

FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner
Florida Department of Education
325 West Gaines Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor
K-12 Public Schools
Florida Department of Education
325 West Gaines Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32399

School Name: J. D. PARKER SCHOOL OF TECHNOLOGY

District Name: Martin

Principal: Mrs. Mary K. White

SAC Chair: Mrs. April Coleman Day

Superintendent: Mrs. Nancy Kline

Date of School Board Approval: 11/20/2012

Last Modified on: 10/18/2012

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

| |
|--|
| School Grades Trend Data |
| Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data |
| High School Feedback Report |
| K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan |

ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

| Position | Name | Degree(s)/ Certification(s) | # of Years at Current School | # of Years as an Administrator | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year) |
|-----------------|---------------|--|------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| Principal | Mary K. White | Master's in Educational Leadership, BA in Elementary Education, Certified in Elementary Education and Principal | 6 | 15 | Prior school grade A Current school grade B |
| Assis Principal | Doretta Hale | Certified in Educational Leadership, Master's in Elementary Education. Certified in ESE, Reading, Elem Ed. and ELL | 24 | 16 | Prior school grade A Current school grade B |

INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of

years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

| Subject Area | Name | Degree(s)/ Certification(s) | # of Years at Current School | # of Years as an Instructional Coach | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year) |
|--------------|----------------|---|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| Writing | Janet Good | Master's Degree in Educational Leadership, BAE in Elementary Education and Early Childhood, certified in Elementary Education K-6 | 12 | 12 | Prior school grade-A, over 90% proficient in writing for the last six years, meeting AYP in writing |
| Reading | Courtney Beard | BS in Education, BA in Sociaology, Reading and ELL endorsed, certified in Elem. Ed. | 12 | 9 | Prior school grade-A |

EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

| | Description of Strategy | Person Responsible | Projected Completion Date | Not Applicable (If not, please explain why) |
|---|---|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| 1 | 1. Determine job openings, if any, and review applicants that are highly qualified, experienced teachers of students from diverse populations/Title I schools 2. Review all applications received by the district and forward to principals 3. Offer the Master's degree cohort at a local Title I school to earn their degree from Florida Atlantic University by taking reading classes | Mary K. White Cathy Tedesco | July 2011 Ongoing Ongoing | |

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

| | |
|--|---|
| Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out-of-field/ and who are not highly effective. | Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective |
| 0 | 0 |

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Total Number of Instructional Staff | % of First-Year Teachers | % of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience | % of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience | % of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience | % of Teachers with Advanced Degrees | % Highly Effective Teachers | % Reading Endorsed Teachers | % National Board Certified Teachers | % ESOL Endorsed Teachers |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|---|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 54 | 13.0%(7) | 22.2%(12) | 46.3%(25) | 18.5%(10) | 44.4%(24) | 100.0%(54) | 13.0%(7) | 5.6%(3) | 87.0%(47) |

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

| Mentor Name | Mentee Assigned | Rationale for Pairing | Planned Mentoring Activities |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| Jamie Law | All new teachers | Jamie Law, 3rd grade teacher is a teacher leader at the school. She is working on her Ed.D in Educational Leadership and she has taken on the new teachers as part of her program | She will facilitate the monthly new teacher meetings based on the needs of the new teachers. |
| Jennifer Oliveri and Jennifer McMahon | Nicole Vosters and Lesly Gil | Grade level peers | Weekly PLG Meetings, monthly new teacher meetings |
| Jean Ferreira and Danelle Roberts | Dorothy Castillo | Grade level peers, | Weekly PLG meetings with the team, monthly new teacher meetings |
| Brian Hayden | Dorothy Costello | Related Arts teachers | Weekly PLG meetings, monthly new teacher meetings |
| Maryann Williams | Kathryn Zehnder and Brittney Sapienza | Grade level peers | Weekly PLG meetings, monthly new teacher meetings |
| Michelle Zainc | Vanessa Whitt | Grade level peers | Weekly PLG meetings, new teacher meetings |
| PBIS Mentors | Anyone on staff | PBIS experts | All teachers may ask one of the PBIS trained mentors for help with difficult behavior in the classroom. Trained mentors provide behavioral support and assist in the implementation of the PBIS framework school-wide. This could also include training for new teachers. |

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

J.D. Parker School of Science, Math and Technology coordinates and integrates all federal, state, and local programs that impact the school:

- Implements research-based resources funded by federal and local funds.
- The Comprehensive Needs Assessment considers student academic needs as well as staff development data that addresses the priorities established for Title III, Migrant, and Title I programs.
- School Improvement Plan objectives reflect the research-based strategies with a focus on achieving state and district priorities.
- Input from the Pre-K programs is obtained by the school and district and is included in the transition plan.
- Partnerships are established.
- With coordination and scheduling of instructional programs.
- With implementation of parent information programs.
- Brochures and referrals for parent and student support from the guidance department, school nurse and other school personnel.

Title I, Part C- Migrant

The Comprehensive Needs Assessment considers student academic needs as well as staff development data that addresses the priorities established for Title III, Migrant and Title I programs.

Title I, Part D

The Comprehensive Needs Assessment considers student academic needs as well as staff development data that addresses the priorities established for Title I, Part D.

Title II

Professional development is directly tied to the SIP and trainings funded with the Title II funds are related to the strategies in the School Improvement Plan

Title III

Title III funds are extended to support English Language Learners at our school through:

- The development and implementation of language instructional software.
- Supplying additional supplemental text written to make content comprehensible to ELLs, while promoting their English language development.
- Supporting family literacy, parent outreach and training activities designed to assist parents to become active participants in the education of their children.
- Improving instructional delivery with ELL focused professional development for teacher and paraprofessionals.

Title X- Homeless

Brochures and referrals for parent and student support from the guidance department, school nurse and school personnel support the efforts of Title X.

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

SAI funds are used to fund certified teachers who work with students in grades 3-5. All tutors are certified, highly qualified teachers and work with research-based intervention materials.

Violence Prevention Programs

The Drug Abuse Resistance Education (DARE) is implemented in 5th grade and is provided with a partnership with the Martin County Sheriff and the School District.

The research-based program Steps to Respect, an anti-bullying program, is used in grades 3-5. In primary classrooms, Peacemaking Skills (I-Care Cat) is used.

The Wise Skills and Character Counts programs are used by the guidance staff. Project Wisdom is used by the principal and directed toward all students on a daily basis via the closed circuit television.

Nutrition Programs

Cooperation between the University of Florida Extension Office and the Martin County Health Department provides nutrition education to the JDP primary grade students. Nutrition information is sent for dissemination to students through the School District's Food and Nutritional Services Department. In addition, the Fresh Fruit and Vegetable grant is provided by the state of Florida for students to receive a fresh fruit or vegetable daily. Weekly nutrition tidbits are sent out by the cafeteria manager.

Housing Programs

NA

Head Start

Head Start collaborates and coordinates with elementary schools to provide quality services for its students through the transition plan, Head Start self-assessment and recruitment of students. Shared services for facilities and maintenance are provided by the elementary schools where programs are co-located. The Head Start program at JDP is next door.

Adult Education

An adult education program is available on the campus adjacent to our campus. Parents and community members are able to receive training and GED courses at this facility.

Career and Technical Education

Career awareness and exploration is integrated in Guidance Counselor lessons throughout the school year.

Job Training

An adult education program is available on the campus adjacent to our campus. Parents are able to receive training at this site.

Other

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Mary White, Principal
Dodie Hale, Assistant Principal
Christine Cline, MTSS Coach
Emily Gallo, Guidance Counselor
Tammy Nolan, Guidance Counselor
Patty Cooper, Mainstream Consultant
Courtney Beard, Reading Coach
Janet Good, Writing Coach
Susan Mason, School Psychologist

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The MTSS Leadership Team meets bi-monthly to discuss concerns in regards to struggling students and to help design intervention plans. The purpose of the team is to be an effective problem-solving group that:

- Assesses teachers' concerns related to student academic and/or behavioral difficulties
- Identifies student strengths, interests, and talents
- Reviews baseline data
- Sets projected outcomes and methods for measuring progress
- Designs specific intervention plans
- Reviews and monitors intervention plans
- Develops a plan to communicate plan/results with parents

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

Members of the RtI Leadership Team also serve on one of the core content FCAT School Improvement goals. Member's primary role is to ensure that they are meeting the needs of students who may be struggling academically or behaviorally. These needs are addressed through the school improvement plan where possible. Student data is analyzed to identify those who need interventions and additional support. Once students are identified, strategies are matched to support achievement.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Several data based systems are used to summarize tiered data:

1. The Performance Matters program allows for the identification of students who are struggling with grasping concepts related to FCAT sub skills. Each benchmark assessment will provide data that may be used to identify students.
2. Grade level teachers use an Item Analysis Collection Tool to collect information related to mini assessments and other classroom observations.
3. The RtIB data collection program which is managed by the University of South Florida, allows administration and the MTSS team and faculty to review data related to student discipline and behavior. This data is also reviewed on a bi-monthly basis, which allows for immediate identification and intervention planning.
4. Data management system is the PMRN data collection that is designed to chart progress for the FAIR assessment and ORF scores. This allows the MTSS team members and reading teachers to identify the weaknesses and strengths of students in reading skills, which permits the opportunity for immediate implementation of intervention strategies.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

- During the pre-school days, all staff members will view a PowerPoint presentation which outlines the purpose of MTSS, the implementation process, and strategies that may be useful.

- Students on Tier II were identified for staff
- Staff brainstormed interventions for Tier II
- Staff reviewed school-wide PBIS program as a Tier I intervention for all students

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

The MTSS/RtI coach as J.D. Parker will support and facilitate the implementation of the MTSS/RtI problem solving process. In addition, MTSS/RtI team members will carry out the duties that are necessary to target students in need of academic and behavioral support.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

The LLT meets to discuss relevant student data, monitor progress of students both in academics and behavior. The members of the LLT include:

Mary White, Principal
Dodie Hale, Assistant Principal
Courtney Beard, Reading Coach
Janet Good, Writing Coach
Chris Cline, MTSS/RtI Coach
Patty Coooper, Mainstream Consultant
Emily Gallo, Guidance Counselor
Tammy Nolan, Guidance Counselor

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The LLT meets about once a month to review literacy and other data of the students in the school. The data represents Fountas and Pinnell data, Benchmark Test data, Leveled Literacy Intervention data, MATH Triumphs and the Comprehension Strategies Assessment. The members of the team examine interventions of students not meeting grade level benchmarks and plan accordingly for interventions and managing the intervention staff.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

The team ensures Fountas and Pinnell testing, Comprehension Strategies Assessments, interventions and progress monitoring are being completed with fidelity. Additional initiatives include monitoring the language acquisition skills of incoming kindergarten skills. The team also examines all academic data.

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

[View uploaded file](#) (Uploaded on 8/28/2012)

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

JDP hosts a parent night for incoming kindergarten students coming from the School Districts' Head Start and VPK programs. The principal hosts the meeting and information about the school is distributed. In addition, local day care centers arrange for tours for their incoming kindergarten students. A kindergarten registration period opens at the school and parents are able to tour the school as well as receive information about its programs. Parents and students are invited to a kindergarten screening process prior to the kindergarten year. Parents and students are invited to the annual Open House and Title I meeting. Parents receive information from the teacher and the principal. Gertrude Walden is a child care center funded with community support within the East Stuart community. Gertrude Walden is a feeder pre-school. J.D. Parker shares professional development and support in transitioning students to kindergarten. JDP provides breakfast and lunch for the students and a relationship exists with the administrators to serve the community. JDP shares resources and personnel when necessary.

***Grades 6-12 Only**

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

***High Schools Only**

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the [High School Feedback Report](#)

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| | |
|---|---|
| 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading. Reading Goal #1a: | Overall |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| Grade 3 proficient 56% (60) Grade 4 proficient 57% (48) Grade 5 proficient 45% (38) | The percentage of students in grades 3, 4, and 5 achieving proficiency will be: 3rd grade 65% (54) 4th grade 65% (62) 5th grade 52% (46) |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|--|---|---|---|
| 1 | Teacher knowledge of differentiation/small group instruction | Continue to train teachers to use small group instruction and differentiation for students not mastering standards Train teachers to use assessments (Fountas and Pinnell) to inform instruction FAIR data monitoring Comprehension Strategy Assessment monitoring Continue to use the mentoring/tutoring support of community groups including the Four Cs tutors | Administration, coaches | Benchmark Test scores, FAIR data, Achieve 3000 Assessments, Fountas and Pinnell | 2013 FCAT scores |
| 2 | Students needing extra time to learn | Use morning and after school tutoring as an extension of time for students not meeting standards Consider high-interest, academic after school clubs to attract attendance | Administration | Tutoring attendance, Benchmark Test Scores | 2013 FCAT scores |
| 3 | Lower performing students have a low working memory | Participate in a world-wide study on the impact of brain activities ad provided by Lumosity, Inc. | Countney Beard, reading coach | Pre and Post assessment | The results of the post test--the Brain Power Index score (BPI) |
| | Connection of assessment to instruction | Analyze and monitor student data including Fountas and Pinnell, | Literacy Leadership Team | Increased progress monitoring scores as monitored by the LLT | Progress monitoring scores |

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|---|---|
| 4 | | Benchmark Test Data, Comprehension Strategies Assessment, and other monitoring tools Train teachers in CAFE (Comprehension, Accuracy, Fluency and Enriching Vocabulary), part of The Daily Five | | | |
| 5 | Using assessment to inform instruction | Use Fountas and Pinnell data to inform instruction Use Early Release and Grade Level Meetings to instruct teachers on the Fountas and Pinnell Literacy Continuum and other components of the program | Administration, Courtney Beard | LLT meetings, RtI meetings, PLG meetings | FCAT reading, Fountas and Pinnell data |
| 6 | Staff knowledge of instructional strategies that work for students at JDP | Continue staff development in the area of Inquiry-Based Learning and Reading/Writing Workshop Provide focused reading strategies for K, 1 and 2 teachers Provide training for staff in evidenced-based practices Continue job-embedded professional development where teachers are practicing and observing teaching as a craft | LLT, Reading Coach, Writing Coach, Leadership Team | PLG weekly notes | Progress monitoring scores |
| 7 | Time for intensive interventions for students | Use 30 minute intervention time to meet academic needs of students Use Leveled Literacy Instruction for students in the MTSS problem solving process. Use available staff including, Media Assistant | Administration | Increased progress monitoring scores | Benchmark tests, FCAT, LLI Progress Monitoring data |
| 8 | Knowledge of Common Core Standards, text complexity | Use PLG meetings to allow teachers to work with the text complexity within the Common Core Standards. Continue PD on Common Core Standards as it relates to text complexity and close reading Continue PD on Marzano's evaluation tool as it relates to instruction Students will use Achieve 3000 twice weekly for non fiction text reading and text complexity | LLT | PLG Meeting notes, teacher growth as measured by Marzano evaluation tools | 2013 FCAT scores |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| | |
|--|--|
| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading. Reading Goal #1b: | FAA students have intense academic needs |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| 93% proficient (14) | 100% (12) |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|---------------------------------|---|--|-----------------|
| 1 | Intensive instruction and high level support in cognitive ability | Utilize Unique Learning Systems | Patty Cooper, Mainstream Consultant | Built in assessment in Unique Learning Systems program | 2013 FAA Scores |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| | |
|---|---|
| 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in reading. Reading Goal #2a: | The amount of students scoring above proficiency needs to increase. |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| Students scoring Level 4 and 5: 3rd grade 31% (32) 4th grade 25% (21) 5th grade %29 (24) | The percentage of 3, 4 and 5th grade students scoring Levels 4 and 5 will increase by five students in each grade level. 3rd grade 40% (33) 4th grade 32%(31) 5th grade 35% (42) |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|--|---|---|------------------------|
| 1 | Additional time for enrichment | Use morning camps to offer enrichment for Level 4 and 5 students | Administration | Attendance at additional enrichment times, Benchmark scores | 2013 FCAT scores |
| 2 | Differentiated materials | Use Achieve 3000, a lexile-based, computerized, nonfiction reading program for students in grades 3-5 Provide nonfiction leveled readers for all grades | Administration and LLT | Benchmark tests, Fountas and Pinnell levels | 2013 FCAT scores |
| 3 | Students reading non fiction text of on level text complexity | Purchase and use Achieve 3000, a lexile-based non fiction reading program for all 3rd-5th grade students | Administration | Use of program | 2013 FCAT Reading Data |
| 4 | Enriched vocabulary for all students | Continue use of the Elements of Reading vocabulary program as well as additional vocabulary strategies to teach Tier 2 vocabulary words, words found in | Administration | Elements of Reading writing log use, CWT | 2013 FCAT Reading data |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| | |
|--|--|
| 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in reading. Reading Goal #2b: | FAA students have intensive academic needs |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| 80% (12) scored level 7 or above on the 2012 FAA in reading. | 85% (10) will score Level 7 or above on the 2013 FAA in reading. |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|---------------------------------|---|---|-----------------|
| 1 | Students need intense level of support | Utilize Unique Learning Systems | Patty Cooper, Mainstream Consultant | Built in content and skills assessments for each unit | 2013 FAA scores |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| | |
|---|---|
| 3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #3a: | There was an increase of students making learning gains in reading. |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| 70% (193) of students made learning gains in reading | 80%(214) of students will make learning gains |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| 1 | Differentiated materials for students | Provide Achieve 3000 for students in grades 3-5 | Mary White, Principal | Use of the program | 2013 FCAT scores |
| 2 | Provide interventions to students not meeting core standards | Use intervention teacher for LLI Use paraprofessionals for additional research-based intervention strategies Use Exceptional Student Education staff for intensive interventions | Mary White, Principal Leadership Team | MTSS/RtI meetings | Student progression data |
| 3 | Students need additional time to learn materials and students have a need for intensive intervention | Provide after school tutoring to students not meeting proficiency or making learning gains | Administration | Increased progress monitoring scores | 2013 FCAT Reading data |
| | Staff knowledge of instructional strategies to yield positive | Use Fountas and Pinnell data to inform instruction | Courtney Beard, Administration, Tracey Miller | data meetings to review data, PD offerings | Fountas and Pinnell data, FCAT reading tests |

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| 4 | achievement results | Continue staff development of Inquiry-Based Learning in Reading/Writing Workshop Provide training for staff on evidenced-based practices and use Hattie's work for PD | | | |
| 5 | Exposure to non-fiction materials | Provide Achieve 3000 for all 3rd -5th grade students for non-fiction instruction and intervention Work with Literacy consultant Heidi Mills to teach teachers reading strategies to handle the rigor of non-fiction text | Administration | Purchase of program, use of program Staff use of strategies | 2013 FCAT Reading data |
| 6 | Lower quartile students in 3rd grade looping with their teachers. The teachers know the students, but they need support to know the standards | Monitor/provide support for classrooms participating in looping (grades 2 and 3) | Administration, Courtney Beard | Modeling completed in classrooms, weekly grade level meetings, | 3rd grade FCAT scores |
| 7 | Additional personnel to help with lower quartile students | Hire an intervenionist to help with students not reading on grade level | Administration, Title I | Intervention log | FCAT scores |
| 8 | Books/materials at home | Provide books to students three times per year with the RIF program | PTO | Parent Involvement events | Signed agreement with RIF and PTO |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| | |
|--|--|
| 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading. Reading Goal #3b: | Alternate Assessment students are performing at a high level of proficiency. |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| 93% (14) students are proficient in reading on the reading FAA | 100% (12) of the students will make learning gains on the FAA in reading. |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|---|---|---|-----------------|
| 1 | Cognitive delays and lack of independent skills | Train the teaching staff on the Unique Learning Systems. Differentiate the three levels of the plan of the Unique Learning Systems to reach the varying level of cognitive ability | Patty Cooper, Mainstream Consultant | Built in assessments | 2013 FAA scores |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| | |
|---|--|
| 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4: | A large increase of the lowest quartile made learning gains. |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| 83% (57) of students in the lowest 25th percentile made learning gains in reading | 85% (57) of students in the lowest 25th percentile will make learning gains in reading |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|---|---|--|----------------------------------|
| 1 | Students need additional time with material | Before and after school camps | Dodie Hale, Assistant Principal | Benchmark testing, Fountas and Pinnell data, Comprehension Strategies Assessment, Math Triumphs data | 2013 FCAT scores |
| 2 | Additional time for learning. Some students need more time | Students in the lowest 25th percentile will attend after school tutoring | Administration | Increased progress monitoring scores | FCAT reading test learning gains |
| 3 | Students reading one to one and a half years behind grade level are in the lowest 25th percentile | Use Leveled Literacy Intervention for students in 1st through 3rd grade reading one or more years behind in reading Purchase LLI Red to use with 3rd grade students. | Courtney Beard, Administration | Running records | FCAT reading test |
| 4 | Knowledge of skill deficits of students in the lowest quartile | Analyze school wide data to assess skill deficits | Administration | Data walls, progress monitoring tools | FCAT reading score |

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target

| | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. | Reading Goal # The target AMO for Reading was 53%. The reading target was met 5A : | | | | | |
| Baseline data 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 |
| | 49 | 53 | 58 | 62 | 66 | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| | |
|---|---|
| 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5B: | Students not making AMO in reading are the subgroups of White and Students with Disabilities. All other subgroups made AMO. |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| 40% AMO--Black (38% was the target) 45% AMO--Hispanic (43% was the target) | AMO Targets for subgroups Black 42% Hispanic 48% |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|---|---|---|----------------------------|
| 1 | Proficiency data for sub group populations | Use before and after school tutoring as a way to lengthen the school day for students Brainstorm with the SAC and other parents, teachers and students about ideas for increasing achieving for Black students and other subgroups | Administration | Benchmark scores, progress monitoring tools | 2013 FCAT Test data |
| 2 | Many Hispanic/ELL students are not meeting proficiency in Reading in Math | Use before and after school tutoring as a way to lengthen the school day for students | Administration | Benchmark scores, progress monitoring tools | 2013 FCAT scores, AMO data |
| 3 | Students in multiple subgroups need additional time to learn material. | Invite subgroup participation in before and after school tutoring Offer SES tutoring for all students on Free and Reduced lunch Work with the Boys and Girls Club to discuss common needs | Administration | Increased progress monitoring scores | AYP Data |
| 4 | Lack of parent participation, repressed home environments | Analyze data to assess and determine skill deficits | Administration | Grade level/data meetings, weekly PLG meetings data walls participation | 2012 FCAT Data |
| 5 | Student motivation, parent participation, time for teachers | Use best practices and increased inquiry approach for students in low performing subgroups | Administration, consultants | Progress monitoring tools | AYP Data |
| 6 | Students and teacher need to use high interest, non-fiction reading material in their native language | Use Achieve 3000, a lexile-based nonfiction, computer-based reading program for all 3rd-5th grade students | Administration | Participation in the program | AYP Data, 2012 FCAT Data |
| 7 | Students need additional time to learn reading skills | Use 30 minutes of daily intervention time | Administration | Use of intervention time, CWT, progress monitoring tools | AYP and FCAT test data |
| 8 | Intensive intervention materials that are research-based | Use Leveled Literacy Intervention for students in 3rd grade that are reading more than one year behind grade level. In addition, providing LLI for first grade students | Courtney Beard, Administration | Running Records as part of the program | AYP data |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| | |
|---|--|
| 5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5C: | ELL students are meeting AMO in reading. One factor that is guiding thinking is that students are coming into kindergarten not speaking English and this is causing students to be behind every year of their schooling. |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| 36% of ELL students are making AMO in reading. (target was 35%) | 41% of ELL students will make AMO in reading |

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| 1 | Many ELL students--are not proficient in reading and Math. Number of proficient students are increasing | Use the Academic Intervention teacher and the MTSS team to monitor ELL students not meeting grade level standards | Administration | FAIR data, IPT data, Benchmark Test data | CELLA and 2013 FCAT data |
| 2 | Kindergarten students may have a deficiency in receptive or expressive language | Use ELL paraprofessionals, reading, and writing coach and the RtI team to monitor these students and see where gaps in language can be filled Train kindergarten teachers in a language intervention program and other language intervention materials | Administration, Coaches, Kindergarten teachers | ESI-K, FLKRS data, FAIR data, language testing results | CELLA test data 2013 Promotion data Mondo language program progress monitoring and evaluation system |
| 3 | ELL students not having a strong background in phonics | Use Leveled Literacy Intervention for students in grades 1-3 as an intensive intervention | Courtney Beard, Reading Coach and Administration | Use of programs, Running Records | AYP Data |
| 4 | Minimum use of language acquisition materials | Use Imagine Learning materials software for NES and LES students | Dorothy Castillo, Classroom Teachers | Monthly monitoring turned in to the Title I office | FCAT and AYP Data |
| 5 | Many kindergarten students not speaking English | Work with the RtI team and the ELL paraprofessionals to target the kindergarten ELL students | ELL paraprofessionals, Administration | Strategies for ELL Kindergarten students | Promotion to 1st grade data, IPT data |
| 6 | Lack of materials available at students to use at home | Work with the Parent Resource Center to have students check out materials for use at home | Parent Resource Center, Administration | Faculty meeting sign in, use of prescriptions for the Parent Resource Center | Use of the Parent Resource Center |
| 7 | Limited English language use at home and in school with peers | Provide student mentors to students Have ELL paraprofessionals stay in communication with parents to see what needs are in the home to use English and to promote the Parent Resource Center | ELL paraprofessionals, Administration | Progress monitoring for ELL students | IPT test results |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| | |
|--|---|
| 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5D: | Students with Disabilities are making some progress, although not meeting AMO in reading. |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| 38% (39% was the target)of SWD are meeting AMO in reading. | 44% of SWD will make AMO in reading. |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | | | | | |
|--|--|--|-----------|-----------------|--|
| | | | Person or | Process Used to | |
|--|--|--|-----------|-----------------|--|

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Position Responsible for Monitoring | Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|--|--|--|-------------------|
| 1 | SWD students not meeting AYP in Reading and Math and the students need additional time | Use before and after school tutoring times so that SWD can have additional time with materials | Administration, Leadership Team, Mainstream Consultant | FAIR data, Brigrance data, Benchmark Test data | 2013 FCAT Data |
| 2 | Some SWD need more intensive services | Use Support Facilitation, co-teach models and some resource pull out so that students get the services they need | Patty Cooper, Mainstream Consultant | Fountas and Pinnell data, Math Triumphs data | 2013 FCAT Data |
| 3 | Support Facilitation time | Continue the support facilitation model for students taking the FCAT test | Administration, Patty Cooper, Mainstream Consultant | Progress monitoring scores | FCAT Reading test |
| 4 | Additional time for students | Invite SWD to after and before school tutoring | Administration | Progress monitoring scores | Benchmark data |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| | |
|---|--|
| 5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5E: | Students in the ED subgroup made AMO in reading. The students that are Economically Disadvantaged fall into multiple subgroups. When students in this category it affects the bulk of the subgroups. A decrease from the year before indicates that the number of ED students are increasing and more academic deficits exist. |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| 46% of ED students made AMO in reading. (Target was 44%) | 49% of ED students will make AMO in reading. |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|---|---|---|-------------------|
| 1 | Multiple skill deficits and time to address all of the needs | Analyze data to assess skill deficits and to identify strategies to meet students' needs | Administration | Data walls, grade level/data meetings, progress monitoring tools, use of the school's leadership team to visually represent students not performing in the core and the ensuing interventions | FCAT Reading test |
| 2 | Students often need additional time to learn material and have practice time with material | Use before and after school tutoring to address skill deficits in these students Offer students on free and reduced lunch the opportunity to receive Supplemental Educational Services (SES) | Administration, Title I office | Increase progress monitoring scores | FCAT Reading test |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|--|------------------------|---|--|--|---|---|
| Reading Strategies | All | Florida Reading Association | One teacher per grade level and coaches | October, 2012 | PLG meetings and training | Courtney Beard, reading Coach |
| Achieve 3000 | teachers in grades 3-5 | Achieve 3000 | grades 3-5 | September 2012 | Use of program | Mary White, Principal |
| Reading Strategies-- Reading record Analysis and Continuum work, Common Core implementation and exemplar study, Oral language, shared reading, read aloud with accountable talk, LLI, Word study, Leveled reader Text characteristics, | All | Courtney Beard | All teachers | Ongoing | PLG Meetings, Early Release Trainings, | Courtney Beard, Reading Coach |
| Lumosity Training | 3-5th grade teachers | Courtney Beard and Suzan Mason, school psychologist | grade 3-5 teachers | Ongoing | Pre and post test, use of the program, participation in the study | Courtney Beard, Reading Coach |
| Continue to provide teachers with PD opportunities at workshops/conferences to bring back information and training to teachers | All | Courtney Beard, Reading Coach | all teachers | FRA Conference in October, 2012 | PD offered in small and whole group | Mary White, Principal |

Reading Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s) | | | |
|---|--|----------------|------------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| LLI Red Kit | leveled Literacy Intervention for 3rd and 4th grade students | Title I | \$4,500.00 |
| Mondo, Let's Talk About it Oral Language Program | Oral Language Program | Title I | \$600.00 |
| LLI Materials | Leveled Literacy Intervention Materials | Title I | \$4,000.00 |
| Exemplar like texts | Common Core Exemplar like texts for K-5 | Title I | \$6,000.00 |
| Interactive shared reading materials | To use materials in primary classrooms | Title I | \$1,500.00 |
| Comprehension based intervention for 2-5 grade students | Anchor Comprehension program | Title I | \$2,000.00 |
| use of an intervention teacher | Intervention teacher | Title I | \$30,000.00 |
| Support for students and teachers | Writing and Reading coach | Title I | \$150,000.00 |
| Leveled Literacy Intervention | Media Assistant to help with LLI | Title I | \$9,000.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$207,600.00 |

| Technology | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Achieve 3000 | Technology, web-based program | Title I | \$14,500.00 |
| Education City | Technology, web-based program | Title I | \$3,700.00 |
| Brain Pop | Technology | District Software money | \$1,500.00 |
| Succes Maker | Technology Program | Title I | \$3,700.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$23,400.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Florida Reading Association Annual Conference | PD | Title I | \$4,500.00 |
| Achieve 3000 Training | PD | Title I | \$0.00 |
| Common Core Training--Text complexity | PD | Site | \$0.00 |
| Fountas and Pinnell reading record analysis and continuum work | PD | Site | \$0.00 |
| Common Core Exemplar Like text study | PD | Site | \$0.00 |
| Oral Language/Shared Reading/Interactive Reading | PD | Site | \$0.00 |
| Leveled Literacy Intervention Training | PD | Site | \$0.00 |
| Interactive read Aloud with Accountable Talk | PD | Site | \$0.00 |
| Word Study Training | PD | Site | \$0.00 |
| Leveled reader Text Characteristics | PD | Site | \$0.00 |
| Possible other workshops and meetings/conferences | Conferences | Site | \$4,000.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$8,500.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Grand Total: \$239,500.00 | | | |

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|---|---|---|-----------------|
| Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students. | | | | | |
| 1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking. | | ELL students need intensive intervention and high quality instructional experiences in listening and speaking | | | |
| CELLA Goal # 1: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking: | | | | | |
| Kindergarten-- 15% (5) 1st grade-- 50% (15) 2nd grade-- 73% (19) 3rd grade-- 32% (6) 4th grade-- 59% (10) 5th grade-- 56% (9) | | | | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| 1 | Language Barriers | Continue to use Imagine Learning as an intensive strategy for ELL students Monitor their success in the core instructional program | Mary White, Dodie Hale, Margarite Ortiz | Imagine Learning data Other school-wide progress monitoring tools | 2013 CELLA by grade level |
| 2 | Teacher knowledge of appropriate strategies | Continue PD in instructional strategies for ELL students | Courtney Beard, Reading Coach Mary White, Principal | Progress monitoring tools | 2013 CELLA data |
| 3 | Expressive and receptive language deficits | use the Joliet Language Screener to test receptive and expressive to assess Kindergarten students and provide interventions as needed | Courtney Beard, Chris Cline | Progress monitoring tools in the Oral Language program | 2013 CELLA data and Joliet post test |

Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

2. Students scoring proficient in reading.

CELLA Goal #2:

ELL students struggle with grade level proficiency in reading.

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in reading:

Kindergarten--0% (0)
1st grade--20% (6)
2nd grade-- 58% (15)
3rd grade-- 5% (10)
4th grade-- 41% (7)
5th grade-- 44% (7)

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---------------------|----------|---|---|-----------------|
| No Data Submitted | | | | |

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

3. Students scoring proficient in writing.

CELLA Goal #3:

ELL students struggle with writing conventions and grade level usage.

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in writing:

Kindergarten-- 0% (0)
1st grade-- 25% (7)
2nd grade-- 81% (21)
3rd grade-- 0% (0)
4th grade-- 47% (8)
5th grade-- 44% (7)

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---------------------|----------|---|---|-----------------|
| No Data Submitted | | | | |

CELLA Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s) | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| | |
|--|--|
| 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal # 1a: | % () students in grades 3, 4, and 5 made proficiency in math. This is an increase from the 2010 administration (%). The third grade students went from % to %. |
|--|--|

| | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|

| | |
|--|--|
| Grade 3 71% (77) Grade 4 49% (41) Grade 5 44% (37) | Grade 3 77% (64) Grade 4 56% (54) Grade 5 51% (45) |
|--|--|

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| 1 | Teacher knowledge of differentiation/small group instruction | Continue to train teachers to use small group instruction and differentiation for students not mastering standards Train teachers to use assessments (Fountas and Pinnell) to inform instruction FAIR data monitoring Comprehension Strategy Assessment monitoring Continue to use the mentoring/tutoring support of community groups including the Four Cs tutors | Administration, coaches | Benchmark Test scores, FAIR data, Achieve 3000 Assessments, Fountas and Pinnell | 2013 FCAT scores |
| 2 | Students needing extra time to learn | Use morning and after school tutoring as an extension of time for students not meeting standards Consider high-interest, academic after school clubs to attract attendance | Administration | Tutoring attendance, Benchmark Test Scores | 2013 FCAT scores |
| 3 | Lower performing students need intensive remediation and intervention | Support facilitators will use the pre and post assessment of Math Triumphs intensive intervention program | Patty Cooper, Mainstream Consultant, Mary White, Principal, Angel Olivieri, ESE teacher | Pre and post assessment | 2013 FCAT scores |
| | Teachers need to make the connection from professional development to the FCAT Math test and how it is structured | Steve Layson, district math coordinator to share current math information with teachers | Adminstration | Increase in Benchmark tests, lesson plans, new standards implementation | FCAT Math test, District Benchmark Tests |

| | | | | | |
|---|--|--|-----------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| 4 | | Analyze current student data to determine skill deficiencies. Teachers will determine lowest 35%ile for each of those skills District staff will help teachers to analyze data, item analysis and content limits of the FCAT Test Specifications to increase teacher awareness Provide training for staff on evidenced-based practices Use the training learned at LMSI to help teachers with effective instruction in math and science | | | |
| 5 | Students need to receive appropriate math intervention | Use the Triumphs Math Intervention Tools to problem solve struggling students | MTSS Team | Math Triumphs data | End of year math test |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| | |
|---|---|
| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal # 1b: | FAA students have intensive math skill needs |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| 87% (13) were proficient on the 2012 FAA in Math | 92% (11) will be proficient on the 2013 FAA in Math |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|---------------------------------|---|--|-----------------|
| 1 | Intensive instruction and high level support in cognitive ability | Utilize Unique Learning Systems | Patty Cooper, Mainstream Consultant | Built in assessment in Unique Learning Systems program | 2013 FAA Scores |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| | |
|--|--|
| 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2a: | The school saw an increase of students in Level 4 and 5 |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| Students scoring Level 4 or 5 on the 2012 Mathematics FCAT test: 3rd grade 31% (33) 4th grade 24% (20) 5th grade 18% (15) | 3rd grade 38% (32) 4th grade 27% (26) 5th grade 25% (25) |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|--|---|---|------------------|
| 1 | Additional time for enrichment | Use morning camps to offer enrichment for Level 4 and 5 students | Administration | Attendance at additional enrichment times, Benchmark scores | 2013 FCAT scores |
| 2 | Differentiated materials | Use Achieve 3000, a lexile-based, computerized, nonfiction reading program for students in grades 3-5 Provide nonfiction leveled readers for all grades | Administration and LLT | Benchmark tests, Fountas and Pinnell levels | 2013 FCAT scores |
| 3 | Teachers need to use strategies that engage the advanced level learner | Use math problem solving program for enrichment for advanced students | Administration | Lesson plans, Benchmark tests | FCAT Math test |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| | |
|--|--|
| 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2b: | A large percentage of FAA students are successful. |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| 73% (11) students achieved level 7 or above on the 2012 Math FAA. | 80% (10) students will be proficient on the 2013 FAA in Math |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|---------------------------------|---|---|-----------------|
| 1 | Students need intense level of support | Utilize Unique Learning Systems | Patty Cooper, Mainstream Consultant | Built in content and skills assessments for each unit | 2013 FAA scores |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| | |
|---|--|
| 3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3a: | An increase of students made learning gains in math in 2011. |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| 46% (127) of students made learning gains in mathematics. | 60% (160) students will make learning gains in mathematics. |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|--|---|----------------------------------|---|---|--------------------------|
| | Provide interventions to students not meeting | Use intervention teacher for LLI | Mary White, Principal | MTSS/RtI meetings | Student progression data |

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--------------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| 1 | core standards | Use paraprofessionals for additional research-based intervention strategies Use Exceptional Student Education staff for intensive interventions | Leadership Team | | |
| 2 | Students need additional time for learning material | Invite students to before and after school math camp | Administration | Benchmark testing | FCAT Math test |
| 3 | Teachers need to know what mathematical skills are deficits | Analyze current student data to determine skill deficiencies Teachers will analyze their lowest 35%ile for the standard that they are working on | Administration, teachers | Benchmark testing | FCAT Math test |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| | |
|--|--|
| 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3b: | A high number of students are proficient on the FAA in Math. |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| 93% (14) of students are proficient on the FAA in Math | 100% (12) will be proficient on the FAA in Math. |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|---|---|---|-----------------|
| 1 | Cognitive delays and lack of independent skills | Train the teaching staff on the Unique Learning Systems. Differentiate the three levels of the plan of the Unique Learning Systems to reach the varying level of cognitive ability | Patty Cooper, Mainstream Consultant | Built in assessments | 2013 FAA scores |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| | |
|---|---|
| 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #4: | The amount of students in the lower quartile in math did not make learning gains. |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| 38% (26) of students in the Lowest 25% are making learning gains in mathematics. | 50% (34)of students in the Lowest 25% will make learning gains in mathematics. |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | | | Person or | Process Used to | |
|--|--|--|-----------|-----------------|--|
|--|--|--|-----------|-----------------|--|

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Position Responsible for Monitoring | Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|--|---|--|-------------------------------|
| 1 | Students need additional time with material | Before and after school camps | Dodie Hale, Assistant Principal | Benchmark testing, Fountas and Pinnell data, Comprehension Strategies Assessment, Math Triumphs data | 2013 FCAT scores |
| 2 | Lack of parent participation in students that are low performing | Students in the lowest 25th percentile will attend after school math tutoring | Administration | Benchmark tests | FCAT Math test |
| 3 | Student motivation, lack of esteem when it comes to math | Hire an interventionist to help students in the lowest 25th percentile to make achievement gains | Title I, Administration | Interventionist logs | FCAT Math test learning gains |
| 4 | Multiple skill deficiencies, time to address all of the deficiencies | Use classroom data/Lowest 35%ile data student data to determine skill deficiencies and the strategies that will address student need | Teachers, Administration | Benchmark tests | FCAT Math test |
| 5 | Students need additional support for learning | Offer SES tutoring to students on free and reduced lunch | Title I, Administration | Participation in the SES program | FCAT Math test |
| 6 | Students behind in math skills | Use the Math Triumphs Intervention program to enable students of all subgroups to catch up with peers | MTSS/RtI, Intervention Teacher, Coaches | Use of the program, MTSS/RtI monitoring, Benchmark Test data | 2012 FCAT Math data |

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target

| | | | | | | |
|--|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. | Elementary School Mathematics Goal # | | | | | |
| | 58% of students scored satisfactory in Math, the target AMO was 62% | | | | | |
| Baseline data 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 |
| | 62 | 58 | 62 | 66 | 70 | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5B: | The subgroups not meeting AMO in Math: Black, White, SWD and ED. | | | |
|---|--|---|---|---------------------|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| White 72% (74% was the goal) Black 45%% (51% was the goal) Hispanic 55% (55% was the goal) | Expected AMO goals White 74% Black 56% Hispanic 59% | | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| Proficiency data for subgroup populations | Use before and after school tutoring as a way | Administration | Benchmark scores, progress monitoring tools | 2013 FCAT Test data |

| | | | | | |
|---|--|---|------------------------------------|---|----------------------------|
| 1 | | to lengthen the school day for students Brainstorm with the SAC and other parents, teachers and students about ideas for increasing achieving for Black students and other subgroups | | | |
| 2 | Many Hispanic/ELL students are not meeting proficiency in Reading in Math | Use before and after school tutoring as a way to lengthen the school day for students | Administration | Benchmark scores, progress monitoring tools | 2013 FCAT scores, AMO data |
| 3 | Students need additional time and support for learning | Invite students in all subgroups to attend before and after school tutoring camps | Administration | Benchmark tests | FCAT Math test |
| 4 | Students need additional and increased critical thinking skills for students | Use problem solving strategies with students in all subgroups and grade levels | Administration, classroom teachers | Lesson plans, use of program | FCAT Math test |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| | |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| 5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5C: | ELL students met AMO in Math. |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| AMO in Math 52% (The target was 49%) | AMO Target for 2013 is 53% |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| 1 | Many ELL students--are not proficient in reading and Math. Number of proficient students are increasing | Use the Academic Intervention teacher and the MTSS team to monitor ELL students not meeting grade level standards | Administration | FAIR data, IPT data, Benchmark Test data | CELLA and 2013 FCAT data |
| 2 | Kindergarten students may have a deficiency in receptive or expressive language | Use ELL paraprofessionals, reading, and writing coach and the RtI team to monitor these students and see where gaps in language can be filled Train kindergarten teachers in a language intervention program and other language intervention materials | Administration, Coaches, Kindergarten teachers | ESI-K, FLKRS data, FAIR data, language testing results | CELLA test data 2013 Promotion data Mondo language program progress monitoring and evaluation system |
| 3 | English skills of ELL students, not understanding what is being asked | Use Imagine Learning to increase the English speaking skills of ELL students | ELL staff | Imagine Learning logs | FCAT Math test |
| 4 | ELL students need additional time with mathematics | Invite ELL students to before and after school math camps | Administration | Tutoring attendance | FCAT Math test |
| | Students need a greater | Use problem solving | Classroom teachers | CWT, use of program | FCAT Math test, |

| | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|------------------------------|--|----------|
| 5 | understanding of math concepts | strategies with ELL students | | AYP data |
|---|--------------------------------|------------------------------|--|----------|

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| | |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5D: | SWD is not meeting AMO in math. |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| AMO in Math 44% (expected target was 51%) | 2013 AMO target for SWD is 55%. |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|--|--|---|-----------------|
| 1 | SWD students not meeting AYP in Reading and Math and the students need additional time | Use before and after school tutoring times so that SWD can have additional time with materials | Administration, Leadership Team, Mainstream Consultant | FAIR data, Brigance data, Benchmark Test data | 2013 FCAT Data |
| 2 | Some SWD need more intensive services | Use Support Facilitation, co-teach models and some resource pull out so that students get the services they need | Patty Cooper, Mainstream Consultant | Fountas and Pinnell data, Math Triumphs data | 2013 FCAT Data |
| 3 | Students need time with non-disabled peers, getting exposure to on level, tested materials | Continue to support SWD with support facilitation | Administration, support facilitators | Benchmark tests | FCAT Math test |
| 4 | SWD often need additional learning time | SWD will be invited to morning and afternoon camp | Administrators | Tutoring participation | FCAT Math test |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| | |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| 5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5E: | ED students did not meet AMO in Math |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| ED AMO is 51% (target was 56%) | 2013 AMO target is 60% |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|---|---|---|-----------------|
| 1 | ED students have multiple skill deficits | Analyze current data to monitor students' skill deficits Continue professional development in the area of new math standards | Administration, teachers | Benchmark tests | FCAT Math tests |

| | | | | | |
|---|--|---|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | | and instruction | | | |
| 2 | Students need additional time for learning | Students that are ED and not meeting proficiency requirements will be invited to after and before school math camps | Administration | Benchmark tests | FCAT Math tests |

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g. , early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|-----------------------------------|---|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | |

Mathematics Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s) | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science.

JDP decreased 17 points in the percentage proficient in

| | |
|---|---|
| Science Goal #1a: | Science. |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| 37% (31) achieved proficiency in Science. | The amount of 5th grade students that will achieve proficiency in Science is 50% (44). |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|---|---|---|------------------------|
| 1 | Teacher knowledge of differentiation/small group instruction | <p>Continue to train teachers to use small group instruction and differentiation for students not mastering standards</p> <p>Train teachers to use assessments (Fountas and Pinnell) to inform instruction</p> <p>FAIR data monitoring</p> <p>Comprehension Strategy Assessment monitoring</p> <p>Continue to use the mentoring/tutoring support of community groups including the Four Cs tutors</p> | Administration, coaches | Benchmark Test scores, FAIR data, Achieve 3000 Assessments, Fountas and Pinnell | 2013 FCAT scores |
| 2 | Students needing extra time to learn | <p>Use morning and after school tutoring as an extension of time for students not meeting standards</p> <p>Consider high-interest, academic after school clubs to attract attendance</p> | Administration | Tutoring attendance, Benchmark Test Scores | 2013 FCAT scores |
| 3 | Students are lacking a strong science background knowledge including knowledge of science vocabulary | <p>Use formative assessments in science</p> <p>Use non-fiction trade books as part of leveled reading library to expose all grade levels to science materials</p> <p>Utilize district and school staff to continue to train teachers in Five E lessons and formative assessments in science</p> <p>Use Rocket Morning News for a Science Word of the Day</p> <p>Use writing coach to</p> | Administration, Jean Ferreira, | Benchmark Tests, lesson plans | 2013 Science FCAT data |

| | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| | | <p>help with scientific writing</p> <p>Use skills learned in LMSI to train teachers in effective instructional math and science strategies</p> <p>Continue the Science Vocabulary Bee continued last school year</p> | | | |
| 4 | Students need additional time with science content | <p>Invite students to before and after school tutoring in science</p> <p>Use intervention time to remediate students in science</p> | Administration | Benchmark tests | 2013 FCAT Science data |
| 5 | Teachers need to include science time in their instructional day | Monitor the use of the district vertical plan and map in science for all grade levels | Administration | Lesson plans, CWT | 2013 FCAT Science data |
| 6 | Teacher knowledge of inconsistencies and misconceptions | Use Formative Assessment in Science Books for each grade level and teacher | Jean Ferreira, Teacher Leader and Administration | Walk-throughs, observations | 2013 FCAT Science data |
| 7 | Primary knowledge of science | Conduct a primary science fair | Karen Neff, First grade teacher | Attendance at event | Increase science knowledge |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| | |
|--|--|
| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science. Science Goal #1b: | All FAA students achieved Level 4 or above on the FAA science. |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| 100% (7) of students achieved Level 4 or above on the 2012 Science FAA. | 100% (4) will achieve proficient on the 2012 Science FAA. |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|---------------------------------|---|--|-----------------|
| 1 | Intensive instruction and high level support in cognitive ability | Utilize Unique Learning Systems | Patty Cooper, Mainstream Consultant | Built in assessment in Unique Learning Systems program | 2013 FAA Scores |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| | |
|---|--|
| 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in science. Science Goal #2a: | Many more students scored level 4 and 5 on this year's test administration. |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| 5th grade students Level 4 and 5 13% (11) | The amount of students that will score Level 4 and 5 on the Science test will increase to 20% (18) |

| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|------------------------|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Additional time for enrichment | Use morning camps to offer enrichment for Level 4 and 5 students | Administration | Attendance at additional enrichment times, Benchmark scores | 2013 FCAT scores |
| 2 | Differentiated materials | Use Achieve 3000, a lexile-based, computerized, nonfiction reading program for students in grades 3-5 Provide nonfiction leveled readers for all grades | Administration and LLT | Benchmark tests, Fountas and Pinnell levels | 2013 FCAT scores |
| 3 | Students need to see that science is fun to learn | Offer an after school enrichment club for science Use the new textbook Science Fusion to engage students in virtual learning and science Possible grant funding of a Science field trip | Administration | Benchmark tests | 2013 FCAT Science data |
| 4 | Teachers need additional knowledge of engaging lessons in science | Utilize district and school staff to continue to train teachers in Five E lessons and formative assessments in science | District Science Coordinator and school staff | Sign in sheets of PD, lesson plans, CWT | 2013 FCAT Science data |
| 5 | Students lack knowledge of science vocabulary | Use Rocket morning news to highlight a science vocabulary word of the day and post in hallway | Media Specialist | Benchmark data | 2013 FCAT Science data |
| 6 | Students have misconceptions and gaps in science knowledge | Use the Science Formative Assessment books in the classroom K-5 | Jean Ferreira, LLT | Lowest 35%ile data templates | 2013 FCAT Science data |

| | |
|--|--|
| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | |
| 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in science. Science Goal #2b: | Seven students took the 2012 FAA in Science and 5 of the students scored a level 7 or above. |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| 100% (7) of students are scoring a Level 7 or higher on the 2012 FAA in Science | 100% (4) will score a level 7 or above on the 2013 FAA in science. |

| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |
|---|--|--|-----------|-----------------|--|
| | | | Person or | Process Used to | |
| | | | | | |

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Position Responsible for Monitoring | Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|-----------------|
| 1 | Students need intense level of support | Utilize Unique Learning Systems | Patty Cooper, Mainstream Consultant | Built in content and skills assessments for each unit | 2013 FAA scores |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g. , early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|-----------------------------------|---|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | |

Science Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s) | | | |
|---|--------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Fun Science field trip for 4th and 5th grade students | Grant funding | Education Foundation | \$2,400.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$2,400.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$2,400.00 |

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level

| | |
|---|--|
| 3.0 and higher in writing. Writing Goal #1a: | The school needs to continue to provide writing support for students. The school performs well in all areas of writing. 92% of students scored Level 3 or above. |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| 92% (79)of students are achieving proficiency in writing. | 95% (91) will achieve proficiency in writing. |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|---|---|--|--------------------------|
| 1 | Students have a lack of exposure to rich experiences to write about. In addition students have limited vocabulary | Provide Writing Workshop training for all staff Provide a writing coach to assist students and teachers with growth as writers and teachers of writing Provide a before school writing camp for students Use current technology like United Streaming to expose students to the real world around them | Administration, Writing Coach | Student writing samples, monitoring by the Writing Coach | 2013 FCAT Writing scores |
| 2 | Students lack basic spelling of common words, grammar and usage | Establish grade level standards for correctly spelled words Use parents to help with these grade level words Train teachers on using spelling in context areas Use PLG time for writing coach to continue writing PD | Janet Good, Writing Coach | Parallel Writing tests | 2013 FCAT Writing scores |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| | |
|--|---|
| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing. Writing Goal #1b: | All students but one scored level 4 or higher on the 2012 FAA in Writing. |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| 67% (4) students scored level 4 or above on the 2012 FAA Writing Test | 80% (4) of students will scores a 4.0 or better on the 2013 FCAT Writing Test |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of | Evaluation Tool |
|--|---------------------|----------|------------------------------------|--|-----------------|
|--|---------------------|----------|------------------------------------|--|-----------------|

| | | | | | |
|---|--|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|
| | | | Monitoring | Strategy | |
| 1 | Cognitive functioning and the need for intensive instruction | Use the Unique Learning Systems' thematic units to teach writing | Patty Cooper, Mainstream Consultant | Built in assessments | 2013 FAA scores in writing |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|---------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| Scoring student writing and rubric training | K-5 | Janet Good | PLG participants | 4+ times per year | Parallel Writing scores | Mary White, Principal |
| FCAT writing Training | Writing Coach | Janet Good | Writing Coach | September, 2012 | Attendance at state workshop, sharing with other PLG teams and district | Mary White, Principal |
| Writing Workshop | All grades | Janet Good | All grade levels | Inservice and Early Release Days | Sign in sheets, PD evaluations | Mary White, Principal |

Writing Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s) | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Morning Writing Camps | School-based writing camps | Title I | \$10,000.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$10,000.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| State Writing Meeting | PD | Title I | \$500.00 |
| Continued Training in Writing Workshop, PD, rubrics, workshops | meetings, workshops, PLG, trainings | Title I | \$1,000.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$1,500.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$11,500.00 |

End of Writing Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:

| | |
|--|---|
| 1. Attendance Attendance Goal # 1: | School-wide attendance data is a concern for the district and J.D. Parker. The data indicated a large percentage of students are coming to school late. This is true of schools that are economically disadvantaged. A great portion of students have more than 20 tardies which disrupts the school day and impedes learning for these tardy students. |
| 2012 Current Attendance Rate: | 2013 Expected Attendance Rate: |
| 95% (599) of students attended 90% of the school days | 95% (627) of students will attend 90% of the school days |
| 2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) | 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) |
| 162 students had 9 or more unexcused absences | 150 students with 10 or more absences |
| 2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) | 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) |
| 205 students had 10 or more tardies | 185 students with 10 or more tardies |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Parents understanding of the importance of good school attendance | Bring it 180 campaign Utilize district staff for non-compliant parents Increase home visits/parental support for attendance and tardy issues Use the monthly Attendance team meeting to monitor the attendance of students | Attendance team District staff | Providing materials to parents about the importance of good school attendance | Increased attendance |
| 2 | Parents not involved with students | Create and use a tardy contract with parents Create a reward system for parents to get students to school on time Use Character Counts grant to fund a "walking school bus" and to reward ontime behavior | Guidance staff, parent liaison, attendance team | rewards for the "walking school bus" rewards for students that are on time | Decreased tardies |
| 3 | Motivation for students to feel welcomed and safe at school | Continue the staff mentoring program Consider using the Parent Liaison at the local Community Center to allow parents to have access to this resource closer to home | Guidance and teaching staff | Mentor folders | Increased attendance and parent involvement |
| | Rewarding those | Provide incentives for | Attendance | Perfect attendance | Increased |

| | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 4 | students that are in attendance and on time | students that maintain good attendance and have minimal tardies | Team, office staff | each quarter | attendance |
| 5 | Lack of plan to help students with severe absentee problems | Use the RtI team and parent liaison to help formulate intervention plans to help students meet attendance goals Monthly meetings of the school attendance committee | MTSS/RtI team, Attendance team | Quarterly attendance | Increased attendance |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g. , early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|-----------------------------------|---|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | |

Attendance Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s) | | | |
|--|---|------------------|--------------------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Character Counts Grant for walking school bus and other incentives | Grants funds to be used for incentives for students and parents that exhibit good attendance habits | Character Counts | \$1,000.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$1,000.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$1,000.00 |

End of Attendance Goal(s)

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:

| | |
|---|---|
| 1. Suspension Suspension Goal #1: | Positive Behavior Support data indicates 95% (599) of students have not had a major or minor discipline referral. The amount of out of school suspension is decreasing. This year, the school would like to work on disruptions that affect the classroom learning environment. |
| 2012 Total Number of In-School Suspensions | 2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions |
| 1 | 0 |
| 2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School | 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In-School |
| 1 | 0 |
| 2012 Number of Out-of-School Suspensions | 2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions |
| 76 | 50 |
| 2012 Total Number of Students Suspended Out-of-School | 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out-of-School |
| 19 | 15 |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| 1 | Lack of social skills in students, lack of parent buy-in to behavior program, lack of practice at home | Continue to use PBS as a school-wide behavior system Teach social skills to students that are in need as indicated by the RtI process Anti-bullying programs for students Train parents in CPI training in how to set limits at home Use Check and Connect as an intervention tool for students needing a Tier 3 intervention with behavior | PBS Team, guidance staff MTSS/RtI Team | PBIS team meeting minutes, PBS staff updates, lesson plans for social skills taught, use of anti-bullying programs, parent participation, MTSS/RtI team meetings, problem solving process participation | Decreased discipline referrals and suspensions |
| 2 | Aggressive students in need of intensive counseling | Use Tier 2 and 3 interventions for students identified through the MTSS/rti process | Guidance staff, MTSS/RtI team | Students participating in program | Decreased discipline referrals and suspensions |
| | Staff needs additional training in RtIB database | Use the PBS team to train and continually provide PD for PBS | PBI team | PBI meeting minutes, MTSS team | Decreased referrals |

| | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| 3 | procedures and use of current data | | |
| | Attend district RtI B PD | | |
| | Attend district-sponsored Tier 2 and 3 training | | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|------------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|---|
| Tier 1, 2, and 3 training | PBIS and MTSS/RtI team members, guidance counselors,administration | district | PBIS and MTSS/RtI team members, guidance counselors,administration | Ongoing | PBIS meetings | PBIS team and coach |

Suspension Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s) | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Suspension Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>1. Parent Involvement</p> <p>Parent Involvement Goal #1:</p> <p><i>*Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.</i></p> | <p>Parent involvement is an issue for the school. It is not so much parents attending programs, it is more of parents trusting and encouraging the school to do what is best for children. We do have participation at school events. One thing we discovered this year, that when students were competing athletically, parents came out and supported the event. When parents were being taught reading skills, there was not as much participation. We have several morning events where there attendance is large. We will continue so that parents can come to the school. Again, the parents that are most in need of participation did not show proper respect to the school. School does not seem important to them and it is effecting their children--they are not to school on time, grades and school work have no value and they are not active in their child's school experience.</p> |
|---|--|

| | |
|---|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement: | 2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement: |
| 100% of families participated | 100% of families will participate |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|--|--|---|---|
| 1 | Events that are not timed adequately for parents to attend | Events will be planned according to the time most parents could attend | Parent Involvement Team, other SIP teams | Number of families attending | Event sign-ins, Title I Monitoring Box |
| 2 | Parents don't have a good comfort level at school | <p>The PTO will host monthly meetings that include some information sharing and instruction, but some events for fun and student performance</p> <p>Use the Title I Parent Liaison to make home visits and consider a portion of her duties within the community</p> <p>Investigate the possibility of having an author visit the school for a parent night and student programs</p> <p>Use Parent Involvement grant to host one parent night related to behavior/home</p> | PTO, SIP teams, Michelle Muniz, Parent Liaison | Number of families attending | Event sign-ins, Title I Monitoring Box |
| 3 | School tardies | Work with parents to create an understanding that it is important for students to arrive on time for school | Guidance staff, attendance committee | Tardy data | Increased on time behavior |
| 4 | Additional remediation is needed for students | Utilize community resources, The Four Cs Tutors and other tutors to help with remediation | Janet Good, Writing Coach | report cards | Increased student achievement based on report cards |
| 5 | Parents reading with children at home | Use the three morning programs to distribute reading texts to students and parents three times a week. | Courtney beard, Reading coach | Attendance at the morning events | Increased parent participation |

Include reading hints at home

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g. , early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|-----------------------------------|---|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | |

Parent Involvement Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s) | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|----------------|--------------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Title I Parent Liaison | Use the Parent Liaison for all parents at JDP | Title I | \$25,000.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$25,000.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$25,000.00 |

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | |
|---|--|
| Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement: | |
| 1. STEM | |
| STEM Goal #1: | |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | |

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|-------------------------------|---|---|---|----------------------|
| 1 | knowledge of science concepts | Have teachers create mini-assessments and 9 week assessments for monitoring knowledge of the required benchmarks in science | Dodie Hale, Assistant Principal | Nine week exams, monitoring tools | 9 week science exams |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|-----------------------------------|---|
| Science mini-assessment | All grades | PLG meetings | PLG meetings with grade levels | Ongoing | 9 week monitoring tests | Dodie Hale, Assistant Principal |

STEM Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s) | | | |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Planning day for teams of teachers to create assessments | Substitutes | District, Title I funds | \$3,000.00 |
| Materials/Science materials needed for assessment | Materials | Discretionary funds | \$1,000.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$4,000.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Use district science coordinator, Valerie Gaynor to help with this process | PD | District, site | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$4,000.00 |

End of STEM Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

No Additional Goal was submitted for this school

FINAL BUDGET

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s) | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|-------------------------|------------------------|
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading | LLI Red Kit | leveled Literacy Intervention for 3rd and 4th grade students | Title I | \$4,500.00 |
| Reading | Mondo, Let's Talk About it Oral Language Program | Oral Language Program | Title I | \$600.00 |
| Reading | LLI Materials | Leveled Literacy Intervention Materials | Title I | \$4,000.00 |
| Reading | Exemplar like texts | Common Core Exemplar like texts for K-5 | Title I | \$6,000.00 |
| Reading | Interactive shared reading materials | To use materials in primary classrooms | Title I | \$1,500.00 |
| Reading | Comprehension based intervention for 2-5 grade students | Anchor Comprehension program | Title I | \$2,000.00 |
| Reading | use of an intervention teacher | Intervention teacher | Title I | \$30,000.00 |
| Reading | Support for students and teachers | Writing and Reading coach | Title I | \$150,000.00 |
| Reading | Leveled Literacy Intervention | Media Assistant to help with LLI | Title I | \$9,000.00 |
| Writing | Morning Writing Camps | School-based writing camps | Title I | \$10,000.00 |
| STEM | Planning day for teams of teachers to create assessments | Substitutes | District, Title I funds | \$3,000.00 |
| STEM | Materials/Science materials needed for assessment | Materials | Discretionary funds | \$1,000.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$221,600.00 |
| Technology | | | | |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading | Achieve 3000 | Technology, web-based program | Title I | \$14,500.00 |
| Reading | Education City | Technology, web-based program | Title I | \$3,700.00 |
| Reading | Brain Pop | Technology | District Software money | \$1,500.00 |
| Reading | Succes Maker | Technology Program | Title I | \$3,700.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$23,400.00 |
| Professional Development | | | | |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading | Florida Reading Association Annual Conference | PD | Title I | \$4,500.00 |
| Reading | Achieve 3000 Training | PD | Title I | \$0.00 |
| Reading | Common Core Training-Text complexity | PD | Site | \$0.00 |
| Reading | Fountas and Pinnell reading record analysis and continuum work | PD | Site | \$0.00 |
| Reading | Common Core Exemplar Like text study | PD | Site | \$0.00 |
| Reading | Oral Language/Shared Reading/Interactive Reading | PD | Site | \$0.00 |
| Reading | Leveled Literacy Intervention Training | PD | Site | \$0.00 |
| Reading | Interactive read Aloud with Accountable Talk | PD | Site | \$0.00 |
| Reading | Word Study Training | PD | Site | \$0.00 |

| | | | | |
|--------------------|--|---|----------------------|---------------------------|
| Reading | Leveled reader Text Characteristics | PD | Site | \$0.00 |
| Reading | Possible other workshops and meetings/conferences | Conferences | Site | \$4,000.00 |
| Writing | State Writing Meeting | PD | Title I | \$500.00 |
| Writing | Continued Training in Writing Workshop, PD, rubrics, workshops | meetings, workshops, PLG, trainings | Title I | \$1,000.00 |
| STEM | Use district science coordinator, Valerie Gaynor to help with this process | PD | District, site | \$0.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$10,000.00 |
| Other | | | | |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Science | Fun Science field trip for 4th and 5th grade students | Grant funding | Education Foundation | \$2,400.00 |
| Attendance | Character Counts Grant for walking school bus and other incentives | Grants funds to be used for incentives for students and parents that exhibit good attendance habits | Character Counts | \$1,000.00 |
| Parent Involvement | Title I Parent Liaison | Use the Parent Liaison for all parents at JDP | Title I | \$25,000.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$28,400.00 |
| | | | | Grand Total: \$283,400.00 |

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Priority | <input type="checkbox"/> Focus | <input type="checkbox"/> Prevent | <input type="checkbox"/> NA |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|

Are you a reward school: Yes No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment (Uploaded on 9/19/2012)

School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

Yes. Agree with the above statement.

| Projected use of SAC Funds | Amount |
|--|------------|
| RIF, Music program materials, After school clubs | \$7,500.00 |

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

The SAC will continue the following activities: the Kindergarten Play area, after school, music and community programs. In addition, the SAC will help fund the book give-away events for students and parents.

AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012
 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011
 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

| Martin School District J. D. PARKER SCHOOL OF TECHNOLOGY 2010-2011 | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------------------|---|
| | Reading | Math | Writing | Science | Grade Points Earned | |
| % Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above) | 69% | 76% | 96% | 54% | 295 | Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component. |
| % of Students Making Learning Gains | 64% | 66% | | | 130 | 3 ways to make gains: ● Improve FCAT Levels ● Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 ● Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2 |
| Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School? | 61% (YES) | 65% (YES) | | | 126 | Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math. |
| FCAT Points Earned | | | | | 551 | |
| Percent Tested = 100% | | | | | | Percent of eligible students tested |
| School Grade* | | | | | A | Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested |

| Martin School District J. D. PARKER SCHOOL OF TECHNOLOGY 2009-2010 | | | | | | |
|--|----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------------------|---|
| | Reading | Math | Writing | Science | Grade Points Earned | |
| % Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above) | 63% | 67% | 91% | 26% | 247 | Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component. |
| % of Students Making Learning Gains | 57% | 53% | | | 110 | 3 ways to make gains: ● Improve FCAT Levels ● Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 ● Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2 |
| Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School? | 49% (NO) | 60% (YES) | | | 109 | Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math. |
| FCAT Points Earned | | | | | 466 | |
| Percent Tested = 100% | | | | | | Percent of eligible students tested |
| School Grade* | | | | | C | Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested |