# FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: LEISURE CITY K-8 CENTER

District Name: Dade

Principal: Kelli R. Hunter

SAC Chair: Cherylise Washington

Superintendent: Alberto Carvahlo

Date of School Board Approval: November 22, 2011

Last Modified on: 10/14/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

### PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

#### STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

#### **ADMINISTRATORS**

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year)
Principal	Kelli R. Hunter	Political Science K -12 Educational Leadership Doctor of Philosophy Bachelor of Arts Masters of Science	5	9	'12 '11 '10 '09 '08 School Grade C C C B B High Standards Rdg. 35 53 53 56 High Standards Math 41 63 56 57 62 Lrng Gains-Rdg. 65 57 59 68 61 Lrng Gains-Math 62 65 60 66 70 Gains-Rdg-25% 64 60 52 72 65 Gains-Math-25% 65 71 57 69 70
Assis Principal	Hilda M. Milanes	Elementary ED Ed Leadership Bachelor of Arts Masters of Science	7	13	'12 '11 '10 '09 '08 School Grade C C C B B High Standards Rdg. 35 53 53 53 56 High Standards Math 41 63 56 57 62 Lrng Gains-Rdg. 65 57 59 68 61 Lrng Gains-Math 62 65 60 66 70 Gains-Rdg-25% 64 60 52 72 65 Gains-Math-25% 65 71 57 69 70
					'12 '11 '10 '09 '08

Assis Principal	Jennifer Brill	Special Learn Disability Ed Leadership Bachelor of Arts Masters of Science	7	7	School Grade C C C B B High Standards Rdg. 35 53 53 53 56 High Standards Math 41 63 56 57 62 Lrng Gains-Rdg. 65 57 59 68 61 Lrng Gains-Math 62 65 60 66 70 Gains-Rdg-25% 64 60 52 72 65 Gains-Math-25% 65 71 57 69 70 Gains-Math-25% 71 57 69 70 71
-----------------	----------------	---	---	---	---

#### INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Reading	Priscilla Alexander	Early Childhood Ed Elementary Ed Reading Endorsed ESOL Endorsed Bachelor of Arts Masters of Science	14	8	'12 '11 '10 '09 '08 School Grade C C C B B High Standards Rdg. 35 53 53 53 56 High Standards Math 41 63 56 57 62 Lrng Gains-Rdg. 65 57 59 68 61 Lrng Gains-Math 62 65 60 66 70 Gains-Rdg-25% 64 60 52 72 65 Gains-Math-25% 65 71 57 69 70

#### EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

	Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1	the heginning teachers to provide continued support	Assistant Principal	June 2013	
2	bire highly qualified staff	Principal Assistant Principals	August 2012	
3	4. Utilize organizations such as Teach for America to provide instructional support to beginning teachers.	Principal Assistant Principals	August 2012	

#### Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
4 – out of field	Encouraged to complete ESOL Endorsement and Elementary Education Certificate

### Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers	-	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	Effective	% Reading Endorsed	Certified	% ESOL Endorsed
--	--------------------------------	---	---	--	---	-----------	-----------------------	-----------	--------------------

1									
7.0	2.7%(2)	/ OO/ (E)	63.0%(46)	27 40/ (20)	27 00/ (27)	71 20/ (52)	11 00/ (0)	4 10/ (2)	61.6%(45)
/3	2.7%(2)	0.8%(5)	03.0%(40)	27.4%(20)	37.0%(27)	/1.2%(52)	11.0%(8)	4.1%(3)	01.0%(45)
1									
/3	2.1%(2)	0.8%(5)	03.0%(40)	27.4%(20)	37.0%(27)	/1.2%(52)	11.0%(8)	4.1%	5(3)

#### Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee	Rationale	Planned Mentoring
	Assigned	for Pairing	Activities

#### ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

#### Coordination and Integration

#### Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

#### Title I, Part A

#### Title I, Part A

Leisure City K – 8 Center provides services to ensure students requiring additional remediation are assisted through morning and after-school programs. The district coordinates with Title III and Title III in ensuring staff development needs are provided. Support services are provided to elementary and middle school students. The Reading Coach develops, leads, and evaluates school core content standards/ programs; identifies and analyzes existing literature on scientifically based curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention approaches. She identifies systematic patterns of student need while working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies; assists with whole school screening programs that provide early intervening services for children to be considered "at risk;" assists in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; participates in the design and delivery of professional development; and provides support for assessment and implementation monitoring. Other components that are integrated into the school wide program include Supplemental Educational Services and special support services to special needs populations such as migrant and delinquent students.

#### Title I, Part C- Migrant

Leisure City K – 8 Center provides services and support to migrant students and parents. The District Migrant liaison coordinates with Title I and other programs and conducts a comprehensive needs assessment of migrant students to ensure that the unique needs of migrant students are met.

#### Title I, Part D

District receives funds to support the Educational Alternative Outreach program. Services are coordinated with district Dropout Prevention programs.

#### Title II

The District uses supplemental funds for improving basic education as follows:

Training to certify qualified mentors for the New Teacher (MINT) Program,

Training for add-on endorsement programs, such as Reading, Gifted, ESOL training and substitute release time for Professional Development Liaisons (PDL) at each school focusing on Professional Learning Community (PLC) development and facilitation, as well as Lesson Study Group implementation and protocols.

#### Title III

Services are provided through the district for education materials and ELL district support services to improve the education of immigrant and English Language Learners.

#### Title X- Homeless

Students and parent are interviewed by the Community Involvement Specialist in order to determine grade level and special needs. Student attendance will be monitored daily. Additional support services will be provided through Project Upstart.

Leisure City K – 8 Center will receive funding from Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI) as part of its Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) allocation.

Violence Prevention Programs

Leisure City K – 8 Center offers a non-violence (PBS) and anti-drug program to students that incorporate field trips and counseling.

**Nutrition Programs** 

- 1) Leisure City K 8 Center adheres to and implements the nutrition requirements stated in the District Wellness Policy.
- 2) Nutrition education, as per state statute, is taught through physical education.
- 3) The School Food Service Program, school breakfast, school lunch, and after care snacks, follows the Healthy Food and Beverage Guidelines as adopted in the District's Wellness Policy.

Housing Programs

N/A

Head Start

Leisure City K – 8 Center provides a VPK program.

Adult Education

N/A

Career and Technical Education

N/A

Job Training

N/A

Other

Involve parents in the planning and implementation of the Title I Program and extend an open invitation to Leisure City's parent area in order to inform parents regarding available programs, their rights under No Child Left Behind and other referral services.

Increase parental involvement through developing Leisure City's Title I School-Parent Compact; Leisure City's Title I Parental Involvement Plan; scheduling the Title I Annual Meeting; and other documents/activities necessary in order to comply with dissemination and reporting requirements.

Conduct informal parent surveys to determine specific needs of our parents, and schedule workshops. Parent Academy Courses, etc., with flexible times to accommodate our parents' schedules. This impacts our goal to empower parents and build their capacity for involvement.

Complete Title I Administration Parental Involvement Monthly Reports (FM-6914 Rev. 06-08) and the Title I Parental Involvement Monthly Activities Report (FM-6913 03-07), and submit to Title I Administration by the 5th of each month as documentation of compliance with NCLB Section 1118. Additionally, the M-DCPS Title I Parent/Family survey will be completed by parents/families in May. The Survey's results are to be used to assist with revising our Title I parental documents for the approaching school year.

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

-School-based MTSS/RtI Team<sup>.</sup>

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Principal: Provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision-making, ensures that the school-based team is implementing MTSS, conducts assessment of MTSS skills of school staff, ensures implementation of intervention support and documentation, ensures adequate professional development to support MTSS implementation, and communicates with parents

regarding school-based MTSS plans and activities.

Assistant Principals: Provide support to the common vision for the use of data-based decision-making that the school is implementing; ensure that interventions and support are being implemented as planned; assist with communicating to parents the school's plans and activities.

Grade Level and Department Chairpersons (Primary and Intermediate): Provide information about core instruction, participate in student data collection, deliver Tier 1 instruction/intervention, collaborate with other staff to implement Tier 2 interventions, and integrate Tier 1 materials/instruction with Tier 2/3 activities.

Exceptional Student Education (ESE) Teachers: Participate in student data collection, integrate core instructional

activities/materials into Tier 3 instruction, and collaborate with general education teachers through such activities as coteaching.

Instructional Reading Coach: Develops, leads, and evaluates school core content standards/ programs; identifies and analyzes existing literature on scientifically based curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention approaches; identifies systematic patterns of student needs while working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies; assists with whole school screening programs that provide early intervening services for children to be considered "at risk;" assist in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; participates in the design and delivery of professional development; and provides support for assessment and implementation monitoring.

Data Analysis Team: Participates in data collection and data analysis; assists in the design and implementation for progress monitoring; participates in the design and delivery of professional development; and provides support for assessment and implementation monitoring. SCAM Data will be utilized to develop the necessary behavior interventions.

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The Leadership Team will focus meetings around one question: How do we develop and maintain a problem solving system to bring out the best in our schools, our teachers, and in our students?

The team will meet once a month to engage in the following activities:

- Review the data and develop strategies based on the data that will target individual student needs.
- Review progress monitoring data at the grade level and classroom level to identify students who are meeting/exceeding benchmarks, at moderate risk or at high risk for not meeting benchmarks. Based on the above information, the team will identify appropriate interventions, professional development and resources.
- The team will also collaborate regularly, problem solve, share effective practices, evaluate implementation, make decisions, and practice new processes and skills.
- The team will also facilitate the process of building consensus, increasing infrastructure, and making decisions about implementation.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The Leadership Team will focus meetings around one question: How do we develop and maintain a problem solving system to bring out the best in our schools, our teachers, and in our students?

The team will meet once a month to engage in the following activities:

- · Review the data and develop strategies based on the data that will target individual student needs.
- Review progress monitoring data at the grade level and classroom level to identify students who are meeting/exceeding benchmarks, at moderate risk or at high risk for not meeting benchmarks. Based on the above information, the team will identify appropriate interventions, professional development and resources.
- The team will also collaborate regularly, problem solve, share effective practices, evaluate implementation, make decisions, and practice new processes and skills.
- The team will also facilitate the process of building consensus, increasing infrastructure, and making decisions about implementation.

#### MTSS Implementation-

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

#### Academic

Tier I (Reading):

• Baseline data: Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN), Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT), Baseline Assessments through Edusoft, CELLA

Tier II (Reading):

- Baseline data: Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN), Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT), Baseline Assessments through Edusoft, CELLA
- Progress Monitoring: PMRN, Florida Assessments for Instruction in Reading (FAIR), Interim Assessments through Edusoft
- End of year: FAIR, FCAT, CELLA

Tier III (Reading):

- Baseline data: Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN), Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT), Baseline Assessments through Edusoft, CELLA
- · Progress Monitoring: PMRN, Florida Assessments for Instruction in Reading (FAIR), Interim Assessments through Edusoft
- Interventions using Voyager and Successmaker
- · End of year: FAIR, FCAT, CELLA

Tier I (Math):

• Baseline data: Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 (FCAT 2.0), Baseline Assessments through Edusoft

Tier II (Math):

- Baseline data: Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0(FCAT 2.0), Baseline Assessments through Edusoft
- Progress Monitoring: Monthly Assessments through Edusoft, District Interim Assessments through Edusoft
- End of year: FCAT 2.0

Tier III (Math):

- · Baseline data: Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT), Baseline Assessments through Edusoft
- · Progress Monitoring: Monthly Assessment through Edusoft, Interim Assessments through Edusoft
- · Interventions using Successmaker
- End of year: FCAT 2.0

Tier I (Science):

• Baseline data: Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT), Baseline Assessments through Edusoft

Tier II (Science):

- Baseline data: Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT), Baseline Assessments through Edusoft
- Progress Monitoring: Monthly Assessments through Edusoft, District Interim Assessments through Edusoft
- End of year: FCAT Tier III (Science):
- Baseline data: Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT), Baseline Assessments through Edusoft
- · Progress Monitoring: Monthly Assessment through Edusoft, Interim Assessments through Edusoft
- End of year: FCAT
- · Interventions: Using Saturday Tutorial

Tier I (Writing):

· Baseline data: District Writing Pre Tests scanned through Edusoft, CELLA

Tier II (Writing):

- Baseline data: District Writing Pre Tests scanned through Edusoft
- · Progress Monitoring: Monthly Writing Prompts, District Mid Year Writing Prompts Edusoft
- · End of year: FCAT, CELLA

Tier III (Writing):

- · Baseline data: District Writing Pre Tests scanned through Edusoft, CELLA
- Progress Monitoring: Monthly Writing Prompts, District Mid Year Writing Prompts Edusoft
- End of year: FCAT, CELLA
- · Interventions: Push in tutoring

Frequency of Data Days: once a month for data analysis

Behavior

- Student Case Management System
- Detentions
- Suspensions/expulsions
- Referrals by student behavior, staff behavior, and administrative context
- · Office referrals per day per month
- Team climate surveys
- Attendance

Referrals to special education programs

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

The district professional development and support will include:

- 1. Training for all administrators in the MTSS problem solving at Tiers 1, 2, and 3 (SST), using the Tier 1 Problem Solving Worksheet, Tier 2 Problem Solving Worksheet, and Tier 3 Problem Solving Worksheet and Intervention Plan
- 2. Providing support for school staff to understand basic MTSS principles and procedures; and

providing a network of ongoing support for MTSS organized through feeder patterns.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

The following strategies will be implemented to support the MTSS:

- 1. Effective, actively involved, and resolute leadership that frequently provides visible connections between a MTSS framework with district & school mission statements and organizational improvement efforts.
- 2. Alignment of policies and procedures across classroom, grade, building, district, and state levels.
- 3. Ongoing efficient facilitation and accurate use of a problem-solving process to support planning, implementing, and evaluating effectiveness of services.

- 4. Strong, positive, and ongoing collaborative partnerships with all stakeholders who provide education services or who otherwise would benefit from increases in student outcomes.
- 5. Comprehensive, efficient, and user-friendly data-systems for supporting decision-making at all levels from the individual student level up to the aggregate district level.
- 6. Sufficient availability of coaching supports to assist school team and staff problem-solving efforts.
- 7. Ongoing data-driven professional development activities that align to core student goals and staff needs.
- 8. Communicating outcomes with stakeholders and celebrating success frequently.

#### Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

-School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

The members of the School-based Literacy Leadership Team include: Kelli Hunter, Principal; Jennifer Brill and Hilda Milanes, Assistant Principals; Charlotte Brown, Karen Boothe, Cherylise Washington, Kristy Jones, Vanessa Mixon, and Deborah Boucaud, Grade level Chairs; Priscilla Alexander, Reading Coach; Albertha Harris, Adam Graham, Marcia Lewis, Gregory Bellamy, Department Chairs; Carrie Lee and Maria Borges, National Board Certified Teachers

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The Principal will cultivate the vision for increased school-wide literacy across all content areas by being an active participant in all Literacy Leadership Team meetings and activities. The principal will provide all necessary resources for the LLT. The principal, as the instructional leader of the school, supports literacy instruction and will promote membership on the team by:

- · Holding monthly meetings
- Providing adequate notice of meetings
- Providing time/coverage if needed to attend meetings
- Offering professional growth opportunities such as educational retreats.

The Reading Coach will serve as a member of the reading leadership team. The Coach will share her expertise in reading instruction, assessment and observational data to assist the team in making instructional and programmatic decisions. The reading coach will work with the LLT to guarantee fidelity of implementation of the K-12 CRRP. The reading coach will promote motivation and the spirit of collaboration within the Literacy Leadership Team to create a school-wide focus on literacy and reading achievement.

The LLT will meet periodically to review student data to determine the instructional reading focus for teachers and students. The principal will ensure that all curricular areas will be represented, team members are skilled and committed to improving literacy, and professional growth opportunities are provided based on teacher needs, collaboration is utilized for decision making. Literacy is considered a school wide focus and all content areas will support literacy.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

Leisure City K-8 Center's major initiative will focus on increasing rigor in reading instruction. This involves utilizing the Metacognition Process and Brain Research Skills and requiring sustained reading throughout content areas. Teachers will be trained on the Inquiry Process to increase student comprehension and critical thinking skills. Students performing below grade level will continue to receive intervention to improve reading skills yet they will also be provided with the opportunity to explore and create through the utilization of reading, collaboration and discussion.

Literacy Leadership Team is also focusing on developing collegiality and collaboration amongst teachers vertically and horizontally. This initiative will be facilitated by Professional Learning Community Meetings.

#### Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification View uploaded file (Uploaded on 10/11/2012)

#### \*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

The neighborhood preschools tour Leisure City K-8 Center and spend a day, twice a year, in order to smooth the transition from the preschools into the elementary school.

Teachers and department chairs assist with the vertical planning between the Pre-K program and Kindergarten program. Teachers will be responsible for administering baseline assessments in order to determine school readiness. All new Kindergarten students will participate in an orientation process to expose them to the routine and structure of the Kindergarten class. Articulation meetings are held between the prekindergarten teacher and the kindergarten teachers in order to ensure a smooth transition.

All students in VPK Programs were given the Kindergarten Readiness Assessment. Areas assessed include positive self image, language & literacy skills, and social, emotional & cognitive development. Data is used to plan instruction and determine need for interventions. Core academic and behavioral instruction is based on data and includes social skills instruction. The Kindergarten Readiness Assessment will be re-administered at the end of the year.

\*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

Leisure City K-8 Center believes that learning increases when teachers collaborate in the instructional process. The plan of action in order to teach reading across the curriculum is as follows:

- · Professional Development with the secondary reading coach
- · Study Groups across the content areas
- · Collaborative planning sessions
- Small Group Discussions

Implementation of the 6 Traits of Reading

\*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u>

### PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

### **Reading Goals**

	on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and o	define areas in need		
readi	CAT2.0: Students scoringing. ing Goal #1a:	g at Achievement Level 3	The results of t 21% of student	The results of the 2012 FCAT Reading Test indicate that 21% of students are at proficiency level 3. Our goal is to increase Level 3 proficiency by 7 percentage points.			
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	Level of Performance:			
21% (155)			28% (211)				
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	The area of deficiency as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT Reading Test was Category 2-Reading Application.  The barrier is the students' inability to determine author's perspective due to lack of inferring skills.	Expose students to exemplar texts and provide opportunities for them to analyze a variety of text, including chapter books, at various points in order to determine the author's perspective.	Administrators Literacy Leadership Team Reading Coach		Formative: Student scores on monthly assessments and District Interim Assessments Summative: Data reports from the FCAT 2.0 2013 Reading Test.		
Based of imp	on the analysis of studen or overment for the following	t achievement data, and re	eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and c	define areas in need		
1 h F	lorido Altornato Assassa	aont:					

of improvement for the following group:	chec to Guiding Educations , identify and define dreas in freed
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading. Reading Goal #1b:	
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
Problem-Solving Process to I	ncrease Student Achievement

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position Responsible for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool				
No Data Submitted								

	d on the analysis of studen provement for the following		efere	ence to "Guiding	Questions", identify and o	define areas in need	
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in reading.  Reading Goal #2a:				The results of the 2012 FCAT Reading Test indicate that 14% of students are at proficiency level 4 and 5. Our goal is to increase Level 4 and 5 proficiency by 3 percentage point.			
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:		2013 Expected	Level of Performance:		
14% (104)				17% (128)			
Problem-Solving Process to I				ncrease Student Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy		Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	The area of deficiency as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT Reading Test was found in Reporting Category 4 – Informational Text & Research Process.  The barrier is the lack of practice identifying validity and reliability of information within and across text.	Utilize real-world documents such as brochures, articles and websites to recognize the characteristics of reliable and valid information.  Design lessons that include brain research instructional strategies in order to meet students' various learning styles.	Lite Tea Rea	eracy Leadership am ading Coach	weekly reading	Formative: Student scores on monthly assessments and District Interim Assessments Summative: Data reports from the FCAT 2.0 2013 Reading Test.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in reading. Reading Goal #2b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible Evaluation Tool Effectiveness of for Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted

of imp	of improvement for the following group:								
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading.  Reading Goal #3a:				g	The results of the 2012 FCAT Reading Test indicate that 65% of students made learning gains. Our goal is to increase student learning gains by 5 percentage points.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:					2013 Exped	cted	Level of Performar	nce:	
65% (365)					70% (393)				
		Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to I	ncrease Stu	den	t Achievement		
	Anticipated Barr	ier	Strategy	R	Person or Position esponsible f Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy		Evaluation Tool
1	The area of deficient noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT Reading Test of found in Reporting Category 2 – Reading Application.  The barrier is the student's inability to identify theme in rigotext.	e was g prous	Utilize exemplar text in order to model identifying the message or life lesson in a text. Allow students opportunities to practice identifying topics and themes within and across text.  Utilize instructional strategies such as reciprocal teaching, opinion proofs, notetaking skills, summarizing skills, and the metacognition process.	Lite Tea			Administer and score weekly reading benchmark assessment the assessment data more to monitor students' progress and adjust instruction as needed. The Administrator will conduct quarterly day chats to review and discuss data and mal recommendations based on areas in need of improvement	ents. and nthly d. I ata	Formative: Student scores on monthly assessments and District Interim Assessments Summative: Data reports from the FCAT 2.0 2013 Reading Test.
	on the analysis of st		: achievement data, and r group:	efer	ence to "Guid	ding	Questions", identify a	and d	lefine areas in need
3b. FI Perce readi	3b. Florida Alternate Assessment:  Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.  Reading Goal #3b:								
2012	Current Level of Pe	rform	nance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
		Pro	oblem-Solving Process	to I	ncrease Stu	den	t Achievement		
Antic	ipated Barrier	Strat	egy F	Posit Resp or	onsible [	Dete Effe	ess Used to ermine ctiveness of tegy	Evalı	uation Tool

No Data Submitted

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need

ı	on the analysis of student provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and o	define areas in need	
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.  Reading Goal #4:			64% of student	The results of the 2012 FCAT Reading Test indicate that 64% of students in the lowest 25% made learning gains. Our goal is to increase student learning gains by 5 percentage points.		
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
64% (101)			69% (109)			
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	FCAT Reading Test was found in Reporting Category 1 – Vocabulary.	Program in order to provide students with skills in understanding connotative language as it relates to vocabulary and provide opportunities to practice returning to the text to verify	Administrators Literacy Leadership Team Reading Coach	Administer and score bi- weekly reading benchmark assessments.  The Reading Coach and teachers will review assessment data monthly to monitor students' progress and adjust instruction as needed.  The Administrator will conduct quarterly data chats to review and discuss data and make recommendations based on areas in need of improvement  Utilize Successmaker Reports to monitor progress and adjust as needed.	Formative: Student scores on monthly assessments and District Interim Assessments Summative: Data reports from the FCAT 2.0 2013Reading Test.	

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target							
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.			Reading Goal #  In six years the school will improve the reading performance from 34% scoring at level 3 or above to 67%.  5A:				
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
	40	45	51	56	62		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in of improvement for the following subgroup:				
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading.  Reading Goal #5B:	The results of the 2012 FCAT Reading Test indicate that 38% of White students, 37% of Black students and 35% of Hispanic students scored at proficiency and above. The goal is to increase these numbers by 8 percentage points for White students, 2 percentage points for Black students, and 11 percentage points for Hispanic students.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
White: 38% (6)	White: 56% (10)			

Black: 37% (50) Black: 39% (53)

Hispanic: 35% (207) Hispanic: 46% (272)

#### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	The area of deficiency as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT Reading Test for both Black and Hispanic students was found in Reporting Category 2 – Reading Application.  The barrier is the students' inability to identify both stated and implied main idea in a rigorous text.	Expose students to a variety of text that includes both stated and implied main idea. Utilize FCAT Explorer and Riverdeep to provide practice identifying main idea.	Administrators Literacy Leadership Team Reading Coach	weekly reading benchmark assessments. The Reading Coach and teachers will review assessment data monthly to monitor students' progress and adjust	Formative: Student scores on monthly assessments and District Interim Assessments  Summative: Data reports from the FCAT 2.0 2013 Reading Test.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:						
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading.  Reading Goal #5C:	25% of ELL stud	The results of the 2012 FCAT Reading Test indicate that 25% of ELL students scored at proficiency and above. The goal is to increase these numbers by 12 percentage points.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
25% (39)	37% (57)					
Problem-Solving Process	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
	Person or	Process Used to				

#### Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Strategy Monitoring Administer and score bi-The area of deficiency as Instruct students in the Administrators Formative: noted on the 2012 use of concept maps to weekly reading Student scores on monthly administration of the help build their general Literacy Leadership benchmark assessments. FCAT Reading Test was knowledge of word assessments and found in Reporting The Reading Coach and District Interim meanings and Category 1- Vocabulary. relationships. Reading Coach teachers will review Assessments assessment data monthly The barrier is the lack of Precise instruction should to monitor students' Summative: Data students' ability to be given on the meaning reports from the progress and adjust identify root words and of words, phrases and instruction as needed. FCAT 2.0 2013 affixes. expressions paying Reading Test. The Administrator will special attention to common Greek and Latin conduct quarterly data roots and affixes to help chats to review and students decipher discuss data and make unfamiliar words. recommendations based on areas in need of

Include the Flocabulary program as a daily lesson starter.	improvement.	
--	--------------	--

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making The results of the 2012 FCAT Reading Test indicate that satisfactory progress in reading. 12% of SWD students scored at proficiency and above. The goal is to increase this number by 14 percentage points. Reading Goal #5D: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 12% 26% (15)(33)Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy The area of deficiency as Utilize the Successmaker Administrators Administer and score bi-Formative: noted on the 2012 Program and Accelerated weekly reading Student scores on administration of the Literacy Leadership benchmark assessments. Reader in order to monthly FCAT Reading Test was provide students with Team assessments and found in Reporting skills in understanding The Reading Coach and District Interim Category 1- Vocabulary. connotative language as Reading Coach teachers will review Assessments it relates to vocabulary assessment data monthly The barrier is the lack of and provide opportunities to monitor students' Summative: Data ability to extract meaning to practice returning to progress and adjust reports from the of words from context FCAT 2.0 2013 the text to verify instruction as needed. clues. answers. Reading Test. The Administrator will conduct quarterly data chats to review and discuss data and make recommendations based on areas in need of improvement Utilize Successmaker Reports to monitor progress and adjust as

1	on the analysis of student provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and o	define areas in need	
Decilie v Cool //FF			The results of t 36% of Econom proficiency and	The results of the 2012 FCAT Reading Test indicate that 36% of Economically Disadvantaged students scored at proficiency and above. The goal is to increase this number by 8 percentage points.		
			2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
36% (266)			44% (325)			
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achieve				nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	The area of deficiency as	Utilize chapter books in	Administrators	Administer and score bi-	Formative:	

needed

as well as non-fiction	order to expose students to more rigorous texts.  Expose students to poetry that use a wide variety of figurative language and descriptive vocabulary that define mood and provide imagery.  Include real-world texts in lessons to allow students to identify and utilize text features to locate, interpret, and organize information.  Utilize a curriculum focus calendar in order to differentiate instruction.		The Reading Coach and teachers will review assessment data monthly to monitor students' progress and adjust	Student scores on monthly assessments and District Interim Assessments  Summative: Data reports from the FCAT 2.0 2013 Reading Test.
------------------------	--	--	---	--

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Worksheets Don't Grow Dendrites	Grades 2 - 8	Reading Coach	Grades 2 – 8 Content Area Teachers	August 16, 2012	Completion of Professional Development Debrief Form	Assistant Principal Reading Coach
Rigorous Reading Instruction	Grades K - 8	Curriculum Team	Grades K – 8 Content Area Teachers	August 16, 2012	Completion of Professional Development Debriefing Form	Assistant Principal
Data Analysis	Grades K - 8	Reading Coach	Grades K – 8 Content Area Teachers	August 17, 2012	Completion of Professional Development Debriefing Form	Assistant Principal
SuccessMaker	Grades K - 8	SuccessMaker Representative	Grades K – 8 Content Area Teachers	September 26, 2012	Completion of Professional Development Debriefing Form	Assistant Principal
How to Use Your Focus Calendar	Grades 1-8	Curriculum Team	Grades 1 – 8 Content Area Teachers	August 17, 2012	Completion of Professional Development Debriefing Form	Assistant Principal
Riverdeep	Grades 3 -8	Curriculum Team	Grades 3 – 8 Content Area Teachers	August 29, 2012	Completion of Professional Development Debriefing Form	Assistant Principal

### Reading Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Mater	ial(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Design lessons that include brain research instructional strategies in order to meet students' various learning styles.	Notebooks	Discretionary Fund	\$1,000.00

Utilize chapter books in order to expose students to more rigorous texts.	Chapter Books	Title I	\$5,000.00
			Subtotal: \$6,000.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Design lessons that include brain research instructional strategies in order to meet students' various learning styles.	Teacher Training	Discretionary Fund	\$4,000.00
		•	Subtotal: \$4,000.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$10,000.00

End of Reading Goals

### Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students. 1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking. The results of the 2012 CELLA indicate that 31% of ELL students scored at the proficiency level in the CELLA Goal #1: Listening/Speaking section of the test. 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking: 31%(105) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy The area of concern as Use simple and direct Administrators Administer and conduct Summative: Data noted on the 2012 language when informal oral Reports from the spring administration of conducting lessons. Literacy assessments to monitor 2013 CELLA administration. the Florida Leadership Team progress and adjust Comprehensive English Structure conversations instruction as needed. Language Learning around books and Reading Coach subjects that build Assessment was found in third grade where vocabulary. only 18% of the students scored at the Incorporate Teen proficient level. Biz/Kid Biz interactive technology to enhance The barrier is the and differentiate students' limited level instruction. of English.

	udents scoring proficie A Goal #2:	students score	The results of the 2012 CELLA indicate that 20% of ELL students scored at the proficiency level in the Reading section of the test.		
2012	? Current Percent of Stu	idents Proficient in read	ding:		
20%(	(66)				
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	The area of concern as noted on the 2012 spring administration of the Florida Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment was found in seventh grade where 0% of the students scored at the proficient level. The barrier is the lack of exposure to academic English language.	developing comprehension questions helping students to identify different question types and teaching text organization.	Administrators Literacy Leadership Team Reading Coach	Administer and score monthly reading benchmark assessments.	Formative: Student scores on monthly assessments and District Interim Assessments  Summative: Data Reports from the 2013 CELLA administration.
Stude	ents write in English at gr	ade level in a manner sin	nilar to non-ELL st	udents.	
3. St	udents scoring proficie A Goal #3:		The results of	the 2012 CELLA indicated at the proficiency level	

Stude	ents write in English at gr	ade level in a manner sin	nilar to non-ELL st	udents.	
3. Students scoring proficient in writing. CELLA Goal #3:				the 2012 CELLA indicated at the proficiency levertest.	
2012	? Current Percent of Stu	dents Proficient in writ	ing:		
20%(		olem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	The area of concern as noted on the 2012 spring administration of the Florida Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment was found in third grade where only 4% of the students scored at the proficient level.  The barrier is the students' lack of familiarity with the writing process and	utilize the writing process (planning, drafting, revising, editing and publishing) according to each child's individual writing level as well as sharing and responding to	Administrators Literacy Leadership Team Reading Coach	Administer and score monthly writing assessments.	Formative: Student scores on monthly writing assessments and District Writing pre and post tests.  Summative: Data Reports from the 2013 CELLA administration.

their limited English			
vocabulary.			

### CELLA Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/N	Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Incorporate Teen Biz/Kid Biz interactive technology to enhance and differentiate instruction.	Teacher Training	Discretionary Fund	\$1,000.00
			Subtotal: \$1,000.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$1,000.00

End of CELLA Goals

## Elementary School Mathematics Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

ı	on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guidir	ng Questions", identify and o	define areas in need
mathematics.			The results of 26% of studer	the 2012 FCAT Mathematic ats are at proficiency level 3 3 proficiency by 8 percents	3. Our goal is to
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performance:	
26%(	195)		34%(256)		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT Mathematics Test was found in Reporting Category – Geometry & Measurement.  appropriate activities that promote the use of geometric knowledge and spatial reasoning to develop foundations for understanding perimeter, area, volume, and		Administrators  Math Leader	Administer and score /monthly mathematics assessments and district interim assessments to monitor progress and adjust focus as needed.	Formative: District Interim and Monthly Math Assessments Summative: Data Reports from the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Test
	on the analysis of studen or overment for the following		eference to "Guidir	ng Questions", identify and (	define areas in need
Stude	lorida Alternate Assessments scoring at Levels 4,		S.		

Based on the analysis of soft improvement for the following the followin	ence to "Gu	uiding Questions", identif	y and define areas in need		
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1b:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Perform	ance:
	Problem-Solving Prod	cess to Ir	ncrease St	udent Achievement	
for			Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
		No Data S	Submitted		

	on the analysis of stud provement for the follow	dent achievement data, and ving group:	refer	ence to "Gui	iding	Questions", identify a	and c	define areas in need
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #2a:			The results of the 2012 FCAT Mathematics Test indicate that 15% of students are at proficiency levels 4 and 5. Our goal is to increase this number by 4 percentage point.					
2012	Current Level of Perfo	ormance:		2013 Expe	cted	Level of Performan	ice:	
15%(114)			19%(143)					
		Problem-Solving Process	s to I	ncrease Stu	uden	t Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	R	Person or Position esponsible Monitoring	for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy		Evaluation Tool
1	The area of deficiency noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT Mathematics Tes was found in Reporting Category – Geometry & Measurement.  The barrier is lack of practice when applying appropriate formulas to solve real-world problems.	mathematics to provide the necessary meaning for children to successfully make connections with real-world situations.  Implement math journals written by students	Ma S th	ministrators th Leader		Administer and score /monthly mathematics assessments and distinterim assessments monitor progress and adjust focus as need	to	Formative: District Interim and Monthly Math Assessments Summative: Data Reports from the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Test
	on the analysis of stud	lent achievement data, and	refer	ence to "Gui	iding	Questions", identify a	and c	define areas in need
2b. Fl Stude math	lorida Alternate Asses		n					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:					
		Problem-Solving Process	s to I	ncrease Stu	uden	t Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Resp			onsible	Dete Effe	ess Used to ermine ctiveness of tegy	Eval	uation Tool	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

No Data Submitted

_	in mathematics.		62% of studen	the 2012 FCAT Mathematic ts made learning gains. Our ng gains by 5 percentage po	goal is to increase		
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expecte	d Level of Performance:			
62%(3	348)		67%(376)				
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
noted on the 2012 mathematical exploration			Administrators Math Leader	Administer and score /monthly mathematics assessments and district interim assessments to monitor progress and adjust focus as needed.	Formative: District Interim and Monthly Math Assessments Summative: Data Reports from the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Test		
	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need						
ot imb	rovement for the following	group:					

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and refer of improvement for the following group:				tify and define areas in need	
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.						
Mathematics Goal #3b:						
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Perfor	mance:	
	Problem-Solvin	g Process to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data			Submitted			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.

The results of the 2012 FCAT Mathematics Test indicate that 65% of students in the lowest 25% made learning gains. Our goal is to increase student learning gains by 5 percentage points.

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

65%(99)		70%(107)		
	Problem-Solving Process	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
The area of deficiency noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT Mathematics Tes was found in Reporting Category – Base Ten & Fractions.  The barrier is the lack of basic mathematical skill that are necessary for success.	activities to use technology such as Successmaker, Riverdeep, and the National Library of Virtual Manipulatives that include visual stimuli to develop conceptual		Review Successmaker reports to ensure that students are making adequate progress.  Administer and score /monthly mathematics assessments and district interim assessments to monitor progress and adjust focus as needed.	Formative: District Interim and Monthly Math Assessments Summative: Data Reports from the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Test

Based on Amb	Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target									
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.					improve the math rabove to 737%.	performance 🔺				
Baseline data 2011-2012 2012-2013			2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017				
	50	54	59	63	68					

	on the analysis of stude provement for the followin		ent data, and re	eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and	define areas in need
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #5B:			that31% of Whit students scored increase these r students, 9 perc	The results of the 2012 FCAT Mathematics Test indicate that31% of White, 33% Black students and 45% of Hispanic students scored at proficiency and above. The goal is to increase these numbers by 21 percentage points for White students, 9 percentage points for Black students, and 12 percentage points for Hispanic students.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
White: 31% (5) Black: 33% (45) Hispanic: 45% (266)				White: 52% (9) Black: 42% (57) Hispanic: 57% (		
Problem-Solving Process to I				o Increase Studen	t Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy				Person or Position Responsible for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool

The area of deficiency as Engage students in noted on the 2012 activities to use

administration of the

FCAT Mathematics Test

was found in Reporting

technology such as Successmaker,

Riverdeep, and the

Monitoring

Administrators

Math Leader

Strategy

assessments and district | Monthly Math

Formative: District

Interim and

Assessments

Administer and score

monthly mathematics

interim assessments to

monitor progress and

1	Fractions for both Black and Hispanic students.	include visual stimuli to develop conceptual understanding of	adjust focus as neede	d. Summative: Data Reports from the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Test
	and decimals.	Include On-Target and the Flocabulary program as daily lesson starters.		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making The results of the 2012 FCAT Mathematics Test indicate that 41% of ELL students scored at proficiency and above. The satisfactory progress in mathematics. goal is to increase these numbers by 13 percentage points. Mathematics Goal #5C: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 41%(63) 54%(83) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Process Used to Person or Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Strategy Monitoring The area of deficiency as Utilize interactive word Administrators Administer and score Formative: District noted on the 2012 Interim and walls created by the monthly mathematics administration of the teacher and students in Math Leader assessments and district Monthly Math FCAT Mathematics Test conjunction with each interim assessments to Assessments was found in Reporting lesson used as a lead-in, monitor progress and Summative: Data Category - Base Ten & guided practice, or adjust focus as needed. Fractions. closure of a lesson. Reports from the 2013 FCAT 2.0 The barrier is the Include On-Target and Mathematics Test students' lack of ability the Flocabulary program to apply complex math as daily lesson starters. concepts and knowledge of basic skills to realworld situations.

	d on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and o	define areas in need	
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #5D:			29% of SWD st	The results of the 2012 FCAT Mathematics Test indicate that 29% of SWD students scored at proficiency and above. The goal is to increase this number by 7 percentage points.		
2012	2012 Current Level of Performance:			d Level of Performance:		
29%(	29%(37)			36%(46)		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	The area of deficiency as noted on the 2012 administration of the	hands-on experiences to	Administrators  Math Leader	Administer and score monthly mathematics assessments and district	Formative: District Interim and Monthly Math	

1	1 0	learning and understanding, thereby increasing retention capacity by connecting tangible objects to	interim assessments to monitor progress and adjust focus as needed.	Assessments  Summative: Data Reports from the 2013 FCAT 2.0
	The barrier is that students have difficulty retaining basic mathematical skills that are needed for higher achievement level.	abstract mathematical concepts.		Mathematics Test

	on the analysis of studen provement for the following	t achievement data, and re	eference to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and o	define areas in need	
satist	5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #5E:			The results of the 2012 FCAT Mathematics Test indicate that 43% of Economically Disadvantaged students scored at proficiency and above. The goal is to increase this number by 11 percentage points.		
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
43%(317)			54%(399)			
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	The area of deficiency as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT Mathematics Test was found in Reporting Category – Base Ten & Fractions.  The barrier is the students' lack of ability to apply complex math concepts and knowledge of basic skills to realworld situations.	Utilize daily opening routine tied in to the Curriculum Focus Calendar, including On Target and Flocabulary in order to engage the students in active learning.  Utilize the metacognition process as an instructional strategy in order to set and achieve math learning goals.  Develop departmental learning teams to facilitate the infusion of a problem-solving protocol into daily instruction to equip students with strategies to solve real-world application-based problems.		Administer and score monthly mathematics assessments and district interim assessments to monitor progress and adjust focus as needed.	Formative: District Interim and Monthly Math Assessments Summative: Data Reports from the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Test	

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

### Middle School Mathematics Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.

The results of the 2012 FCAT Mathematics Test indicate that 26% of students are at proficiency level 3. Our goal is to increase Level 3 proficiency by 8 percentage points.

Mathematics Goal #1a:

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

2012	Current Level of Perform	nance:	2013 Expected	Level of Performance:		
26%(195)			34%(256)	34%(256)		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	administration of the FCAT Mathematics Test was found in Reporting Category – Geometry & Measurement.  The barrier is the students' lack of geometric knowledge and	appropriate activities that promote the use of geometric knowledge and spatial reasoning to develop foundations for understanding perimeter, area, volume, and surface areas. These activities should include	Administrators Math Leader	Administer and score monthly mathematics assessments and district interim assessments to monitor progress and adjust focus as needed.	Formative: District Interim and Monthly Math Assessments Summative: Data Reports from the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Test	
	on the analysis of student		eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and o	define areas in need	

Based on the analysis of of improvement for the fo	student achievement data, an ollowing group:	nd refere	ence to "Gu	uiding Questions", identif	y and define areas in need
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1b:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Perform	ance:
	Problem-Solving Proce	ss to Ir	ncrease St	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No	o Data S	Submitted		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in mathematics.

Based on the analysis of student achievement for the following group:

The results of the 2012 FCAT Mathematics Test indicate that 15% of students are at proficiency levels 4 and 5. Our goal is to increase this number by 4 percentage point.

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

15%
114)

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	The area of deficiency as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT Mathematics Test was found in Reporting Category – Geometry & Measurement.  The barrier is lack of practice when applying appropriate formulas to solve real-world problems.	mathematics to provide		Administer and score monthly mathematics assessments and district interim assessments to monitor progress and adjust focus as needed.	Formative: District Interim and Monthly Math Assessments Summative: Data Reports from the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Test

Based on the analysis of of improvement for the fo		data, and refer	ence to "G	uiding Questions", ident	ify and define areas in need	
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in mathematics.						
Mathematics Goal #2b:						
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solvin	g Process to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or ion onsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
		No Data	Submitted			
		·	·	·		

	d on the analysis of studen provement for the following		efer	ence to "Guiding	Questions", identify and	define areas in need
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #3a:			The results of the 2012 FCAT Mathematics Test indicate tha 62% of students made learning gains. Our goal is to increase student learning gains by 5 percentage points.			
liviatii	ematics doar # 5a.			student learning gains by 5 percentage points.		
2012	2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
62%(348)				67%(376)		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to I r	ncrease Studen	t Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	R	Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

	The area of deficiency as				Formative: District
	l e	mathematical exploration			Interim and
	administration of the	and the development of	Math Leader	assessments and district	Monthly Math
	FCAT Mathematics Test	student understanding of		interim assessments to	Assessments
	was found in Reporting	geometric and		monitor progress and	
	Category – Geometry &	measurement concepts		adjust focus as needed.	Summative: Data
1	Measurement.	by supporting the use of			Reports from the
'		manipulatives, including			2013 FCAT 2.0
	The barrier is lack of	Gizmos, NCTM's			Mathematics Test
	practice when applying	Illuminations, and the			
	appropriate formulas to	National Library of Virtual			
	solve real-world	Manipulatives, and			
	problems.	engaging opportunities			
		for practice.			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible **Evaluation Tool** Effectiveness of for Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% The results of the 2012 FCAT Mathematics Test indicate that making learning gains in mathematics. 65% of students in the lowest 25% made learning gains. Our goal is to increase student learning gains by 5 percentage Mathematics Goal #4: points. 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 65% (99) 70%(107) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy The area of deficiency as Provide a variety of Administrators Administer and score Formative: District noted on the 2012 models such as pattern monthly mathematics Interim and administration of the blocks, rods, and fraction Math Leader assessments and district Monthly Math FCAT Mathematics Test bars in order to develop interim assessments to Assessments was found in Reporting an understanding of and monitor progress and Category - Base Ten & Summative: Data fluency with adjust focus as needed. Fractions. multiplication and division Reports from the

1	concepts and knowledge of basic skills to real-world situations.	technology such as the National Library of Virtual Manipulatives, Gizmos, and NCTM's Illuminations that include visual stimuli to develop conceptual understanding of	2013 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Tes
		fractions.	

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target Middle School Mathematics Goal # 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual In six years the school will improve the math performance . Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year from 45% scoring at level 3 or above to 737%. school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. 5A: Baseline data 2011-2012 2012-2013 2013-2014 2014-2015 2015-2016 2016-2017 2010-2011 50 59 63 68 54

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: The results of the 2012 FCAT Mathematics Test indicate that 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, 31% of White, 33% Black students and 45% of Hispanic Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making students scored at proficiency and above. The goal is to satisfactory progress in mathematics. increase these numbers by 21 percentage points for White students, 9 percentage points for Black students, and 12 Mathematics Goal #5B: percentage points for Hispanic students. 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: White: 31% (5) White: 52% (9) Black: 33% (45) Black: 42% (57) Hispanic: 45% (266) Hispanic: 57% (337)

#### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	administration of the FCAT Mathematics Test was found in Reporting Category – Geometry and Measurement for both Black and Hispanic students.	opportunities to investigate geometric properties.	Administrators Math Leader	Administer and score monthly mathematics assessments and district interim assessments to monitor progress and adjust focus as needed.	Formative: District Interim and Monthly Math Assessments Summative: Data Reports from the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Test

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

satisfactory progress in mathematics.			41% of ELL stu	The results of the 2012 FCAT Mathematics Test indicate that 41% of ELL students scored at proficiency and above. The goal is to increase these numbers by 13 percentage points.			
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:			
41%(6	53)		54%(83)				
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	The area of deficiency as Infuse the problem- Adr solving protocol into daily		Administrators  Math Leader	Administer and score monthly mathematics assessments and district interim assessments to monitor progress and adjust focus as needed.	Formative: District Interim and Monthly Math Assessments Summative: Data Reports from the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Test		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:								
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #5D:			29% of SWD st	The results of the 2012 FCAT Mathematics Test indicate that 29% of SWD students scored at proficiency and above. The goal is to increase this number by 7 percentage points.				
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:				
29%(37)			36%(46)					
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement				
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	noted on the 2012 hands-on experiences to		Administrators  Math Leader	Administer and score monthly mathematics assessments and district interim assessments to monitor progress and adjust focus as needed.	Formative: District Interim and Monthly Math Assessments Summative: Data Reports from the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Test			

	d on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and o	define areas in need	
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #5E:		43% of Econom proficiency and	The results of the 2012 FCAT Mathematics Test indicate tha 43% of Economically Disadvantaged students scored at proficiency and above. The goal is to increase this number by 11 percentage points.			
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
43%(317)			54%(399)	54%(399)		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	The area of deficiency as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT Mathematics Test was found in Reporting Category – Geometry & Measurement.  The barrier is the students' lack of ability to apply the knowledge of geometric and measurement formulas to solve real-world application-based	Utilize the metacognition process as an instructional strategy in order to set and achieve math learning goals.  Implement math journals written by students reflecting about the math they've learned.	Administrators  Math Leader	Administer and score monthly mathematics assessments and district interim assessments to monitor progress and adjust focus as needed.	Formative: District Interim and Monthly Math Assessments Summative: Data Reports from the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Test	

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

### Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

was found in Reporting

problems.

achieve math learning

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra. The results of the 2012 End-of-Course Algebra 1 Test indicate that 43% of students are at proficiency level 3. Our Algebra Goal #1: goal is to maintain the same level of proficiency in 2013. 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 43%(9) 43%(9) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Process Used to Person or Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy The area of deficiency as Implement the Administrators Administer and score Formative: District noted on the 2012 monthly mathematics Interim and metacognition process as an instructional strategy administration of the Math Leader assessments and district Monthly Math FCAT Mathematics Test in order to set and interim assessments to Assessments

monitor progress and

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	Category - Polynomials.	goals.	adjust focus as needed.	
1				Reports from the
	The barrier is the	Utilize brain research		2013 End-of-
	students' inability to	instructional strategies to		Course Algebra
	apply the use of a	provide inductive		Assessment.
	system of equations in	reasoning strategies that		
	the real world.	include discovery learning		
		activities.		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 The results of the 2012 End-of-Course Algebra 1 Test and 5 in Algebra. indicate that 52% of students are at proficiency levels 4 and 5. Our goal is to maintain the same level of proficiency in Algebra Goal #2: 2013. 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 52%(11) 52%(11) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Process Used to Person or Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy The area of deficiency as Implement the Administrators Formative: District Administer and score noted on the 2012 monthly mathematics metacognition process as Interim and administration of the an instructional strategy | Math Leader assessments and district Monthly Math FCAT Mathematics Test in order to set and interim assessments to Assessments achieve math learning monitor progress and was found in Reporting Category – Rationals, goals. adjust focus as needed. Summative: Data Radicals, Quadratics, and Reports from the Discrete Mathematics. 2013 End-of-Honor student learning styles through an Course Algebra The barrier is the instructional model such Assessment. students' lack of a as brain research that variety of problemembraces diversity and solving strategies to and the brain's natural make sense of abstract learning cycle. problems. Assist students as they make sense of problems and persevere in solving them.

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target										
3A. Ambitious Measurable Ok school will red by 50%.	ojectives (AMO	s). In six year								
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017				

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.

Algebra Goal #3B:							
2012 Current Level of F	Performance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
	Problem-Solving F	Process to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement			
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Perso Posit Resp for Moni	ion Determine Effectiveness of		Evaluation Tool		
		No Data S	Submitted				
Based on the analysis of of improvement for the fo		ta, and refer	ence to "G	uiding Questions", identi	fy and define areas in need		
3C. English Language L satisfactory progress ir		ing					
Algebra Goal #3C:							
2012 Current Level of F	Performance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
	Problem-Solving F	Process to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement			
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	II)etermine		Evaluation Tool		
		<u> </u>	Submitted				
Based on the analysis of of improvement for the fo		ta, and refer	ence to "G	uiding Questions", identi	fy and define areas in need		
3D. Students with Disak satisfactory progress ir		ng					
Algebra Goal #3D:							
2012 Current Level of F	Performance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
	Problem-Solving F	Process to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement			
		Perso		Process Used to			
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for	ion onsible toring	Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

Based on the analysis o of improvement for the		t data, and refer	rence to "G	Suiding Questions", iden	tify and define areas in need
3E. Economically Disa satisfactory progress	0	s not making			
Algebra Goal #3E:					
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Exp	pected Level of Perform	mance:
	Problem-Solvi	ng Process to I	ncrease S	itudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or tion ponsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
		No Data	Submitted		

End of Algebra EOC Goals

### Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

9	ased on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas n need of improvement for the following group:					
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry.						
Geometry Goal #1:						
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Exp	ected Level of Perforr	nance:	
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Position Responsible Formula (Control of the Control		on or tion oonsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Subr						

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels4 and 5 in Geometry.

Geometry Goal #2:							
2012 Current Level	of Performa	nce:		2013 Exp	ected	Level of Perforn	nance:
	Problem	n-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease S	tudent	t Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy		Positi Resp for	on or tion ponsible itoring	ion Determine Effectiveness of Strategy		
	-	No	Data	Submitted	•		•
Based on Ambitious b Target	out Achievable	e Annual Measurat	ole Ob	jectives (A	MOs),	AMO-2, Reading a	and Math Performance
3A. Ambitious but Ac Annual Measurable O (AMOs). In six year s reduce their achiever 50%.	bjectives chool will	Geometry Goal #					_
Baseline data 2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014		2014-20	15	2015-2016	2016-2017
Based on the analysis in need of improveme			and r	eference to	Guid "Guid	ing Questions", ic	dentify and define areas
3B. Student subgro Hispanic, Asian, Am satisfactory progre	erican India	n) not making	k,				
Geometry Goal #3B	3:						
2012 Current Level	of Performa	nce:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
	Droblom	Solving Proces	c to l	noroaco S	tudoni	Achievement	
	Problem	n-Solving Proces			tudem	Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Posi for			on or tion ponsible itoring	Deter	iveness of	Evaluation Tool	
	•	No		Submitted	•		
<u> </u>							
Based on the analysis in need of improveme			and r	reference to	"Guid	ing Questions", ic	dentify and define areas
3C. English Languaç satisfactory progre			9				
Geometry Goal #3C:							

2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
	Problem-Solving Proces	es to I	perease S	tudent Achievement	
	Problem-Solving Proces	35 10 1	TICLEASE 3	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No	_	Submitted		•
	f student achievement data, for the following subgroup:	, and r	eference to	כי "Guiding Questions", ic	dentify and define areas
satisfactory progress	abilities (SWD) not making in Geometry.	3		-	-
Geometry Goal #3D:					
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Exp	pected Level of Perforn	nance:
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No	_	Submitted		1
	f student achievement data, for the following subgroup:	, and r	eference to	co "Guiding Questions", ic	dentify and define areas
3E. Economically Disac making satisfactory pr	dvantaged students not rogress in Geometry.				
Geometry Goal #3E:					
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
	T	Pers	on or	T	1
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No	) Data	Submitted		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Using Manipulatives	Grades 1 -8	Math Leader	Grades 1 – 8 Content Area Teachers	September 17, 2012	Completion of Professional Development Debriefing Form	Assistant Principal
Data Analysis	Grades K - 8	Math Leader	Grades K – 8 Content Area Teachers	August 17, 2012	Completion of Professional Development Debriefing Form	Assistant Principal
Successmaker	Grades K - 8	Successmaker Representative	Grades K – 8 Content Area Teachers	September 26, 2012	Completion of Professional Development Debriefing Form	Assistant Principal
On Target Training	Grades 2 - 5	On Target Representative	Grades 2 – 5 Content Area Teachers	October 10, 2012	Completion of Professional Development Debriefing Form	Assistant Principal
Metacognition Process	Grades 1-8	Math Leader	Grades 1-8 Content Area Teachers	August 29, 2012	Completion of Professional Development Debriefing Form	Assistant Principal

### Mathematics Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Mate	erial(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Include On-Target as daily lesson starter.	On Target!	Title I	\$3,600.00
Implement the metacognition process as an instructional strategy in order to set and achieve math learning goals.	Metacognition training materials	Discretionary Funds	\$1,000.00
			Subtotal: \$4,600.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$4,600.00

# Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

vviie	Trusing percentages, inclu	ide the namber of students	5 1116	е регсептауе	reρ	Teserits (e.g., 70% (3	3)).	
		dent achievement data, at for the following group		reference t	0 "(	Guiding Questions",	iden	itify and define
Leve	CAT2.0: Students scor I 3 in science. nce Goal #1a:	ing at Achievement		The results of the 2012 FCAT Science Test indicate that 19% of students scored at proficiency level 3. The goal is to increase this number by 6 percentage points.				
2012	Current Level of Perfo	ormance:		2013 Expe	cte	ed Level of Perform	nanc	e:
19% (39)				25% (50)				
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	o I r	ncrease Stu	ude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy		Person or Position esponsible f Monitoring	for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness o Strategy		Evaluation Tool
1	as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT Science Test	Increase opportunities for authentic hands-on science experiences with emphasis on observations and the development of a testable hypothesis. Students will write about these experiences in their essential lab log.  Utilize daily opening routines tied in to the Curriculum Focus Calendar, including Flocabulary, in order to engage the students in active learning.	Sci	ministrators ience Leade	r	Administer and scor monthly science assessments and district interim assessments to monitor progress ar adjust focus as nee	nd eded	1A.1. Formative: District Interim and Monthly Science Assessments and Lab Logs Summative: Data Reports from the 2013 FCAT2.0 Science Test
		dent achievement data, a		reference to	0 "(		iden	tify and define
1b. F Stud	lorida Alternate Asses	t for the following group: ssment: 4, 5, and 6 in science.						
2012 Current Level of Performance:				2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	:o Ir	ncrease Stu	ude	ent Achievement		
				on or tion	Proc	cess Used to		

Monitoring No Data Submitted

for

Responsible

Anticipated Barrier

Strategy

Determine

Strategy

Effectiveness of

Evaluation Tool

		dent achievement data, nt for the following grou		I reference to	"Guiding Questions"	, ider	ntify and define
Achi	CAT 2.0: Students sco evement Level 4 in sc nce Goal #2a:	_		that 7% of s	of the 2012 FCAT Sci students achieved FC to increase this numb	AT Le	evels 4 and 5.
2012	? Current Level of Peri	formance:		2013 Exped	cted Level of Perform	mano	ce:
3% (7)				6% (12)			
	Prol	blem-Solving Process	to I	ncrease Stu	dent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Re	Person or Position esponsible fo Monitoring	Process Used Determine Effectiveness Strategy		Evaluation Tool
1	The area of deficiency as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT Science Test was found in Reporting Category 3 – Physical Science.  The barrier is the students' lack of experience in inquiry-based activities that allow for experimental design in physical science.	demonstrated as well as student-centered lab activities that apply, analyze, and explain concepts related to matter, energy, force, and motion.  Implement the metacognition process	Sc	ministrators ience Leader	Administer and sco monthly science	ınd	2A.1. Formative: District Interim and Monthly Science Assessments Summative: Data Reports from the 2013 FCAT2.0 Science Test
	9	dent achievement data, nt for the following grou		I reference to	"Guiding Questions"	, ider	ntify and define
2b. F Stud in sc	Iorida Alternate Asse		-				
2012	Current Level of Peri	formance:		2013 Exped	cted Level of Perfori	mano	ce:
	Prol	blem-Solving Process	to I	ncrease Stu	dent Achievement		
Anti	cipated Barrier Stra	ategy I	Posi	tion Donsible	rocess Used to etermine ffectiveness of	Eva	luation Tool

Strategy

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Creating Essential Lab Logs	Grades 4 - 8	Science Leader	Grades 4 – 8 Content Area Teaches	September 26, 2012	Completion of Professional Development Debriefing Form	Assistant Principal
Data Analysis	Grades K - 8	Science Leader	Grades K – 8 Content Area Teachers	August 17, 2012	Completion of Professional Development Debriefing Form	Assistant Principal
Metacognition Process	Grades 1-8	Math Leader	Grades 1-8 Content Area Teachers	August 29, 2012	Completion of Professional Development Debriefing Form	Assistant Principal

Science Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Ma	terial(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Increase opportunities for authentic hands-on science experiences with emphasis on observations and the development of a testable hypothesis. Students will write about these experiences in their essential lab log.	Lab Supplies	Principal's Discretionary Fund	\$1,000.00
		Subto	tal: \$1,000.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		S	ubtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		S	ubtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		S	ubtotal: \$0.00
		Grand To	tal: \$1,000.00

End of Science Goals

# Writing Goals

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	d on the analysis of studeed of improvement for the		nd referen	ce to "Gu	uiding Questions", identif	y and define areas	
3.0 a	CAT 2.0: Students scor nd higher in writing. ng Goal #1a:	ing at Achievement Le	indica 6. Our	The results of the 2012 FCAT Writing Assessment indicate that 60% of students are at proficiency levels 3-6. Our goal is to increase levels 3-6 proficiency by 4 percentage points.			
2012	Current Level of Perfo	rmance:	2013	Expecte	ed Level of Performance	∋:	
60% (136)			64% (145)				
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increas	se Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Perso Posi Respons Monit	tion sible for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	devices such as similes, metaphors, personifications and alliteration. Students	instruction, students will be exposed to the writing process and writing techniques that require them to use supporting details, concrete examples, statistics, comparisons and amazing facts to develop elaboration through the "Write Traits!" and "SanRon Teach me Writing "Programs. The students will maintain a writer's multi-media journal. Students will conference with teachers for capitalization, punctuation,	Administr LLT Team	ators	Administer and score monthly writing prompts and district assessments to monitor progress and adjust focus as needed	Assessments, and	
	d on the analysis of stude ed of improvement for the		nd referen	ce to "Gu	uiding Questions", identif	y and define areas	
	lorida Alternate Assess or higher in writing.	sment: Students scorin	ıg				
Writi	ng Goal #1b:						

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and r in need of improvement for the following group:	reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.	
Writing Goal #1b:	
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
Problem-Solving Process to I	ncrease Student Achievement

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	tor	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
No Data Submitted							

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
"Write Traits!" Writing Program Training	1 – 8	"Write Traits" Program Representative	Grades 1 – 8 Content Area Teachers	October 17, 2012	Completion of Professional Development Debriefing Form	Assistant Principal
"SanRon Teach Me Writing" Program Training	1 – 8	"SanRon" Representative	Grades 1 – 8 Content Area Teachers	October 24, 2012	Completion of Professional Development Debriefing Form	Assistant Principal
Data Analysis	Grades K - 8	Reading Coach	Grades K – 8 Content Area Teachers	August 17, 2012	Completion of Professional Development Debriefing Form	Assistant Principal
Multi-media Journal Training	1 – 8	Curriculum Leadership Team	Grades 1 – 8 Content Area Teachers	September 17, 2012	Completion of Professional Development Debriefing Form	Assistant Principal

### Writing Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
During writing instruction, students will be exposed to the writing process and writing techniques that require them to use supporting details, concrete examples, statistics, comparisons and amazing facts to develop elaboration through the "Write Traits!" and "SanRon Teach me Writing " Programs. The students will maintain a writer's multi-media journal.	"SanRon Teach Me Writing" Notebooks	School Fund	\$5,000.00
During writing instruction, students will be exposed to the writing process and writing techniques that require them to use supporting details, concrete examples, statistics, comparisons and amazing facts to develop elaboration through the "Write Traits!" and "SanRon Teach me Writing " Programs. The students will maintain a writer's multi-media journal.	Multi-Media Journals	School Fund	\$6,000.00

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	•	•	Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
During writing instruction, students will be exposed to the writing process and writing techniques that require them to use supporting details, concrete examples, statistics, comparisons and amazing facts to develop elaboration through the "Write Traits!" and "SanRon Teach me Writing " Programs. The students will maintain a writer's multi-media journal.	Writing Prompt Support and Interpreting Reports	School Fund	\$5,000.00
			Subtotal: \$5,000.00
			Grand Total: \$16,000.00

End of Writing Goals

## Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

learning goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Civics. The 2012 Civics Baseline test indicates that 0% of students were proficient. Our goal is to increase student performance on the 2013 Civics post-test by 10 Civics Goal #1: percentage points. 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 0% 10% (0)(11)Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Strategy Monitoring The barrier is that Provide classroom Administrators Administer and score Formative: Monthly students lack an activities that help monthly schoolunderstanding of the students grasp an LLT Team generated assessments Assessments content-specific understanding of to monitor progress and adjust focus as needed. Summative: Post vocabulary taught in content specific civics. vocabulary. Test Utilize the Flocabulary on-line program as a daily lesson starter. Implement the metacognition process as an instructional strategy in order to set and achieve civics

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	3		nd reference to "Gu	uiding Questions", identify	y and define areas		
2. St	ed of improvement for the udents scoring at or ab		The 2012 Civid	cs Baseline test indicates			
	s Goal #2:		performance o	students were proficient. Our goal is to increase student performance on the 2013 Civics post-test by 10 percentage points.			
2012	Current Level of Perfo	rmance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performance	9:		
0% (0)			10% (11)				
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	The barrier is that students' ability to examine opposing points of view is limited.	Provide opportunities for students to examine opposing points of view in a variety of issues.  Assist students, through teacher modeling, in developing well-reasoned positions on social, political and economic issues.		Administer and score monthly school-generated assessments to monitor progress and adjust focus as needed.			

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Metacognition Process	Grades 6-8	Social Stildies	Grades 1-8 Content Area Teachers	August 29, 2012		Assistant Principal

### Civics Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/M	aterial(s)		Available
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		Sı	ubtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Utilize the Flocabulary on-line program as a daily lesson starter.	Site License	Principal's Discretionary Fund	\$1,000.00
Subtotal: \$1,000.0			

Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$1,000.00

End of Civics Goals

# Attendance Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of atter of improvement:	ndance data, and referer	nce to "Guiding Que	estions", identify and defi	ne areas in need	
1. Attendance	96%. Our goal increase the a	Our attendance rate for the 2011 – 2012 school year was 96%. Our goal for the 2012 – 2013 school year is to increase the attendance rate by 0.5 percentage points.			
Attendance Goal #1:	with excessive year is to decr	During the 2011-2012 school year we had 207 students with excessive tardies. Our goal for 2012-2013 school year is to decrease the number of students with excessive tardies by 10 students.			
2012 Current Attendance Ra	ate:	2013 Expecte	ed Attendance Rate:		
96% (1111)		96.5% (1117)			
2012 Current Number of Stu Absences (10 or more)	2013 Expecte Absences (10	ed Number of Students or more)	with Excessive		
297	282	282			
2012 Current Number of Stu Tardies (10 or more)	udents with Excessive		2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)		
207		197			
Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
school year, 297 students were identified as having excessive	Identify and refer students who may be developing a pattern of non-attendance to MTSS/RtI Team for intervention services.	Administrators MTSS/RtI Team	Administration will monitor the attendance of students with excessive absences weekly.	Daily Attendance Bulletins, COGNOS Reports, and Parental Involvement Meetings Sign-in	
	Incentives will be given to students for			Logs	

1	Conduct Open House and Orientation meetings to review the Parent Handbook and Attendance Procedures.		
	The Community Involvement Specialist will conduct Monthly Parent Workshops to discuss attendance and tardy policies.		

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Leadership Team In- service	IK — 8	Assistant Principal	Teachers grades K - 8	August 17, 2012	Completion of Professional Development Debrief Form	Principal

#### Attendance Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/M	aterial(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Incentives will be given to students for increased school attendance.	Awards, Medals, Assemblies	EESAC	\$1,500.00
	•		Subtotal: \$1,500.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$1,500.00

End of Attendance Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	on the analysis of susperovement:	ension data, and referen	ce to "Guiding Que	estions", identify and defin	ne areas in need		
	spension ension Goal #1:		school year wa	The total number of suspensions for the 2011 – 2012 school year was 109. Our goal for the 2012 – 2013 school year is to decrease the total number of suspensions by			
2012	Total Number of In-Sc	hool Suspensions	2013 Expecte	d Number of In-School	Suspensions		
3			3				
2012	Total Number of Stude	ents Suspended In-Sch	2013 Expecte School	ed Number of Students	Suspended In-		
1			1				
2012	Number of Out-of-Sch	ool Suspensions	2013 Expecte Suspensions	ed Number of Out-of-Sc	hool		
106			95	95			
2012 Scho	Total Number of Stude	ents Suspended Out-of	- 2013 Expecte of-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School			
73			66	66			
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	to the occurrence of differing class rules and requirements amongst	School wide rules and regulations will be explained and given to students and parents during the first week of school. All students will know the classroom requirements and be aware of consequences.  Provide incentives for compliance through the use of SPOT success recognition program.  Review the code of Conduct and Student Handbook during student orientation meetings and Open House.		Review and analyze the Cognos Suspension Report  Monitor SPOT success Report by grade level.	Cognos Suspension Report  Participation Log for students who are recognized for complying with the student code of conduct		

### (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	(e.g. , PLC,	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
School Rules Review	Grades K - 8	Principal Assistant Principal	Teachers Grades K - 8	August 16, 2012	Workshop	Principal Assistant Principal

#### Suspension Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Mat	erial(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Provide incentives for compliance through the use of SPOT success recognition program.	Awards, Medals, Assemblies, etc.	EESAC	\$1,500.00
Review the code of Conduct and Student Handbook during student orientation meetings and Open House.	Printing Of the Student Code of Conduct	EESAC	\$100.00
			Subtotal: \$1,600.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$1,600.00

End of Suspension Goal(s)

# Parent Involvement Goal(s)

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:				
1. Parent Involvement  Parent Involvement Goal #1:  *Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.	In the 2009 – 2010 school year, 22% of the parent population attended a school function. Our gols is to increase this number by 10 percentage points.			
2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement:	2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:			
22% (238)	32% (352)			

	1						
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Parents have a limited understanding of instructional best practices that will enhance student learning.	Parents will be invited to periodic workshops that will provide them with an understanding of instructional components necessary for their child's academic success.	Reading Coaches, Teachers, Literacy Leadership Team, Assistant Principal Principal Community Involvement Specialist	used to collect data to monitor parental involvement	Parental Involvement Monthly School Report		

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
No Data Submitted						

### Parent Involvement Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

## Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement: Our student participation in the District Science Fair for 1. STEM the 2011 - 2012 school year was 10% of 5th and 8th grade students. Our goal for the 2012 - 2013 school year STEM Goal #1: is to increase the number of students by 5 percentage Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Strategy Monitoring The barrier is that Incorporate after Administrators Administer and score Formative: school activities (i.e. Monthly Science students lack the monthly math and exposure to practice Science Club and Science and Math science assessments to and Math concepts learned in SECME) to provide Leaders monitor progress and Assessments opportunities for high adjust focus as needed math and science in real-world settings. achieving students to Summative: Data enrich their knowledge Reports from the of scientific concepts 2013 FCAT2.0 while participating in Science Test and group based projects. 2013 FCAT 2.0 Math Test Integrate technology activities (such as Science Fair Gizmos) within the participation log science and math focus calendar to ensure standards are taught with rigor. Integrate inquiry-based activities on an ongoing basis in math and science lessons.

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Metacognition Process	Grades 1 - 8	Reading Coach	Grades 1-8 Content Area Teachers	August 29, 2012	Completion of Professional Development Debriefing Form	Assistant Principal
Worksheets Don't Grow Dendrites	Grades 1 - 8	Reading Coach	Grades 2 – 8 Content Area Teachers	August 16, 2012	Completion of Professional Development Debrief Form	Assistant Principal
SECME Training		District SECME	Grades 5 – 8 Content Area Teachers	October 31, 2012	Completion of Professional Development Debrief Form	Assistant Principal

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

			Available
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available
Incorporate after school activities (i.e. Science Club and SECME) to provide opportunities for high achieving students to enrich their knowledge of scientific concepts while participating in group based projects.	Supplies	Principal's Discretionary Fund	\$600.00
		Sub	total: \$600.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		S	ubtotal: \$0.0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		S	ubtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Incorporate after school activities (i.e. Science Club and SECME) to provide opportunities for high achieving students to enrich their knowledge of scientific concepts while participating in group based projects.	Staff	Title I	\$10,000.00
		Subtota	al: \$10,000.0
		Grand Tota	al: \$10,600.0

End of STEM Goal(s)

# Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Basec	Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:						
1. CT	E						
CTE C	Goal #1:		Increase stude by 10%.	Increase student enrollment in middle school CTE courses by 10%.			
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	The barrier is that students are limited in their ability to envision career goals.	Provide work experience opportunities for students within the school.  Utilize the AVID program strategies to sharpen students' organizational skills.  Implement FBLA club in order to provide students with career	Administrators Teacher of the Gifted	Administrators monitor the effective implementation of lessons and timely instruction in the CTE classrooms through common planning.	Report for articulation meetings between feeder middle and high schools.		

oriented activities and field experiences.		
Institute a forensic science course as enrichment to the standard curriculum in order to expose students to differing career avenues.		

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
AVID Training	Grades 4 - 8	AVID Leader	Grades 4 – 8 Content Area Teachers	October 17, 2012	Completion of Professional Development Debriefing Form	Assistant Principal
FBLA Sponsor Training	Grades 6 -8	District FBLA Trainer	Grades 6 – 8 Content Area Teachers	October 23, 2012	Completion of Professional Development Debriefing Form	Assistant Principal
Forensic Science Strand Training	Grades 6 - 8	District Personnel	Grades 6 – 8 Content Area Teachers	September 26, 2012	Completion of Professional Development Debriefing Form	Assistant Principal

#### CTE Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Ma	terial(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
Utilize the AVID program strategies to sharpen students organizational skills.	AVID Field Trips and Materials	School Fund	\$2,500.00
Utilize the AVID program strategies to sharpen students organizational skills.	AVID Program	School Fund	\$2,500.00
			Subtotal: \$5,000.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
Utilize the AVID program strategies to sharpen students organizational skills.	AVID Sponsor Supplement	School Fund	\$2,400.00
implement FBLA club in order to surprise to structure with career stricted activities and field in FBLA Sponsor Stipend stricted activities and field stricted activities activities and field stricted activities activities activities and field stricted activities acti		School Fund	\$600.0

experiences.

Subtotal: \$3,000.00

Grand Total: \$8,000.00

End of CTE Goal(s)

# Additional Goal(s)

No Additional Goal was submitted for this school

## FINAL BUDGET

Evidence-based Pro	gram(s)/Material(s)			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	Design lessons that include brain research instructional strategies in order to meet students' various learning styles.	Notebooks	Discretionary Fund	\$1,000.00
Reading	Utilize chapter books in order to expose students to more rigorous texts.	Chapter Books	Title I	\$5,000.00
Mathematics	Include On-Target as daily lesson starter.	On Target!	Title I	\$3,600.00
Mathematics	Implement the metacognition process as an instructional strategy in order to set and achieve math learning goals.	Metacognition training materials	Discretionary Funds	\$1,000.00
Science	Increase opportunities for authentic hands-on science experiences with emphasis on observations and the development of a testable hypothesis. Students will write about these experiences in their essential lab log.	Lab Supplies	Principal's Discretionary Fund	\$1,000.00
Writing	During writing instruction, students will be exposed to the writing process and writing techniques that require them to use supporting details, concrete examples, statistics, comparisons and amazing facts to develop elaboration through the "Write Traits!" and "SanRon Teach me Writing "Programs. The students will maintain a writer's multi-media journal.	"SanRon Teach Me Writing" Notebooks	School Fund	\$5,000.00
Writing	During writing instruction, students will be exposed to the writing process and writing techniques that require them to use supporting details, concrete examples, statistics, comparisons and amazing facts to develop elaboration through the "Write Traits!" and "SanRon Teach me Writing "Programs. The students will maintain a writer's multi-media journal.	Multi-Media Journals	School Fund	\$6,000.00
Attendance	Incentives will be given to students for increased school attendance.	Awards, Medals, Assemblies	EESAC	\$1,500.00
Suspension	Provide incentives for compliance through the use of SPOT success recognition program.	Awards, Medals, Assemblies, etc.	EESAC	\$1,500.00
	Review the code of Conduct and Student			

Suspension	Handbook during student orientation meetings and Open House.	Printing Of the Student Code of Conduct	EESAC	\$100.00
STEM	Incorporate after school activities (i.e. Science Club and SECME) to provide opportunities for high achieving students to enrich their knowledge of scientific concepts while participating in group based projects.	Supplies	Principal's Discretionary Fund	\$600.00
СТЕ	Utilize the AVID program strategies to sharpen students organizational skills.	AVID Field Trips and Materials	School Fund	\$2,500.00
СТЕ	Utilize the AVID program strategies to sharpen students organizational skills.	AVID Program	School Fund	\$2,500.00
				Subtotal: \$31,300.00
Technology				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Civics	Utilize the Flocabulary on-line program as a daily lesson starter.	Site License	Principal's Discretionary Fund	\$1,000.00
				Subtotal: \$1,000.00
Professional Deve	lopment			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	Design lessons that include brain research instructional strategies in order to meet students' various learning styles.	Teacher Training	Discretionary Fund	\$4,000.00
CELLA	Incorporate Teen Biz/Kid Biz interactive technology to enhance and differentiate instruction.	Teacher Training	Discretionary Fund	\$1,000.00
				Subtotal: \$5,000.00
Other				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Writing	During writing instruction, students will be exposed to the writing process and writing techniques that require them to use supporting details, concrete examples, statistics, comparisons and amazing facts to develop elaboration through the "Write Traits!" and "SanRon Teach me Writing "Programs. The students will maintain a writer's multi-media journal.	Writing Prompt Support and Interpreting Reports	School Fund	\$5,000.00
STEM	Incorporate after school activities (i.e. Science Club and SECME) to provide opportunities for high achieving students to enrich their knowledge of scientific concepts while participating in group based projects.	Staff	Title I	\$10,000.00
СТЕ	Utilize the AVID program strategies to sharpen students organizational skills.	AVID Sponsor Supplement	School Fund	\$2,400.00

#### FBLA Sponsor Stipend

School Fund

\$600.00

Subtotal: \$18,000.00

## Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

jn Priority	jn Focus	<b>j</b> ∩ Prevent	jn NA

Are you a reward school: jn Yes jn No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

View uploaded file (Uploaded on 10/14/2012)

# School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

Yes. Agree with the above statement.

Projected use of SAC Funds	Amount
Awards, Medals, Assemblies Printing Of the Student Code of Conduct	\$6,000.00

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

The SAC will monitor the implementation of the School Improvement Plan and the Parent Involvement Plan

# AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

## SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

Dade School District LEI SURE CITY K-8 CEN 2010-2011	ITER					
	Reading	Math	Writing		Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	53%	63%	80%	29%	225	Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	57%	65%			122	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	60% (YES)	71% (YES)			131	Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					478	
Percent Tested = 100%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*					С	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested

Dade School District LEISURE CITY K-8 CEN 2009-2010	ITER					
	Reading	Math	Writing	Science	Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	53%	56%	83%	23%	215	Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	59%	60%			119	3 ways to make gains:  Improve FCAT Levels  Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5  Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	52% (YES)	57% (YES)			109	Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					443	
Percent Tested = 99%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*					С	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested