FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: BLANCHE ELY HIGH SCHOOL

District Name: Broward

Principal: Mr. Karlton O. Johnson

SAC Chair: Mr. Kevin Eason

Superintendent: Robert Runcie

Date of School Board Approval: 12/4/12

Last Modified on: 10/19/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

| Position | Name | Degree(s)/ Certification(s) | # of Years at Current School | # of Years as an Administrator | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year) |
|----------|------|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| | | B.SBusiness Education M.SEducational Leadership Ed.D Organizational Leadership (in | | | 2011-2012 Blanche Ely High School • School Grade (Pending) • Reading Mastery: 39%, Math Mastery: 53, Writing Mastery 81%, 2010-2011 Blanche Ely High School • School Grade: A • Reading Mastery: 28% Math Mastery: 61% Writing Mastery: 75% Science Mastery: 31% • AYP: 77% • AYP in Reading: Black and Economically Disadvantaged did NOT make adequate yearly progress. • AYP in Math: Black and Economically Disadvantaged did NOT make adequate yearly progress. 2009-2010 Blanche Ely High School • School Grade: C • Reading Learning Gains – 37%; High Standards – 27% Lower 25% Gains – 30% • Mathematics Learning Gains – 70%; High |

| Principal | Karlton O. Johnson | progress) Certified: Educational Leadership (All Levels) and Elementary Education | 4 | 10 | Standards – 65% Lower 25% Gains – 69% • Writing proficiency 88%; Percent Score 4 and Above 72% • Science meeting high standards – 22% • AYP: 67% • AYP in Reading: Black and Economically Disadvantaged did NOT make adequate yearly progress. • AYP in Math: Black and Economically Disadvantaged did NOT make adequate yearly progress. 2008-2009 Blanche Ely High School • School Grade: D (AYP-No) • All subgroup FCAT results - 65% of students at or above grade level in Math•67% of students making a year's worth of progress in math; 62% of struggling students making a year's worth of progress in Math; 57% of 11th and 12th grade students passed the FCAT Math Retake. • Reading-32% met high standards in reading (42% Learning gains) Lower 25% Gains – 45% Received 10 Bonus Points for Retakes (60% passed reading) |
|-----------------|-----------------------|--|---|----|---|
| Assis Principal | Antonio Womack | B.S Music Education, Alabama State University M.M Music, University of Miami Educational Leadership Nova Southeastern University Certified: Educational Leadership (All Levels) and Music (All Levels) | 4 | 15 | 2011-2012 Blanche Ely High School School Grade (Pending) Reading Mastery: 39%, Math Mastery: Writing Mastery 81%, 2010-2011 - Blanche Ely High Grade: A Reading Mastery: 28% Math Mastery: 61% Writing Mastery: 75% Science Mastery: 31% AYP: 77% AYP in Reading: Black and Economically Disadvantaged did NOT make adequate yearly progress. AYP in Math: Black and Economically Disadvantaged did NOT make adequate yearly progress. AYP in Math: Black Ely High School Grade: C Reading Mastery: 27% Math Mastery: 65% Writing Mastery: 88% Science Mastery: 22% AYP: 67% AYP in Reading: Black and Economically Disadvantaged did NOT make adequate yearly progress. AYP in Reading: Black and Economically Disadvantaged did NOT make adequate yearly progress. AYP in Math: Black and Economically Disadvantaged did NOT make adequate yearly progress. AYP in Math: Black and Economically Disadvantaged did NOT make adequate yearly progress. 2008-09 Blanche Ely High School School Grade: D (AYP-No), Assistant Principal for enrichment programs and Retakes, Math: 57% of 11th and 12th grade students passed FCAT Math Retakes, 60% passed reading — Received the 10 Bonus Points for Retakes. 2007- 2008 Deerfield Beach High School — School Grade: C AYP- no Reading mastery: 44%, Math mastery: 70%, Science mastery: 29%, AYP: 87%, The Black, English Language Learners, and Students with Disabilities subgroups did not make AYP in Reading. The English Language Learners and Students with Disabilities subgroups did not make AYP in |
| | | B.S. Communications (West Virginia State University) M.S. Educational Leadership (Nova Southeastern University) | | | 2011-2012 Blanche Ely High School • School Grade (Pending) • Reading Mastery: 39%, Math Mastery: 53, Writing Mastery 81%, 2010-2011 - Blanche Ely High • Grade: A • Reading Mastery: 28% Math Mastery: 61% Writing Mastery: 75% Science Mastery: 31% • AYP: 77% • AYP in Reading: Black and Economically Disadvantaged did NOT make adequate yearly progress. • AYP in Math: Black and Economically Disadvantaged did NOT make adequate yearly progress. 2009-2010 - Blanche Ely High • School Grade: C • Reading Mastery: 27% Math Mastery: 65% Writing Mastery: 88% Science |

| Assis Principal | Malcolm Spence | Certified: Educational Leadership (All Levels), English 5-9, and ESOL Endorsed English 5-9 ESOL Endorsed | 4 | 4 | Mastery: 22% • AYP: 67% • AYP in Reading: Black and Economically Disadvantaged did NOT make adequate yearly progress. • AYP in Math: Black and Economically Disadvantaged did NOT make adequate yearly progress. 2008-2009 Blanche Ely High School • School Grade: D (AYP-No) • All subgroup FCAT results - 65% of students at or above grade level in Math•67% of students making a year's worth of progress in math•62% of struggling students making a year's worth of progress in Math; 57% of 11th and 12th grade students passed the FCAT Math Retake. 2007- 2008 Boyd Anderson High • School Grade: F (AYP- No) • School Percent Scoring 3 and Above in Science Increased from 12 to 17. Mean score also Increased from 275 to 281. |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| Assis Principal | Tarachell Thomas | BS – Science (Stetson University) MS-Science Education, (Nova Southeastern University) Ed. S – Education Leadership (Nova Southeastern University) Certified: Biology 6-12 and Educational Leadership (All Levels) | 1 | 4 | 2011-2012 Blanche Ely High School School Grade (Pending) Reading Mastery: 39%, Math Mastery: 3, Writing Mastery 81%, 2010-2011 Monarch High Grade: A Reading Mastery: 43% Math Mastery: 74% Writing Mastery: 85% Science Mastery: 26% AYP: 74% AYP in Reading: White, Black, Hispanic, and Economically Disadvantaged did NOT make adequate yearly progress. AYP in Math: White, Black, Hispanic, and Economically Disadvantaged did NOT make adequate yearly progress. 2009-2010 - Monarch High Grade: B Reading Mastery: 47% Math Mastery: 77% Writing Mastery: 92% Science Mastery: 33% AYP: 85% AYP in Reading: White and Black did NOT make adequate yearly progress. AYP in Math: Black, Hispanic, and Economically Disadvantaged did NOT make adequate yearly progress. AYP in Math: Black, Hispanic, and Economically Disadvantaged did NOT make adequate yearly progress. |
| Assis Principal | Cheryl Hodgson- Toeller | B.A Music M.SEducational Administration Certifications: Music K-12 ESOL Endorsement Educational Leadership (All Levels) School Principal | | 7 | 2011-2012 Everglades High School • School Grade (Pending) • Reading Mastery: 39%, Math Mastery: 53, Writing Mastery 81%, 2010-2011 Everglades High School School Grade A |

INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

| Subject Area | Name | Degree(s)/ Certification(s) | # of Years at Current School | # of Years as an Instructional Coach | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year) |
|--------------|------|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| | | Bachelor of Arts English & Mass Communications Masters of | | | 2011-2012 Blanche Ely High School • School Grade (Pending) • Reading Mastery: 39%, Math Mastery: 53, Writing Mastery 81%, 2010-2011 - Blanche Ely High • Grade: A • Reading Mastery: 28% • 33% met learning gains in Reading • 36% of Lowest quartile made learning gains in Reading • AYP: 77% |

| Reading | Masharie Powell | Science Reading Reading Endorsed Reading Certified K-12 ESOL Endorsed | 3 | 3 | AYP in Reading: Black and Economically Disadvantaged did NOT make adequate yearly progress. AYP in Math: Black and Economically Disadvantaged 2009-2010 - Blanche Ely High School Grade: C Reading Mastery: 27% 37% met learning gains in Reading 30% of Lowest 25 % made learning gains in Reading AYP: 67% AYP in Reading: Black and Economically Disadvantaged did NOT make adequate yearly progress. AYP in Math: Black and Economically Disadvantaged did NOT make adequate yearly progress. |
|---------|--------------------|--|---|---|--|
| Science | Dorothy Gregg | B.S Science Education Masters - Science Education Biology 6-12 General Science 6-9 ESOL Endorsed NBCT AYA Science (1998-2018) | 4 | 4 | 2011-2012 Blanche Ely High School • School Grade (Pending) • Reading Mastery: 39%, Math Mastery: 53, Writing Mastery 81%, 2010-2011 - Blanche Ely High • Grade: A • Science Mastery: 31% • AYP: 77% • AYP in Reading: Black and Economically Disadvantaged did NOT make adequate yearly progress. • AYP in Math: Black and Economically Disadvantaged did NOT make adequate yearly progress. 2009-2010 - Blanche Ely High • School Grade: C • Science Mastery: 23% • AYP: 67% • AYP in Reading: Black and Economically Disadvantaged did NOT make adequate yearly progress. • AYP in Reading: Black and Economically Disadvantaged did NOT make adequate yearly progress. • AYP in Math: Black and Economically Disadvantaged did NOT make adequate yearly progress. • AYP in Math: Black and Economically Disadvantaged did NOT make adequate yearly progress. 2008-2009 Blanche Ely High School (Science Coach) • FCAT Science 19 |

EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

| | Description of Strategy | Person Responsible | Projected Completion Date | Not Applicable (If not, please explain why) |
|---|---|--|---------------------------------|---|
| 1 | Administrators, Coaches and Department Chairs will serve as support for all new staff members. | Antonio Womack, Assistant Principal, NESS | 06/2013 | |
| 2 | Teachers new to school will be invited in days prior to the start of school for an introduction, orientation, and tour. While there they will receive essential materials needed for the classroom and school operations. | Antonio Womack, Assistant Principal, NESS | 06/2013 | |
| 3 | Open communication is maintained to keep teachers abreast of district and state educational news and teacher recognition is also provided to reinforce motivation and morale | Karlton Johnson, Principal | 06/2013 | |
| 4 | Teachers new to the teacher professional will be assigned a coach to assist with the transition. The Department Chairperson and coaches will also provide assistance with content and delivery. | Antonio Womack, Assistant Principal, NESS | 06/2013 | |
| 5 | Administrators will invite graduate schools to speak, promote and recruit participants for advanced degree programs after school and during staff meetings. | Karlton Johnson, Principal | 06/2013 | |
| 6 | Teachers will be allowed to use a TDA to attend purposeful Professional Development, which aligns with the SIP. | Cheryl Hodgson- Toeller, Assistant Principal | 06/2013 | |
| 7 | Monthly meetings such as department, curriculum, and faculty meetings and staff development are in place to assist teachers to grow professionally. | Karlton Johnson, Principal Cheryl Hodgson- Toeller, Assistant Principal | 06/2013 | |
| | Administrative staff and leadership team will encourage and | Cheryl Hodgson- | | |

| 1 | support teachers attending Professional development and pursuing advanced degrees through graduate level work. | Assistant | 06/2013 |
|---|--|-----------|---------|
| | | Principal | |

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

| Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field/ and who are not highly effective. | Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective |
|---|--|
| Out-of-field 24%(23) | Training and assistance to help teachers earn certification in their respective areas. Teachers will be allowed to use TDA's to attend professional development |
| | and courses aligned with their certification requirements. |

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Total Number of Instructional Staff | % of | | % of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience | % of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience | % of Teachers with Advanced Degrees | % Highly Effective Teachers | % Reading | % National Board Certified Teachers | % ESOL Endorsed Teachers |
|--|---------|-----------|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|-----------|--|--------------------------------|
| 93 | 7.5%(7) | 10.8%(10) | 40.9%(38) | 40.9%(38) | 48.4%(45) | 67.7%(63) | 10.8%(10) | 7.5%(7) | 73.1%(68) |

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

| Mentor Name | Mentee Assigned | Rationale for Pairing | Planned Mentoring Activities |
|------------------|--------------------|---|--|
| Twyler Ferguson | Diane Spencer | Common Course Similar Academic Focus NBCT Social Studies Experienced NESS Coach | Differentiated Instruction Monthly meetings in the schools New Educator Support System (NESS). Daily assistance from assigned Coach/Mentor. In-House workshops on topics relating to needs of a new educator. Lesson delivery modeling |
| Richard Beckford | Nora Perez | Common Course Similar Academic Focus Experienced NESS Coach and Educator | Differentiated Instruction Monthly meetings in the schools New Educator Support System (NESS). Daily assistance from assigned Coach/Mentor. In-House workshops on topics relating to needs of a new educator. Lesson delivery modeling |
| Kristin Potter | Mary Lindner | Common Course Similar Academic Focus Department Chair | Differentiated Instruction Monthly meetings in the schools New Educator Support System (NESS). Daily assistance from assigned Coach/Mentor. In-House workshops on topics relating to needs of a new educator. Lesson delivery modeling |

| Sandra Fencher | Donna Robinson | Common Course Similar Academic Focus Department Chair | Differentiated Instruction Monthly meetings in the schools New Educator Support System (NESS). Daily assistance from assigned Coach/Mentor. In-House workshops on topics relating to needs of a new educator. Lesson delivery modeling |
|--------------------|----------------------|---|---|
| Felipe Brown | Tyler Martin | Common Course Similar Academic Focus Department Chair | Differentiated Instruction Monthly meetings in the schools New Educator Support System (NESS). Daily assistance from assigned Coach/Mentor. In-House workshops on topics relating to needs of a new educator. Lesson delivery modeling |
| Winsome Flynn | Brian DeSouza | Common Course Similar Academic Focus Department Chair | Differentiated Instruction Monthly meetings in the schools New Educator Support System (NESS). Daily assistance from assigned Coach/Mentor. In-House workshops on topics relating to needs of a new educator. Lesson delivery modeling |
| Dorothy Gregg | Kregg Johnson | Common Course Similar Academic Focus Department Chair Science Coach | Differentiated Instruction Monthly meetings in the schools New Educator Support System (NESS). Daily assistance from assigned Coach/Mentor. In-House workshops on topics relating to needs of a new educator. Lesson delivery modeling |
| Pedro Torres | William Lambert | Common Course Similar Academic Focus Department Chair | Differentiated Instruction Monthly meetings in the schools New Educator Support System (NESS). Daily assistance from assigned Coach/Mentor. In-House workshops on topics relating to needs of a new educator. Lesson delivery modeling |
| Steven Woloszn | Camilo Layne | Common Course Similar Academic Focus Department Chair Experienced NESS Coach and Educator | Differentiated Instruction Monthly meetings in the schools New Educator Support System (NESS). Daily assistance from assigned Coach/Mentor. In-House workshops on topics relating to needs of a new educator. Lesson delivery modeling |
| Kristin Potter | Gary Davis | Common Course Similar Academic Focus Department Chair | Differentiated Instruction Monthly meetings in the schools New Educator Support System (NESS). Daily assistance from assigned Coach/Mentor. In-House workshops on topics relating to needs of a new educator. Lesson delivery modeling |
| Dorreen Kennedy | Michelle Daily | Common Course Similar Academic Focus Department Chair Experienced NESS Coach and Educator | Differentiated Instruction Monthly meetings in the schools New Educator Support System (NESS). Daily assistance from assigned Coach/Mentor. In-House workshops on topics relating to needs of a new educator. Lesson delivery modeling |
| William Desalazaar | Albertha Stephens | Common Course Similar Academic Focus | Differentiated Instruction Monthly meetings in the schools New Educator Support System (NESS). Daily assistance from assigned Coach/Mentor. In-House workshops on topics relating to needs of a new educator. Lesson delivery modeling Differentiated Instruction |

| Steven Woloszn | Yolanda Brown | Course Similar Academic Focus Department Chair Experienced NESS Coach and Educator | Monthly meetings in the schools New Educator Support System (NESS). Daily assistance from assigned Coach/Mentor. In-House workshops on topics relating to needs of a new educator. Lesson delivery modeling |
|----------------|--------------------|---|--|
| Wilgens Joseph | Elyse Steiner | Common Course Similar Academic Focus Experienced educator | Differentiated Instruction Monthly meetings in the schools New Educator Support System (NESS). Daily assistance from assigned Coach/Mentor. In-House workshops on topics relating to needs of a new educator. Lesson delivery modeling |
| Dorothy Gregg | Stephen Halpern | Common Course Similar Academic Focus Department Chair Experienced NESS Coach and Educator | Differentiated Instruction Monthly meetings in the schools New Educator Support System (NESS). Daily assistance from assigned Coach/Mentor. In-House workshops on topics relating to needs of a new educator. Lesson delivery modeling |
| Ladoris Jordan | Paulette Coombs | Common Course Similar Academic Focus Experienced NESS Coach and Educator | Differentiated Instruction Monthly meetings in the schools New Educator Support System (NESS). Daily assistance from assigned Coach/Mentor. In-House workshops on topics relating to needs of a new educator. Lesson delivery modeling |
| Gail Bankston | Jay Feinstein | Common Course Similar Academic Focus Experienced Educator | Differentiated Instruction Monthly meetings in the schools New Educator Support System (NESS). Daily assistance from assigned Coach/Mentor. In-House workshops on topics relating to needs of a new educator. Lesson delivery modeling |

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

N/A

Title I, Part C- Migrant

N/A

Title I, Part D

N/A

Title II

N/A

| N/A |
|---|
| Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI) |
| N/A |
| Violence Prevention Programs |
| N/A |
| Nutrition Programs |
| N/A |
| Housing Programs |
| N/A |
| Head Start |
| N/A |
| Adult Education |
| N/A |
| Career and Technical Education |
| N/A |
| Job Training |
| N/A |
| Other . |
| N/A |
| |

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-based MTSS/RtI Team-

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Antonio Womack, Assistant Principal Cheryl Hodgson-Toeller, Intern Principal Malcolm Spence, Assistant Principal Tarachell Thomas, Assistant Principal Carla Knight, Guidance (10th grade) Suzette Wright, Guidance (11th grade) Antonia Williams, Guidance (12th grade) Phyllis Shinn, School Psychologist

Dona Robinson, ESE Specialist

Marsharie Powell, Reading Coach

war sharle rowen, reading coach

Kathy Kissane, Attendance Clerk

Elizabeth Rouhizad, Speech Therapist

JoAnn Labossiere, Social Worker

Jessica Rothschild, ESE Support

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

Tier I data is routinely inspected in the area of reading, math, writing, science and behavior. Students are correctly placed into reading and math intervention courses as needed. Data is used to make decisions about modifications needed to the core curriculum and school-wide approach to behavior management. This data is used as a means of screening to help identify students who are struggling with either academics or behavior and who may be in need of Tier II and III interventions. The team monitors the interventions supporting teachers in personalizing the student's education, which will

increase student achievement. The school-based RtI Leadership Team meets bi-monthly to focus on problem solving, goal setting and the implementation of plans to increase the success of struggling students. During these meetings, data is discussed for those students that have been referred to the RtI Team. Students are referred due to academic and/or behavioral concerns reflected by data and teacher recommendations.

The RtI Team members serve as Case Managers for students and are responsible for communicating with the classroom teachers, parents, students and other support staff.

The school's reading coach (Marsharie Powell) and ESE Support Specialist (Jessica Rothschild) are responsible for coordinating CPS team meetings.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The RtI Team uses the following Problem Solving Process: (1) define the problem; (2) determine why this problem is occurring; (3) develop a plan; (4) implement the plan; and (5) measure progress and assess effectiveness. The complete Problem Solving Process is used in developing and implementing the SIP by focusing on a tiered approach to providing intensive interventions to student with educational problems needing (academic/behavioral) support.

-MTSS Implementation-

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Tier 1 data is routinely inspected as follows: Ongoing Pinnacle information in all courses (i.e., attendance records, class grades, teacher observations- weekly assessment; on-task behavior/task completion). Reading using Baseline Data (Minibenchmark Assessment), BAT I & II, FAIR and DAR, End of Year: FAIR/FCAT Math and Science using Baseline Data (Minibenchmark Assessment), BAT I & II, End of Course Exams. Writing using monthly writing prompts and FCAT. Behavior using DMS data, which is reviewed weekly by all administrators, based on their assigned grade level.

Collectively, Tier 1 data is used to make decisions about modifications needed to the core curriculum and school-wide approach to behavior management for Tier 1 students. Additionally, this data is used as a means of screening to help identify students who are struggling with either academics or behavior, who may be in need of Tier 2 and Tier 3 interventions.

Tier 2 interventions include the Child Study Team, Conflict Remediation, Mentorship programs, Coaches "Push-In", Co-Teach, Model Lessons and small groups.

Tier 3 interventions include Student Pull-Outs, Social Worker, Progress Reports, Social Worker, Child Protective Services, Functional Behavior Assessment, Spectrum, Henderson Clinic, Lutheran Services

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Staff will participate in RtI professional Development during pre-planning days and during common planning time. The RtI Leadership will participate in (BASIC) Behavioral & Academic Student Information System, training sponsored by the district. Professional Development for utilizing the RtI problem solving process is scheduled early in the school year and is on going as needed. There are separate trainings for teachers and the Leadership Team, led by district, area and school based staff. The specific content of the training will include the purpose and function of RtI Team, the referral process and how the use of evidence based interventions are supported at each of the (3) tiers. Also teacher interventions and grafting student data will be discussed as a part of RtI/ CPS, Staff trainings.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

District website will host a link for Response to Intervention that will provide stakeholders with information about the vision and listed RtI practices. Administrators and instructional personnel will be provided on-line resources for classroom behavior and academic interventions. School based teams will be established to provide training and coaching for the school staff.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

-School-Based Literacy Leadership Team-

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Antonio Womack, Assistant Principal Cheryl Hodgson-Toeller, Intern Principal Malcolm Spence, Assistant Principal Tarachell Thomas, Assistant Principal Jessica Rothchild, ESE Support Dona Robinson, ESE Specialists Marsharie Powell, Reading Coach Shonner Gainer, Reading Coach Leijil Holloway, Reading Department Chair Kristin Potter, English Department Co-Chair Steven Woloszn, English Department Co-Chair Felipe Brown, Foreign Languages Department Chair Simon Dritz, Department Chair Tonya Sevalia, Social Studies Department Chair Dorothy Gregg, Science Department Co-Chair Pedro Torres, Science Department Co-Chair Ann-Marie Gilbert, Magnet Coordinator Kathleen Weathers, Magnet Coordinator Winsome Flynn, Math Department Chair Dorreen Kennedy, Vocational Department Chair Marcos Pernas, Business Department Chair

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The purpose of the Literacy Leadership Team is to create capacity of reading knowledge within the school building and focus on areas of literacy concern across the school. One of the key goals of the School Leadership Team will be to ensure that all school stakeholders understand and support the work of the reading coaches/reading resource specialist and obtain support for achieving the school's reading goals through a whole-school approach.

Under the guidance of the principal and the reading coaches, the LLT will meet monthly to discuss literacy concerns, developments and goals derived from disaggregated data, the school's mission statement, Differentiated Accountability meetings and initiatives, community focused meetings, Response to Intervention (RtI) initiatives for student success, and teacher needs assessments.

The Literacy Team currently operates with specific benchmark aligned focus for all academic departments represented. There has been further conversation and decision to foster a more interdisciplinary approach through a literacy team Professional Learning Community (PLC) geared towards strategically planning and implementing school-wide literacy initiatives across the curriculum and in the community.

Literacy Team members are responsible for sharing and modeling of research-based practices, state and district mandated literacy initiatives and implementation standards associated with literacy in both intervention and content area settings through well-developed lessons reflecting the Next Generation Standards for reading.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

The principal will support the role of the LLT in the development of reading related goals and objectives for the School Improvement Plan, the school professional development plan (including professional learning communities, study groups, and lesson study), reading initiatives throughout the school, collaborative problem solving, and the Response to Intervention process.

Major goals of the school's Literacy Team include:

- establishing a literacy vision for the school that includes all stakeholders.
- developing and engaging in ongoing professional development opportunities that support the school's literacy vision and needs.
- supporting the administration by providing cross-curricular input that represent the staff on literacy issues.
- \bullet creating and implementing plans for cohesive literacy integration across the curriculum.
- establishing a system that supports the new state mandates in technology.
- participating in Literacy Professional Learning Communities.
- using data to analyze mastery of skills in order to re-evaluate and implement new strategies that meet the needs of all students
- modeling of research-based reading strategies for all instructional staff.
- · mentoring teachers and sharing best practices.

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

No Attachment

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

Disaggregated data is used to determine school-wide students' benchmark weaknesses and strengths. This data is used to generate "skill of the week" benchmark focused activities to be integrated in all content area classes. Student progression is monitored through formal and informal teacher-made content assessments using the FCAT cognitive complexity question stems. At least once a week, there will be some form of written assessment, which may include: assignment for student portfolio, ticket out the door activity. Content area leaders will analyze student assessment data and create a plan to reteach the benchmark until student mastery. Students may also be assessed using district adopted textbook benchmark-aligned supplemental materials.

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

At-risk students and lower performing students are placed in the appropriate courses based on the District's Course Progression Matrix. Course sections will be designed to infuse basic skill review and requirements for successful achievements in Reading, Writing, Math and Science. All students will be provided data cards and receive opportunities for "data chats" with their classroom instructors, guidance counselor and/or Administrator. All students will be informed of the requirements for graduation and are encouraged to exceed those requirements to increase post-secondary opportunities. As well, for at-risk and low performing students, guidance places a strong emphasis on vocational exploration/completion and participation in Share Time programs.

College Bound students (in and out of the School's Magnet Programs) are placed in the appropriate courses based on the District's Course Progression Matrix. Special attention is given to students to ensure that basic content knowledge is not lost as they matriculate through advanced coursework. All students are given data cards and receive opportunities for "data chats" with their classroom instructors, guidance counselor and/or Administrator. All students are informed of the requirements for graduation and are required to exceed those requirements to insure access to post-secondary opportunities. With college bound students, a strong emphasis is placed on vocational exploration/completion as well as participation in Dual Enrollment, Advanced Placement and Share Time programs.

Students in the Medical Sciences & the Sciences/Pre-Engineering Magnet Programs are required to take higher-level math & science courses, which exceed graduation requirement. These courses are sequenced to give students a strong college preparatory foundation, explore options for their future career and stimulate career interests. Unique courses such as Research I & II, Forensics, Robotics & Drafting, integrate hands-on, real-world problem-solving experiences with knowledge-based and the project-based learning. Required participation in annual science fairs and competitions provides opportunity to discover diversity within fields relevant to the medical and the Pre-engineering fields. Also, students will visit the First Responder Lab & Anatomy & Physiology Labs at FAU as part of the Dual Enrollment Anatomy & Physiology course.

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

The guidance team will schedule at least three meetings with all students, two meetings will be individualized and one meeting will be in the classroom guidance environment. All students are required to use the ePep system (created by the State), FACTS.org and the District's Virtual Counselor. Each system develops and maintains the balance of academic planning

and career exploration. For at-risk and low performing students the course selection process supports academic achievement and Vocational and Fine Arts exposure. The annual review of both the four-year plan and career options strengthens the student's knowledge of the importance of academic and career planning. Students also receive support from the BRACE Advisor whose primary goal is college preparedness. Additionally, the BRACE advisor meets with all grade levels to discuss career opportunities, as well as coordinates, College Conferences, College/University Fairs and Vocational Fairs.

Students in the Medical Sciences & the Sciences/Pre-Engineering Magnet Programs meet at least once yearly with a Magnet Coordinator for individualized academic & career guidance. This includes selecting a Florida major (career/college interest), creating a 4-yr academic/college/career plan with parent input, scholarship searches, and summer programs search. The plan is reviewed and modified yearly to reflect changes in the school & program's curriculum and student's goals. Attend BEHS Annual Career & College Fair and shadow physicians and CVS pharmacist at assigned locations.

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u>

The Guidance team works diligently to:

- Increase frequency of dissemination of college readiness information to students and parents. (to increase PSAT, ACT and SAT percentages)
- Increase monitoring of documents tracking college readiness activities of students (to increase percentage of students completing at least one AP or Dual Enrollment course)
- Monitor enrollment of vocational course enrollment to increase number of Bright Futures awardees. (49.9% enroll in college while only 26.7% qualified for BF)
- * Increase awareness of scholarship/financial aid opportunities.
- * Increase awareness of and participation in district/school/community-based partnerships and programs (Parent University, CTACF)
- Administer College Placement Test (CPT), ASVAB, PSAT, and Career and technology Ready to Work exam to qualifying students. These results are used to guide students' academic and career plans.
- Offer exposure to regionally accredited educational institutions through college visits and college fairs for post secondary opportunities.
- Offer free after-school tutoring for all students.
- Offer APEX for students for the purpose of credit recovery and to increase graduation rate among at risk students.
- Encourage senior students (who have failed the FCAT) to take advantage of the opportunity to take the ACT test at no cost. Encourage student participation in the College Board's online SAT preparation programs.

Students in the Medical Sciences & the Sciences/Pre-Engineering Magnet Programs:

- Are provided individualized college planning and guidance throughout four years.
- Students with level 3 FCAT Score or higher are required to take honors classes or higher; at least 2 college level math and science by the time they graduate.
- Parent meetings to discuss college readiness: AP courses, Dual Enrollment courses, Pre-requisites (Test Scores & GPA), after school SAT, ACT, & CPT prep classes.
- Summer Programs: Select and enroll qualified students in NOVA AHAC, Quest Bridge, UF STTP & FAU Engineer Scholars' Program for high school students.
- Field trips to UM/FAU medical School.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1.1 To increase monitored student reading comprehension of grade level literary and non-literary text in content area classes. 1.2 To ensure teacher readiness in meeting individual student 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading needs through skill-based, data-driven differentiated reading. instruction practices during daily instruction across the curriculum. Reading Goal #1a: 1.3 To increase students' use and continued awareness of: a. Content specific Tier 2 vocabulary b. Vocabulary associated with with the FCAT, PSAT, ACT, CPT in order to meet graduation requirements and standards of post-secondary readiness. 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 23% (195) 28%

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|
| 1 | Students' daily exposure to consistent use of relevant content and NGSSS benchmark focused before, during and after reading strategie | reading/scaffolding tools that are relevant to | Administrators Cheryl Toeller Tarachell Thomas Antonio Womack Malcolm Spence Reading Coach Masharie Powell All Department Leaders | Walkthrough's CWT 2. Lesson Plan Review for incorporation of Reading strategies 3. Classroom Visits by Reading Coaches and Team Leaders 4. Survey of completed student work 5. Progress Monitoring Assessments. 6. Lesson Plans 7. Bi-Monthly NGSSS Benchmark focused data. | cognitive Student Reflection Journals Lesson plans and teachers assessments i.e. midterms, final exams, classroom assessments that include question stems. USA Test Prep |

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading. Reading Goal #1b: | To increase the number of students scoring in the "Achieved" category of the FAA, by implementing Access Points and various reading strategies, with fidelity, thereby improving student achievement. | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| 9.1% (11) | 11% | | | |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | | 1 | i | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|---|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Students' daily exposure to the consistent use of relevant content and Access Points before, during and after reading strategy implementation | Train teachers to implement Access Points, thereby leading to an improvement in academic achievement. | Facilitators | Reading strategy implementation demonstrated during classroom walkthrough (CWT) Lesson plan review for incorporation of Access Points and reading strategies. | Classroom walk through tool Lesson Plan Review Teacher needs assessment |
| 2 | Limited professional development to support the facilitation and the implementation of Access Points and appropriate reading strategies. | nstructional staff will be exposed to the names of professional development courses in the area of: Access Points Curriculum Learning and Management System (CPALMS) training Collaborative Teaching and Planning | | Access Points Mini- workshops discussed in monthly department meetings. Reading skill implementation demonstrated through Classroom walk through. Lesson Plan Review for incorporation of Access Points, CPALMS activities and reading strategies. Teacher collaboration and planning meetings. | Monthly department meeting minutes Mini-workshop feedback Classroom walk through tool Lesson Plan Review for incorporation of Access Points, CPALMS activities and reading strategies. |
| 3 | Resources to purchase the tools necessary to provide for the full implementation of Access Points and Unique Learning System curriculum. | Collaborate with neighboring schools and their ESE team to purchase curriculum license, share reading strategies, activity ideas and Best Practices, that will improve reading scores of the FAA. | SVE teachers ESE Support Facilitators ESE Specialist ESE Administrator | Lesson Plans that demonstrate the effective planning and usage of purchased curriculum and incorporated activity/Best Practices ideas. Classroom walk through Teacher needs assessment Student work samples/portfolios | Lesson Plan Book Classroom walk through CWT tool Results of teachers needs assessment |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2.a 1 To increase monitored student independent reading comprehension in content area classes with post reading activities aligned with the bi-monthly Reading Instructional Focus Calendar.

| Level 4 in reading. Reading Goal #2a: | 2a.2 To increase at-risk students' reading comprehension support in content area classes using before, during and after reading strategies aligned with the Reading Instructional Focus Calendar and which are relevant to the course curriculum 2.3 To increase student performance in reading comprehension | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| 15.5% (131) | 21% | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | |

| | Troblem Solving Process to Thereuse Student Achievement | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Lack of and/or limited use of available resources to provide students rich and frequent experiences in independent reading. | Teachers will collaborate with media specialist to check-out resources (content themed fiction and non-fiction novels; magazines, journals etc.) from the media center to build content themed classroom libraries for weekly Silent Sustained Reading; Students will complete an after-reading response log to summarize their reading or to respond to specific benchmark-focused moderate to high level complexity questions | Cheryl Toeller Tarachell Thomas Antonio Womack Malcolm Spence | 1. Classroom Walkthrough's CWT 2. Lesson Plan Review for incorporation of Reading strategies 3. Classroom Visits by Reading Coaches and Team Leaders 4. Survey of completed student work 5. Progress Monitoring Assessments. 6. Lesson Plans 7. Bi-Monthly NGSSS Benchmark focused data. 8. FAIR Data 9. Min-benchmark assessments and Mock Tests | cognitive Student Reflection Journals Lesson plans and teachers assessments i.e. mid-terms, final exams, classroom assessments that include question stems. USA Test Prep | |
| 2 | Lack of and/or limited and consistent exposure to across-text reading experiences of complex text. | Teachers will be trained in using the Destiny Quest Portal to identify and acquire content and standard specific reading materials to engage students in reading comprehension activities that require them to analyze and synthesize information across multiple pieces of complex text. | Malcolm Spence Reading Coach | 1. Classroom Walkthrough's CWT 2. Lesson Plan Review for incorporation of Reading strategies 3. Classroom Visits by Reading Coaches and Team Leaders 4. Survey of Student completed student work 5. Progress Monitoring Assessments. 6. Lesson Plans 7. Bi-Monthly NGSSS Benchmark focused data. 8. FAIR Data 9. Min-benchmark assessments and Mock Tests | cognitive Student Reflection Journals Lesson plans and teachers assessments i.e. midterms, final exams, classroom assessments that include question stems. USA Test Prep | |
| | Students are deficient in critical thinking skills that are necessary for understanding and responding to complex texts | consistent, direct, scaffolded and rigorous | Cheryl Toeller Tarachell Thomas Antonio Womack Malcolm Spence Masharie Powell All Department Leaders | strategies 3. Classroom Visits by Reading Coaches and Team Leaders 4. Survey of Student Artifacts 5. Progress Monitoring | Marzano/iObservation "Head Talk" Cognitive/Meta- cognitive Student Reflection Journals Lesson plans and teachers assessments i.e. midterms, final exams, classroom assessments that include question | |

| 3 | writing, and speaking activities/tasks. | | stems. USA Test Prep |
|---|---|-------------------------|------------------------------|
| | | Benchmark focused data. | Assessment Data FAIR Data |
| | Strategies will include | 8. FAIR Data | BAT Data |
| | but not be limited to | 9. Min-benchmark | |
| | close reading through | assessments and Mock | |
| | text annotation with the | Tests | |
| | core cognitive processes | | |
| | of Previewing and | | |
| | Predicting, Questioning, | | |
| | making Inferences to | | |
| | evaluate and summarize | | |
| | key information text. | | |

| | | evaluate and summarize key information text. | | | | |
|------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| 1 | on the analysis of studen provement for the following | | eference to "Guidir | ng Questions", identify and | define areas in need | |
| Stude | lorida Alternate Assessn ents scoring at or above ng. ing Goal #2b: | | category of th implementing | number of students scoring ne FAA, by Access Points and various hereby improving student a | reading strategies, | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perforn | nance: | 2013 Expecte | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| 54.5% (11) | | | 55% (38) | 55% (38) | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process | to Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | The variety of learning styles amongst Students with Disabilities (SWD). | Differentiate instruction as mandated by each student's Individualized Education Plan (IEP), through the use of Classroom Planning and Learning Management | SVE teachers ESE Support Facilitators ESE Specialist ESE Administrator | Reading strategy implementation demonstrated during classroom walk through (CWT) Lesson plan review for | Classroom walk through tool Lesson Plan Review Student work samples | |

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Responsible for Monitoring | Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | The variety of learning styles amongst Students with Disabilities (SWD). | Differentiate instruction as mandated by each student's Individualized Education Plan (IEP), through the use of Classroom Planning and Learning Management System (CPALMS) activities, tools and resources. | SVE teachers ESE Support Facilitators ESE Specialist ESE Administrator | Reading strategy implementation demonstrated during classroom walk through (CWT) Lesson plan review for incorporation of Access Points and reading strategies. | Classroom walk through tool Lesson Plan Review Student work samples Student performance- informal test data (i.e. DAR, mini- checkpoints) |
| 2 | Student ability levels are more than 1-2 years below grade level. | Comply with the student's Individualized Education Plan (IEP) and use small, skill specific small group intervention groupings. | SVE teachers ESE Support Facilitators ESE Specialist ESE Administrator | Small group, skill specific instruction exhibited during classroom walk through (CWT) Lesson plan review for incorporation of Access Points and reading strategies. | Classroom walk through tool Lesson Plan Review Student work samples Student performance-informal test data (i.e. DAR, minicheckpoints) |
| 3 | Students With Disabilities (SWD) have limited background knowledge of subject matter. | knowledge through the | SVE teachers ESE Support Facilitators ESE Specialist ESE Administrator | Technology and background knowledge instruction and implementation evident during classroom walk through (CWT) Lesson plan review for incorporation of technology-based | Classroom walk through tool Lesson Plan Review Student work samples Student performance- |

| | ased on the analysis of improvement for the f | f student achievement data, and refere following group: | nce to "Guiding (| Questions", identify and | d define areas in need | |
|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| 3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #3a: | | | To increase direct student support according to individual needs. | | | |
| 2 | 012 Current Level of | Performance: | 2013 Expected I | _evel of Performance |): | |
| 5! | 5% (457) | ć | 60% | | | |
| | | Problem-Solving Process to In | crease Student | Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Students demonstrate weakness in specific benchmark and cognitive skills for successful Reading Comprehension | Students will receive instruction and opportunities to practice Reading comprehension skills using strategies including the following: Reciprocal Teaching/Retell/Summarize/Paraphrase Anticipation Guides Discuss, Preview and Predict, Monitoring/Metacognition, Summarizing, Writing to Learn, Two-Column Notes, Selective Underlining, Higher Order Questions, Question Stems and Student Generated Questions. | Womack Malcolm Spence Reading Coach Masharie Powell | 1. Classroom Walkthrough's CWT 2. Lesson Plan Review for incorporation of Reading strategies 3. Classroom Visits by Reading Coaches and Team Leaders 4. Survey of Student Artifacts 5. Progress Monitoring Assessments. 6. Lesson Plans 7. Bi-Monthly NGSSS Benchmark focused data. 8. FAIR Data 9. Min-benchmark assessments and Mock Tests | assessments i.e. mid-terms, final | |
| 2 | Students demonstrate consistent weakness in Vocabulary. | Students will receive instruction and opportunities to practice Vocabulary comprehension and acquisition skills using strategies including the following Contextual Clues Strategies, CLOZE, Direct Vocabulary Instruction, Graphic Organizers, Interactive Word Walls, Word sorts, Text, Do-Now, Anticipation Guides, Question Stems and Student Generated Questions. | Antonio Womack Malcolm Spence | 1. Classroom Walkthrough's CWT 2. Lesson Plan Review for incorporation of Reading strategies 3. Classroom Visits by Reading Coaches and Team Leaders 4. Survey of Student Artifacts 5. Progress Monitoring Assessments. 6. Lesson Plans 7. Bi-Monthly NGSSS Benchmark focused data. 8. FAIR Data 9. Min-benchmark assessments and Mock Tests | assessments i.e. mid-terms, final | |
| | Students demonstrate Weakness in Reading Fluency. | Students will receive instruction and opportunities to practice Reading Fluency using strategies including the following: | Administrators Cheryl Toeller Tarachell Thomas | 1. Classroom Walkthrough's CWT 2. Lesson Plan Review for | Marzano/iObservatio "Head Talk" Cognitive/Meta- cognitve Student | |

| | Repeated Reading, Teacher Read Aloud, Partner Reading and SSR. | Antonio Womack Malcolm Spence | Reading strategies 3. Classroom Visits by Reading Coaches and | assessments i.e. |
|---|--|--|---|--------------------------------------|
| | | Reading Coach | Team Leaders 4. Survey of Student | mid-terms, final exams, classroom |
| | | Masharie | Artifacts | assessments that |
| 3 | | Powell | 5. Progress | include question |
| | | | Monitoring | stems. |
| | | | Assessments. | USA Test Prep |
| | | All Department | | Assessment Data |
| | | Leaders | 7. Bi-Monthly NGSSS | FAIR Data |
| | | | Benchmark focused | BAT Data |
| | | | data. | |
| | | | 8. FAIR Data | |
| | | | 9. Min-benchmark | |
| | | | assessments and | |
| L | | | Mock Tests | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading. Reading Goal #3b: | Increase the number of students making Learning Gains of the FAA, by implementing Access Points and various reading strategies, with fidelity, thereby improving student achievement. |
|--|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| 45.5% (11) | 49% |

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|---|--|--|---|
| 1 | Accommodations identified not implemented across all settings. | Incorporation of manipulative, visuals, and assistive technology, in addition to core to teach reading concepts and strategies. Use picture walks to assist students in making predictions within a reading selection. Allow students to dictate written responses. | Facilitators | Classroom walk through (CWT) Lesson plan review for incorporation of manipulatives, visuals and assistive technology imbedded in individual lessons and activities. | Classroom walk through tool Lesson Plan Review Student work samples Student performance - informal test data |
| 2 | Failure to use diagnostic assessment data to effectively determine specific areas of academic need. | Use diagnostic tests to identify appropriate interventions and accommodations for each Student With Disability, that will generate skill specific strategy implementation. | SVE teachers ESE Support Facilitators ESE Specialist ESE Administrator | Demonstration of appropriate intervention and accommodations during classroom walk through. Lesson Plan review exhibiting the strategic infusion of intervention and accommodations that will generate skill specific strategies. | Classroom walk through tool Lesson Plan Review Student work samples Student performance - informal test data |
| | Limited ability for Student's With Disabilities (SWD) to stay focused. | Facilitate the use of peer supports in inclusive settings and develop activities that provide students with visual choices as presented in | SVE teachers ESE Support Facilitators ESE Specialist ESE Administrator | as presented in the Florida Alternate | Classroom walk through tool Lesson Plan Review Student work samples Student |

| the Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA) | Lesson Plan review exhibiting the strategic infusion of interventions and accommodations that will generate skill specific strategies. |
|--|--|
|--|--|

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

4.1a. To increase direct student support according to

individual needs

4. 2. To provide instructional support and remediation for students beyond the designated school hours.

4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.

4.3 To ensure teacher readiness in implementing differentiated instruction according to individual student needs by providing staff development and lesson modeling in skill based practices for daily instruction across the curriculum.

Reading Goal #4:

- 4.3a To increase students' daily use and continued awareness of:
- a. Content specific Tier 2 vocabulary
- b. Common vocabulary associated with the FCAT.

4.3b To increase monitored student independent reading comprehension in intensive reading classes with after-reading activities aligned with the Instructional Focus Calendar and NGSSS benchmarks..

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

58% (126)

63%

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|---|--|---|---|
| 1 | | Provide consistent student remediation /intervention sessions by creating and activating push-in/pull-out student support schedule. - Train/mentor students in their areas of mastery to facilitate peer push-in sessions through collaborative learning and differentiated grouping. - Intensive Reading teacher will use the RtI tier 2 intervention model to provide direct instruction in small groups based on individual student indexication in sessions through collaborative learning and differentiated grouping. | Antonio Womack Tarachell Thomas Reading Coach Masharie Powell Reading Team | Classroom Walkthrough (CWT) Lesson Plan Review for incorporation of strategies Classroom visitation by reading coach and leadership team members Teacher Needs Assessment Reading Coaches/Teacher Collaborative lesson planning and lesson implementation sessions Survey of Student Work/Artifacts | Marzano/iObservation "Head Talk" Cognitive/Meta- cognitve Student Reflection Journals Lesson plans and teachers assessments i.e. mid-terms, final exams, classroom assessments that include question stems. USA Test Prep Assessment Data FAIR Data BAT Data |
| | Students in this sub- group are unmotivated and demonstrate low participation in voluntary extended learning | Establish community partnerships to provide incentives for students' consistent attendance and high achieving | Administrators Cheryl Toeller Tarachell Thomas Antonio Womack Malcolm Spence | Classroom Walkthrough's CWT Lesson Plan Review for incorporation of Reading strategies | Marzano/iObservation "Head Talk" Cognitive/Meta- cognitive Student |

| 2 | opportunities such as after-school and Saturday tutoring sessions. | performance in the sessions. | Reading Coach Masharie Powell All Department Leaders | 3. Classroom Visits by Reading Coaches and Team Leaders 4. Survey of Student Artifacts 5. Progress Monitoring Assessments. 6. Lesson Plans 7. Bi-Monthly NGSSS Benchmark focused data. 8. FAIR Data 9. Min-benchmark assessments and Mock Tests | Reflection Journals Lesson plans and teachers assessments i.e. midterms, final exams, classroom assessments that include question stems. USA Test Prep Assessment Data FAIR Data BAT Data |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| 3 | 4.A 3.a Authentic implementation of grade level & content based vocabulary words in daily instruction 4.A 3b. To increase monitored student independent reading comprehension in intensive reading classes with after-reading activities aligned with the Instructional Focus Calendar and NGSSS benchmarks. | words through content relevant reading and authentic speaking and writing activities; integration of reinforcement practices | Leaders | 4a.3. 1. Classroom Walkthrough's CWT 2. Lesson Plan Review for incorporation of Reading strategies 3. Classroom Visits by Reading Coaches and Team Leaders 4. Survey of Student Artifacts 5. Progress Monitoring Assessments. 6. Lesson Plans 7. Bi-Monthly NGSSS Benchmark focused data. 8. FAIR Data 9. Mini-benchmark assessments and Mock Tests | 4a.3. Marzano/iObservation "Head Talk" Cognitive/Meta- cognitive Student Reflection Journals Lesson plans and teachers assessments i.e. mid-terms, final exams, classroom assessments that include question stems. USA Test Prep |

| 5A. Ambitious Measurable Obschool will red by 50%. | ojectives (AMO | s). In six year | | supplemental read | the curriculum a ding programs to | |
|--|----------------|-----------------|-----------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| Baseline data 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 |
| | 57% | 51% | 45% | 39% | 33% | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and refer of improvement for the following subgroup: | erence to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need |
|---|--|
| 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5B: | Increase student performance in Reading benchmarks. |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| White: 48% (16) Black: 61% (446) Hispanic: 51% (70) Indian: 100% (1) | White: 43% Black: 56% Hispanic: 46% Indian: 0% |

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|
| 1 | Students within the various Ethnic Subgroups demonstrate weakness in specific benchmarks | Students will receive instruction and opportunities to practice Reading comprehension skills using strategies including the following: Reciprocal Teaching, Two-Column Notes, Selective Underlining, Higher Order Questions, Question Stems and Student Generated Questions when appropriate and to meet individual student needs. | Administrators Cheryl Toeller Tarachell Thomas Antonio Womack Malcolm Spence Reading Coach Masharie Powell All Department Leaders | Disaggregation of performance data and data analysis. 8 Step Instructional Process Classroom Walkthrough by Reading Coach weekly with weekly pre and post lesson reflections with teachers Review of Lesson Plans Data Chats PLC (Professional Learning Community) NGCAR-PD (New Generation Content Area Reading Professional Development) Provide rigorous instruction in vocabulary, comprehension strategies, and high level reasoning and critical thinking skills using authentic reading, writing, and presentation tasks. Infuse NGSSS benchmark strategies with scaffolded support using highly complex, grade level content area and literary text. | Marzano/iObservation "Head Talk" Cognitive/Meta- cognitve Student Reflection Journals Lesson plans and teachers assessments i.e. mid-terms, final exams, classroom assessments that include question stems. USA Test Prep Assessment Data FAIR Data BAT Data |
| | Students within the various Ethnic subgroups demonstrate weakness in have understanding contextua | Students will receive instruction and opportunities to practice Vocabulary comprehension and | Administrators Cheryl Toeller Tarachell Thomas Antonio Womack Malcolm Spence | Classroom Walkthrough's CWT Lesson Plan Review for incorporation of Reading strategies | 1. Marzano/iObservation "Head Talk" Cognitive/Meta- cognitve Student |

| 2 | vocabulary. | acquisition skills using strategies including the following: Contextual Clues Strategies, Direct, Vocabulary Instruction, Graphic Organizers, Interactive Word Walls, and Question Stems and Student Generated Questions when appropriate to meet individual student needs. Students will also receive support through audio visual supplemental curriculum program that read question stems to students. | Reading Coach Masharie Powell All Department Leaders | 3. Classroom Visits by Reading Coaches and Team Leaders 4. Survey of Student Artifacts 5. Progress Monitoring Assessments. 6. Lesson Plans 7. Bi-Monthly NGSSS Benchmark focused data. 8. FAIR Data 9. Min-benchmark assessments and Mock Tests | Reflection Journals Lesson plans and teachers assessments i.e. mid-terms, final exams, classroom assessments that include question stems. USA Test Prep Assessment Data FAIR Data BAT Data |
|---|--|--|---|--|---|
| 3 | Students within the various Ethnic subgroups have weaknesses with regard to Reading Fluency. | Students will receive instruction and opportunities to practice Reading Fluency using strategies including the following: Repeated Reading, Teacher Read Aloud, Partner Reading, practice with short and extended reading passages, and SSR when appropriate and to meet individual student needs | Administrators Cheryl Toeller Tarachell Thomas Antonio Womack Malcolm Spence Reading Coach Masharie Powell All Department Leaders | Disaggregation of performance data and data analysis. 8 Step Instructional Process Classroom Walkthrough by Reading Coach weekly with weekly pre and post lesson reflections with teachers Review of Lesson Plans Data Chats PLC NGCAR-PD Provide rigorous instruction in vocabulary, comprehension strategies, and high level reasoning and critical thinking skills using authentic reading, writing, and presentation tasks. Infuse NGSSS benchmark strategies with scaffolded support using highly complex, grade level content area and literary text. | Marzano/iObservation "Head Talk" Cognitive/Meta- cognitive Student Reflection Journals Lesson plans and teachers assessments i.e. mid-terms, final exams, classroom assessments that include question stems. USA Test Prep Assessment Data FAIR Data BAT Data |

| | d on the analysis of studer provement for the followin | | refer | ence to "Guidir | ng Questions", identify an | d define areas in nee |
|----------------------------------|---|----------|--|--|--|-----------------------|
| | | | To assist students to become fluent English Readers and to increase performance in at least 50% of the reporting categories n=benchmarks | | | |
| 2012 | 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| 98% (56) | | | 93% | | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to Incre | | | | ncrease Stude | ent Achievement | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Res | Person or Position sponsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |

| 1 | Students in this category are non-English speaking, at the beginning level of English Language proficiency. | Reading instruction for the development of listening, speaking, reading, and writing skills for English Language Learners. | Administrators Cheryl Toeller Tarachell Thomas Antonio Womack Malcolm Spence Reading Coach Masharie Powell All Department Leaders | Disaggregation of performance data and data analysis. 8 Step Instructional Process Classroom Walkthrough Review of Lesson Plans Data Chats PLC NGCAR-PD Provide rigorous instruction in vocabulary, comprehension strategies, and high level reasoning and critical thinking skills using authentic reading, writing, and presentation tasks. Infuse NGSSS benchmark strategies with scaffolded support using highly complex, grade level content area and literary text. | Common Assessment Mini-BAT BAT FAIR CELLA |
|---|---|--|--|--|---|
| 2 | Students of ELL subgroup have weaknesses with regard to oral and written English abilities. | Students will be provided intensive Reading instruction for the development of listening, speaking, reading, and writing skills for English Language Learners. | Administrators Cheryl Toeller Tarachell Thomas Antonio Womack Malcolm Spence Reading Coach Masharie Powell All Department Leaders | Disaggregation of performance data and data analysis. 8 Step Instructional Process Classroom Walkthrough Review of Lesson Plans Data Chats PLC NGCAR-PD Provide rigorous instruction in vocabulary, comprehension strategies, and high level reasoning and critical thinking skills using authentic reading, writing, and presentation tasks. Infuse NGSSS benchmark strategies with scaffolded support using highly complex, grade level content area and literary text. | Marzano/iObservation "Head Talk" Cognitive/Meta- cognitive Student Reflection Journals Lesson plans and teachers assessments i.e. mid-terms, final exams, classroom assessments that include question stems. USA Test Prep Assessment Data FAIR Data BAT Data CELLA |
| 3 | Students of ELL subgroup have weaknesses with regard to Reading Fluency. | Students will be provided intensive Reading instruction for the development of listening, speaking, reading, and writing skills for English Language Learners. | Administrators Cheryl Toeller Tarachell Thomas Antonio Womack Malcolm Spence Reading Coach Masharie Powell All Department Leaders | Disaggregation of performance data and data analysis. 8 Step Instructional Process Classroom Walkthrough Review of Lesson Plans Data Chats PLC NGCAR-PD | Common Assessment Mini-BAT BAT Classroom Walkthrough FAIR CELLA |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| satisfactory progress in reading. | Ensure student readiness through daily instruction in the Learning Strategies curriculum or individual support facilitation, which utilizes skill-based practices to meet individual student needs. |
|------------------------------------|---|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |

| 93% | 93% (53) | | | | | |
|-----|---|---|---|--|--|---|
| | F | Problem-Solving Process | s to I r | ncrease Stud | ent Achievement | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Res | Person or Position sponsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | 5D.1. For SWD not in a Learning Strategies class, Support Facilitators and Reading Coaches need scheduled, consistent opportunities to provide direct support. | 5D.1. Collaborative Reading Coach/Learning Strategies Teacher planning and lesson implementation sessions for benchmark focused Skill of the Week Create and implement a schedule of individual and small group support facilitation sessions for remediation/intervention of students not enrolled in a Learning Strategies class. | ESE A Cher Read Admi Donn ESE ESE Facili | chell Thomas, Administrator yl Toeller, | 1. Classroom Walkthrough's CWT 2. Lesson Plan Review for incorporation of Reading strategies 3. Classroom Visits by Reading Coaches and Team Leaders 4. Survey of completed student work 5. Progress Monitoring Assessments. 6. Lesson Plans 7. Bi-Monthly NGSSS Benchmark focused data. | cognitive Student Reflection Journals Lesson plans and teachers assessments i.e. mid-terms, final exams, classroom assessments that include question stems. USA Test Prep |

| | d on the analysis of stude provement for the followir | | refere | ence to "Guidii | ng Questions", identify and | d define areas in need |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|---|
| 5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5E: | | | | , Economically Disadvanta actory progress in Reading | | |
| 2012 | 2 Current Level of Perfor | mance: | | 2013 Expect | ed Level of Performance |): |
| 65% (439) | | | | 55% | | |
| | F | Problem-Solving Process | s to I | ncrease Stud | ent Achievement | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Res | Person or Position sponsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | 5E.1. Students of the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup demonstrate consistent Weakness in two or more of the NGSSS FCAT 2.0 Reporting Categories for Reading comprehension. | 5E.1. Students will receive instruction and opportunities to practice Reading comprehension skills using strategies including the following: Reciprocal Teaching, two-Column Notes, Selective Underlining, Higher Order Questions, Question Stems and Student Generated Questions when appropriate and to meet individual student needs. | Chery Tarad Anto Malco Read Mash | inistrators yl Toeller chell Thomas nio Womack olm Spence ling Coach narie Powell | 5E.1. 1. Classroom Walkthrough's CWT 2. Lesson Plan Review for incorporation of Reading strategies 3. Classroom Visits by Reading Coaches and Team Leaders 4. Survey of completed student work 5. Progress Monitoring Assessments. 6. Lesson Plans 7. Bi-Monthly NGSSS Benchmark focused data. 8. FAIR Data | cognitive Student Reflection Journals Lesson plans and teachers assessments i.e. mid-terms, final exams, classroom assessments that include question stems. USA Test Prep |

| | | | | 9. Min-benchmark assessments and Mock Tests | |
|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| 2 | 5E.2. Students of the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup demonstrate consistent weakness in Vocabulary. | 5E.2. Students will receive instruction and opportunities to practice Vocabulary comprehension and acquisition skills using strategies including the following: Contextual Clues Strategies, CLOZE, Direct Vocabulary Instruction, Graphic Organizers, Interactive Word Walls, and Question Stems and Student Generated Questions when appropriate and to meet individual student needs. | 5.E.2. Administrators Cheryl Toeller Tarachell Thomas Antonio Womack Malcolm Spence Reading Coach Masharie Powell All Department Leaders | 5.E.2. 1. Classroom Walkthrough's CWT 2. Lesson Plan Review for incorporation of Reading strategies 3. Classroom Visits by Reading Coaches and Team Leaders 4. Survey of completed student work 5. Progress Monitoring Assessments. 6. Lesson Plans 7. Bi-Monthly NGSSS Benchmark focused data. 8. FAIR Data 9. Min-benchmark assessments and Mock Tests | cognitve Student Reflection Journals Lesson plans and teachers assessments i.e. mid-terms, final exams, classroom assessments that include question stems. USA Test Prep |
| 3 | 5E.3. Students of the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup demonstrate weaknesses in Reading Fluency. | 5E.3. Students will receive instruction and opportunity to practice Reading Fluency using strategies including the following: Repeated Reading, Teacher Read Aloud, Partner Reading and SSR. | 5E.3. Administrators Cheryl Toeller Tarachell Thomas Antonio Womack Malcolm Spence Reading Coach Masharie Powell All Department Leaders | 5E32. 1. Classroom Walkthrough's CWT 2. Lesson Plan Review for incorporation of Reading strategies 3. Classroom Visits by Reading Coaches and Team Leaders 4. Survey of Student Artifacts 5. Progress Monitoring Assessments. 6. Lesson Plans 7. Bi-Monthly NGSSS Benchmark focused data. 8. FAIR Data 9. Min-benchmark assessments and Mock Tests | Cognitive/Meta- cognitive Student Reflection Journals Lesson plans and teachers assessments i.e. mid-terms, final exams, classroom assessments that include question stems. |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|--|------------------------|---|---|--|---|---|
| Marzano | 9-12, All | Masharie Powell, Reading Coach | School-Wide | Bi-monthly according to IFC skill rotation | Review, CWT, Data Chats PLC Implementation Plan Follow-Up Form | Masharie Powell, Reading Coach Shonner Gainer, Literacy PLC Designee Cheryl Toeller, Assistant Principal |

| 0.1 | D | - II 0 | Available |
|---|--|--------------------------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount |
| "Head Talk": A Cognitive/Meta – Cognitive Toolkit for Critical Thinking | Cognitive Strategies for Reading Development | SAC | \$4,500.00 |
| | | Subtot | al: \$4,500.00 |
| echnology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| USA Test Prep Simulated Online FCAT 2.0 Reading Program | Program Renewal | General Funds | \$325.00 |
| | | Subt | otal: \$325.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading Collaborative Planning & Cognitive Strategies for Reading Development Training | Provide Substitute for "Head Talk" Cognitive Development Toolkit Training | Professional Development Funds | \$2,000.00 |
| NG-CAR PD Training | Teachers will attend district scheduled training with support from Reading Coach | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Chit-Chat Café | NGSSS, CCS, and Marzano Effective Strategies PD PLC | Perkins Grant | \$500.00 |
| | | Subtot | al: \$2,500.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Students will have the opportunity to increase their proficiency in reading. | After school reading tutoring sessions. | Perkins Grant | \$2,500.00 |
| FCAT Camp will be offered to increase student proficiency. | Saturday FCAT Camp sessions | Perkins Grant | \$5,000.00 |
| Students will development Learning Adjustment Skills (Marzano) | School-wide Literacy Journals | General Funds | \$2,000.00 |
| Copy Paper for the utilization of USA Test Prep for Homework and Text Annotation Activities | Close Reading of Text Through Text Annotation/Intensive reading Classes | SAC | \$2,500.00 |
| | | Subtotal | 1: \$12,000.00 |

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|----------------------------|--|--|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| 1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking. CELLA Goal #1: The English Language Learners will increase their proficiency level of performance by 5% on the 2013 CELLA assessment. | | | | | | | | | |
| 2012 | Current Percent of Stu | dents Proficient in lister | ning/speaking: | | | | | | |
| 32% | | | | | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of | Evaluation Tool | | | | |

| | | | Monitoring | Strategy | |
|---|---|-----------------------|--|------------------------|---|
| 1 | Teachers cannot communicate effectively with their students due to language barriers. | academic language and | English department co- team leaders | Teacher observations | Spring IPT II |
| 2 | Students are not working with the English language at grade levels | student progress. | Developmental Language teacher English department | Review of lesson plans | Teacher tests English proficiency assessments |

| Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students. | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--------|---|--|--|--|
| | udents scoring proficies A Goal #2: | nt in reading. | | The percent of students achieving proficiency level in reading will increase by 5%. | | | |
| 2012 | Current Percent of Stu | dents Proficient in read | ding: | | | | |
| 6% | | | | | | | |
| | Prol | olem-Solving Process t | to Inc | rease Stude | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Resp | Person or Position ponsible for onitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Language acquisition | ELL strategies implemented in regular classrooms (students in need of more intensive interventions). | | ing rtment | Evidence of ELL strategies being used in lesson planning | CELLA | |
| 2 | Not using reading strategies for ESOL | Sheltered academic instruction to allow students to gain reading proficiency. | | lopmental uage teacher | Evidence of ELL strategies being used in lesson planning | FCAT, BAT, Use of short, simple sentences; controlled vocabulary; visual reinforcement; frequent comprehension checks. | |

| Stude | Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students. | | | | | | |
|--|---|----------------------|--|--|-----------------|--|--|
| 3. Students scoring proficient in writing. All ELL students will show an increase of 6% in achievi writing proficiency. | | | | f 6% in achieving | | | |
| 2012 | 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in writing: | | | | | | |
| 9% | 9% | | | | | | |
| | Prol | olem-Solving Process | to Increase Stude | nt Achievement | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| | Language acquisition | Create a positive | English co-team | Progress monitoring for | CELLA | | |

| 1 | | classroom environment to accommodate the various learning styles and cultural backgrounds of the students. | | writing, lesson plans, and student work | |
|---|--|---|----------------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| 2 | Lack of vocabulary skills, grammar, sentence fluency | | English department | Writing portfolios | FCAT |
| 3 | Blending of native language in writing | 6 Traits of Writing instruction | Assistant principal over English | Writing portfolios | Monthly writing prompts |

CELLA Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| | | | \$0.00 |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developn | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| | | | \$0.00 |
| | | • | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| | | | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of CELLA Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at To increase the number of students scoring in the "Achieved" category of the FAA, by Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. implementing various mathematics strategies, with fidelity, there by improving student Mathematics Goal #1: achievement. 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 50% (6) 55% Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy 5D.1 5D.1 5D.1. 5D.1 For SWD not in a Tarachell Thomas, 1. Classroom Portfolios Collaborative learning Learning Strategies strategies, Teacher ESE Administrator Walkthrough's CWT **BAT Data** class, Support planning and lesson Cheryl Toeller, 3. Classroom Visits by Facilitators and implementation sessions Writing Mathematics mathematics for writing skills. Administrator Department chair department chair need Create and implement a Donna Robinson, 4. Survey of completed student work scheduled, consistent schedule of individual ESE Specialist opportunities to provide and small group support ESE Support 5. Progress Monitoring direct support. facilitation sessions for Facilitators Assessments. remediation/intervention Winsome Flynn, 6. Lesson Plans of students not enrolled Department Chair 9. Mock Tests in a Learning Strategies class.

| 1 | Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | | |
|----------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Mathematics Goal #2: | | | "Commended" implementing v | "Commended" category of the FAA, by implementing various mathematics strategies, with fidelity, thereby improving student | | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perfo | rmance: | 2013 Expecte | d Level of Performance | e: | | |
| 25% | (3) | | 30% | 30% | | | |
| | Pro | blem-Solving Process t | to Increase Stude | nt Achievement | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| 1 | Students' daily exposure to the consistent use of relevant content and Access Points before, during and after mathematics strategy implementation. | Train teachers to implement Access Points, thereby leading to an improvement in academic achievement. | SVE teachers ESE Support Facilitators ESE Specialist ESE Administrator | Reading strategy implementation demonstrated during classroom walkthrough (CWT) Lesson plan review for incorporation of Access | Classroom walk through tool Lesson Plan Review Teacher needs assessment | | |

| | | | | Points and mathematics strategies. | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|---|--|
| Base | d on the analysis of stud | ent achievement data, ar | nd reference to "Gu | uiding Questions", identify | v and define areas | |
| | ed of improvement for th | | | | | |
| 3. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percent of students making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3: | | | of the FAA, by Access Points | Increase the number of students making Learning Gains of the FAA, by implementing Access Points and various mathematics strategies, with fidelity, thereby improving student achievement. | | |
| 2012 | 2 Current Level of Perfo | ormance: | 2013 Expecte | ed Level of Performance | e: | |
| 56.49 | % (6) | | 60% | 60% | | |
| | Pro | blem-Solving Process | to Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Accommodations identified not implemented across all settings. | Incorporation of manipulatives, visuals, and assistive technology, in addition to core to teach mathematics concepts and strategies. Use picture walks to assist students in making predictions within a mathematics selection. Allow students to dictate written responses. | SVE teachers ESE Support Facilitators ESE Specialist ESE Administrator | Classroom walk through (CWT) Lesson plan review for incorporation of manipulatives, visuals and assistive technology imbedded in individual lessons and activities. | through tool Lesson Plan Review Student work samples Student | |

Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra. Students will maintain proficiency at level 3 or increase at Algebra Goal #1: least 1 level. 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 42% (81) 47% (90) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Students' daily exposure | Create and implement Math PLCs Classroom - CWT documents.

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| 1 | to consistent use of relevant content and NGSSS benchmark. | secondary NGSSS benchmark Instructional Focus Calendar aligned with content material. | Math Dept. Chair Math Administrator | Lesson Plan Review for incorporation of Math strategies. Monthly NGSSS Benchmark focused data. Mini-benchmark assessments | - Mini-assessment data. - Lesson Plans and Teachers assessments i.e. mid-terms, final exams, classroom assessments |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2 | Limited professional development opportunities to support ongoing teacher readiness in instruction. | Continue professional development on the NGSSS Standards and the Common Core Standards. | Math Administrator Math Dept. Chair Math PLCs | Developments focused on NGSSS and Common Core Stardards. - Sharing of best practices within the subject area Professional | minutes to ensure sharing of best |
| 3 | Student's challenges with insufficient reading skills and problem solving strategies necessary for solving word problems. | reading and problem solving strategies. | Math Dept. Chair Math PLCs | Classroom Walk-throughs to focus on problem solving strategies and reading skills. - Implementation of PLCs and common formative assessments. | Mini-assessments Classroom assessments. |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Algebra.

Algebra Goal #2:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

20% (38)

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

Process Used to

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|---|--|--|---|
| 1 | Limited emphasis on word problems that would increase the rigor in some academic courses. | word problems and free- | Math Dept. Chair Math PLCs | Community meetings where common assessments and strategies are developed And modified based on | Results of Formative student assessments and Algebra and Geometry end of course exams as well as results from twice annual BAT assessments. |
| | Students lack the metacognitive skills to | Teachers will include higher order thinking | | Teachers will infuse higher order thinking | Monitoring of Data Classroom |

| 2 | thinking problems. | teaching practices and/or higher order thinking questions when preparing assessments. | questions when formulating assessments | Assessments. BAT meetings to review, assess, and evaluate curriculum content delivery, benchmark assessments, student progress and resource requests. Additional ongoing alternative assessments will be given as well to meet the needs of the students. |
|---|---|--|---|---|
| 3 | Familiarity with computer based testing format. | students prior to EOC | EPAT meetings to train all administrators and proctors. | Results of EOC Algebra 1 Exam. |

| Based on Amb | itious but Achi | evable Annual | Measurable Objective | es (AMOs), AMO-2, I | Reading and Math Pe | erformance Target |
|--|-----------------|---------------|--|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| 3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. | | | Algebra Goal # Incorporating the achieveme | | thematics program | s to close |
| Baseline data 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 |
| | 41.7% | 35.7% | 29.7% | 23.7% | 17.7% | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, By May 2013, at least 45% of students in all ethnicity in Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making Algebra 1 or equivalent, meeting the criteria for the DOE satisfactory progress in Algebra. Accountability Rule, will achieve a level 3 on the Algebra 1 End of Course Exam(EOC) Algebra Goal #3B: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: White: 36.4% (4) White: 45% (5) Black: 40.5% (109) Black: 45.5%(122) Hispanic: 51.7% (15) Hispanic: 56.7% (16)

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| 1 | Students' challenges with insufficient reading skills and problem solving strategies necessary for solving word problems. | reading and problem | Math Dept. Chair Math PLCs | Evaluation of scores on BAT and End of Course Exams scores - Sharing of best practices through monthly PLC meetings. | Evaluation of scores on BAT and End of Course Exams scores. |
| | Students need more exposure to reading strategies in the math classroom. | research based effective | Math Dept. Chair | Math PLC and assistance | Classroom walkthroughs, BAT data, and common assessments. |

| 2 | | - Attend monthly professional development given by the Reading Coach. | Math PLCs | | |
|---|---|---|-----------|---|-----------------------------------|
| 3 | Familiarity with computer based testing format. | students prior to EOC testing. | | EPAT meetings to train all administrators and proctors. | Results of EOC Algebra 1 Exam. |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making By May 2012, 17.8% students in the English Language satisfactory progress in Algebra. Learners subgroup will achieve at or above proficiency in the Algebra 1 EOC. Algebra Goal #3C: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 12.8% (5) 17.8% (7) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Effectiveness of Responsible for Strategy Monitoring Students' challenges with Intensify the use of Math Administrator CWT to ensure use of BAT and Mini insufficient reading skills reading strategies. reading & problem solving Assessments. and problem solving -Problem solving Math Dept. Chair strategies utilized weekly strategies posted and strategies necessary for - Data will be charted. Classroom solving word used frequently Math PLCs reviewed and analyzed Walkthrough data. Problems. - Increased vocabulary Reading Coach for remediation purposes acquisitions through Lab usage student created word print- out walls. (Odyssey, and FCAT Explorer, Florida Achieves). Mini assessment Students lack of Use of word walls in all Math Administrator CWT to ensure use of mathematics vocabulary instructional classrooms vocabulary strategies. data and skills. for mastery of vocabulary Math Dept. Chair classroom associated with content assessment data. 2 and key terminology Math PLCs associated with the end-Algebra EOC of-course exam. Math Teachers scores. Due to the students' Training (school based Math Administrator CWTs to ensure use of Mini assessment inability to show and out of school) on higher order questions. data and proficiency on basic utilizing differentiated Math Dept. Chair classroom 3 skills, teachers lack the instruction to incorporate Review of PLC minutes. assessment data. ability to offer higher high order questioning Math PLCs

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and referons of improvement for the following subgroup: | ence to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need |
|--|--|
| 3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra. Algebra Goal #3D: | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| | |

Math Teachers

Review of teacher made

Assessments.

Algebra EOC

scores.

order questions in

classroom.

techniques.

| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | | |
| | | Targeted instructional strategies that will impact student progress and which are designed in consideration of the ESOL K-12 plan. | Math Administrator Math Dept. Chair Math PLCs Math Teachers | Monitoring and evaluation of teacher lesson plans and guided instruction. | Meetings to review, assess, and evaluate curriculum content delivery, benchmark assessments, student progress and resource requests. Additional ongoing alternative assessments will be given as well to meet the needs of the students. | | | |

Math Dept. Chair

Math PLCs

Math Administrator EPAT meetings to train all Results of EOC administrators and Algebra 1 Exam

proctors.

Algebra 1 Exam.

Familiarity with computer based testing format. EPAT trainings for all students prior to EOC testing.

| | | | | 1 | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| | on the analysis of student provement for the following | | eference to "Guiding | Questions", identify and o | define areas in need | | |
| 3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra. Algebra Goal #3E: | | | By May 2012 44 disadvantaged s | By May 2012 44.2% students in the Economically disadvantaged subgroup will achieve at or above proficiency in the Algebra EOC. | | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perforn | nance: | 2013 Expected | d Level of Performance: | | | |
| 39.2% | 6 (105) | | 44.2% (118) | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| 1 | | Enrichment transportation for students receiving enrichment in all academic areas. | Administration | Monitoring and evaluation of teacher lesson plans and guided instruction. | Results of EOC Algebra 1 Exam. | | |
| 2 | Lack of parental involvement in the child's education. | Invitations to Parent Universities School website with up to date information Newsletters of parent activities. | Math Administrator Math Dept. Chair Math PLCs Math Teachers | Tally sheet of conferences for these identified students. | Parent University record log. Teacher parent conference records. | | |
| 3 | Familiarity with computer based testing format. | EPAT trainings for all students prior to EOC testing. | Math Administrator Math Dept. Chair Math PLCs | EPAT meetings to train all administrators and proctors. | Results of EOC Algebra 1 Exam. | | |

Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry.

Geometry Goal #1:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

Although students took the Geometry EOC last year (2011-2012) the DOE did not report the scores in terms of levels. Thus, there is no data to determine the levels.

| | | | Person or | Process Used to | |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Position Responsible for Monitoring | Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Students' daily exposure to consistent use of relevant content and NGSSS benchmark. | Create and implement secondary NGSSS benchmark Instructional Focus Calendar aligned with content material. | Math PLCs Math Dept. Chair Math Administrator | Classroom Walkthrough's CWT - Lesson Plan Review for incorporation of Math strategies. - Monthly NGSSS Benchmark focused data. - Mini-benchmark assessments | CWT documents. - Mini- assessment data. - Lesson Plans and Teachers assessments i.e. mid-terms, final exams, classroom assessments |
| 2 | Limited professional development opportunities to support ongoing teacher readiness in instruction. | Continue professional development on the NGSSS Standards and the Common Core Standards | Math Administrator Math Dept. Chair Math PLCs | Registering and attending Professional Developments focused on NGSSS and Common Core Standards. - Sharing of best practices within the subject area Professional Learning Communities (PLC) and the mathematics department. Taking minutes to validate the meetings. | Review of PLC minutes to ensure sharing of best practices. - Approval and review of Professional Development training. |
| 3 | Student's challenges with insufficient reading skills and problem solving strategies necessary for solving word problems. | Intensify the use of reading and problem solving strategies. - Use of word walls in all instructional classrooms for mastery of vocabulary associated with content and key terminology associated with the end-of-course exam | Math Administrator Math Dept. Chair Math PLCs | Classroom Walk- throughs to focus on problem solving strategies and reading skills. - Implementation of PLCs and common formative assessments. | Mini- assessments. - Classroom assessments. |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels Students will maintain proficiency at level 4 or 5 or 4 and 5 in Geometry. increase one level through increase teaching strategies in the use of higher order thinking skills. Geometry Goal #2: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Although students took the Geometry EOC last year (2011-2012) the DOE did not report the scores in terms 20% (24) of levels. Thus, there is no data to determine the levels. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Limited emphasis on Increase the amount of Math Professional Learning Results of word problems that word problems and Administrator Community meetings Formative would increase the rigor free-response where common student in some academic Math Dept. Chair questions. assessments and assessments and courses. strategies are Algebra and Math PLCs developed Geometry end of And modified based on course exams as previous and ongoing well as results data reviews. from twice annual BAT assessments. Students lack the Teachers will include Math Teachers will infuse Monitoring of metacognitive skills to higher order thinking Administrator higher order thinking Data Classroom complete higher order teaching practices teaching practices Assessments. thinking problems. and/or higher order Math Dept. Chair and/or higher order BAT meetings to thinking questions when thinking questions wher review, assess, preparing Math PLCs formulating and evaluate assessments. assessments curriculum content delivery, benchmark assessments, 2 student progress and resource requests. Additional ongoing alternative assessments will be given as well to meet the needs of the students. Familiarity with EPAT trainings for all Math EPAT meetings to train Results of EOC computer based testing students prior to EOC Administrator all administrators and Algebra 1 Exam. format. testing. proctors. Math Dept. Chair

| Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Farget | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|---|-----------|-------------------|------------|--|--|
| 3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. | | Geometry Goal # Incorporating the achieveme | | hematics programs | s to close | | |
| Baseline data 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 | | |
| | 42% | 36% | 30% | 24% | | | |

| in need of improvement for the following subgroup: | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry. Geometry Goal #3B: | By May 2013, at least 70% of students in all ethnicity in Geometry or equivalent, meeting the criteria for the DOE Accountability Rule, will achieve a level 3 on the Geometry End of Course Exam(EOC) | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | | | |
| White: 60% (3) Black: 51.5% (53) Hispanic: 55.6% (5) | White: 70% (4) Black: 56.5% (58) Hispanic: 66% (6) | | | | | |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| 1 | Students' challenges with insufficient reading skills and problem solving strategies necessary for solving word problems. | Intensify the use of reading and problem solving strategies. - Use of word walls in all instructional classrooms for mastery of vocabulary associated with content and key terminology associated with the end-of-course exam. | Math Administrator Math Dept. Chair Math PLCs | Evaluation of scores on BAT and End of Course Exams scores - Sharing of best practices through monthly PLC meetings. | Evaluation of scores on BAT and End of Course Exams scores. |
| 2 | Students need more exposure to reading strategies in the math classroom. | Teachers will infuse research based effective reading strategies into their math curriculum. - Attend monthly professional development given by the Reading Coach. | Math Administrator Math Dept. Chair Math PLCs Reading Coach | Sharing best practices through monthly/weekly Math PLC and assistance from the reading coach. | Classroom walkthroughs, BAT data, and common assessments. |
| 3 | Familiarity with computer based testing format. | EPAT trainings for all students prior to EOC testing. | Math Administrator Math Dept. Chair Math PLCs | EPAT meetings to train all administrators and proctors. | Results of EOC Algebra 1 Exam. |

| | Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: | | | | | |
|---|---|----------|---|---|--|-----------------|
| 3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry. Geometry Goal #3C: | | | By May 2012, 25% students in the English Language Learners subgroup will achieve at or above proficiency in the Geometry EOC. | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| 14.3% (1) | | | | 25% (2) | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Re | Person or Position esponsible for | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of | Evaluation Tool |

| 1 | I | I | Marritania | Charles | l I |
|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| | | | Monitoring | Strategy | |
| 1 | Students' challenges with insufficient reading skills and problem solving strategies necessary for solving word Problems. | Intensify the use of reading strategiesProblem solving strategies posted and used frequently - Increased vocabulary acquisitions through student created word walls. | Math Administrator Math Dept. Chair Math PLCs Reading Coach | CWT to ensure use of reading and problem solving strategies utilized weekly - Data will be charted, reviewed and analyzed for remediation purposes. | BAT and Mini Assessments. Classroom Walkthrough data. Lab usage print- out (Odyssey, and FCAT Explorer, Florida Achieves). |
| 2 | Students lack of mathematics vocabulary skills | instructional classrooms for mastery of vocabulary associated with content and key terminology associated with the end-of-course | Math Administrator Math Dept. Chair Math PLCs Math Teachers | | Mini assessment data and classroom assessment data. Geometry EOC scores. |
| 3 | Due to the students' inability to show proficiency on basic skills, teachers lack the ability to offer higher order questions in classroom. | Training (school based and out of school) on utilizing differentiated instruction to incorporate high order questioning techniques. | Math Administrator Math Dept. Chair Math PLCs Math Teachers | higher order questions. Review of PLC minutes. Review of teacher made | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas n need of improvement for the following subgroup: | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| 3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry. Geometry Goal #3D: | By May 2012, 50% students in the Students with Disabilities subgroup will achieve at or above proficiency in the Geometry EOC assessment. | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | | |
| 50% (1) | 50% (1) | | | | |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|
| 1 | Increasing the percentage of ESE students with disabilities meeting high standards on the Geometry EOC. | strategies that will impact student progress and which are designed in | Administrator | Monitoring and evaluation of teacher lesson plans and guided instruction. | Meetings to review, assess, and evaluate curriculum content delivery, benchmark assessments, student progress and resource requests. Additional ongoing alternative assessments will be given as well to meet the needs of the students. |
| 2 | Familiarity with computer based testing format. | EPAT trainings for all students prior to EOC testing. | Math Administrator Math Dept. Chair | EPAT meetings to train all administrators and proctors. | Results of EOC Geometry 1 Exam. |

| Math PLCs | |
|-----------|--|

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| 3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry. Geometry Goal #3E: | By May 2012 55% students in the Economically disadvantaged subgroup will achieve at or above proficiency in the Geometry EOC | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| 50% (45) | 55% (50) | | | |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Difficulty attending afterschool and weekend enrichment sessions due to lack of transportation. | Enrichment transportation for students receiving enrichment in all academic areas. | Administration | Monitoring and evaluation of teacher lesson plans and guided instruction. | Results of EOC Geometry 1 Exam. |
| 2 | Lack of parental involvement in the child's education. | Invitations to Parent Universities School website with up to date information Newsletters of parent activities. | Math Administrator Math Dept. Chair Math PLCs Math Teachers | Tally sheet of conferences for these identified students. | Parent University record log. Teacher parent conference records. |
| 3 | Familiarity with computer based testing format. | EPAT trainings for all students prior to EOC testing. | Math Administrator Math Dept. Chair Math PLCs | EPAT meetings to train all administrators and proctors. | Results of EOC Geometry 1 Exam. |

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic | Grade | PD Facilitator and/or PLC | (e.g., PLC, subject, | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., | Strategy for Follow- | Person or Position Responsible for |
|--|---------------|--|-----------------------------------|---|--|---|
| and/or PLC Focus | Level/Subject | Leader | grade level, or school-wide) | frequency of meetings) | up/Monitoring | Monitoring |
| Use of technology in the mathematics classroom to align with new Computer Based Testing requirements: Promethean Board, Document Camera, LCD | | PLC leaders, District Staff, Math Dept. Chair | All content area teachers 9-12 | Early release PLC dates and dates selected by group members. | CWT and Monitor equipment checkout log | Math Administrator Math Dept. Chair |

| projectors, and clickers. | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|--|------------------------|---|
| Content PLC Training and Implementation of NGSSS | Grades 9-12 Algebra 1 Algebra 1A/1B Geometry Geometry Honors | All content area teachers 9-12 | Professional Study Days and Monthly PLC meetings | Minutes of meetings | Math Administrator Math Dept. Chair |

Mathematics Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Mate | erial(s) | | |
|--|---|----------------|-----------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amoun |
| Gizmo | Online remediation used to prepare student for EOC in Mathematics and Science | | \$600.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$600.0 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Clickers | E-Instruction Clickers for the classroom | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Calculators (Graphing, Scientific, and 4 function) | Daily Instruction in classroom | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Technology training: GeoGebra, Promethean Board; Document Cameras; Online ancillary materials | Technology Integration | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Odyssey, Focus, Florida Achieves | Online tutorial in Mathematics | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Classroom set of Textbooks for EOC subjects | Daily classroom instruction | N/A | \$0.00 |
| <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$600.00 |

End of Mathematics Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.

Science Goal #1:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

To increase the number of students scoring in the "Achieved" category of the FAA, by implementing Access Points and various science strategies, with fidelity, thereby improving student achievement.

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

40% (4)

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|-----------------------|---------------------------|---|--|-----------------|
| | For SWD not in a | Collaborative learning | Tarachell Thomas, | | Portfolios |
| | Learning Strategies | strategies, Teacher | | Walkthrough's CWT | |
| | class, Support | planning and lesson | Cheryl Toeller, | 3. Classroom Visits by | |
| | Facilitators and | implementation sessions | WritingAdministrator | Science Department | |
| | Science department | for science skills. | Donna Robinson, | chairs | |
| | chairs need | Create and implement a | ESE Specialist | 4. Survey of | |
| 1 | scheduled, consistent | schedule of individual | ESE Support | completed student | |
| | opportunities to | and small group support | Facilitators | work | |
| | provide direct | facilitation sessions for | Dorothy Gregg, | 5. Progress Monitoring | |
| | support. | remediation/intervention | Science | Assessments. | |
| | | of students not enrolled | Department Co- | 6. Lesson Plans | |
| | | in a Learning Strategies | Chair | 9. Mock Tests | |
| | | class. | | | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring Increase the number of students scoring in the at or above Level 7 in science. "Commended" category of the FAA, by implementing science strategies, with fidelity, thereby improving Science Goal #2: student achievement. 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 30% (3) 35% Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Train teachers to Reading strategy Students' daily Classroom walk Train teachers to exposure to the implement Access implement implementation through tool consistent use of Points, thereby leading Access Points, demonstrated during relevant content and to an improvement in thereby leading classroom walkthrough Lesson Plan Access Points before, academic to an (CWT) Review during and after achievement. improvement in science strategy Lesson plan review for Teacher needs academic implementation. achievement. incorporation of assessment Access Points and science strategies.

Biology End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Biology.

Biology Goal #1:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

48 % (192) of the ninth and tenth graders (400)

30% of the ninth and tenth graders will perform in the

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

reading/writing/learning

strategies within the daily activities (pre-

reading, vocabulary

reading, during

strategies, KWL,

improvement

charts, etc.)

Tarachell Thomas

Master scheduler

Tarachell Thomas

Guidance

reports quarterly

walkthroughs to

ensure that common

are being conducted

core literacy strategies

Classroom

Teacher tests

documentation

Activities

| 4 | | Training and/or implementation of CRISS/McRel/common core strategies for science literacy Practice use of prefixes, suffixes and root words Use of nonlinguistic representations and modeling to assist all students, including ELL and ESE students. Fully support the school-wide literacy initiative using Common Core Standards. Students will be placed in the appropriate biology course based on their previous science course grades and the district course progression chart. | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|---|
| 5 | | Conduct weekly lab activities according to the curriculum map for their particular course. Use a departmental lab report format. Conduct inquiry based lab activities. | Science Administrator – Tarachell Thomas Science Coach – Dorothy Gregg | Review lesson plans, documents, syllabi, lab reports Classroom walkthroughs/visits to ensure that laboratory activities being conducted | Lesson plans Teacher tests Activities documentation |
| 6 | Schedule change from 90 minutes for half the year to 50 minutes for the entire year | District and school | Science Administrator – Tarachell Thomas Science Coach – Dorothy Gregg | documents, syllabi | In-service records PLC logs |

| | d on the analysis of stud in need of improvement | | | Guiding Questions", ide | entify and define | |
|---|---|----------|--|---|--------------------|--|
| 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology. Biology Goal #2: | | | | To increase the number of students proficient in the top third tier on the Biology EOC exam | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expecte | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| 23 % (92%) of ninth and tenth graders (400) were in the top third tier performance | | | n 35% of ninth a tier. | nd tenth graders will b | e in the top third | |
| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of | Evaluation Tool | |

| | | 1 | Monitoring | Strategy | |
|---|--|--|--|---|---|
| 1 | Teacher unfamiliarity with the Next Generation Biology Standards. | Professional development throughout the year to unwrap the standards Review of district Instructional Focus Calendar | Science Administrator – Tarachell Thomas Science Coach – Dorothy Gregg | Review lesson plans quarterly Weekly classroom visits/CWT to ensure understanding of standards | In-service records PLC logs to review minutes to ensure standards are being unwrapped |
| | | Common planning for biology teachers Professional Learning Community for research and Biology teachers | | | and explained Administrative chats |
| | Teacher unfamiliarity with the Item Test Specs for the Biology | District and school training | Science Administrator – Tarachell Thomas | Review of lesson plans and tests quarterly | Administrative Chats |
| 2 | EOC exam. | Professional Learning Community for Biology teachers Sharing of best practices and laboratory activities | Science Coach – Dorothy Gregg | Snapshots/Classroom Walkthroughs to ensure teacher familiarity of test specs weekly | Test results |
| | Students have difficulty retaining | Differentiating Instruction | Science Administrator – | Review of lesson plans and tests quarterly | Administrative chats |
| | concepts over time. | Daily review of previous concepts Comprehensive tests that include material covered from previous topics. | Tarachell Thomas Science Coach – Dorothy Gregg | | GIZMOS and USAtestprep activity logs Attendance at the Afterschool 21st Century |
| | | Training and use of Selected instructional materials to be used to reinforce and review biological concepts over time | | Concept word wall | Tutoring Program |
| 3 | | Use of computer simulations to reinforce concepts | | | |
| | | Use of USAtestprep/ GIZMOS programs to reinforce concepts | | | |
| | | Afterschool 21st Century Tutoring Program Review of lesson plans and tests quarterly | | | |
| | | Snapshots/Classroom Walkthroughs to ensure evidence of differentiating instruction and review weekly | | | |
| | Maintain or increase | Concept word wall | Sciones | Doulou loccon aleas | Loccop plans |
| | Maintain or increase reading scores. | Incorporate appropriate high-yield reading/writing strategies within the | Science Administrator – Tarachell Thomas | Review lesson plans, documents, syllabi, lab reports quarterly | Lesson plans Teacher tests |
| | | daily activities (pre-reading, during reading, vocabulary improvement strategies, KWL, | Science Coach – Dorothy Gregg | Classroom walkthroughs to ensure that common core literacy strategies are being conducted | Activities documentation |

| 4 | | Charts Training and/or implementation of CRISS/McRel/common core strategies for science literacy Practice use of prefixes, suffixes and root words Use of nonlinguistic representations and modeling to assist all students, including ELL and ESE students. Fully support the school-wide literacy initiative using Common Core Standards. Students will be placed in the school in th | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| | | in the appropriate biology course based on their previous science course grades and the district course progression chart. | | | |
| 5 | Inability to effectively process and solve scientific problems using the scientific method | Conduct weekly lab activities according to the curriculum map for their particular course. Use a departmental lab report format. Conduct inquiry based lab activities. | Dorothy Gregg | Review lesson plans, documents, syllabi, lab reports Classroom walkthroughs/visits to ensure that laboratory activities being conducted | Lesson plans Teacher tests Activities documentation |
| 6 | Schedule change from 90 minutes for half the year to 50 minutes for the entire year | District and school based workshops | Science Administrator – Tarachell Thomas Science Coach – Dorothy Gregg | Review lesson plans, documents, syllabi Classroom walkthroughs to ensure that the Biology IFC is being followed | In-service records PLC logs |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Science Literacy | 9-12 | | Departmental | Davialonment Davis | Classroom | Dorothy Gregg Kienna Knowles Pedro Torres |
| Biology EOC | 9-12 | District and Science Coach | Biology Teachers | Summer 2012 and throughout the year | Lesson plans Teacher chats Classroom visits/CWT | Dorothy Gregg |

Science Budget:

| Ctratagy | Description of Description | Funding Course | Available |
|---------------------------|--|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| USAtestprep – Biology EOC | Online remediation used to prepare students for Biology EOC exam | Accountability - SAC | \$300.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$300.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$300.00 |

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | d on the analysis of studed of improvement for the | ent achievement data, ar e following group: | nd reference to "Gu | uiding Questions", identify | y and define areas | |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| 1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing. Writing Goal #1a: | | | specialized teal prompt review basic skills, and minimally realignmore focus on selections as stassessments b | Ensure full mastery of writing process by giving specialized team support through pull-outs, focusing on prompt review and analysis, prompt dissection, review of basic skills, and monthly revisions. In addition, we will minimally realign the Spring Board curriculum to allow more focus on FCAT Writing by using the core reading selections as steering for monthly prompts, mini assessments based on teacher prescribed lessons, and adherence to school-wide writing initiative. | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perfo | ormance: | 2013 Expecte | ed Level of Performance | e: | |
| 81% (317) | | | 85% | 85% | | |
| | Pro | blem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| | Students' weaknesses in grammar and word choice. | Teaching students grammar through minilessons on certain skills. Teachers will have Word Walls with words students can use in their writing. Teachers | Kristin Potter, Co- Department Leader, Steve Woloszn, Department Leader, Cheryl Toeller, Intern | Classroom Walkthroughs, Lesson Study Groups, Administer weekly mini- assessments on the skill of the week. | Informal Observation, Binder checklist, Data Chat results, Student Writing | |

| 1 | | | will model the use of these words in the classroom in oral communication with students. Teachers will Vocabulary for High School Student books to help students improve vocabulary. | Principal | | Folders |
|---|---|---|--|--|---|--|
| 2 | 2 | Students' lack of planning, writing and revision skills. | Students will be given one formal prompt a month to write and will be given the opportunity to revise that prompt two weeks later. Students will track their progress by keeping portfolios. Mini lessons will focus on planning strategies as well as strategies for revision. Fall and Spring Writing Conference in Media Center Teachers will give feedback to students on their writing during in-class writing conferences. | Kristin Potter, Co- Department Leader, Steve Woloszn, Department Leader, Cheryl Toeller, Intern Principal | Classroom Walkthroughs, Lesson Study Groups, Student Writing Conferences | Informal Observation, Binder checklist, Data Chat results, Student Writing Folders |
| 3 | 3 | Students relying on formulaic writing and not able to write essays with supporting evidence and a variety of sentences. | Students will be taught how to write expository and persuasive essays. Students will learn persuasive techniques such as logic. Additionally, students will learn how to effectively use transitional | Kristin Potter, Co- Department Leader, Steve Woloszn, Co- Department Leader, Cheryl Toeller, Intern Principal | Classroom Walkthroughs, Lesson Study Groups, Student Writing Conferences | Informal Observation, Binder checklist, Data Chat results, Student Writing Folders |

| | d on the analysis of stude ed of improvement for the | | nd reference to "Gu | uiding Questions", identif | y and define areas | |
|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing. Writing Goal #1b: | | | planning and w facilitation, wh | Ensure student readiness through daily instruction in planning and writing strategies or individual support facilitation, which utilizes skill-based practices to meet individual student needs. | | |
| 2012 | 2 Current Level of Perfo | rmance: | 2013 Expecte | d Level of Performance | e: | |
| 71% (5) | | | 75% | 75% | | |
| | Prol | blem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | scheduled, consistent opportunities to provide | Collaborative learning strategies, Teacher planning and lesson implementation sessions for writing skills. Create and implement a schedule of individual and small group support facilitation sessions for | Administrator Donna Robinson, ESE Specialist ESE Support | | Student Reflection Journals Portfolios BAT Data | |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|--|------------------------|---|--|---|---|--|
| CCSS- transitioning from the Sunshine State Standards to the Common Core State Standards to fulfill requirements of the End of Course Exams and the PARCC assessment | English 9-12 | STAVA | English department/school- wide | Meet for a minimum of 1 hour a month on employee planning days, early release days, lunch meetings and after school meetings | Binder with all PLC meeting dates, agenda, minutes, goals and samples of lessons and student work. | Kristin Potter Steve Woloszn |
| Training for FCAT 2.0 Writing | English 9-10 | | All 9th and 10th grade writing teachers | October or November 2012 | Follow up assignment for training | Kristin Potter Steve Woloszn |

Writing Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|--|---|--|---------------------|
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | Su | btotal: \$0.0 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amoun |
| Analyze model essay writing through use of document cameras. | Technology-Document Cameras | Document cameras available in media center for check out | \$0.00 |
| | | Su | btotal: \$0.0 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amoun |
| FCAT 2.0 Writing Training | Training on the new requirements for scoring FCAT 2.0 Writing | Professional Development/Substitutes | \$833.00 |
| | | Subto | otal: \$833.0 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amoun |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | Cu | btotal: \$0.0 |

U.S. History End-of-Cource (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. By May 2013, 75% of students (273) will receive a 70% History. or higher on the United States History End of the Course exam. U.S. History Goal #1: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Currently the data from the 2012 US History EOC field By May 2013, 75% of students will receive a passing test has not been released from the Florida Department score on the End of the Course exam for United States History. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Determine Position Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Teachers are unfamiliar Professional Social Studies Review Lesson Plans In-service with Next Generation Development Administrator quarterly Records Sunshine State throughout the year to Classroom walkthroughs unwrap the standards Standards in American Social Studies to ensure History Department Chair understanding of Implementation of standards **Broward School District** Administrative data Pacing Guides. chats PLC meetings All American History Professional Learning Professional PLC Logs to teachers do not have Community Learning review minutes the same/ common Community Facilitator planning period Teachers unfamiliar District and school Social Studies Monitor Pacing Guide Sample of with Item Test Specs Administrator Implementation Student Work training for the United States Distribution of Item Social Studies Test results History EOC Test Specs EOC Dept. Team Administrative Data District Mid-Term Professional Learning Leader Chats Exam Community PLC Facilitator Implement US History Pacing Guide Students have difficulty Differentiating Social Studies Vocabulary Word Wall Samples of grasping concepts and Instruction Administrator Student Work retention of information Social Studies Common Board Test results Dept. Team Configuration Leader PLC Facilitator

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and r in need of improvement for the following group: | eference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas |
|---|--|
| 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels4 and 5 in U.S. History.U.S. History Goal #2: | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| | |
| Problem-Solving Process to I | ncrease Student Achievement |

| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | tor | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
|---------------------|----------|-----|--|-----------------|--|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | (e.g. , PLC, | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--------------|--|------------------------|--|
| HS US History Textbook Workshop | 9-12 | Tonya | | | PLC Meeting Minutes | Malcolm Spence Tonya Sevalia |

U.S. History Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | ım(s)/Material(s) | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | ent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of U.S. History EOC Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:

| 1. Attendance | |
|---------------------|---|
| Attendance Goal #1: | Increase daily and average attendance rate by 2%. |

| 2012 Current Attendance Rate: | 2013 Expected Attendance Rate: | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| 89.6% (1534) | 92% | | | |
| 2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) | 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) | | | |
| 58.7% (1011) | 55% | | | |
| 2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) | 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) | | | |
| .01% (7) | .01% | | | |
| Problem-Solving Process t | o Increase Student Achievement | | | |
| | Person or Process Used to | | | |

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|---|--|---|----------------------------------|
| 1 | Parental awareness of child's attendance record and the importance of adhering to the attendance policy. | Parents will be contacted after third absence. Notify administration after a 5-day patterns of non-attendance. Communication of district's attendance policy at Orientation, SAC, newsletter & website. Social Worker interventions as needed. | Teachers, | and monthly attendance reports to determine effectiveness by the leadership team. | Monthly attendance reports |
| 2 | Reminders to teachers to post their attendance on pinnacle, daily, every block. School – based incentive for teachers, aim at improving the reporting of tardies and absences. | Review of daily, weekly and monthly attendance reports to determine effectiveness by the leadership team | | Monthly attendance reports of students | Monthly attendance reports |
| 3 | Assemblies, orientation, small-group conferencing and mentoring. Mentors, peer counselors, wake-up calls. Incentives | One – on – one conferences Classroom Observations Internal Suspension Attendance log Attendance recognition breakfast. | | Student attendance reports | Monthly attendance reports |

(PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|
| Attendance Policy Training | 9-17 | Assistant Principal | School-Wide | Early Release Days Planning days | | Assistant Principal |
| CHAMPS for Behavior | 9-12 | District Facilitated | School-Wide | TDA According to district schedule | Teacher Blogging Sessions PLC Records | In-service coordinator Assistant Principal |
| Drop out prevention PLC | 9-17 | PLC Facilitator | | Planning days | Attendance Report; PLC minutes and follow-up activities | PLC Facilitator |

Attendance Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | m(s)/Material(s) | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amoun |
| | | - | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| | | | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| Professional Developme | ent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | | | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Attendance Goal(s)

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement: | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Suspension To reduce the suspension rate and improve school-wid | | | | | |
| Suspension Goal #1: | discipline. | | | | |
| 2012 Total Number of In-School Suspensions | 2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions | | | | |
| 26% (454) | 22% | | | | |

| 2012 | Total Number of Stude | ents Suspended In-Sch | 2013 Expecte School | d Number of Students | Suspended In- | |
|--------------|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| 15% (261) | | | 10% | 10% | | |
| 2012 | Number of Out-of-Sch | ool Suspensions | 2013 Expecte Suspensions | d Number of Out-of-So | chool | |
| .01% | (25) | | .01% | | | |
| 2012 Scho | Total Number of Stude ol | ents Suspended Out-of- | - 2013 Expecte of-School | d Number of Students | Suspended Out- | |
| .01% | (25) | | .01% | | | |
| | Prol | olem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | instruction) leading to | Professional development training in Differentiated Instruction, CHAMPS, CRISS, Framework for Understanding Poverty Common Lesson Planning Templates within subject areas Teacher Mentoring/NESS | Team Leaders NESS Coaches Peer Mentors Leadership Team | Suspension Report- DMS Referral Data | Administrative Data Chats Staff Development Report Lesson Plan Review | |
| 2 | Lack of positive parental involvement | program Implementation of Parent Involvement Plan | Assistant Principal Parent Involvement Liaison | Parental Involvement Suspension Rate Referral Data | Sign- in sheets Data chats | |
| 3 | Students are not following the Code of Conduct, leading to increased student referrals | Peer Counseling RtI Interventions Child Study Review Consistent use of SBBC discipline Matrix Promote extracurricular involvement | Assistant Principal RtI Team Leadership Team Club and organization sponsors | Suspension Rate Referral Data | Peer Counseling Review RtI Data Participation in extracurricular activities | |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | (e.g. , PLC, | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--------------|--|--|--|
|---|------------------------|---|--------------|--|--|--|

| RtI Team Training | 9-12 | Antonio Womack (Assistant Principal) | Core RtI Team All Teachers | Professional Study Days Pre-Planning Week | In-service Records RtI Reports Teacher Blogging Sessions PLC Records | Antonio Womack (Assistant Principal) Kimberly Williams (In- service coordinator) |
|----------------------|------|---|-------------------------------|--|---|--|
| CHAMPS | 9-12 | District Facilitated | School-Wide | TDA According to district schedule Pre-Planning Week | In-service Records Teacher Blogging Sessions PLC Records | Kimberly Williams (In- service coordinator) Assistant Principal |

Suspension Budget:

| | | | Available |
|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount |
| N/A | | | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | | | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developme | ent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | | | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Suspension Goal(s)

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Note: Required for High School - F.S., Sec. 1003.53

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement: | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 1. Dropout Prevention Dropout Prevention Goal #1: *Please refer to the percentage of students who dropped out during the 2011-2012 school year. | To Increase graduation rates. | | | | |
| 2012 Current Dropout Rate: | 2013 Expected Dropout Rate: | | | | |
| .25% (7) | .22% | | | | |
| 2012 Current Graduation Rate: | 2013 Expected Graduation Rate: | | | | |

| 87% | 87% (409) | | | 89% | | | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | | | |
| 1 | Incoming 9th graders identified in the 'At Risk" category need additional attention to assist them with their transition to high school. | All 9th gaders have been placed in a small learning community "BEST ACADEMY" to enhance their academic and social transition from Middle School to High School. There is an administrator and a guidance counselor assigned to the BEST Academy. | Malcolm Spence Administrator Guidance Counselor Analyze student data | Analyze student data Teacher Data Chats | Review of student data of the "At Risk" students at the end of the 2011-2012 school year and cohort graduation rates Review Student Grade and discipline data | | | | |
| 2 | Students lack understanding of state requirements for graduation. | Guidance Department will conduct Data Chats with students to review graduation requirements. Grade level assemblies will be scheduled for all students with Grade Level Counselor to review graduation requirements. Counselors will schedule meetings with all 11th and 12th grade students to review graduation requirements. BRACE Advisor will meet with students in small groups. Parent Academy will be scheduled on graduation and college requirement. | Buffy Phillips, Brace Advisor Antonio Williams, Guidance Director and 9th grade Guidance Counselor | Analyze student data, educate students and parents on the use of Pinnacle and review information from student focus group survey. Student sign-in sheets for individual appointments with counselors | Analyze and track graduation data Review BRACE Advisor logs | | | | |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| N/A | | | | | |

| Evidence-based Progra | am(s)/Material(s) | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | | | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | | | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | ent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | | | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | d on the analysis of pare ed of improvement: | nt involvement data, and | I reference to "Guid | ding Questions", identify | and define areas | |
|-------|---|---|---|--|---|--|
| 1. Pa | rent Involvement | | | | | |
| *Plea | nt Involvement Goal # se refer to the percenta cipated in school activitie plicated. | ge of parents who | | To increase participants of parents and students in school and community trainings by 28%. | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Parer | nt Involvement: | 2013 Expecte | ed Level of Parent Invo | Ivement: | |
| 47% | (889(| | 75% | 75% | | |
| | Pro | blem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Working and single parents with children who would need supervision | Early communication Employ a ESOL para- professional for child care and advertise this service | Kimberly Williams Cheryl Hodgson- Toeller | Parent Attendance Survey Feedback | Parent Conference logs Sign-in sheets | |
| 2 | English is not the first language for many of the parents. | Create flyer in a Creole and Spanish | Olga Ramos Rosie Joseph Kimberly Williams Cheryl Hodgson- Toeller | Parent attendance records | Sign-in sheets Parent surveys | |

| | This time may interfere with homework time for | | , | Parent attendance records and feedback | Sign-in sheets Parent surveys | |
|--|--|-----------------------|---|--|----------------------------------|--|
| | students and maybe dinner. | students in childcare | | | J | |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| ESOL Family Night | 9-12/All subjects | Olga Ramos/Rosie Joseph | ELL parents | October 11, 2012 | Administrative/Teacher Data Chat | Tarachell Thomas Cheryl Hodgson- Toeller Kimberly Williams |
| Literacy Family Night | 9-12/Reading | Reading department Cheryl Hodgson- Toeller | 9-12 Grade Parents | December 11, 2012 | Administrative/Teacher Data Chat | Cheryl Hodgson- Toeller Kimberly Williams |
| College Family Night | All students | Buffie Phillips | All Students. Focus on 11-12 grade parents. | December 2012 | Guidance Classroom visits/logs | Tarachell Thomas Cheryl Hodgson- Toeller Kimberly Williams |

Parent Involvement Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Ma | terial(s) | | |
|---|---|----------------|------------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amoun |
| Curriculum Parent Trainings Literacy, ESOL, EOC and College Family Night. | Instructional Books, Copied Handouts, and Teacher Salaries | School Budget | \$2,000.00 |
| Paraprofessional and Child Care for parent trainings | For the above mentioned trainings | School Budget | \$500.00 |
| Refreshments for parent trainings | For the above mentioned parent trainings | School Budget | \$200.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$2,700.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | | | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Curriculum Facilitator-Teacher Trainings | Teacher salaries | School budget | \$2,000.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$2,000.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$4,700.0 |

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based | I on the analysis of school | ol data, identify and defir | ne areas in need of | improvement: | |
|-------|---|---|--|---|---|
| 1. ST | EM I Goal #1: | | based learning | TEM into the curriculum to collaborate to share be thinking and college/carts. | st practices, |
| | Prol | olem-Solving Process t | to Increase Stude | nt Achievement | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Teachers unfamiliarity with the Next Generation Science Standards No Professional Study Days. No common planning for STEM teachers. | Professional development throughout the year to unwrap the standards. Review of district Instructional Focus Calendar Professional Learning Community for STEM teachers. | Science Administrator Department Co- chair | Review Lesson Plans Quarterly. Weekly classroom visits/CWT to ensure understanding of standards | In-service records. PLC logs to review minutes to ensure standards are being unwrapped and explained. Administrative chats. |
| 2 | Inadequate technology for classroom size | Create a technology/equipment schedule Arrange students into working teams. Sharing of best practices and laboratory activities for technology integration | Science Administrator Department Co- chair | Classroom visits | PLC follow up activities |
| 3 | Students have difficulty retaining concepts over time. | Differentiating | Science Administrator Department Co- chair | Review lesson plans, documents, syllabi, lab reports quarterly. Snapshots/Classroom walkthroughs to ensure evidence of differentiating instruction and review weekly. Concept word wall | Administrative chats Attendance at the after school 21st Century Tutoring Program |
| | Low reading scores | Incorporate appropriate high-yield reading/writing/learning strategies within the daily activities (pre-reading, during reading, vocabulary improvement strategies, KWL, charts, etc.) Training and/or implementation of CRISS/McRel/Common core | Administrator Department Co- Chair Master Scheduler | Review lesson plans, documents, syllabi, lab reports quarterly. Classroom walkthroughs to ensure that common core literacy strategies are being conducted. | Lesson plans Teacher tests Activities documentation |

| 4 | | strategies for science literacy. Practice use of prefixes, suffixes and root words. Use of nonlinguistic representations and modeling to assist all students, including ELL and ESE students. Fully support the school-wide literacy initiative. | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| 5 | Inability to effectively process and solve scientific problems using the scientific method | Conduct weekly lab activities according to the curriculum map for their particular course. Use a departmental lab report format. Conduct inquiry based lab activities. | Science Administrator Department Co- chair | Review lesson plans, documents, syllabi, lab reports quarterly. Classroom walkthroughs to ensure that laboratory activities being conducted. | Lesson plans Teacher tests Activities documentation |
| 6 | Schedule change from 90 minutes for half the year to 50 minutes for the entire year. | District and school based workshops | Science Administrator Department Co- chair | Review lesson plans, documents, syllabi, lab reports quarterly. Classroom walkthroughs to ensure that the IFC's are being followed. | |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| PLC for STEM teachers | 9-12 | District | Math & Science teachers: 9-12 grade | Monthly: early release & lunch meetings | Lesson plans Sharing best practices for Project-based learning Collaboration via Learning Community Classroom visits/CWT | Dr. Pedro Torres |

STEM Budget:

| Evidence-based Progr | am(s)/Material(s) | | |
|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | | | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |

| Technology | | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | | - | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developme | ent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | | | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based | on the analysis of scho | ol data, identify and defir | ne areas in need of | improvement: | |
|-------|---|---|--|--|--|
| 1. CT | Goal #1: | blem-Solving Process t | college readine who achieve Ir areas specific t 86%(435) to | | nber of students arious content |
| | FIU | biem-solving Frocess (| o merease stude | ent Achievement | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Inappropriate placement into class levels | Identify the number of students who meet industry certification criteria and work closely with guidance to ensure that these students are correctly placed in the different sections of the disciplines | Antonio Womack, Marcos Pernas, Dorreen Kennedy, and Vocational and Business CTE Teachers. | Classroom Walk - Throughs | Lessson Plans and teacher assessment. Example mid terms, final exams and classroom assessment that includes practice test according certification criteria |
| 2 | Diversity level of comprehension skills and background of the students. | Teacher implementation of Differentiated Instruction | Antonio Womack, Marcos Pernas, Dorreen Kennedy, and Vocational and Business CTE Teachers. | Lesson Plan Review for inclusion of CTE and Common Core Strategies. | Lessson Plans and teacher assessment. Example mid terms, final exams and classroom assessment that includes practice test according certification criteria |
| 3 | Decoding information and assimilate information | Technology infusion Team/Peer Tutoring | Antonio Womack, Marcos Pernas, Dorreen Kennedy, and Vocational and Business CTE teachers. | Provide practice test at regular intervals to determine student level | Lessson Plans and teacher assessment. Example mid terms, final exams and classroom assessment that |

| | | | | | includes practice test according certification criteria |
|---|--|---|--|---|---|
| 4 | Decoding information and assimilate information | Technology infusion Team/Peer Tutoring | Antonio Womack, Marcos Pernas, Dorreen Kennedy, and Vocational and Business CTE teachers. | Provide practice test at regular intervals to determine student level | teacher |
| 5 | Decoding information and assimilate information | Technology infusion Team/Peer Tutoring | Antonio Womack, Marcos Pernas, Dorreen Kennedy, and Vocational and Business CTE teachers. | Provide practice test at regular intervals to determine student level | teacher |
| 6 | Student attendance and lack of parental involvement | Staff telephone call, letter to parent or parent conference with teacher and grade level administrator | | Monitor student attendance reports and parent conference logs in guidance department | assessment. |
| 7 | | Community Partnerships: The Culinary Arts program has partnerships with: Broward County Public Schools North Area ESE Secretaries, North Area Supervisors Office, Johnson and Wales, Fountain and Blue, Art Institute, Lincoln Culinary School of Arts, YMCA, Blanche Ely Faculty and Staff, and Missionary Baptist Church. The Early Childhood Education program has partnerships with: Hopewell Preschool, Shaffer's Academy and Preschool, In the Spirit Preschool and Nova Southeastern University. The Nursing program has partnerships with Broward County District Hospitals (Coral Springs | and Vocational and Business CTE teachers. | Practice tests to monitor student progress | Technical Certification and college readiness rates |

| Johns Rehab), VISTA, and Life Alliances and Organ Recovery Agency at University of Miami. The Drafting program has partnerships with West Point Bridge Designs and Bergeron Rodeo Grounds. | | |
|---|--|--|
| The Business program has partnerships with the National Academy Foundation, Devry University, ITWomen Foundation, BEHS Science/Pre-Engineering BOD, Florida Atlantic University, Florida International University, and Broward County NAF Academies Advisory Board. | | |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|
| Industry Certification | 9-12 | Marcos Pernas/Dorreen Kennedy | Vocational and Business teachers | 9/27/2012, 10/25/12, 1/17/13, 2/7/13, 3/21/13, and 4/6/13 | Classroom Walk Throughs Lesson Plan Review for inclusion of CTE and Common Core Strategies. Sharing of best practices within the professional learning communities Provide practice test at regular intervals to determine student's level | Antonio Womack, |

CTE Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s | s)/Material(s) | | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| HESI | Testing package PN students | Perkins | \$2,639.00 |
| Nursing Consortium | Centralized clinical placement system | Perkins | \$1,200.00 |
| First Lab | Drug Screening | Perkins | \$416.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$4,255.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |

Amount

| N/A | | | \$0.00 |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developn | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | | | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$4,255.00 |

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

N/A Goal:

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | Ν | lo Data Submitted | d | | |

Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | am(s)/Material(s) | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of N/A Goal(s)

FINAL BUDGET

| Strategy "Head Talk": A Cognitive/Meta – Cognitive Toolkit for Critical Thinking Gizmo | Description of Resources Cognitive Strategies for Reading Development Online remediation used to prepare student for EOC in Mathematics and Science | Funding Source SAC | \$4,500.00 \$600.00 |
|---|--|--|--|
| Cognitive/Meta — Cognitive Toolkit for Critical Thinking Gizmo | for Reading Development Online remediation used to prepare student for EOC in Mathematics and | SAC | |
| N/A | used to prepare student for EOC in Mathematics and | | 00.000 |
| | | | \$000.00 |
| | | | \$0.00 |
| \/Δ | | | \$0.00 |
| 1//1 | | | \$0.00 |
| Curriculum Parent Frainings Literacy, ESOL, EOC and College Family Night. | Instructional Books, Copied Handouts, and Teacher Salaries | School Budget | \$2,000.00 |
| Paraprofessional and Child Care for parent trainings | For the above mentioned trainings | School Budget | \$500.00 |
| Refreshments for parent trainings | For the above mentioned parent trainings | School Budget | \$200.00 |
| N/A | | | \$0.00 |
| HESI | Testing package PN students | Perkins | \$2,639.00 |
| Nursing Consortium | Centralized clinical placement system | Perkins | \$1,200.00 |
| First Lab | Drug Screening | Perkins | \$416.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$12,055.00 |
| | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| JSA Test Prep Simulated Online FCAT 2.0 Reading Program | Program Renewal | General Funds | \$325.00 |
| 3 3 | | | \$0.00 |
| Clickers | E-Instruction Clickers | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Calculators (Graphing, Scientific, and 4 | Daily Instruction in | N/A | \$0.00 |
| unction) JSAtestprep – Biology EOC | Online remediation used to prepare students for Biology EOC exam | Accountability - SAC | \$300.00 |
| Analyze model essay writing through use of document cameras. | Technology-Document Cameras | Document cameras available in media center for check out | \$0.00 |
| | | | \$0.00 |
| N/A | | | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$625.00 |
| t | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading Collaborative Planning & Cognitive Strategies for Reading Development Training | Provide Substitute for "Head Talk" Cognitive Development Toolkit Training | Professional Development Funds | \$2,000.00 |
| | Paraprofessional and Child Care for parent rainings Refreshments for parent trainings Refreshments for paren | Paraprofessional and Child Care for parent rainings Refreshments for Parent trainings For the above mentioned trainings | For the above mentioned trainings Refreshments for parent trainings Refreshments for beave mentioned trainings School Budget School Budget School Budget School Budget School Budget School Budget Refreshments for Beave mentioned trainings Perkins Perkin |

| Reading | NG-CAR PD Training | district scheduled training with support from Reading Coach | N/A | \$0.00 |
|--------------------|--|--|---|-------------------------|
| Reading | Chit-Chat Café | NGSSS, CCS, and Marzano Effective Strategies PD PLC | Perkins Grant | \$500.00 |
| CELLA | | | | \$0.00 |
| Mathematics | Technology training: GeoGebra, Promethean Board; Document Cameras; Online ancillary materials | Technology Integration | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Mathematics | Odyssey, Focus, Florida Achieves | Online tutorial in Mathematics | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Writing | FCAT 2.0 Writing Training | Training on the new requirements for scoring FCAT 2.0 Writing | Professional Development/Substitutes | \$833.00 |
| Attendance | N/A | | | \$0.00 |
| Suspension | N/A | | | \$0.00 |
| Dropout Prevention | N/A | | | \$0.00 |
| Parent Involvement | Curriculum Facilitator- Teacher Trainings | Teacher salaries | School budget | \$2,000.00 |
| STEM | N/A | | | \$0.00 |
| CTE | N/A | | | \$0.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$5,333.00 |
| Other | | | | |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading | Students will have the opportunity to increase their proficiency in reading. | After school reading tutoring sessions. | Perkins Grant | \$2,500.00 |
| Reading | FCAT Camp will be offered to increase student proficiency. | Saturday FCAT Camp sessions | Perkins Grant | \$5,000.00 |
| Reading | Students will development Learning Adjustment Skills (Marzano) | School-wide Literacy Journals | General Funds | \$2,000.00 |
| Reading | Copy Paper for the utilization of USA Test Prep for Homework and Text Annotation Activities | Close Reading of Text Through Text Annotation/Intensive reading Classes | SAC | \$2,500.00 |
| CELLA | | | | \$0.00 |
| Mathematics | Classroom set of Textbooks for EOC subjects | Daily classroom instruction | N/A | \$0.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$12,000.00 |
| | | | G | rand Total: \$30,013.00 |

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

| jn Priority | jn Focus | jn Prevent | jn NA |
|-------------|----------|------------|-------|
| | | | |

Are you a reward school: \mathbf{j}_{\cap} Yes \mathbf{j}_{\cap} No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded ${\sf A}.$

No Attachment (Uploaded on 10/17/2012)

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.



Yes. Agree with the above statement.

| Projected use of SAC Funds | Amount |
|---|------------|
| "Head Talk": A Cognitive/Meta –Cognitive Toolkit for Critical Thinking for Reading Department | \$4,500.00 |

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

The SAC will continue to monitor the School Improvement Plan (SIP) throughout the year. Meetings will be conducted on the third Tuesday of each month. The focus of business will be to address the needs of the school and make decisions as to whether SIP plan modifications need to be made. The SAC is also responsible for determining how accountability funds are allocated and used for student achievement. Members of the committee will spearhead the Academic All American Awards program to recognize students and their outstanding achievements.

AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

| Broward School District BLANCHE ELY HI GH SCHOOL 2010-2011 | | | | | | |
|--|---------|-----------|---------|---------|---------------------------|---|
| | Reading | Math | Writing | Science | Grade Points Earned | |
| % Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above) | 28% | 61% | 75% | 31% | 195 | Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component. |
| % of Students Making Learning Gains | 33% | 68% | | | 101 | 3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2 |
| Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School? | | 66% (YES) | | | 102 | Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math. |
| FCAT Points Earned | | | | | 408 | |
| Percent Tested = 98% | | | | | | Percent of eligible students tested |
| School Grade* | | | | | А | Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested |

| Broward School District BLANCHE ELY HI GH SCHOOL 2009-2010 | | | | | | |
|--|----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------------------------|---|
| | Reading | Math | Writing | Science | Grade Points Earned | |
| % Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above) | 27% | 65% | 88% | 22% | 202 | Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component. |
| % of Students Making Learning Gains | 37% | 70% | | | 107 | 3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2 |
| Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School? | 30% (NO) | 69% (YES) | | | 99 | Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math. |
| FCAT Points Earned | | | | | 408 | |
| Percent Tested = 97% | | | | | | Percent of eligible students tested |
| School Grade* | | | | | С | Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested |