_

FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: CYPRESS CREEK HIGH

District Name: Orange

Principal: Susan Storch

SAC Chair: Cynda Aron

Superintendent: Dr. Barbara Jenkins

Date of School Board Approval: Pending

Last Modified on: 11/16/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

| Position | Name | Degree(s)/ Certification(s) | # of Years at Current School | # of Years as an Administrator | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year) |
|-----------------|--------------|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| Principal | Susan Storch | BA in English M.Ed in Guidance Counseloing Certification in English 6-12, Guidance K-12 Educational Leadership K-12 | 8 | 19 | AYP decreased to 695 in 2010-11.Improved learning gains of lowest 25% to 63%. Maintained level 3 and above at 45% 2009-10 and 2010-11. Improved school grade from consecutive D's in 2006-07 and 2007-08 to B in 2008-09. Maintained B grade in 2009-10 and 2010-11.Increased AYP from 59% to 77% in 2008-09. |
| Assis Principal | Debra Haddix | Masters Ed. Leadership BME Music Ed; BM Music Performance. | 3 | 15 | CCHS Prelim 2011-12: Increased: Reading High Stds 45%; Math HS 54%; Reading BQ Gains to 63%; Math BQ Gains decreased 1% to 70; AYP data unavailable. CCHS 2010-11 Maintained B; 69% AYP met. Reading: 42% High Standards; 46% Learning Gains; 45% BQ. Math: 71% High Standards; 72% Learning Gains; 56% BQ. Did not make AYP. CHS 2009-10 B; Reading: 39% High Standards; 45% Learning Gains; 39% BQ Math: 67% High Standards; 72% Learning Gains; 64% BQ Did not make AYP. |
| | | B.AHunter | | | |

| Assis Principal | Adele R. Salazar | College M.S. –Hunter College Educational Specialist Leadership-Nova Southeastern Univ. | 5 | 6 | During the 2010-11 school year 69% of AYP criteria was met for CCHS. Maintained lowest 25% level 3 and above at 45% 2009-10 and 2010-11. Helped increase performance at Cypress Creek High School. Increased AYP from 59% to 77% in 2008-09. |
|-----------------|----------------------|--|---|----|--|
| Assis Principal | Capildeo Jadonath | B.S. – Chemistry M.S – Science Education Ed.D. – Curriculum & Instruction Chemistry 6-12 Computer Science Math 5-9 School Principal | 5 | 12 | Maintained a B grade during (2010-11) school year with 69% of AYP criteria being met. Assisted in advancing Cypress Creek HS from a D grade (2008-09) to a B grade in (2009-10). |
| Assis Principal | Harold D.Buchanan | BS from FSU MastersUCF Certification: administration, science, physical education | 4 | 15 | During the 2010-11 school year 69% of AYP criteria was met for CCHS. Maintained lowest 25% level 3 and above at 45% 2009-10 and 2010-11 |
| Assis Principal | Betzebeth Reussow | BS in Exceptional Student Education from the University of Central Florida MS in Educational Leadership from Nova Southeastern University | 8 | 2 | CCHS 2011-2012 Reading Level 3 and above at 45%; Reading BQ Gains to 63%; During the 2010-11 school year 69% of AYP criteria was met for CCHS. Maintained lowest 25% level 3 and above at 45% 2009-10 and 2010-11. |

INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

| Subject Area | Name | Degree(s)/ Certification(s) | # of Years at Current School | # of Years as an Instructional Coach | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year) |
|------------------------|----------------------|---|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| Curriculum Resource | Rebecca Mayo | BA, English, Florida State University MAT, English Education, Rollins College English Language Arts Certification 6-12 National Board Certification, ELA-AYA | 9 | 9 | 2011-2012: (Grade Unknown) Lowest 25%58% making learning gains; 2010-2011: (Grade Unknown) Lowest 25%-45% making learning gains; 2009-2010: B Lowest 25%-45% making learning gains; 2008-2009: B Lowest 25%-49% making learning gains; |
| ESOL CCT | Zoraida Maldonado | Elem K-6/ESOL Certification/ Ed. Leadership Fordham University: BA in Elementary Education & Spanish Literature with a Minor in Puerto Rican Studies Fordham University: BS in Bilingual Education Barry University: Educational Leadership | 6 | 17 | 2011-2012: (Grade Unknown) Lowest 25% 58% making learning gains; 2010-2011: (Grade Unknown) Lowest 25%- 45% making learning gains; 2009-2010: B Lowest 25%-45% making learning gains; 2008-2009: B Lowest 25%-49% making learning gains; |
| | | Ed.D. in Teaching & Learning, University of Central FL; M.Ed. in English Education, University of FL, | | | 2011-2012: (Grade Unknown) Lowest 25%- |

| Reading | Elizabeth Scanlon | B.A in English, Univerisity of FL; National Board Certified Teacher: ELA- AYA Certification: English 6-12, Reading Endorsement, ESOL Endorsement | 8 | 5 | - 58% making learning gains; 2010-2011:B Lowest 25%-45% making learning gains; 2009-2010:B Lowest 25%-45% making learning gains; 2008-2009:B Lowest 25%-49% making learning gains; | |
|---------|----------------------|---|---|---|--|--|
|---------|----------------------|---|---|---|--|--|

EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

| | Description of Strategy | Person Responsible | Projected Completion Date | Not Applicable (If not, please explain why) |
|---|--|---|---------------------------------|---|
| 1 | Consistent Interview Process | Administrative Team | On going | |
| 2 | High Quality Learning Opportunities | Administrative Team, Instructional Coach, Reading Coach | On going | |
| 3 | Mentors for new teachers | Instructional Coach | On going | |
| 4 | Individual Professional Development Plan | Administrative Team | On going | |
| 5 | Professional Learning Communities | Andministrative Team | On going | |
| 6 | Frequent Data talks with teachers | Administrative Team | On going | |

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

| staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- | Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective |
|---|---|
| No data submitted | |

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Total Number of Instructional Staff | % of First-Year Teachers | | % of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience | % of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience | % of Teachers with Advanced Degrees | % Highly Effective Teachers | % Reading Endorsed Teachers | I Board | % ESOL Endorsed Teachers |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------|--------------------------------|
| 170 | 20.6%(35) | 27.6%(47) | 35.9%(61) | 15.9%(27) | 35.3%(60) | 100.0% (170) | 12.4%(21) | 6.5%(11) | 17.1%(29) |

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

| Mentor Name | Mentee Assigned | Rationale for Pairing | Planned Mentoring Activities |
|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Mike Shannabrook | John Dunlop | same certification area | Monthly PLC meetings; peer observations; side- by-side coaching |
| Heather Trees | Marisol Castano | same certification area | Monthly PLC meetings; peer observations; side- by-side coaching |
| Rob England | Ray Rowe | same certification area | Monthly PLC meetings; peer observations; side- by-side coaching |
| Krystin Beavers | Michelle Pascale | same certification area | Monthly PLC meetings; peer observations; side- by-side coaching |
| Krystin Beavers | Michelle Pascale | same certification area | Monthly PLC meetings; peer observations; side- by-side coaching |
| Lee Ann Spillane | Nicole Brichler | same certification area | Monthly PLC meetings; peer observations; side- by-side coaching |
| Venusha Moodley | Rashunda Jones | same certification area | Monthly PLC meetings; peer observations; side- by-side coaching |
| Balmatie Sagrimsingh | Ashley Schneider | same certification area | Monthly PLC meetings; peer observations; side- by-side coaching |

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A N/A Title I, Part C- Migrant N/A Title I, Part D N/A Title II N/A Title III N/A Title X- Homeless N/A Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI) N/A Violence Prevention Programs N/A **Nutrition Programs**

N/A

| N/A |
|-------------------------------|
| lead Start |
| N/A |
| dult Education |
| N/A |
| areer and Technical Education |
| N/A |
| ob Training |
| N/A |
| other . |
| N/A |

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-based MTSS/RtI Team-

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Susan Storch, Principal

Betzabeth Reussow, Assistant Principal

Dwayne Buchanan, Assistant Principal

Capildeo Jadonath, Assistant Principal

Guerschom Demosthenes, Dean

Carlos Rivera, Dean

Ben Alvarado, Dean

Regina Mitchell, Counselor

Talani Nelson, Counselor

Tina Bulled, Counselor

Nicole Vaia, Counselor

Tim Harkins, Counselor

Johanny Rivera, Counselor

Linda Shaddix, Counselor

Dr. Zoraida Maldonado, ESOL CT/RtI Coach

Dr. Elizabeth Scanlon, Reading Coach

Rebecca Mayo, Instructional Support

Dwana Dye, Inclusion Coach

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

Our school is divided into four Smaller Learning Communities (SLC). The school-based leadership team consists of an administrator, dean, counselor, and an instructional support person from each SLC. This team ensures that RtI is occuring systematically and works together to ensure that we follow our implementation plan and revise it as needed. This group meets each nine weeks. Each SLC has its RtI team who will meet bi-quarterly to organize student data and determine invention needs based on data from discipline, attendance and grades. These teams will also include teachers for specific academic interventions depending upon student need as well as support staff such as ESE Case Managers, the Reading Coach, and the CCT. The SLC RtI teams will collaborate monthly with school leadership to review and communicate student progress. The SLC RtI teams will be reponsible for organizing the parent contacts and additional interventions.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

Members of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team examined data including FCAT Reading, EOC Math and Science, AP and IB exams as well as discipline and attendance data as well as college readiness data in order to detemine growth areas. The data was shared in departments and PLC. Members collaborated to come up with an action plan. All of this information was used to develop the SIP.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Data Sources include: Student Management System (SMS), Enterprise Database Warehouse (EDW), and Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN), IMS (Instructional Management System) were use to summarize data for each tier.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

An overview of MTSS will be provided to new faculty members. Online Professional Development for MTSS/RtI will be recommended for returning staff members. Teachers will also be trained in their SLC about their responsibilities in connection to their students and the RtI process. The leadership team will present updates at the monthly C4 meetings as a way to inform department leaders about the process as well.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

Each SLC will meet bi-quarterly to review student data and implement student interventions based on need. Administrators will refer to MTSS/RtI regularly and solicit suggestions to improve the process. Information collected that is found useful will be disseminated to all staff members.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

-School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

The school-based literacy team is comprised of:

Susan Storch, Principal

Harold Buchanan, Assistant Principal (supervises reading)

Adele Salazar, Assistant Principal (supervises ESE and ESOL)

Elizabeth Scanlon, Reading Coach

Carole Scala, English language arts (ELA) department chair

Jeff Oswald, Media Specialist

Jennifer Kosloski, Reading department chair

Helen Philpot, ELA instructor

Dwana Dye, Inclusion coach

Patricia Collins, Reading instructor

Elizabeth Nicolai, ELA Instructor

Craig Olson, ELA instructor

Greg Jefferson, Social Studies instructor

Carole Monroe, Reading instructor

Jeanette Collier, Family & Consumer Science instruction

Maria Acevedo, ESOL Reading instructor

Haley Berney, ESOL teacher

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The LLT meets monthly after school to review reading data and develop\revise the reading instructional focus calendar. Another role of the LLT is to design events\programs such as Family Literacy Night to build a community of readers including students, parents, staff and community members. The meetings are led by the reading coach.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

Our major initiative will be to continue our focus on parent and community outreach which will include our Family Literacy night in the spring. We will continue to expand our Florida Teens Read program by bringing authors to the school. We will also continue to develop our One Book, One Community program based on the Small Learning communities that are in place at our school. We will continue to evaluate data and support the implementation of reading instruction focus calendar.

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

No Attachment

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

N/A

*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

Cypress Creek High School has a sustainability plan that focuses on teaching reading in content areas. This plan was constructed by the Literacy Leadership team and reviewed by the instructional leadership team. One component is the reading instructional focus calendar. The instructional focus calendar is used across content areas to place emphasis on areas to foster the growth of readers. It is shared with the faculty. The reading coach provides training for departments as well as support for individuals. The administrative team monitors the implementation. Reading data such as FAIR data and reading benchmark data is reviewed monthly to assess progress toward reaching our goals.

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

Cypress Creek High School is organized into career pathways. We have all students divided into colleges. Examples are STEM (Science & Technology), IB, HPA(Health and Public Affairs), Arts and Music. We integrate career info in to courses across curriculums.

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

Guidance counselors visit classrooms to provide lessons in career choice. We use the Choices Planner. We also use EPEP which is an internet advisory tool to help students learn about careers and the requirement needed for those careers.

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School</u> <u>Feedback Report</u>

Students in the 11th grade have the opportunity to take Post Secondary Education Readiness Test (PERT) which gives them feedback on their readiness for college. We also use Reading for College Success and Math for College Success from Valencia. We encourage students who are ready to enroll in Dual Enrollment. We use a matrix to help place students correctly in Advanced Placement classes to encourage their success. We also have an IB program that is very successful in preparing students. Many of our students receive scholarships to various institutions of higher learning nation wide.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| of improvement for the following group: | |
|---|--|
| 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading. | By June 2013, there will be an increase of 3% at each grade level of students who will achieve scored at Achievement |
| Reading Goal #1a: | Level 3 in reading. |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| In 2012, 24%(193) of ninth graders and 20%(140) of tenth grade students scored at Achievement Level 3 in reading. | By June 2013, there will be an increase of 3% at each grade level of students who will achieve scored at Achievement Level 3 in reading. |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | | | Person or | Process Used to | |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Position Responsible for Monitoring | Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Increasing numbers of economically disadvantaged students | AVID strategies Increase teacher ability to integrate Marzano instructional protocol Teacher-Leader Book Study | Reading Coach AVID Coordinator Administrative Team | Informal classroom observations Professional Development training Professional Learning Community (PLC) notes | rate Increase graduation rate of at-risk seniors Standardized test student achievement data |
| 2 | Teacher understanding of Common Core State Standards | Integration of Appropriate Leveled Nonfiction Text Across Contents Common assessments PLC collaboration Lesson Study | Reading Coach Administrative Team Curriculum Leaders | Notes from PLC sessions Informal observations | Standardized student achievement data |
| 3 | Lack of reading gains by ESE students | Develop systematic approach for progress monitoring ESE students Continue collaboration between reading coach and learning strategy teachers Model lessons in learning strategy classes PD on using FAIR data | Reading Coach Inclusion coach Learning Strategies PLC leader | PLC notes Inclusion Coach tracking records | Informal Observations Progress monitoring using FAIR & Reaidng Benchmarks FCAT reading achievement score |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading. | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| Reading Goal #1b: | To increase the number of students scoring at Level 4,5,6 | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| 33% (3) students scored at Levels 4,5, or 6 on Florida Alternate Assessment given in 2012. | At least 35% of current students will score at level 4,5 or 6 on the Florida Alternate Assessment given in 2013 | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | |

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | Varying levels of ability of students in classrooms | increase one on one support as much as possible ACCESS points used to enhance learning experience | Teacher Inclusion Coach ESE Assistant Principal | | FAA (Florida Alternate Assessment) |
| 2 | Severity of student disability | increase one on one support use ACCESS points and resources with students differintiated instruction | teacher ESE Administrator Inclusion Coach | | FAA (Florida Alternate Assessment) |
| 3 | Fixed mindset that students can not achieve | Set achievable goals for students Celebrate success with students when goals are met | teacher ESE Administrator Inclusion Coach | | FAA (Florida Alternate Assessment) |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in reading. Reading Goal #2a: | To maintain and improve academic achievment/focus through the use of the Continuous Improvement Plan. |
|--|---|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| In 2012, 21% (169) of ninth graders and 24%(168) of tenth grade students scored at or above Achievement Level 4 in reading.) | By June 2013, there will be an increase of 3% at each grade level of students who will achieve scored at or above Achievement Level 4 in reading. |

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | Increasing numbers of economically disadvantaged students | AVID strategies Increase teacher ability to integrate Marzano instructional protocol Teacher-Leader Book Study | team AVID Coordinator Reading Coach and | Professional development Professional Learning Community (PLC) notes | FCAT Reading Achievement Scores FAIR data Reading Benchmar data |

| 2 | Change of lexile bands; increase in score will make passing more difficult for SWD | between reading coach and learning strategy teachers Model lessons in learning strategy classes Learning Strategy PLC focus on increasing text | Learning Strategies PLC leader | | FCAT reading achievement scores |
|---|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 3 | Complexity of text as student grade level increases | complexity and related research. Integrating Appropriate Leveled Nonfiction Across Content Areas Integrating Appropriate Close Reading Strategies | Team Reading Coach | Progress Monitoring using | FCAT reading achievement scores |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in reading. Reading Goal #2b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Process Used to Person or Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy severity of disability use of manipulatives as teacher teacher observation FAA (Florida needed Alternate Inclusion Coach Assessment) differentiated one on one instruction **ESE** Assistant Principal Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading. By June 2013, 62% of students will make learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #3a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: In June 2012, 57.5 % of students made learning gaines in By June 2013, 62% of students will make learning gains in reading reading. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Process Used to Person or Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy

| | Teachers' understanding and implementing differentiated instuction | Professional Learning Communities (PLC) | Administrative Team | RtI notes PLC notes | Student achievement data |
|---|--|---|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1 | | Lesson Study | RtI team | Informal observations | |
| ľ | | Increase teacher ability to integrate Marzano instructional protocol | Curriculum leaders | | |
| | of text complexity and | Professional development on text complexity | Administrative Team | PLC Notes | FCAT Reading tests |
| 2 | integrating challenging text into content area | Systematic Integration of | Reading Coach | C4 Notes | FAIR tests |
| | instruction | Reading Instructional Focus Calendar | Curriculum Leaders | Observation Samples | Benchmark tests |
| | | | | Samples | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

3b. Florida Alternate Assessment:

Percentage of students making Learning Gains in

Reading Goal #3b:

reading.

2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance:

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Responsible | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---------------------|----------|-------------|--|-----------------|
|---------------------|----------|-------------|--|-----------------|

No Data Submitted

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4: | By June 2012, 62% of students in the Lowest 25% will make learning gains in reading. |
|---|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| In June 2012, 59% of students in the Lowest 25% made learning gains in reading. | By June 2013, 62% of students in the Lowest 25% will make learning gains in reading. |

| L | | | | | |
|---|--|----------|--|--|---------------------------------|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | and implementation of differentiated instruction | . 1. 1 | Administrative | | FCAT reading achievement scores |

| | | knowledge of the Marzano instructional protocol | Curriculum leaders Reading coach | Informal classroom observations | |
|---|--|---|---|---|---------------------------------|
| 2 | Parental Involvement | FCAT 2.0 Parent Night Parent Outreach Letter Family Literacy Night | Assistant Princpal Reading Coach Reading Curriculum Leaders | Parent Outreach Log Parent Survey | FCAT reading achievement data |
| | | Conferences | Reading Instructors | | |
| 3 | High Learning Expectations | Common Homework Requirements Grade Level Article of the Week in Reading Classes Integreating more complex text | Reading Coach Reading curriculum | PLC Notes Student Artifacts Classroom Observation | FCAT reading achievement data |
| 4 | Reading teachers' experience integrating small group instruction into reading classes | Professional Development on Small Group Infastructures, CHAMPS, and Guided Reading Observations in Demonstration Classrooms | Assistant Principal | PLC Notes Classroom Observation FAIR Data | FCAT Reading Achievment Data |

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target Reading Goal # 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual To reduce the achievement gap by at least 4% each year. Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Baseline data 2011-2012 2012-2013 2013-2014 2014-2015 2015-2016 2016-2017 2010-2011 51% 65% 56% 60% 69% Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making To maintain and improve academic achievement and satisfactory progress in reading. consistency through the use of the Continuous Improvement Model. Reading Goal #5B: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: In June 2012, By 2013, White: 53.6%() of white students were proficient in reading. White: 58% of white students will be proficient in reading. Black: 36.6 % of black students were proficient in reading. Black: 40% of black students will be proficient in reading. Hispanic: 37.4% of Hispanic students were proficient in Hispanic: 43% of Hispanic students will be proficient in reading Asian: 69.7% of Asian students were proficient in reading. Asian: 73% of Asian students will be proficient in reading. American Indian: N\A

| L | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|---|--|--|---|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | | culture in order to provide the tools and | Team Instructional | Learning Communities | Attendance at College Night and Financial Aid Night |

| | | college or the career path of their choice. Have high expectations for all students. | AVID Coordinator | teachers. | |
|---|--|--|--------------------|------------------|--|
| | Teachers' lack of understanding about the barriers for Black and | Book Study | Team | | Parent, Student and Teacher Survey |
| 2 | Hispanic male students | | Curriculum Leaders | Department Notes | Reading |
| | | | Reading Coach | ' Observation | Achievement Scores |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | Discipline Data |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| 5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5C: | By June 2013, 25% of English language learners will be proficient in reading. |
|---|---|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| In June 2012, 16.1% of English language learners were proficient in reading. | By June 2013, 25% of English language learners will be proficient in reading. |

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| 1 | High ELL Population | All students who qualify for ESOL services are placed in English ESOL classes with ESOL certified teachers. All ESOL students will be placed in the appropriate DLA reading class based on the IPT and the OCPS Reading Decision Tree. | Adele Salazar Zoraida Maldonado Reading Coach | ELL student grades Progress Report documentation | ELL student grade FCAT Reading & Writing FAIR Testing |
| 2 | Fixed Mindset of Students | ESOL Paraprofessionals will begin working with the <1yr students in the content classes As more students are identified, the para(s) add and rotate their visitations as much as possible to help the LY students succeed. | Adele Salazar Zoraida Maldonado | ELL student grades Progress Report documentation | FCAT Reading and Writing FAIR Testing |
| | | All LY's have access to a bilingual dictionary to assist in classes and at home. These dictionaries are also at testing locations for all school and state exams. | | | |
| | Fixed Mindset of Teachers | Teachers are provided an ESOL Teacher's Manual and an ESOL strategies list, and research articles on a regular basis providing insights and ideas on how to serve the LY students. The articles will be archived | Zoraida Maldonado | ELL student grades Progress Report documentation | EII student grades FCAT Reading and Writing FAIR Testing |

| | | on the school's L Drive for easier retrieval. | | | |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| 3 | | Marzano's ESOL strategies have been provided and also archived in the L Drive. These specifically identify which strategies work best with the level of English proficiency of the student. | | | |
| | | All teachers provide information on the ESOL Input Form for all LY & LF meetings. | | | |
| | | All ESOL supports and requirements are reviewed at a Pre-Planning Session for all teachers at the beginning of the year and provided specifically for new teachers. | | | |
| | Multiple levels of ELL students Para's speak Spanish but | Use of differentiated instruction to meet student needs; | Admin Leadership Team Reading Coach | CWT, Instructional coaches follow up Monitoring of poor grades at each report card | FCAT Reading Scores District benchmark assessments; |
| 4 | not other languages of LY students | DLA Reading classes and Algebra I classes integrate small group structures to differentiate instruction | | | classroom assessments; documentation of strategies implemented |
| 5 | Complexity of test as student grade level increases | Integrating Appropriate Leveled Nonfiction Across Content Areas | Administrative Team Reading Coach | PLC Notes Informal Observations | FCAT reading achievement scores |
| | | Integrating Appropriate Re-reading strategies | Curriculum Leaders | Progress Monitoring using Reading Benchmarks | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5D: | In June 2013, 20% of students with disabilities will be proficient in reading. |
|--|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| In June 2012, 12.2% of students with disabilities were proficient in reading. | In June 2013, 20% of students with disabilities will be proficient in reading. |

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|---------------------|
| | Fixed Mindset of teachers and students on their | Developing better relationships with | Teacher | Teacher observation | District exams |
| | ability to learn and achieve | students | Administrative Team | Classroom assessments | End of course exams |
| | | Celebrating success | | District benchmark | |
| 1 | | _ | Reading Coach | assessments | FCAT 2.0 exam |
| | | Setting achieveable goals | | | |
| | | | Math Department Chair | | |
| | | PLC meetings to discuss | | | |

| | | strategies | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| 2 | Lack of reading instruction in Learning Strategies classes | Increase collaboration between reading coach and learning strategies teachers Model lessons in learning strategies classes | Administrative Team Reading Coach Inclusion Coach | PLC Notes Meeting Notes Reading Coach Log Informal Observations Progress Monitoring Data (FAIR & Reading Benchmarks) | FCAT reading achievement scores |
| 3 | Lack of systematic approach to tracking ESE students in reading | Develop a systematic approach for progress monitoring ESE students receiving reading intervention services | Administrative Team Reading Coach Inclusion Coach Reading & ESE Curriculum Managers | PLC Notes Meeting Notes Reading Coach Log ESE School Profile | FCAT reading achievement scores |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| Reading Goal #5E: | will be proficient in reading. |
|--|---|
| 5E. Economically Disadvantaged students no satisfactory progress in reading. | In June 2013, 43% of economically disadvantaged students will be proficient in reading. |

2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance:

were proficient in reading.

In June 2012, 37.1% of economically disadvantaged students In June 2013, 43% of economically disadvantaged students will be proficient in reading.

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| 1 | Increasing number of economically disadvantaged students in school population | Text complexity Small group instruction and support as needed | Administrative Team Curricululm Leaders | informal classroom observations | FCAT achievemen data District benchmark exams |
| 2 | Mental model of students and parents | Continue to Implement AVID Strategies (WICR and Critical Reading Strategies) school-wide | Administrative Team Department Leaders Instructional Coaches AVID Coordinator | Professional Learning Communities (PLC) College Meetings | AVID Binder District Benchmark tests |
| 3 | 80% of our economically disadvantaged students are level 1 readers | Book Study for the School Leadership Team Ensure that our level 1 students have access to on-site resources such as the Creek Pantry & Boutique | Administrative Team Reading Coach Curriculum Leaders Guidance Counselors | C-4 Notes Informal Observations PLC Notes | FCAT Reading scores |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or schoolwide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible fo Monitoring |
|--|---|---|--|--|---|---|
| Text Complexity | 9-12/ All content areas | Reading Coach | School-wide by Department | August-May, after school in department meetings | Collect Samples and Survey Teachers | Reading Coach Administrative Team |
| Small Group Instruction | 9-12, Reading | Reading Coach | Reading PLC ESE PLC | Twice a month after school; Two Saturday Trainings | Classroom Observations Teacher Data Talks | Assistant Principal Reading Coach Reading Leader |
| Lesson Study | Grade 10 World History Grade 11-12 English Language Arts AP Social Students Grades 9-12 | Reading Coach Lesson Study Facilitators | Social Studies, English | 3 Cycles of Two Days Each Sept-May | PLC Meeting | Principal |
| ELA Black Belt Team | 9-12 Reading, English & Social Studies Teachers | District | 9th Grade English Teacher 10th Grade English Teacher Media Specialist 12th Grade English Teacher Reading Coach 9th Grade Social Studies Teacher 10th Grade Social Studies Teacher | Online PD 2 District Training Days | Department, PLC, and C-4 Meetings | Principal |
| Marzano Book Study | 9-12, All Teachers | Instructional Coach | School-wide | Monthly Meetings | Teacher Observations | Principal |
| Literacy Across Contents | 9-12/ Across Contents | Instructional Coach Reading Coach | Beginning Teachers/Grades 9-12 | 3 On-site Pull Out Days; | Montly PLC Classroom Observations | Principal |
| Strategically Integrating Reading in Learning Strategies | 9-12/ All Learning Strategy teachers | Reading Coach Inclusion Coach Learning Strategies PLC | 9-12 Learning Strategies Teachers | 3 Pullout Days; Monthly PLC Meetings | Classroom Observations PLC Notes Collect Samples | Assistant Principal |

Reading Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s) | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount | | | |
| Fidelity of instruction | EDGE student workbooks | Supplement Academic Instruction | \$4,000.00 | | | |
| | | Subto | tal: \$4,000.00 | | | |
| Technology | | | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount | | | |
| Small Group Instruction | Integration of a Technology Center in the reading classes. This requires at least 4-6 computers in each reading classroom. There are 11 reading classrooms. Some computers will be reallocated and | School Budget | \$10,000.00 | | | |

| | | Sub | total: \$10,000.00 |
|--|--|---------------------------------|---------------------|
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Small Group Instruction | Teachers will attend 2 Saturday trainings to improve their ability to integrate small group instruction into their reading classrooms. | Title II | \$2,604.00 |
| Systematic Approach to Reading in Learning Strategies | Subs will be provided for ESE teachers for 3 days over the course of the year. This time will be use for the reading coach and the inclusion coach to provide training on integrating reading strategies into the learning strategies class. | Title II | \$1,500.00 |
| Text Complexity | Reading Coach will train each content area on early release days. | N\A | \$0.00 |
| Common Core State Standards | Substitutes are needed for the Black Belt team which will support the integration of Common Core Standards into instruction | District Level Funds & Title II | \$1,500.00 |
| Content Area Reading Instruction | Beginning teachers will have 3 TDY days to work with instructional coach and reading coach to learn how to content area reading into their instruction | Title II | \$2,100.00 |
| | | Su | btotal: \$7,704.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |

End of Reading Goa

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

 $^*\ When\ using\ percentages,\ include\ the\ number\ of\ students\ the\ percentage\ represents\ next\ to\ the\ percentage\ (e.g.,\ 70\%\ (35)).$

| Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students. | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|---|
| | udents scoring proficie A Goal #1: | nt in listening/speakin | | e number of students wh | no are proficient in |
| 2012 | ? Current Percent of Stu | udents Proficient in liste | ening/speaking: | | |
| 66% (281) ELL students scored Proficient in Listening/Speaking on the CELLA exam given in March 2012. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Multiple levels of ELL students Para's speak Spanish but not other languages of LY students | Use of differentiated instruction to meet student needs; use of DLA <1yr for newcomers and SIOP for 2nd/3rd yr. LY students | Admin Leadership Team | CWT Instructional coaches follow up Monitoring of poor grades at each report card | CELLA exam District benchmark assessments; assessments; documentation of strategies implemented |

Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students. 2. Students scoring proficient in reading. To increase the number of students proficient on English CELLA Goal #2: 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in reading: 17% (71) of ELL students scored Proficient in Reading on the CELLA exam given in March 2012. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Use of differentiated Admin Leadership CWT, Instructional District Multiple levels of ELL students instruction to meet Team coaches follow up benchmark student needs Monitoring of poor assessments; grades at each report classroom card assessments; documentation of strategies implemented CELLA exam Para's speak Spanish Use of differentiated Admin Leadership CWT, Instructional District but not other languages instruction to meet Team coaches follow up benchmark of LY students Monitoring of poor student needs; use of assessments; DLA <1yr for grades at each report classroom newcomers and SIOP 2

for 2nd/3rd yr. LY

students

DLA <1yr for

card

grades at each report

assessments;

strategies implemented CELLA exam

documentation of

documentation of

| Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students. | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|---|
| | udents scoring proficie A Goal #3: | nt in writing. | To increase the | e number of students pro | oficient in English |
| 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in writing: | | | | | |
| 21% (92) of ELL students scored Proficient in Writing on the CELLA exam given in March 2012. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Multiple levels of ELL students | Use of differentiated instruction to meet student needs; use of DLA <1yr for newcomers and SIOP for 2nd/3rd yr. LY students | Admin Leadership Team | CWT, Instructional coaches follow up Monitoring of poor grades at each report card | CELLA exam; classroom assessments; documentation of strategies implemented |
| | Para's speak Spanish but not other languages of LY students | Use of differentiated instruction to meet student needs; use of | Admin Leadership Team | CWT, Instructional coaches follow up Monitoring of poor | CELLA exam; classroom assessments; |

| | | newcomers and SIOP for 2nd/3rd yr. LY students | | | strategies implemented |
|--|--|--|--|--|---------------------------|
|--|--|--|--|--|---------------------------|

CELLA Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | am(s)/Material(s) | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | ent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of CELLA Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

| * When using percentages | s, include the number of stude | ents the | percentage | represents next to the pe | ercentage (e.g., 70% (35)). |
|---|--|---------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| | of student achievement data for the following group: | a, and r | reference to | o "Guiding Questions", | identify and define areas |
| 1. Florida Alternate A Levels 4, 5, and 6 in r | ssessment: Students sco nathematics. | oring at | | | |
| Mathematics Goal #1 | : | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of | Performance: | | 2013 Exp | pected Level of Perfo | rmance: |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Proce | ess to I | ncrease S | Student Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Posi Resp for | son or tion consible itoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | ľ | No Data | Submitted | | |
| in need of improvement | : | | | o "Guiding Questions", | |
| | Problem-Solving Proce | | ncrease S | Student Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Posi Resp for | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | ١ | No Data | Submitted | | |
| | of student achievement dat | a, and r | reference to | o "Guiding Questions", | identify and define areas |
| ' | for the following group: ssessment: Percent of st | tudents | 6 | | |
| making learning gains | | | | | |
| Mathematics Goal #3 2012 Current Level of | | | 2013 Evr | pected Level of Perfo | rmance: |
| 20 12 Odi i Citt LCVCI OI | i oriorinarioo. | | 1-010 LV | JOSEGA LOVELOI I GITO | 111101100. |

| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|-----------------|--|--|
| Anticipated Barrier | | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | |

| High | Schoo | l Mathemat | ics AMO G | oals | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|-----------------|---------------------------|------------------|--|----------|---|---|
| Based | on Amb | itious but Achie | evable Annual | Measurable Ob | jectiv | es (AMOs), AM | O-2, Re | ading and Math Pe | erformance Target |
| Measu | ırable Ok I will red | but Achievable bjectives (AMO: uce their achie | s). In six year | Mathematics G To reduc | | | gap b | y 6% each year. | <u> </u> |
| | ine data 0-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-201 | 4 | 2014-201 | 5 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 |
| | | 34% | 40% | 46% | | 52% | | 58% | |
| | | analysis of stud | | | eferer | nce to "Guiding | Questio | ons", identify and | define areas in nee |
| Hispa satisf | nic, Asi actory p | subgroups by an, American progress in m Goal #5B: | Indian) not n | | | o maintain and onsistency | l improv | re academic achiev | /ement/focus and |
| 2012 | Current | Level of Perf | ormance: | | 2 | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| Black: Hispar Asian: Ameri | : 85% (1 64% (8 nic: 44% 16% (1 can India ata is no | 0) (220) 4) | iilable | | E F A V | White: 85% (52) Black: 64% (41) Hispanic: 44% (142) Asian: 16% (4) American Indian: 25% (1) will make adequate yearly progress on Algebra 1 End of Course Exam | | | gebra 1 End of |
| | | | Problem-So | Iving Process | to Ind | crease Studer | ıt Achie | vement | |
| | Antio | ipated Barrie | r St | rategy | Res | Person or Position sponsible for Monitoring | | ocess Used to Determine ectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | High Mo | bility | 1. | chool when ents enter for | Guid | ance | PLC da | ta review | District Benchmark EOC exams given each 9 weeks Progress reports PSAT |
| 2 | High ELI | _ Population | Differentia | ted Instruction | | inistrative | Classro | om Walk through | District Benchmark EOC exams given each 9 weeks |

CCHS Math Tutoring Lab open 3 days a week in

| | | the afernoon | | | |
|--------|--|--|---|--|---|
| | | | | | |
| | l on the analysis of studen provement for the following | | eference to "Guidi | ng Questions", identify and | define areas in nee |
| satisf | nglish Language Learner factory progress in math | _ | To maintain a | and improve academic achiev | vement/focus and |
| Math | ematics Goal #5C: | | | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perform | mance: | 2013 Expect | ed Level of Performance: | |
| | of English Language Learne actory progress in math | ers (ELL) did not make | | tudents will demonstrate ma nath and 70% will not make | |
| | Pr | roblem-Solving Process | to Increase Stud | lent Achievement | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible fo Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Too |
| | High absentee and tardy rate | Student attendance will be monitored and | Attendance monitor | RtI attendance issue discussed | Attendance records |
| 1 | | interventions in place to ensure student attends all classes | Guidance Counselors teachers | SAFE interventions as needed | |
| 2 | High mobility | Students will be screened when entering school to ensure proper placement in classes | | PLC data review | District benchmar end of course exams given each 9 weeks |
| | | | SAFE coordinator | - | |
| 3 | Access to translated materials for ELL's in various languages | Google translate Identify materials available for different languages | Department leade | ers Maintain list of materials available and add to L drive for staff to search as needed | District benchmar end of course exams given each 9 weeks |
| | | Identify needs fo future investments | | | |
| | High ELL population | Differentiated Instruction | Teachers | Informal observations | District benchmar |
| 4 | | CCHS Math tutoring lab | Administrative team | Classroom walk through | exams given each 9 weeks |
| | on the analysis of studen | | eference to "Guidi | ng Questions", identify and | define areas in nee |
| satisf | tudents with Disabilities factory progress in math ematics Goal #5D: | _ | To maintain a consistency | and improve academic achie | vement/focus and |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perforr | mance: | 2013 Expect | ed Level of Performance: | |
| | ntly 81% of Students with g satisfactory progress in | Disabilities (SWD)are not mathematics. | 73% of SWD | students will not make satis | factory progress. |
| | Pr | roblem-Solving Process | to Increase Stud | lent Achievement | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible fo | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of | Evaluation Tool |

| | | | Monitoring | Strategy | |
|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| | Fixed Mindset of teachers and students on their | Developing better relationships with | Teacher | Teacher observation | District exams |
| | ability to learn and achieve | students | Administrative Team | | End of course exams |
| | | Celebrating success | | District benchmark | |
| 1 | | | Reading Coach | assessments | FCAT 2.0 exam |
| | | Setting achieveable goals | | | |
| | | | Math Department Chair | | |
| | | PLC meetings to discuss strategies | | | |
| | Students need extra support other than just in the classroom | Implement reserached based strategies to support students | Administrative leadership Team | Provide professional development for teachers | District benchmark end of course exams given each |
| | | | Special Education | | 9 weeks |
| 2 | | ESE Learning Lab | Teachers | Provide classroom | |
| | | available for added support | Instructionaal | support of Special Education Teachers | |
| | | Заррог | Support teachers | Laddation redeficis | |
| | | CCHS Math Tutoring Lab | , , | | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal E: | To maintain and improve academic achievement/focus and constistency |
|---|---|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| During the 2011-2012 school year 30% of economically disadvantaged students did not make satisfactory progress in mathematics | 27% of our current economically disadvantaged will demonstrate satisfactory progress in math. |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | | | Person or | Process Used to | |
|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Position Responsible for Monitoring | Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Increasing number of economically disadvantaged students in school population | Text complexity Small group instruction and support as needed | | observations | FCAT achievemendata District benchmarkexams |
| 2 | High mobility rate | enrolled in the most academically rigorous | guidance counselors math teachers | | District benchmark end of course exams given each 9 weeks |

End of High School Mathematics Goz

Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra. Algebra Goal #1: | To maintain and improve academic achievement/focus and consistency. | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | | |
| | | | | | |

39% (231) 9th grade students scored at Level 3 on the 2012 Algebra 1 End of Course Exam.

40%(191) of the current 9th grade students will score at Level 3 on the 2013 Algebra 1 End of Course Exam.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| 1 | Increasing numbers of economically disadvantaged students | AVID strategies Increase teacher ability to integrate Marzano instructional protocol Teacher-Leader Book Study | Reading Coach AVID Coordinator Administrative Team | 3 | Increase graduation rate Decrease dropout rate Increase graduation rate of at-risk seniors Standardized test student achievement data |
| 2 | Instructional fidelity with Next Generation Sunshine State Standards and Core Curriculum | Implement purposeful common board Common assessments PLC collaboration Lesson Study | Reading Coach Administrative Team Curriculum Leaders | Evaluation of CBC notes from PLC sessions Informal observations | Standardized test student achievement data |
| 3 | Common Goal: Level of rigorous task in classroom instruction | Teachers use Webb's Depth of Knowledge stems to plan lessons. Teachers use the Algebra 1 End of Course exam item specifications to plan task for classroom instruction | Administrative team Algebra and Geometry lead teachers | classroom walk through Common board configuration | CWT data Informal observations |
| 4 | High mobility rate | Students placed in appropriate Math class based on previous school records and pretesting along with teacher recommendations | Guidance Counselors Teachers | Data Review through PLC | District Benchmark EOC exams End of course exams both at state and district level |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Algebra. To maintain an dimprove academic achievement/focus and consistency. Algebra Goal #2: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 15% (78) 9th grade students scored Level 4 or higher on 18%(86) 9th grade students will score Level 4 or higher Algebra 1 End of Course Exam 2012. on the 2012 Algebra 1 End of Course exam. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy High mobility rate Student data review Professional Learning Algebra Lead District

Teachers

Communities (PLC)

Benchmark End of

| 1 | | | | Course exams |
|---|--|----------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| | | Administrative | Algebra Lead teachers | given every 9 |
| | | Team | | weeks |

End of Algebra EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

| * Whe | n using percentages, includ | le the number of students t | he percentage repre | sents (e.g., 70% (35)). | | | |
|-------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | d on the analysis of studeed of improvement for the | | nd reference to "Gu | uiding Questions", identify | y and define areas | | |
| Geon | udents scoring at Achie netry. netry Goal #1: | evement Level 3 in | | To maintain and improve academic achievement, focus and consistency. | | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perfo | rmance: | 2013 Expecte | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| 0% N | o data available | | | 30% (137) of current 10th grade students will score at level 3 on Geometry End of Course Exam | | | |
| | Prol | olem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| 1 | Increasing numbers of economically disadvantaged students | AVID strategies Increase teacher ability to integrate Marzano instructional protocol Teacher-Leader Book Study | Reading Coach AVID Coordinator Administrative Team | Informal classroom observations Professional Development training Professional Learning Community (PLC) notes | Increase graduation rate Decrease dropout rate Increase graduation rate of at-risk seniors Standardized test student achievement data | | |
| 2 | 76% (347) of current 10th grade geometry students are economically disadvantaged | Implement purposeful common board Higher order questioning AVID strategies | Administrative team AVID coordinator | Informal classroom obersvations Professional Development training Professional Learning Community (PLC) notes | Increase graduation rate Decrease drop out rate Increase graduation rate of at risk seniors | | |
| 3 | level of rigorous task in classroom instructions | Teachers us Webb's Depth of Knowlege stems to plan lessons. Teachers use the Geometry End of Course exam item specifications to plan task for classroom instruction | Administrative Team Geometry Lead Teachers | Classroom walk throughs CBC | Classroom walk through data | | |
| 4 | High mobility rate | Students placed in appropriate Math class based on previous school records and pretesting along with teacher recommendations | Guidance Counselors Teachers | Data Review PLC notes | District benchmark end of course exams given each 9 weeks | | |

| | d on the analysis of stude ed of improvement for the | ent achievement data, an e following group: | d reference to "Gu | uiding Questions", identify | y and define areas | |
|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels4 and 5 in Geometry.Geometry Goal #2: | | | To maintain ar | To maintain and improve academic achievement/focus and consistency | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perfo | rmance: | 2013 Expecte | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| no data availabe for Geometry End of Course Exam | | | | 15% (68) of current 10th grade students will score at level 4 or higher on Geometry End of Course Exam | | |
| | Prol | olem-Solving Process to | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | High mobility rate | | Geometry Lead teachers Teachers Administrativ team | Professional Learning Communities Geometry Lead Teacher support | District benchmark end of course exams given each 9 weeks | |

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

 ${\it Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.}$

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|--|------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|
| Algebra 1 | 9-12 | Algebra 1 Lead Teacher | Algebra 1 teachers | meeting every 4th Wednesday of the month at a minimum | Lead teacher wil be sharing information with curriculum leader and will share at department meetings and C4 meetings | Administrative Team |
| Advanced Mathematics (Algebra II Honors and above) | 9-12 | Advanced Math Lead Teacher | Teachers of Algebra II Honors and above | meeting every 4th Wednesday of the month at a minimum | Lead teacher wil be sharing information with curriculum leader and will share at department meetings and C4 meetings | Administrative Team |
| Geometry | 9-12 | Geometry Lead Teacher | Geometry teachers | meeting every 4th Wednesday of the month at a minimum | Lead teacher wil be sharing information with curriculum leader and will share at department meetings and C4 meetings | Administrative Team |

Mathematics Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount |
|----------|--------------------------|----------------|--------|
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |

| Stratogy | Description of Descurees | Funding Course | Available |
|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developme | nt | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Mathematics Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science. To increase the number of students who score at level 4,5, and 6 on FAA Science Goal #1: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 60% (3) of the 2012 students scored at level 4,5 or 6 Increase the percentage of students who score at level on FAA given in 2012 4,5 or 6 on FAA by 5% Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible **Evaluation Tool** Effectiveness of Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science. Science Goal #2: | To increase the number of students scoring at level 7 or above on Florida Alternate Assessment | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | | |
| In 2012 40% (2) students scored at level 7 or higher on the Science Florida Alternate Assessment | To increase the percentage of students scoring at level 7 or higher by 3% on FAA | | | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|--|--|--|---------------------------------|
| 1 | multiple levels of students in classroom | increase one on one assitance as needed manipulatives ACCESS points materials Differentiated instruction | teacher ESS Administrator Inclusion Coach | classroom observation | Florida Alternate Assessment |

Biology End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | d on the analysis of stud s in need of improvemen | | | Guiding Questions", ide | ntify and define | |
|---|--|------------------------------------|--|--|---|--|
| Biolo | Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Biology. Biology Goal #1: | | | To maintain and improve the academic achievement in science through the use of best practices. | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expecte | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| | data currently unavailabl se exam | e for Biology End of | | 70%(99) of the current 9th grade Biology students will pass the EOC Biology Exam | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Teacher understanding and implementation of differentiated instruction | Lesson Study | Team leader | Informal Observations Student achievement data | Biology EOC | |
| | | Curriculum leader | Evaluation of CBC's PLC minutes | Biology EOC | | |
| 3 | High percentage of economically disadvantaged students | HOT (higher order thinking skills) | Administrative Team | Evaluation of CBC's Informal observations | Increased graduation rate decreased drop- out rate | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology. Biology Goal #2: | To maintain and improve the academic achievement in science through the use of best practices | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |

| AYP data is currently not available for Biology End of Course Exam | | | ` / | urrent 9th grade biology 4 or higher on the 2013 | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--------------------------------|--|
| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | 65% economically disadvantaged students | Implement purposeful common board Higher order questioning | Administrative team AVID Coordinator | observations | Biology End of Course Exam, | |
| | | AVID strategies | | Professional Learning Community (PLC) notes | | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|
| BioScopes lesson study | 9-12 | Lesson Study Facilitator | IBIDIDAY TEACHERS | periodically as | observation classroom walkthrough | Administrative Team |

Science Budget:

| Endelance Income Discour | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Evidence-based Progra | | - " o | Available |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | - | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | d on the analysis of stude ed of improvement for the | ent achievement data, ar e following group: | nd reference to "Gu | uiding Questions", identif | y and define areas | | |
|------------------------|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| and mgrist in thining. | | | To maintain ar and consistence | To maintain and improve academic achievement/focus and consistency through the use of the Continuous Improvement Model. | | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perfo | rmance: | 2013 Expecte | ed Level of Performance | e: | | |
| 49%(| 344) students scored lev | el 3 or higher in Writing | 50% (466) stu higher in Writir | dents will achieve score | at a level 3 or | | |
| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| 1 | High ELL population | Create portfolio system for all students. | Administrative Team Writing Coach | Monitoring system created by Writing Coach/grade-level teams | Monthly writing prompts | | |
| 2 | Wide range of ability levels | Writing across the content areas Creating grade level writing portfolios | Administrative Team Writing Coach | Monitoring system created by Writing Coach/grade-level teams | semester assessments | | |
| 3 | Increasing number of students who are economically disadvantaged. | Writing across the content areas. | Administrative Team Writing Coach | Student writing portfolios | semester assessments on the portfolios | | |
| 4 | Lack of Teacher understanding of the FCAT 2.0 Writing assessment | Professional development for 9-10 English & ESOL teachers | Writing Coach | FCAT Writing Practice Assessments | FCAT 2.0 Writing Scores | | |

| | Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------------|--|
| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing. Writing Goal #1b: | | | | e success rate of ESE stu te Assessment | idents taking | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perfo | rmance: | 2013 Expecte | d Level of Performance | ∋: | |
| 50% (1) students scored at level 4 or higher on Florida Alternate Assessment Writing | | | | Increase the number of students scoring at level 4 or higher on Florida Alternate Assessment | | |
| | Pro | blem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | fixed mindset of teachers, students and parents of students ability to achieve | materials Differentiated | teacher ESE Administrator Inclusion Coach | classroom observation teacher observation | Florida Alternate Assessment exam | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|--|------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|
| Student writing portfolios | 9-12 | Writing Coach | School wide | September 2012 | semester writing practice PLC monthly | Administrative Team |
| Monthly instructional presentations connected to the SIP goals | 9-12 | Curriculum Resource Teacher Teacher leaders | School wide | Monthly | Monthly Department meetings | Administrative Team |
| Differentiated Instruction | 9-12 | ESOL CT | School wide | September 2012 | Classroom walk through | Administrative Team |
| Language Arts PLCs | 9-12 | Curriculum Resource Teacher Teacher leaders | School wide | Monthly | PLC meetings | School wide |
| ELA 9-10 PLC | 9-10 | Teacher Leader | All 9 & 10th Grade English\ESOL teachers | 2 TDY days | PLC Meeting Student Samples | PLC Leader Teacher-Leader |

Writing Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/M | aterial(s) | | |
|---|---|----------------|-------------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Professional development for FCAT 2.0 Writing | All ninth and tenth grade teachers will participate in writing training during the school day. Substitutes will be needed. | Title II | \$3,690.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$3,690.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$3,690.00 |

U.S. History End-of-Cource (EOC) Goals

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| * Whe | n using percentages, includ | le the number of students t | he percentage repre | sents (e.g., 70% (35)). | |
|--------|--|--|--|--|---|
| | on the analysis of studeed of improvement for the | | d reference to "Gu | iding Questions", identify | y and define areas |
| Histo | _ | evement Level 3 in U.S. | | e number of students on | target with U.S. |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perfo | rmance: | 2013 Expecte | d Level of Performance | e: |
| Distri | | target based on the OCF 3% needs improvement; | 23% 01 1116 510 | udents will be on target b of Course Exam | pased on the State |
| | Prol | olem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | nt Achievement | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | economically | AVID strategies Increase teacher ability to integrate Marzano instructional protocol Teacher-Leader Book | Reading Coach | Informal classroom observations Professional Development training Professional Learning Community (PLC) notes | Increase graduation rate Decrease dropout rate Increase |
| | | Study | | - | at-risk seniors Standardized test student achievement data |
| 2 | Teacher understanding of Common Core State Standards | Text Complexity Integration of Appropriate Leveled Nonfiction Text Across Contents Common assessments PLC collaboration | Reading Coach Administrative Team Curriculum Leaders | Notes from PLC sessions Informal observations | Standardized student achievement data |
| 3 | Standardized common assessments are not available to consistently track students' progress across the department No standardized pre/post yearly test | Lesson Study Create Edusoft benchmark exams to monitor progress Analyze data, address weaknesses, and collaborate with colleagues on strategies to increase student learning gains PLC meetings with American History teachers | PLC leader Curriculum leaders Administrative team | Data from Edusoft benchmark and pre/post exams created by PLC members | Standardized benchmark exams and student achievement data |
| 4 | Knowledge of content specific vocabulary | Direct instruction and use of best practices for teaching vocabulary | American History teachers Curriculum leaders | | Data from vocabulary quizzes Learning activities |
| | | Vocabulary notebooks based on the standards | Administrative | Word walls | and games |

| | | | team | | Teacher observation |
|--|--|---|--------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| | and procedures and ability to navigate and | textbook websites and work on computer | , | practice tests on textbook websites | Standardized student achievement data through practice tests |
| | | Designate block of time for American History teachers | Curriculum leaders | | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in U.S. History. To increase the number of students scoring at or above on U. S. History End of Course Exam U.S. History Goal #2: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 19% of the students were on target based on the OCPS 25% of the students will be on target based on the State District End of Course Exam; 53% needs improvement; of Florida End of Course Exam. 28% needs much improvement Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Standardized common Create Edusoft PLC leader Notes from PLC Standardized benchmark exams to assessments are not meetings benchmark exams available to monitor progress Curriculum leaders and student Data from Edusoft consistently track achievement data Analyze data, address students' progress benchmark and across the department weaknesses, and Administrative pre/post exams created collaborate with team by PLC members No standardized colleagues on pre/post yearly test strategies to increase student learning gains PLC meetings with American History teachers Lack of knowledge of Direct instruction and American History Classroom discussion Data from content specific use of best practices teachers vocabulary for teaching vocabulary vocabulary Vocabulary foldables quizzes Curriculum leaders and notebooks Learning activities Vocabulary notebooks Word walls and games based on the standards Administrative team Teacher observation Students access s American History End of Course exam Standardized Lack of knowledge of computer based testing textbook websites and teachers student format and procedures work on computer practice tests on textbook websites achievement data and ability to navigate based test taking Administrative 3 and read on computers strategies team through practice Teacher observation tests Lack of student access Designate block of time Curriculum leaders for American History to school based computer labs. teachers

(PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|--|------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|
| US History teachers will come together to create common assessments to be placed on Edusoft in order to track students' progress towards completion of NGSSS and successful completion of the State of Florida EOC Exam. | American History | Delia Chacon | Mr. Beatty, Ms. Tobin, Mr. Ciminno, Ms. Rovira, Ms. Chacon | Every fourth Wednesday of the month | Observation of PLC meetings; Review of Edusoft data from American History classes | Betzabeth Reussow, Administrator |

U.S. History Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | am(s)/Material(s) | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | - | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of U.S. History EOC Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:

1. Attendance

Attendance Goal #1:

Student attendance will improve school wide at all grade levels by 1%.

 $^{^{\}star}$ When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| 2012 Current Attendance Rate: | | | 2013 Expecte | d Attendance Rate: | | |
|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| | (3197) was the current a school year. | attendance rate the 2011 | | 95% (2997)is the expected attendace rate for the 2012- 2013 school year. | | |
| 1 - | Current Number of Stunces (10 or more) | udents with Excessive | 2013 Expecte Absences (10 | d Number of Students or more) | with Excessive | |
| | (1371)of students had e or more. | xcessive absences of 10 | | ill have excessive absend 013 school year. | es of 10 days or | |
| 1 | Current Number of Stues (10 or more) | udents with Excessive | 2013 Expecte Tardies (10 or | d Number of Students more) | with Excessive | |
| Exces | ssive tardies were not ca | lculated last school year | . Excessive tard | ies are not calculated. | | |
| | Pro | blem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Consistent monitoring of attendance and student achievement | Attendance and student achievement is monitored through the use of weekly progress reports | Teachers Adminstrative Team | Site Team Meetings | Attendance Report | |
| 2 | Students with low academic performance causing a lack of interest in school | Teachers will ensure attendance is taken period by period Average Daily Attendance will be placed in Attendance office to motivate students and parents. Utilization of Attendance Contracts during Child Study Team Meetings. Social Worker will make home visits after 5 absences. Increase in parent/guardian notification through use of school messenger. Reminderts of assigned detention/Saturday School. After 2 tardies, when Saturday School has been assigned, and when referral has been issued. | Assistant Principal Attendance Dean Attendance Clerks RTI through CSTM Team District Social Worker | and decrease in absences as evidenced in monitoring by the Dean of Attendance, Attendance Dean Decrease in tardies as evidenced in monitoring by the Dean of Attendance. Attendance Dean will analyze effectiveness of the strategy and make changes accordingly. | Plasco Tracking System Report on completed school messenger call daily | |
| 3 | Family issues such as financial hardships, childcare, new immigrants to the area with language barriers. | ACST working with SAFE Coordinator, District Social Worker will provide assistance to families. | Attendance Dean Safe Coordinator | Assistant Principal, Attendance Dean and Social Worker will assess student information, and use interventions and resources available. The ACST Team will analyze effectiveness of strategy and make changes accordingly | ACST Binder | |

| 1 | I | l . | Worker | ĺ | l |
|---|------------------------------|--|---|---|---|
| | | | VVOIKEI | | |
| | | | RTI through CSTM Team | | |
| 4 | Lack of parental involvement | Increase parental involvement through increased contact with parents regarding attendance and academic success. Work with teachers through ACST to assist in monitoring students with high number of absences. Signs posted in English/Spanish articulating district attendance policy. Communication in English and Spanish regarding Attendance Policy in "Bear Facts" Newsletter. Principal CCHS Community outreach through electronic newsletter | Attendance Dean Safe Coordinator Attendance Clerks District Social Worker RTI through CSTM Team | information, and use interventions and resources available. The ACST Team will analyze effectiveness of strategy and make changes accordingly | Parent/teacher conference forms Phone log "Bear Facts" Newsletter |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|
| Truancy and attendance | 9-12 | District | Assistant Principal Attendance Dean Attendance Clerks | | Attendance Dean provides follow-up and disseminates information | Attendance Dean |

Attendance Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | am(s)/Material(s) | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| | | | |

| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
|----------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Attendance Goal(s)

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | d on the analysis of susp provement: | ension data, and referen | nce to | "Guiding Que | stions", identify and defi | ne areas in need |
|--|--|---|--------|---|---|--|
| 1. Su | spension | | | | | |
| Susp | ension Goal #1: | | Т | o decrease th | e number of suspension | S. |
| 2012 | Total Number of In-Sc | hool Suspensions | 2 | 2013 Expecte | d Number of In-Schoo | l Suspensions |
| Durin offen | g the 2011-2012 school y ses | year there were 738 | | | 2-2013 school year the 1 y 3% (22) to 716. | number of offenses |
| 2012 | Total Number of Stude | ents Suspended In-Sch | | 2013 Expecte School | d Number of Students | Suspended I n- |
| | g the 2011-2012 school yents who received In-sch | | | | 2-2013 school year the recrease by 3% (12) to 3 | |
| 2012 | Number of Out-of-Sch | ool Suspensions | | 2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions | | |
| During the 2011-2012 school year there 679 offenses. | | | . D | During the 2012-2013 school year the number of offenses will decrease by 3% (20)to 659. | | |
| 2012 Scho | Total Number of Stude | ents Suspended Out-of | | 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School | | |
| | g the 2011-2012 school seceived Out-of-School S | | | During the 2012-2013 school year the number of students will decrease by 3% (13)to 418. | | |
| | Prol | olem-Solving Process t | to Ind | crease Stude | nt Achievement | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Res | Person or Position sponsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Students lack of knowledge and understanding of Code- of conduct Cultural differences of new students from other countries | Regular review of code- of-conduct and video overviews. | for D | Discipline: Mr. nanan | Identification of students and tracking of data. | Discipline and suspension report - EDW |
| | Students lack of knowledge and understanding of Code- of conduct Cultural differences of | 1)Survey teachers and identify needs 2)Provide staff/professional | for D | Discipline: Mr. nanan | 1- Identification of teachers who need support with classroom management 2) Identification of | Discipline and suspension report - EDW |

| | development for teachers. | students and tracking of data. | |
|---|--|--------------------------------|--|
| | 2)Regular review of code-of-conduct and video overviews. | | |
| 3 | | | |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| Classroom management | All | Assistant Principal | | 2012 | Meeting with | Assistant Principal for discipline, DeansD |

Suspension Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | | | Available |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Suspension Goal(s)

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Note: Required for High School - F.S., Sec. 1003.53

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | | | | | ı | |
|-------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1. Dr | opout Prevention | | | | | |
| Drop | out Prevention Goal #1 | : | , | In the school year 2012-2013 the student drop out rate | | |
| | se refer to the percenta | | will decrease b | y 2% | | |
| dropp | ped out during the 2011 | 2012 school year. | | | | |
| 2012 | Current Dropout Rate: | | 2013 Expecte | d Dropout Rate: | | |
| The c | urrent dropout rate is 28 | 3% (2) | The expected of | dropout rate is 12% (1) | | |
| 2012 | Current Graduation Ra | nte: | 2013 Expecte | d Graduation Rate: | | |
| The c | urrent graduation rate is | 85% (615) | The expected (| The expected graduation rate is 86% (709) | | |
| | Prol | blem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Increased ACT/FCAT reading concordant score. | Guidance counselors will coordinate ACT registration drive, practice ACT test, and conduct individual and classroom discussions with students regarding the ACT/SAT tests. ACT/SAT test strategies are embedded in FCAT reading classes. | Team Guidance and Reading teachers | Compile and analyze ACT registration and score data. | ACT Score Report and ACT Attendance data | |
| 2 | Reduced opportunities for credit recovery. | Continue use of E2020 class at Cypress Creek High School. Individual meetings with students in need of credit recovery to establish an action plan. | Administrative Team Guidance Counselors | Successful completion of coursework. | E2020 progress report and Night School completion form. | |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--|--|---------------|--|
| Reestablish goals of 5- Star program | 9-12 | | school wide clubs | department | completion of | Assistant Principal |

| Evidence-based Progr | | | Available |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developn | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Buidling a since of

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

parent meeting.

Organize college

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement: Develop working relationships with the parents of our students by increasing participation at Cypress Creek High School: School Advisory Council (SAC), Parent 1. Parent Involvement Leadership Council (PLC), AVID Parent Association, International Baccalaureate (IB) Parent Group, Athletic Parent Involvement Goal #1: Boosters, Theatre Arts Parents, Band parents, Guard Parents, Reading Parent Involvement Events, Culinary *Please refer to the percentage of parents who Academy Advisory Board, Medical Academy Parents, participated in school activities, duplicated or ROTC Parent Participation, Business Award Ceremony, Health & Public Affairs (HPA)College Expo, Institute of unduplicated. Science Technology Engineering and Mathematics (ISTEM) College Expo, Institute of Arts and Media (IAM) College Expo, Open House, and Parent Events hosted by our Guidance Department. 2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement: 2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement: 54% (1674) parents out of 3100 students 57% (1775) parents out of 3100 students Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Increase current level Encourage attendance Assistant Principal Research the data of Addition volunteer hours of Parent Involvement at School Advisory parent involvement by Council, Parent charting Addition Leadership Council, and Volunteer hours any sport, performing arts, and/or AVID or IB

Counselors

Monitoring participation Overall

| 2 | awareness for students | workshops and new student orientation | | at events | attendance at public events |
|---|--|---|-----------|---|--|
| 3 | Creating a community for Cypress Creek | Utilizing Connect Ed and Bear Channel News to promote parent involvement | Principal | 5-Star School Program | Completion of portfolio of 5-Star School |
| 4 | students, parents, and teachers to have questions answered regarding English Language Learners. (ELL) | Invite all students, parents and teachers that have any questions that arise regarding English Language Learners to visit the ELL office and to attend Parent Leadership Council that meets 4 times a year. | · | Documented discussion log and Parent Leadership Council minutes. | Assistant Principal along with the staff of the ELL office will discuss effectiveness at weekly office meetings. |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--|--|---------------------------------|--|
| Reestablish 5-Star Program | 19-17 | Assistant Principal | Schoolwide | Monthly department meetings | Timeline for 5- Star Program | Principal |

Parent Involvement Budget:

| Evidence-based Prograi | m(s)/Material(s) | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| Гесhnology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| Professional Developme | ent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.0 |

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based | on the analysis of school | ol data, identify and defir | ne areas in need of | improvement: | | | | | |
|-------|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1. ST | EM I Goal #1: | | Chemistry and | 75% (2325) of students taking science classes (Biology, Chemistry and Physical Science) in grades 9-12 will participate in a STEM Design Challenge Project. | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | | | |
| 1 | Resources | STEM Projects as part of CIA for Biology, Chemistry and Physical Science. | Shari-Foster Hennighan Cap Jadonath | Science Teachers developing Assessment Rubrics during PLC's. | Science Teachers grading their students STEM Design Challenge Project. | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | | | | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| III . | PD ontent /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|-------|--|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | • | | Γ | No Data Submitted | d | | |

STEM Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developme | ent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|----------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | d on the analysis of school | | | | | | |
|-------|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| 1. CT | E Goal #1: | | certification. In 2012-2013, | In 2011-2012, 85 students obtained industry certification. In 2012-2013, CCHS will increase the number of industry certifications by 10% (9). | | | |
| | Prol | olem-Solving Process t | to Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| 1 | 1.1. Cost of software & licenses for students to take the industry certification tests. 1.2. Cost to students to take the industry certification test. (Health Sciences, Digital Video Production & Culinary) 1.3. Lack of paid internships available. | 1.2. Use practice software to prepare students for industry certification test. | 1.1.Assistant Principal 1.2. Assistant Principal 1.3. Assistant Principal | 1.1.Monitor student data from practice software.1.2. Monitor the number of students achieving industry certification.1.3. Teacher Feedback | 1.1. Certification Exam 1.2. Pre-Test Data | | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | | |

| Evidence-based Progra | am(s)/Material(s) | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

Enrollment & Performance in Advanced Programs. Goal:

| | ed of improvement for the | | ne | | | | |
|--------------|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Goal | irollment & Performanc Ilment & Performance i #1: | | To maintain an achievement/fo the Continuous | To maintain and improve by 3% academic achievement/focus and consistency through the use of the Continuous Improvement Model in the IB program and increase performance on Advanced Placement Exam. | | | |
| 2012 | ? Current level: | | 2013 Expecte | ed level: | | | |
| May 2 53% | B Diploma Candidates 2012 Diploma pass rate w of Advanced Placement S r on AP Exams | | | oma pass rate will be 85% ced Placement students v | | | |
| | Prol | olem-Solving Process t | to Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| 1 | SES of IB Diploma candidates on FRL as of 9/6/2012 is 41%. SES of Pre-IB students is 49%. (38/106) | Offer students use of SAFE Coordinator, | IB Guidance Counselor IB Coordinator | IB Diploma Pass Rate | IB Diploma Pass Rate | | |
| 2 | Student and parent understanding of the rigors and requirements of the IB Diploma Program | Create a handbook to give to all potential Pre-IB students at IB Programme Open House and Magnet Fair Create Extended Essay and CAS Handbooks to lay out requirements of IB Programme | IB Coordinator CAS Coordinator Extended Essay Coordinator | Increased applications for Program | Retention of IB students through all four years of the Program IB Pass Rate | | |
| 3 | Fixed mindsets of teachers & students | Working with complex text; Professional Learning Communities Writing; Inquiry; Collaboration; Reading; Meaningful Common Boards; Webb's Depth of Knowledge IBO/FLIBS training IB Math Tutoring IB Probation Higher Order Thinking | IB Coordinator PLC Leaders IB Math Teachers IB Guidance Counselor | Weekly Progress Reports Teacher-initiated Parent-Teacher Conferences Proactive interventions Probation System IB Diploma Pass Rate | Retention of IB students through all four years of the Program IB Diploma Pass Rate | | |
| | Lack of awareness of benefits of AP classes with students and | Host AP Parent night in January to promote AP | Rebecca Mayo, AP coordinator and Tina Bulled, | Analysis of data for enrollment of qualified, motivated students | PSAT results | | |

| 4 | | registration. Use AP Potential to systematically target underrepresented students for enrollment in AP courses | Guidance Dept chair. | |
|---|-----------|---|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 5 | 1 | Coordinate/administer practice tests for all AP subject areas | J . | Practice tests from AP Central |
| 6 | material. | | | Classroom walkthroughs |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|--|------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|
| DBQ PLC | 9-12 | Nicole Boujaber | Social Studies teachers | Every 4th Wednesday | Classroom observation | Administrative Team |
| AP SS Lesson Study | 9-12 | Rebecca Mayo | AP social studies teachers | 3 cycles | Classroom observation | Administrative Team |
| AP taskforce | 9-12 | | All AP teachers school- wide | Once per 9 weeks | Classroom observation | Administrative Team |
| AVID Cross- curricular | 9-12 | | All teachers school- wide | Once per 9 weeks | Classroom observation | Administrative Team |
| IB Professional Learning Community focusing on Deliberate Practice | 9-12 | Jennifer Schinleber | Accessing the Online Curriculum Center Monthly to engage in discussions regarding changes, updates, and best practice for IB | monthly | observation, classroom walkthrough | Administrative Team |

Budget:

| | | | Available |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developmen | t | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|----------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Enrollment & Performance in Advanced Programs. Goal(s)

Increase enrollment and performance in advanced placement programs. Goal:

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | | |

Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | am(s)/Material(s) | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Increase enrollment and performance in advanced placement programs. Goal(s)

Increase the percentage of AVID students enrolled in AP classes. Goal:

| | d on the analysis of stud ed of improvement for th | ent achievement data, a e following group: | ınd re | eference to "Gi | uiding Questions", identi | fy and define areas |
|------------|--|---|--------|---|--|--------------------------|
| | crease the percentage lled in AP classes. Goal | | | ncrease the p | ercentage of AVID stude | ents enrolled in AP |
| 1 | ease the percentage of asses. Goal #1: | AVID students enrolled | | Increase the percentage of AVID students enrolled in AP classes | | |
| 2012 | Current level: | | 2 | 2013 Expecte | d level: | |
| 67% 73% | of AVID students wer of AVID Seniors (37) too of AVID Seniors (40) too 5 (45) of AVID Seniors w | k the SAT. | F | 50% of AVID students (107) students will be enrolled in AP classes. | | |
| | Pro | blem-Solving Process t | to In | icrease Stude | nt Achievement | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | | Person or Position sponsible for Vonitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Mental model of students and parents | Tutorials | Guio | ID Coordinator dance e Teachers | Professional Learning Community (PLC) | Enrollment in AP courses |
| 2 | Mental model of students and parents (no benefit in taking both ACT and SAT) | Emphasis of waivers and continued test- prep for both SAT and ACT in the AVID Elective class for all grade levels. | AVII | D Coordinator | PLC | AVID Senior Data report |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| IB Training of New Teachers | 9-12 | | World languages, Psychology, English | June 2013 | Use of classroom strategies | IB Coordinator |
| IB Magnet Teacher Meetings | 9-12 | J. Schinleber | IB Magnet Teachers | Third Wednesdays of the month | Minutes | IB Coordinator |

Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s) | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount | | | | |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 | | | | |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 | | | | |
| Technology | | | | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount | | | | |

| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| | | • | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developme | nt | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | - | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Increase the percentage of AVID students enrolled in AP classes. Goal(s)

FINAL BUDGET

| Reading Fidelity of instruction EDGE student workbooks Supplement Instruction Fidelity of instruction EDGE student workbooks Supplement Instruction Strategy Description of Resources Funding Society Funding Fundin | |
|--|-----------------------|
| Technology Goal Strategy Description of Resources Funding Sor Instruction Frequency Center in the reading classes. This requires at least 4-6 computers in each reading classrooms. Some computers will be preallocated and some will be purchased. Frofessional Development Goal Strategy Description of Resources Funding Sor Reading Classrooms. Some computers will be reallocated and some will be purchased. Funding Sor Resources Funding Sor School Budg Classrooms. Some computers will attend 2 Saturday trainings to improve their ability to improve the improve the improve their ability to improve the improve their ability to improve their ability to improve the improve their ability to improve their ability to improve the improve the improve their ability to improve the improve the improve the improve the improve their ability to improve the improve t | urce Available Amount |
| Strategy | Academic \$4,000.00 |
| Strategy | Subtotal: \$4,000.00 |
| Reading Small Group Instruction Reading Small Group Instruction Small Group Instruction Funding Solution of a Technology Center in the reading classes. This requires at least 4-6 computers in each reading classroom. Some computers will be realiocated and some will be purchased. Professional Development Goal Strategy Description of Resources Reading Small Group Instruction Reading Small Group Instruction Reading Small Group Instruction Reading Systematic Approach to Resources Systematic Approach to Reading classrooms. Subs will be provided for ESE teachers for 3 days over the course of the year. This time will be use for the reading coach and the inclusion coach to provide training on integrating reading strategies class. Reading Reading Text Complexity Reading Coach will train each content area on early release days. Substitutes are needed for the Black Belt team which will support the integration of Common Core Standards into instruction Reading Content Area Reading Instruction All inith and tenth grade teachers will participate in writing training during the school day. Substitutes will be needed. | |
| Reading Small Group Instruction The reading classes. This requires at least 4-6 computers in each reading classroom. There are 11 reading classroom. There are 11 reading classrooms. Some computers will be reallocated and some will be purchased. Professional Development Goal Strategy Description of Resources Funding Some will be purchased. Reading Small Group Instruction Teachers will attend 2 Saturday trainings to improve their ability to integrate small group instruction into their reading classrooms. Subs will be provided for ESE teachers for 3 days over the course of the year. This time will be use for the reading coach and the inclusion coach to provide training on integrating reading strategies into the learning strategies class. Reading Text Complexity Reading Coach will train each content area on early release days. Substitutes are needed for the Black Belt team which will support the integration of Common Core State Standards Reading Content Area Reading Instruction Core Standards into instruction core standards and reading coach and reading coach and reading coach and reading coach to learn how to content area reading into their instruction and limith and tenth grade teachers will participate in writing training during the school day. Substitutes will be needed. | urce Available Amount |
| Reading Systematic Approach to Reading Strategies Strategies Reading Text Complexity Reading Coach will train each content area on early release days. Reading Common Core State Standards Instruction Reading Content Area Reading Instruction Reading Professional development for FCAT 2.0 Writing Strategy St | et \$10,000.00 |
| Reading Systematic Approach to Reading Strategies Strategies Reading Text Complexity Reading Coach will train each content area on early release days. Reading Common Core State Standards into instruction Reading Content Area Reading Instruction Reading Professional development for FCAT 2.0 Writing Strategy Strate | Subtotal: \$10,000.00 |
| Reading Small Group Instruction Reading Small Group Instruction Reading Small Group Instruction Reading Systematic Approach to Reading in Learning Coach and the inclusion coach to provide training on integrating reading strategies into the learning strategies class. Reading Text Complexity Text Complexity train each content area on early release days. Reading Coach will train each content area on early release days. Substitutes are needed for the Black Belt team which will support the integration of Common Core Standards into instruction Reading Content Area Reading Instruction Reading Coach will train each content area on early release days. Substitutes are needed for the Black Belt team which will support the integration of Common Core Standards into instruction Reading Content Area Reading Instruction All ninth and tenth grade teachers will participate in writing training during the school day. Substitutes will be needed. Other Content Area Possional development for FCAT 2.0 Writing Description of Eunding Solution of Strategy. Description of Eunding Solution Solution of Eunding Solution of Eun | |
| Reading Small Group Instruction Saturday trainings to improve their ability to integrate small group instruction into their reading classrooms. Subs will be provided for ESE teachers for 3 days over the course of the year. This time will be use for the reading cach and the inclusion coach to provide training on integrating reading strategies into the learning strategies class. Reading Text Complexity Reading Coach will train each content area on early release days. Reading Common Core State Standards Standa | urce Available Amount |
| Reading Strategies Reading Text Complexity Title II Reading Common Core State Standards Reading Common Core State Standards To Core Standards Instruction Reading Instruction Title II Content Area Reading Instruction Reading Content Area Reading Instruction Into their instruction Reading Content Area Reading Into their instruction All ninth and tenth Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Into Instruction Instruction Into Instruction Instruction Into Instruction Inst | \$2,604.00 |
| Reading Reading Common Core State Standards Common Core State Standards Content Area Reading Instruction Content Area Reading Instruction Professional development for FCAT 2.0 Writing Text Complexity train each content area on early release days. Substitutes are needed for the Black Belt team which will support the integration of Common Core Standards into instruction Beginning teachers will have 3 TDY days to work with instructional coach and reading coach to learn how to content area reading into their instruction All ninth and tenth grade teachers will participate in writing training during the school day. Substitutes will be needed. Other Coal Strategy Description of Funding Sould Strategy Eunding Sould Strategy Funding Sould Strategy Eunding Sould Strategy Funding Strategy Funding Strategy Funding Strategy Funding Strategy Funding Strategy Funding Strate | \$1,500.00 |
| Reading Common Core State Standards Common Core State Standards Core Standards into instruction Reading Content Area Reading Instruction Core Standards into instruction Beginning teachers will have 3 TDY days to work with instructional coach and reading coach to learn how to content area reading into their instruction Writing Professional development for FCAT 2.0 Writing Strategy Description of Funding Sould Funding Funding Sould Funding Funding Sould Funding Funding Sould Funding Funding Funding Sould Funding Funding Funding Sould Funding | \$0.00 |
| Reading Content Area Reading Instruction Coach and reading coach to learn how to content area reading into their instruction Writing Professional development for FCAT 2.0 Writing 4.0 Writing | l Funds & \$1,500.00 |
| Writing Professional development for FCAT 2.0 Writing Professional development for FCAT 2.0 Writing Professional development for FCAT arining during the school day. Substitutes will be needed. Other Goal Strategy Description of Funding Society Control of Funding | \$2,100.00 |
| Goal Strategy Description of Funding Soci | \$3,690.00 |
| Goal Strategy Description of Funding Soci | Subtotal: \$11,394.00 |
| | |
| Resources - | urce Available Amount |
| No Data No Data No Data No Data | \$0.00 |
| | Subtotal: \$0.00 |

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

| jn Priority | jn Focus | j₁∩ Prevent | j n NA | |
|-------------|----------|-------------|---------------|--|
| J | J | J | 3 | |

Are you a reward school: jn Yes jn No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment

School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

Yes. Agree with the above statement.

Describe projected use of SAC funds

Amount

No data submitted

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

The council is working on beautification of the school, 5-Star School, involving more parents, monitoring FCAT progress to establish an 'A' school, SAC student members earning cords, and focus on school spirit along with issues of remodeling of the campus.

AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

| Orange School District CYPRESS CREEK HIGH 2010-2011 | | | | | | |
|---|---------|-----------|---------|---------|---------------------------|---|
| | Reading | Math | Writing | Science | Grade Points Earned | |
| % Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above) | 42% | 71% | 73% | 43% | 229 | Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component. |
| % of Students Making Learning Gains | 46% | 72% | | | 118 | 3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2 |
| Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School? | | 56% (YES) | | | | Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math. |
| FCAT Points Earned | | | | | 458 | |
| Percent Tested = 98% | | | | | | Percent of eligible students tested |
| School Grade* | | | | | В | Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested |

| Orange School District CYPRESS CREEK HIGH 2009-2010 | | | | | | |
|---|----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------------------------|---|
| | Reading | Math | Writing | Science | Grade Points Earned | |
| % Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above) | 47% | 76% | 79% | 39% | | Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component. |
| % of Students Making Learning Gains | 53% | 76% | | | 129 | 3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2 |
| Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School? | 45% (NO) | 59% (YES) | | | | Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math. |
| FCAT Points Earned | | | | | 484 | |
| Percent Tested = 97% | | | | | | Percent of eligible students tested |
| School Grade* | | | | | В | Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested |