

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION



School Improvement Plan (SIP) for Juvenile Justice Education Programs

2012–2013

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

2012 – 2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: SCHOOL INFORMATION

School Name: Reading Edge Academy	District Name: Volusia
Principal: Margaret Comardo	Superintendent: Dr. Margaret Smith
SAC Chair: Deborah Smoak	Date of School Board Approval:

Student Achievement Data:

Use data from the Common Assessment to complete reading and mathematics goals. Programs may include math data from the math assessment used in 2011–2012.

Administrators

List your school’s on-site administrators who are responsible for educational services (e.g., principal, lead educator) and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include the history of common assessment data learning gains. Programs may include math data from the math assessment used in 2011–2012. The school may include the history of Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior common assessment data learning gains). The school may include AMO progress along with the associated school year.
Principal	Margaret A. Comardo	BS Physical Ed. MS Elementary Ed. Reading Endorsement ESOL Endorsement	15	15	2011-2012 – A School 2010-2011 – C School AYP 82% (73% r/69% M;64%R 60%M; 33%R;67%M) 2009-2010 – C School AYP 77%(74% R/72%M;53%R;63%M;45%R;57%M) 2008-2009 – A School AYP 100% (76%R/72%M; 73%R;73%M;77%R;73%M) 2007-2008 – B School AYP 92%(75% R;64%M; 64%R; 62%M;67%R;60%M) 2006-2007 – A School AYP 100% (76% R; 70% M; 82% R; 82% M; 88% R; 77% M) 2005-2006 – B School AYP 97%(69% R; 66% M; 62% R; 62%M;

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

					<p>63% R; ?%M) 2004-2005 – A School AYP 100% (73% R.69% M; 67%R; 72% M; 58%R; ?%M) 2003-2004 – B School AYP 100% (65%R; 62% M; 63%R; 58%M; 50%R; ?%M) 2002-2003 – C School AYP N (57%R/ 45%M; 70%R; 64%M; 70%R; ?%M)</p> <p>Based on the Executive Board’s reinstatement of me as principal for the past 15 years I have been meeting and exceeding the twelve competencies required for administrators within Volusia County. The School has entered it’s fifteen year increasing enrollment from 100 students in grades K-3 with five classrooms to 312 students in K-5 with 17 classrooms. The principal has made a significant impact on student achievement through the years by hiring highly qualified teachers, an academic coach, and an assistant principal for the current year. The principal has increased staff development and technology in the classrooms as well as significant parental involvement by requiring 30 volunteer hours per family. Many families put in more than 75 hours and upwards to 300 volunteer hours annually to contribute to our continued success. Volunteers are a vital part of the school’s positive climate. The school is experiencing the second generation of families entering kindergarten.</p>
Assistant Principal	Patrick McGinn	BA History M.ED Elem Admin/Supervision ED.S. Administration	1	20	Mr. McGinn is beginning his second year at Reading Edge Academy. He has been retired from the District for 3 years. He was an administrator in the Volusia County District for 19 years (1985-2004) Each of these schools were A and B schools during his administration.
Assistant Principal	Deborah Smoak	BA Early Childhood/Elem. Ed M. ED Educational Curriculum	4	1	2011-2012 – A School AYP 2010-2011 – C School AYP 82% (73% R; 69% M; 64% R; 60% M; 33% R; 67% M) 2009-2010 – C School SYP 77% (74% R; 72% M; 53% R; 63% M; 45% R; 57% M)

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

Instructional Coaches

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include the history of common assessment data learning gains. Programs may include math data from the math assessment used in 2011–2012. The school may include the history of AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior common assessment data learning gains). The school may include AMO progress along with the associated school year.
Academic Coach	Melody Moore	BA/S Early Childhood/Elementary Education	1	0	

Effective and Highly Effective Teachers

List your school's highly effective teachers and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as a teacher, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include the history of common assessment data learning gains. Programs may include math data from the math assessment used in 2011–2012. The school may include the history of AMO progress. *Highly effective teachers refers to teachers who provide instruction in core academic subjects, hold an acceptable bachelor's degree or higher, have a valid temporary or professional certificate, and whose students demonstrate learning gains via the common assessment, end of course exams, or any supplemental assessment the school uses.*

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Teacher	Prior Performance Record (include prior common assessment data learning gains). The school may include AMO progress along with the associated school year.
All subjects	Vickie Foster	BA Elementary Education	3	30	2011-2012 – A School 2010-2011 – C School AYP 82% (73% R/ 69% M; 64% R;60%

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

					M; 33%R; 67%M) 2009-2010 – C School AYP 77% (74%R/72% M; 53%R/63% M; 45% R/ 57% M)
All Subjects	Patty Angel				
All Subjects	Heather Weiss	BA Humanities M. ED Elementary Education	5	5	2011-2012 – A School 2010-2011 – C School AYP 82% (73%R/69% M; 64%R/ 60% M; 33% R/67%M) 2009-2010 – C School AYP 77% (74% R/ 72% M; 53% R/ 63% M; 45% R/ 57% M) 2008-2009 – A School AYP 100% (76% R/ 72% M; 73% R/73% M; 77% R/ 73% M) 2007-2008 – B School AYP 92% (75% R/ 64% M; 64% R/ 62% M; 67% R/ 60% M)

Effective and Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1. Developing partnerships with local universities to attain qualified, certified teachers.	Principal – Administrative Staff	June 2013	
2. Positions are posted on Teach In Florida (official website sponsored by FLDOE) and on VCSB job website	Principal – Administrative Staff	April 2013	
3. The Academy will provide opportunities of professional development to enhance teacher skills. Teachers will participate in the Professional Growth Plan (PGP).	Principal – Administrative Staff	Ongoing throughout the year 2012-2013	
4. The Academy will participate in the Volusia System for Empowering Teachers (VSET)	Principal – Administrative Staff	Ongoing throughout the year 2012-2013	

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and who are NOT highly effective.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

Number of staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
N/A	

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school who are teaching at least one academic course.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
23	4.3% (1)	47.8% (11)	39.1% (9)	8.6% (2)	8.6% (2)	100% (23)	17.4% (4)	0% (0)	39.1% (9)

Teacher Mentoring Program

Please describe the school’s teacher mentoring program by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Deborah Smoak	Jacqueline Schutt	Returned to teaching after 10 years	Coaching, classroom observations, planning, classroom management, behavior management, peer observation

***Grades 6-12 Only-** Sec. 1003.413 (2)(b) F.S

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

N/A

***High Schools Only**

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1003.413 (2)(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

N/A

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful to their future?

N/A

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School Feedback Report.

N/A

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

Please refer to questions below to guide your responses when completing the goal chart. Specific responses are not required for each question on the template.

Guiding Questions to Inform the Problem-Solving Process

- Based on a comparison of 2010-2011 common assessment data and 2011-2012 common assessment data, what was the percent increase or decrease of students maintaining learning gains?
- What percentage of students made learning gains?
- What was the percent increase or decrease of students making learning gains?
- What are the anticipated barriers to increasing the percentage of students making learning gains?
- What strategies will be implemented to increase and maintain proficiency for these students?
- What additional supplemental interventions/remediation will be provided for students not achieving learning gains?

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

READING GOALS			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Percentage of students making learning gains-in reading.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
<u>Reading Goal #1:</u>							
<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target		2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
2. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Baseline data 2010-2011						
<u>Reading Goal #2:</u>							
<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>							

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs
Reading Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			Grand Total:

End of Reading Goals

Mathematics Goals

Please refer to questions below to guide your responses when completing the goal chart. Specific responses are not required for each question on the template.

Guiding Questions to Inform the Problem-Solving Process

- Based on a comparison of 2010-2011 common assessment data and 2011-2012 common assessment data, what was the percent increase or decrease of students maintaining learning gains? Programs may include math data from the math assessment used in 2011–2012.
- What percentage of students made learning gains?
- What was the percent increase or decrease of students making learning gains?
- What are the anticipated barriers to increasing the percentage of students making learning gains?
- What strategies will be implemented to increase and maintain proficiency for these students?
- What additional supplemental interventions/remediation will be provided for students not achieving learning gains?

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g. 70% (35)).

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

MATHEMATICS GOALS			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Mathematics Goal #1:							
<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target		2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
2. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Baseline data 2010-2011						
	Mathematics Goal #2: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>						

Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Algebra EOC Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Algebra Goal #1: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*						
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>						
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Algebra.			2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	
Algebra Goal #2: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*						
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>						
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
			2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target			2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
3. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.		Baseline data 2010-2011						
Algebra Goal #3: May 2012 <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i> Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised May 25, 2012								

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

End of Algebra EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Geometry EOC Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Geometry Goal #1: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u> <i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u> <i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>						
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Geometry.			2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	
Geometry Goal #2: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u> <i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u> <i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>						
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
			2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target			2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
3. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.		Baseline data 2010-2011						
Geometry Goal #3: May 2012 <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i> Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised May 25, 2012								

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs
Mathematics Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Mathematics Budget

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			Grand Total:

End of Mathematics Goals

Biology End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

Biology EOC Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Biology.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Biology Goal #1: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology.			2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.
Biology Goal #2: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
			2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

Science Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.

Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of Science Goals

Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals *(required in year 2014-2015)*

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

Civics EOC Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Civics.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Civics Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u> Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u> Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Civics.			2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.
Civics Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u> Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u> Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
			2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

Civics Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Civics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.

Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:

Technology

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:

Professional Development

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:

Other

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of Civics Goals

U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals *(required in year 2013-2014)*

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

U.S. History EOC Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
U.S. History Goal #1: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in U.S. History.			2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.
Civics Goal #2: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
			2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

U.S. History Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

U.S. History Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.

Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:

Technology

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:

Professional Development

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:

Other

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:

Total:

End of U.S. History Goals

Career Education Goals

Please refer to questions below to guide your responses when completing the goal chart. Specific responses are not required for each question on the template.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

Guiding Questions to Inform the Problem-Solving Process

- What career type does the program offer?
- How does the program provide career exploration for all students?
- What hands-on technical training does the program provide (type 3 programs)?
 - For type 3 programs what industry certifications are offered?
 - How many students earned industry certifications?
 - Is the program a Career and Professional Education (CAPE) Academy?

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

CAREER EDUCATION GOAL(S)			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Career Education Goal			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level</u> :*	<u>2013 Expected Level</u> :*					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current goal in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected goal in this box.</i>					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Career Education Professional Development

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Career Education Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			Grand Total:

End of Career Education Goal(s)

Transition Goal(s)

Please refer to questions below to guide your responses when completing the goal chart. Specific responses are not required for each question on the template.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

Guiding Questions to Inform the Problem-Solving Process

- How does the program deal with transition planning (entry and exit transition)?
- How many students successfully transition (e.g., return to school, find employment)?

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

TRANSITION GOAL(S)		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Transition Goal		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level :*					
	2013 Expected Level :*					
	Enter numerical data for current goal in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Transition Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Transition Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			Grand Total:

End of Transition Goal(s)

Attendance Goal(s) (For Day Treatment Programs Only)

Please refer to questions below to guide your responses when completing the goal chart. Specific responses are not required for each question on the template.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

Guiding Questions to Inform the Problem-Solving Process

- What was the attendance rate for 2011-2012?
- How many students had excessive absences (10 or more) during the 2011-2012 school year?
- What are the anticipated barriers to decreasing the number of students with excessive absences?
- What strategies and interventions will be utilized to decrease the number of students with excessive absences for 2012-2013?
- How many students had excessive tardies (10 or more) during the 2011-2012 school year?
- What are the anticipated barriers to decreasing the number of students with excessive tardies?
- What strategies and interventions will be utilized to decrease the number students with excessive tardies for 2012-2013?

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

ATTENDANCE GOAL(S)		Problem-solving Process to Increase Attendance				
Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Attendance Goal # 1		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Attendance Rate:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Attendance Rate:*</u>				
	<i>Enter numerical data for current attendance rate in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected attendance rate in this box.</i>				
	<u>2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)</u>	<u>2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)</u>				
	<i>Enter numerical data for current number of absences in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected number of absences in this box.</i>				
	<u>2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)</u>	<u>2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)</u>				
	<i>Enter numerical data for current number of students tardy in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected number of students tardy in this box.</i>				
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Attendance Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.

Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			Subtotal:

Technology

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			Subtotal:

Professional Development

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			Subtotal:

Other

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			Grand Total:

End of Attendance Goals

Final Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.

Reading Budget

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

	Total:
Mathematics Budget	
	Total:
Science Budget	
	Total:
Civics Budget	
	Total:
U.S. History Budget	
	Total:
Career Budget	
	Total:
Transition Budget	
	Total:
Attendance Budget	
	Total:
	Grand Total:

School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting “Yes” or “No” below.

Yes

No

If No, describe measures being taken to comply with SAC requirement.

Describe projected use of SAC funds.	Amount

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year.