							
Percentage of							
students making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
		2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
Enter narrative for the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
		Enter numerical					
		data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
	eress UUA.	00.					
		3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	
		3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	
E 1 CE1 +1 +1			1 0 1 11 1 1				

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

Algebra 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Algebra I EOC)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Algebra 1 EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra 1.	of Question Analysis	1.1. I-Engage strategies: Modeling, Thinking Aloud, Guided Instruction and Productive Group Work	1.1. Admin/District PD Department	1.1. Classroom Walkthroughs Lesson Plan Checks Lesson Studies	1.1. Benchmark Assessments	
Algebra 1 Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.				

		Deficits	Participation in school-wide vocabulary initiative	1.2. Media Specialist	1.2. Review of FAIR and student vocabulary journals	I.2. FAIR Science/Math Benchmark Assessments	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels	Deficiency in mathematics skills and their application.	I-Engage	2.1. Admin/District PD Department	2.1. Classroom Walkthroughs Lesson Plan Checks Lesson Studies	2.1. Benchmark Assessments		
Algebra Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

Based on ambitious	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
but achievable Annual							
Measurable Objectives							
(AMOs), identify reading and mathematics							
performance target for							
the following years							
3A. In six years,	Baseline data 2010-2011						
school will reduce	Basciiiie data 2010-2011						
their achievement							
gap by 50%.							
Algebra 1 Goal #3A:							
Entan namatina fon 41-							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Sou in inis oon.							
Based on the analysis	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to "Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroups:							
		3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
subgroups by	White:						
- 41 2 24 (3371- 14	Black:						
	Hispanic: Asian:						
	American Indian:						
Indian) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in Algebra 1.			ļ	l	l		

		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
	White:	White:					
		Black:					
		Hispanic:					
		Asian:					
		American Indian:					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
subgroup:							
3C. English	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.		
Language Learners							
(ELL) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in Algebra 1.							
TISCOLUTION TO C.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

3D. Students	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
with Disabilities							
(SWD) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in Algebra 1.							
Algebra 1 Goal #3D:		2013 Expected					
Entan namatina fon tha	<u>Level of</u> <u>Performance:*</u>	Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
	data for	Enter numerical data for					
	performance in	expected level of performance in this box.					
		3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.			3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.		
	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	
		3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	

End of Algebra 1 EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Geometry EOC)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

				•	i .	·
Geometry EOC	Problem-					
Goals	Solving					
Goals						
	Process to	1				
	Increase					
	Student					
	Achievem					
	ent					
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
data and reference to						
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas in need of improvement						
for the following group:						
1. Students scoring	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
at Achievement			Admin/District PD		Benchmark	
at Achievement			Department		Assessments	
Level 3 in Geometry.	Analysis	Modeling,		Lesson Plan Checks		
	'	Thinking				
		Aloud,		Lesson Studies		
		Guided				
		Instruction				
		and				
		Productive				
		Group Work				
Geometry Goal #1:		2013 Expected				
	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*				
Enter narrative for the	r errormance: "	Performance:*				
goal in this box.						
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical				
	data for current level of	data for expected level of				
	performance in	performance in				
	this box.	this box.				

		Deficits	Participation in school-wide vocabulary initiative	I.2. Media Specialist	1.2. Review of FAIR and student vocabulary journals	1.2. FAIR Science/Math Benchmark Assessments	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
4 and 5 in Geometry.	of Question Analysis	strategies: Modeling, Thinking Aloud, Guided Instruction and Productive Group Work	2.1. Admin/District PD Department	2.1. Classroom Walkthroughs Lesson Plan Checks Lesson Studies	2.1. Benchmark Assessments		
Geometry Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2. Vocabulary Deficits	2.2. Participation in school-wide vocabulary initiative	2.2. Media Specialist	2.2. Review of FAIR and student vocabulary journals	2.2. FAIR Science/Math Benchmark Assessments	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

their achievement	2012-2013 Baseline data 2011- 2012	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
gap by 50%.			ĺ			
Geometry Goal #3A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White,	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	

Geometry Goal #3B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance.*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	current level of performance in this box. White: Black:	data for expected level of					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
for the following subgroup:							
	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.		
Language Learners							
(ELL) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in Geometry.							
Stomeny Commercia	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

3D. Students	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
with Disabilities							
(SWD) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in Geometry.							
Geometry Goal #3D:		2013 Expected					
E4		Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
5							
		Enter numerical					
		data for expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
			3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	

			i	1		İ	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroup:							
3E. Economically	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.		
Disadvantaged							
students not making							
satisfactory progress							
in Geometry.							
Geometry Goal #3E:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
		Level of					
Enter narrative for the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
		data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
		performance in					
		this box.					
		3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	
		3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Mathematics Professional Development

Professional				
Development	l .			
(PD) aligned with	l .			
Strategies through	1			
Professional	l .			

Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Classroom of Tomorrow (COT) Training	6, 7 and 8	District Specialist Math Curriculum Leaders Leadership Team	COT Math Teachers	Ongoing	Classroom walk through PLC's	Administration
Inquiry Based Learning in Math (including using data effectively)	6, 7 and 8	District Specialist Math Curriculum Leaders Leadership Team	Math Teachers	Ongoing	Classroom walk through PLC's	Administration
Coaching Days	6, 7 and 8	District Specialist Math Curriculum Leaders Leadership Team	Math Teachers	Ongoing	Classroom walk through PLC's	Administration
Analyzing Teacher Data: Using Guided Questions for Reflecting Planning	6, 7 and 8	District Specialist Math Curriculum Leaders Leadership Team	Math Teachers	Ongoing	Classroom walk through PLC's	Administration

Redesigning Lessons for Students Based on Math Benchmark Assessments	6, 7 and 8	District Specialist Math Curriculum Leaders Leadership Team	Math Teachers	Ongoing	Classroom walk through PLC's	Administration
I-Engage strategies: Modeling, Thinking Aloud, Guided Instruction and Productive Group Work	6, 7 and 8	District Specialist Math Curriculum Leaders Leadership Team	School-wide	Ongoing	Classroom walk through PLC's	Administration
Intensive Math: Guided Math Workshop	6, 7 and 8	District Specialist Math Curriculum Leaders Leadership Team	Intensive Math Teachers	Ongoing	Classroom walk through PLC's	Administration
Engaging the Gifted Learner	6, 7 and 8	District Specialist Math Curriculum Leaders Leadership Team	Gifted Math Teachers	Ongoing	Classroom walk through PLC's	Administration

<u>Mathematics Budget</u> (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Increase Math Proficiency	Kids College	Title I	\$1500.00
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Lesson Design in Math	A workshop designed to assist teachers with designing lessons based on Progress Monitoring	Title I	\$2000.00
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
\$3500.00Total:			

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Flomonto	Duchlore	1	ı	ı		
Elementary	Problem-					
and Middle	Solving					
Science Goals	Process to					
	Increase					
	Student					
	Achievem					
	ent					
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement data and reference to	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following group: 1A. FCAT 2.0:	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	
Students scoring at	Vocabulary	Participate in	Site Administration		Benchmark assessments	
Achievement Level 3	Definite	school wide				
in science.		vocabulary	Curriculum Leaders	Student data	FOCUS testing	
in science.		initiative. Emphasize				
	nonfiction and	common				
		science				
		vocabulary				
		Collaboration				
		with LA				
g :	2012 G	teachers				
Science Goal #1A:		2013 Expected Level of				
Enter narrative for the	Performance:*	Performance:*				
goal in this box.						
		Enter numerical				
	data for current level of	data for expected level of				
	performance in	performance in				
	this box.	this box.				

		1A.2. Increased rigor on high stakes	Focus Collaborative Planning Activities on lesson design and	1A.2. Site Administration	1A.2. Lesson Plan Checks	1A.2. Benchmark testing
		assessment	standards based instruction	Curriculum Leaders	Classroom Walk Through	Common Assessments Internal progress monitoring
		1A.3. Instructional delivery lack active engagement.	1A.3. Ongoing I-Engage Training for increased knowledge of implementation of best practices in the classroom		1A.3. Classroom walk through	1A.3. Benchmark Assessments
Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.	technical texts	Design Lessons to include Robust Vocabulary	IB.1. Site Administration Curriculum Leaders	1B.1. Lesson Plan Checks Classroom Walk Through	1B.1. Pre and Post assessments	
Science Goal #1B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.				
		1B.2. Cognitive abilities still developing	IB.2. Engage students in more inquiry based Science	IB.2. Site Administration Curriculum Leaders	1B.2. Lesson Plan Checks Classroom Walk Through	1B.2. Pre and Post assessments
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Students scoring at or above	delivery lack active	2A.1. Ongoing Training in I-Engage instructional Strategies	2A.1 Curriculum Leaders Site Administrators		2A.1. Benchmark testing Common Assessments Internal progress monitoring		
Science Goal #2A:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2A.2. Cognitive abilities still developing	Follow district developed Instructional Focus Calendars Incorporation of inquiry based curriculum Require more involvement in Science Fair	Curriculum Leaders Site Administrators	Student product Administrative review of lesson plans	2A.2. Rubric to judge Science Fair project Teacher created common assessment	
		2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	

2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.	2B.1. Cognitive abilities still developing	Follow district developed Instructional Focus Calendars Incorporation of inquiry based	2B.1. Curriculum Leaders Site Administrators	2B.1. Student product Administrative review of lesson plans	2B.1. Rubric to judge Science Fair project Teacher created common assessment		
		curriculum Require more involvement in Science Fair					
Science Goal #2B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2B.2. Vocabulary Deficits Reading stamina in nonfiction and technical texts	2B.2. Participate in school wide vocabulary initiative. Emphasize common science vocabulary Collaboration with LA teachers	2B.2. Site Administration Curriculum Leaders	1	2B.2. Benchmark assessments FOCUS testing	
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

			_			•	·
High School Science Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Science Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Florida Alternate Assessment:	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
science.							
		2013Expected					
	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	r criormance.	r criormanee.					
gour in inis oox.							
		Enter numerical					
		data for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
		this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	
E I CEL 11 11	l	l			ļ		

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

Biology 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Biology I EOC)

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Biology 1 EOC	Problem-			
Goals	Solving			
	Process to			
	Increase			
	Student			
	Achievem			

			<u>i</u>	<u>i</u>	<u> </u>	•	
	ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Students scoring	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
at Achievement Level 3 in Biology 1.							
Brotogy 1 Gour #1.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
goai in inis box.							
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology 1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		

Biology 1 Enter narra goal in this	tive for the box.	Level of Performance:*						
		current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
			2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

End of Biology 1 EOC Goals

Science Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a						
professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Inquiry Based Science	6-8	PDF	Science Teachers	October	Classroom Walk Through	Administration
Analyzing Data in Science	6-8	PDF/PLC	Science Teachers	Ongoing	Progress Monitoring Data	Administration
COT Workshops	6-8	PDF	COT SC Teachers	Ongoing	Classroom Walk Through	Administration
Science Lesson Design	6-8	PDF/PLC	Science Teachers	October/Weekly	PLC Documentation	Administration

Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Solding Barages (missing 19 the men			
Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Classroom of Tomorrow	21st Century Classroom of Technology	Gulf Coast Community Foundation	\$20,000.00
Subtotal:			

Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
\$20,000.00 Total:				

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Writing Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1A. FCAT: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing.	Writing Skills	IA.1. Implementation of writing instruction for all students aligned with district Instructional Focus Calendar Train teachers using the rubric outlined in the comprehensive school-wide writing plan.		Results on demand writing	1A.1. District Benchmark Writing Assessments	
Writing Goal #1A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				

	I	i	1	I .		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for				
	aata for current level of	aata for expected level of				
	performance in	performance in				
	this box.	this box.				
			1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.
		Writing	Practice self-regulation skills that	Administration	Results on demand writing	District Benchmark Writing
		Stamina and	help to manage writing strategies	Administration	Results on demand writing	Assessments
		Strategy	and the writing process	PLC Leaders		Assessments
			and the writing process	PLC Leaders		
		Development				
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.
1201144	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	
Alternate	D C		L.,	, www.		
	Deficient in	Implementation	Administration	Results on Writing samples	Formative and Summative	
	Writing Skills	of writing	L		Assessments	
Students scoring at 4			PLC Leaders			
or higher in writing.		all students				
Writing Goal #1B:	2012 Current					
	Level of					
Enter narrative for the	Performance:*					
goal in this box.		2013 Expected				
goui in inis box.		Level of				
		Performance:*				
1	Enter numerical	Enter numerical				
1	data for current level of	data for				
1	current level of performance in	expected level of performance in	l			
1	this box.	this box.				
	00%		1B 2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.
1				Administration	15.2.	15.2.
1			to do demand writing across		Results on Writing samples	Formative and Summative
1			contents.	PLC Leaders	itesuits on writing samples	Assessments
			contents.			
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.

Writing Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or						
PLC activity. PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Writing Workshop	6-8	Patti Brustad	LA/Reading Teachers	Ongoing	Classroom Walkthroughs	Administration

Writing Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
NONE			
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Writing Goals

Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2014-2015)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

				<i>E</i> 1 (<i>E</i>) E			
Civics EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
at Achievement Level 3 in Civics.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Civics Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Civics.		2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
	<u>Level of</u> <u>Performance:*</u>	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

Civics Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Civics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Civics Goals

U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2013-2014)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

TIO TI	D 11				· T	T	
U.S. History	Problem-						
EOC Goals	Solving						
	Process to						
	Increase						
	Student						
	Achievem						
	ent						
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement data and reference to	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement for the following group:							
	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
at Achievement							
Level 3 in U.S.							
History.							
		2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goat in ints box.							
	Enter numerical						
	data for	data for					
	current level of performance in	expected level of performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
	<u> </u>	L			Į	l .	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Students scoring	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
at or above							
Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in U.S.							
History.							
U.S. History Goal #2:	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
		Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

U.S. History Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or						
PLC activity. PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
			ŕ			

U.S. History Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			•
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of U.S. History Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Attendance Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Increase Attendan ce					
Based on the analysis of attendance data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Attendance	punctuality, time management and making school a priority	I.1. Institute Assertive Attendance procedures to hold students accountable for punctuality at the start of the day and between classes	1.1. Administration	1.1. Monitor of daily attendance and tardiness. Monitor frequency of lunch detention	1.1. Attendance reports	
Attendance Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Attendance Rate:* Enter numerical data for current	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:* Enter numerical data for expected attendance rate in this box.				

Number of Students with Excessive Absences	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)					
data for current number of absences in this box	Enter numerical data for expected number of absences in this box.					
Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)					
data for current number of students tardy in	this box.					
	1.2. Classroom time on task due to tardiness	1.2. Use Positive Behavior Support to reinforce expectations		1.2. Monitor attendance rates of targeted students	1.2. Attendance reports	
	Parental support	1.3. Correspond with families through multiple communication tools	1.3. Teachers, counselors and Administration	1.3. Log communication efforts	1.3. Referrals to SWST or CINC/ FINS	

Attendance Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Truancy Strategies	6-8	Counselor	School-wide	Ongoing	School-wide Support Team	Counselor/Administration
Positive Behavior Support	6-8	District Specialist Behavior Teacher	School-wide	Pre-School In-service	PLC, Staff Meetings, & PD Days	Administration
Problem Solving/ Response to Intervention	6-8	District Specialist Academic Intervention Teacher	School-wide	Pre-School In-service	PLC, Staff Meetings, & PD Days	Administration

Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Use of School-wide PBS Strategies	PBS Incentives by Grade-level	PTSA/Renaissance	\$5000.00	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Attendance Contracts	Incentives	PTSA/Renaissance	\$5000.00	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of Attendance Goals

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

			. <u> </u>	represents next to the po	$\mathcal{E} \setminus \mathcal{E}$	i '/	
Suspension	Problem-						
_							
Goal(s)	solving						
	Process to						
	Decrease						
	Suspension						
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of suspension data, and	Barrier	Sharegy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of	Evaluation 1001		
reference to "Guiding			g	Strategy			
Questions," identify and							
define areas in need of							
improvement:							
1. Suspension	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
	Teacher	Continue	Administration	Weekly meetings on	SESIR REPORTS		
	consistency	implementation	Behavior Coach	targeted behaviors,			
					Internal data		
				withing data reviews			
	expectation	Behavior Support			collection tool		
	across	using explicit					
	environments	instruction					
		behavioral					
		expectations for					
		students					
Suspension Goal #1:		2013 Expected Number of					
Enter narrative for the		In- School					
goal in this box.		Suspensions					
50111 111111111111111111111111111111111							
	Enter numerical data	Enter numerical data					
		for expected number of in-school suspensions					
		2013 Expected					
		Number of Students					
		Suspended Suspended					
		In -School					

for cur studen in-sch	urrent number of for states suspended states in	nter numerical data r expected number of adents suspended · school					
Numb	nber of Out-of- ool Suspensions Out	umber of ut-of-School uspensions					
for cur studen: out- of:	urrent number of for sents suspended stud of- school out-	nter numerical data r expected number of udents suspended t- of- school					
of Stu- Suspe	tudents Numbered Sus	umber of Students spended ut- of-School					
for cur studen	urrent number of for ents suspended stud	nter numerical data r expected number of udents suspended t- of- school	12	13	1.2	12	
	tie and dir to bel	nd concise rection address chavioral gaps	Implementation of Response to Intervention, Functional Behavior Assessments, and Behavior Intervention Plans	Team	and monthly data reviews	1.2. Referral summaries	
	po for	cudents lack ositive models or personal	17.		e e	1.3. KPIR SESIR Reports	

Suspension Professional Development

Suspension Frotes	331011a1 Deve	ciopinent				
Professional						
Development						
(PD) aligned with						
Strategies through						
Professional						
Learning						
Community (PLC)						
or PD Activity						
Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a professional development or						
PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Positive Behavior Support		District Specialist Behavior Teacher	School wide	Pre-School In-service	PLC, Staff Meetings, & PD Days	Administration
Problem Solving/ MTSS	6-8 Teachers	District Specialist Behavior Teacher Academic Intervention Teacher	School wide	Pre-School In-service	PLC, Staff Meetings, & PD Days	Administration
A Framework for Understanding Poverty	m-x learners	District Specialist	School wide	October and January	Attendance Monitoring	Administration/Teachers

Suspension Budget (Insert rows as needed)

<u>1</u>		
Include only school-based funded		
activities/materials and exclude district		
funded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)		

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Tornado Connection	PBS Incentives	PTSA/Renaissance	\$1500.00	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Behavior Contracts	PBS Incentives	PTSA/Renaissance	\$1500.00	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of Suspension Goals

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

* when using percer	itages, include	the number of s	tudents the percentage	represents next to the p	ercentage (e.g. 70%)	0 (33)).	
Dropout	Problem-						
Prevention	solving						
Goal(s)	Process to						
Goar(s)							
	Dropout						
	Prevention						
Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
parent involvement data,	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of			
and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and				Strategy			
define areas in need of							
improvement:							
1. Dropout	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Prevention							
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
Dropout Prevention	Dropout Rate:*	Dropout Rate:*					
Goal #1:							
Enter narrative for the goal							
in this box.							
*Please refer to the							
percentage of students							
who dropped out during the 2011-2012 school							
vear.							
yeur.							
		Enter numerical data					
	data for dropout rate in this box.	for expected dropout rate in this box.					
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Graduation Rate:*						

this box.	graduation rate in this box. 1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	

Dropout Prevention Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Dropout Prevention Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involvement Goal(s)				represents next to the p		
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

	i	L.	L .	L .	L.	<u> </u>
	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
				Flexible monthly	Meeting agendas	
				meetings for parent input	and attendance	
	may prevent	involvement	Coordinator		sheets	
	ability to get				PALS Volunteer	
	involved	collaborating			hours	
	invoived	with parents			nours	
		in an				
		organized,				
		ongoing,				
		and timely				
		manner.				
		Parents				
		will also be		ĺ		
		involved in				
		the planning,				
		review, and				
		improvement				
		of programs				
		for students				
		and families.				
	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
<u>#1:</u>	Level of Parent Involvement:*	Level of Parent Involvement:*				
	mvorvement.	mvorvement.				
Enter narrative for the goal in						
this box.						
*Please refer to the				ĺ		
				ĺ		
percentage of parents who				ĺ		
participated in school						
activities, duplicated or				ĺ		
unduplicated.				ĺ		
				ĺ		
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical				
		data for expected		ĺ		
	level of parent	level of parent		ĺ		
		involvement in this		ĺ		
	box.	box.				

communicat ion between home and	1.2. Provide each parent with ongoing information regarding strategies to help support their student(s) success in middle school	1.2. Administration Parent Involvement Coordinator Teachers	,	1.2. PALS Volunteer enrollment and attendance sheets.	
are not aware of the expectation for involvement	1.3. Jointly develop, with parents a schoolparent compact that outlines how parents, the entire school staff, and students will share the responsibility for improved student academic achievement	1.3. Administration	I.3. Include Compact in Student Handbook/ Agenda Review Compact at annual Title I meeting.	1.3. Increased number of signed compacts on file	

Parent Involvement Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activity			
Please note that each			
Strategy does not require a			
professional development or			
PLC activity.			

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Title I Annual Meeting	6-8	Title I Parent Involvement Coordinator	All Stakeholders	August/January	Agendas, Attendance Sheets	Administration
Parent University	6-8	School Staff	Parents	Ongoing	Agendas, Attendance Sheets	Administration
Parent Involvement Training	6-8	Principal	Teachers	September-November	Agendas, Attendance Sheets	Administration

Parent Involvement Budget

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Implementation of Parent University	Parent Workshop	Title I	\$3000.00	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Parent Brochures	Materials for Distribution	Title I	\$1200.00	_
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
STEM Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	1.1. Student drop-out rate in program	I.1. Develop an afterschool program to support success and implementation of academic interventions prior to student failure	1.1. Administration STEM Coordinator	I.1. After school enrollment Academic Interventionist	1.1. Pre and Post surveys Student Enrollment Sheets Report Cards
	1.2. Number of female students enrolled in program	1.2. Recruit and schedule an increased number of females in STEM programs	1.2. Administration STEM Coordinator	1.2. Student Enrollment Sheets	1.2. Pre and Post Surveys Student Enrollment Sheets
	1.3. Lack of student interest	1.3. Implementation of Odyssey of the Mind	1.3. Administration Odyssey of the Mind Coordinator	1.3. Student Enrollment Sheets	1.3. Student Enrollment Sheets Project Outcome

STEM Professional Development

Professional			

Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
STEM Workshop	6-8	A.Reasoner	Teachers	August/September	Monthly Updates	Administration/Stem Coordinator

STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Subtotal: Total:				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Other				
Subtotal:				
	2 total phon of resources	2 mang source	Amount	
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Professional Development				
Subtotal:				
			Amount	
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Technology				
Subtotal:				
Odyssey of the Mind	STEM Competition Resources	Title I	\$500.00	
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
funded activities /materials.				
Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district				

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
CTE Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	1.1.			1.1.	1.1.
	1.2.			1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

CTE Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activity			
Please note that each			
Strategy does not require a			

professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

CTE Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

when using percentage	es, include in	e number of s	students the percentage	represents next to the po	ercemage (e.g. 70%)	o (<i>33))</i> .	
Additional Goal(s)	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt						
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Additional Goal	in reading skills for	Increase Reading	Title I Reading Teacher Administration Media Specialist	Number of books checked out in Media Center	1.1. FAIR Benchmark Assessments		

Additional Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*					
	Enter numerical data for current goal in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected goal in this box.					
		Lack of Male	Increase male mentors for male students	Administration	Impact of mentor/ student relationship	Attendance Grades	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Additional Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Mentoring Males	6-8	Jenkins	Mentors		Mentoring Activities	Administration

Additional Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Increase Reading Opportunities for Males	Man Cave Reading Room	Title I	\$2000.00
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Increase achievement for African American Males	Books for African American Males	Title I	\$3000.00
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Additional Goal(s)

Final Budget (Insert rows as needed)

That Budget (most town as needed)	
Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	
	\$52,500.00Total:
CELLA Budget	
ODDEN Budger	\$3,500.00Total:
Mathematics Budget	φ-9000001 στα:
Mathematics Budget	\$3,500.00Total:
	\$5,500.00 Total.
Science Budget	
	\$20,000.00Total:
Writing Budget	
	Total:
Civics Budget	
Civics Budget	Total:
	10121;
U.S. History Budget	
	Total:
Attendance Budget	
	\$10,000.00Total:
Suspension Budget	·
Suspension Dauget	\$3000.00Total:
D (D)	\$5000.0010tat.
Dropout Prevention Budget	
	Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	
	\$4200.00Total:
STEM Budget	
or Divide Budget	\$500.00Total:
COTE D. L.	\$500.0010tai:
CTE Budget	
	Total:
Additional Goals	
	\$5000.00Total:

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP
--

\$102,200.00Grand Total:

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under "Default value" header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an "x" in the box.)

School Differentiated Accountability Status		
□Priority	X□Focus	□Prevent

Are you reward school? □Yes X□No

(A reward school is any school that has improved their letter grade from the previous year or any A graded school.)

• Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the *Upload* page

School Advisory Council (SAC)

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting *Yes* or *No* below.

X□ Yes □ No

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.

N/A

Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.

Focus on Student Achievement and Increased Parent Involvement. SAC will also work to enhance the Renaissance Program.

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.

Amount

Teacher Professional Development	\$2000.00
Renaissance Start-up Money	\$1500.00