

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION



DRAFT School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

School Information

School Name: 231 Bay Vista Fundamental Elementary	District Name: Pinellas County Schools
Principal: Kris Sulte	Superintendent: John A. Stewart, Ed.D.
SAC Chair: Mark Moon	Date of School Board Administrator Approval: Pending: October 19, 2012

Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

[School Grades Trend Data](#) (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

[Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test \(FCAT\)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data](#) (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

[High School Feedback Report](#)

[K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan](#)

Administrators

List your school's Administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an Administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
Principal	Kris Sulte	M.S. Educational Leadership	9 years	15	Bay Vista has earned an “A” for the last 8 years. FCAT data – for the 2011-12 school year: Reading- 75%, Math 72%, Writing 94%, Science 68%. Annual Learning Gains- in Reading 74%, (increase of 7%) in Math 82% (increase of 12%) Our lowest 25% in reading gains were 58% (decrease of 7%) and in Math 82% (increase of 31%)
Assistant Principal					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Instructional Coaches

List your school’s instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
	N/A				

Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date
1. Teachers meet in Professional Learning Communities	All Staff	June 2013
2. Partnering new teachers with teacher mentor	Teacher Mentors	June 2013
3.		
4.		

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and Administrator Parprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who are NOT highly effective.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and Parprofessional that are teaching out-of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
N/A	

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	%ESOL Endorsed Teachers
39	0	2.56	28.21	59.23	53.85		5.13	25.64	23.08

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school’s teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Lori Bjostad	Tracy Graham	Tracy is new to teaching.	Observation of mentee’s instruction and providing feedback; Planning lessons with mentee; Connecting lesson activities to content standards; Discussing student

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			progress and analyzing student work; Modeling or co-teaching lessons
--	--	--	----------------------------------------------------------------------

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Additional Requirements

Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as Administrator applicable.

Title I, Part A Title I, Part A funds are utilized, in conjunction with district operating funds and other federal resources, to support teaching and learning, parental engagement, and professional development. Title I services are coordinated and integrated with other resources through the Division of Teaching and Learning, Student Assignment, and Research and Accountability.
Title I, Part C- Migrant NA in Pinellas
Title I, Part D The district receives Title I, Part D funds which provide transition services from alternative education programs to zoned schools. In addition, a portion of Title I, Part A funds is reserved for services to neglected and delinquent students. Funds are targeted to support continuous education services to students in neglected and delinquent facilities through tutoring, instructional materials and resources, and technology.
Title II The district receives funds to increase student achievement through professional development for teachers and Administrators. Title II funds provide math and science coaches, as required by Differentiated Accountability, in some of the district's lowest performing schools. A portion of Title I, Part A funds is used to provide additional reading and math coaches in targeted schools based on FCAT results.
Title III Title III funds provide educational materials, bilingual translators, summer programs, and other support services to improve the education of immigrant and English Language Learners. Bilingual translators provide assistance with parent workshops and dissemination of information in various languages for Title I schools.
Title X- Homeless The district receives funds to provide resources for students for students identified as homeless under the McKinney-Vento Act to eliminate barriers for a free and Administrator appropriate education. A portion of Title I, Part A funds is also reserved to provide services to homeless students (social workers, a resource teacher, tutoring, and technology).
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI) SAI funds are coordinated with Title I, Part A funds to provide extended learning opportunities for students before/during/after school and during the summer.
Violence Prevention Programs
Nutrition Programs Title I coordinates with district food services to provide breakfast and lunch to students in Title I summer extended learning camps.

June 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Housing Programs
Head Start Title I, Part A funds are used to provide Pre-Kindergarten to Kindergarten transition services. Title I schools coordinate with staff from public and private preschool programs, including Head Start, to prepare students for a successful start to school. A portion of Title I, Part A funds is used to provide classes for 3 year olds at targeted elementary schools to support early literacy.
Adult Education
Career and Technical Education
Job Training
Other

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Principal: Provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision-making, ensures that the school-based team is implementing RtI, conducts assessment of RtI skills of school staff, ensures implementation of intervention support and documentation, ensures adequate professional development to support RtI implementation, and communicates with parents regarding school-based RtI plans and activities.

Select General Education Teachers (Primary and Intermediate): Provides information about core instruction, participates in student data collection, delivers Tier 1 instruction/intervention, collaborates with other staff to implement Tier 2 interventions, and integrates Tier 1 materials/instruction with Tier 2/3 activities.

Exceptional Student Education (ESE) Teachers: Participates in student data collection, integrates core instructional activities/materials into Tier 3 instruction, and collaborates with general education teachers through such activities as co-teaching.

Part-time Curriculum Specialist and Guidance Counselor:

Develops, leads, and evaluates school core content standards/ programs; identifies and analyzes existing literature on scientifically based curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention approaches.

Identifies systematic patterns of student need while working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies; assists with whole school screening programs that provide early intervening services for children to be considered “at risk;” assists in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; participates in the design and delivery of professional development; and provides support for assessment and implementation monitoring.

Provides guidance on K-12 reading plan; facilitates and supports data collection activities; assists in data analysis; provides professional development and technical assistance to teachers regarding data-based instructional planning; supports the implementation of Tier 1, Tier 2, and Tier 3 intervention plans.

School Psychologist: Participates in collection, interpretation, and analysis of data; facilitates development of intervention plans; provides support for intervention fidelity and documentation; provides professional development and technical assistance for problem-solving activities including data collection, data analysis, intervention planning, and program evaluation; facilitates data-based decision making activities.

Speech Language Pathologist: Educates the team in the role language plays in curriculum, assessment, and instruction, as a basis for appropriate program design; assists in the selection of screening measures; and helps identify systemic patterns of student need with respect to language skills

Student Services Personnel: Provides quality services and expertise on issues ranging from program design to assessment and intervention with individual students. In addition to providing interventions, school social workers continue to link child-serving and community agencies to the schools and families to support the child's academic, emotional, behavioral, and social success.

Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

-Facilitator – generates agenda and leads team discussions

-Data Manager(s)/Data Coach(es) – assist team in accessing and interpreting (aggregating/disaggregating) the data

-Technology Specialist – brokers technology necessary to manage and display data

-Recorder/Note Taker – documents meeting content and disseminates to team members in a timely manner as well as storing a hard copy in a binder for all teachers to access

-Time Keeper –helps team begin on time and ensures adherence to agreed upon agenda

Meeting time: First Wednesday of the Month

The team meets at the beginning of the month to engage in the following activities:

Review student data and review progress monitoring data of our tier 2 and tier 3 students.

June 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<p>We collaborate regularly, problem solve and share effective practices, evaluate implementation, make decisions and practice new processes and skills We are all part of a grade level PLC to support teachers. . Meet on an on-going basis with interventionists to provide support.</p>
<p>Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP? The team provides data and interventions being used on: Tier 1, 2, and 3 students. The RtI problem solving process is an integral part of our strategies in Reading and Math for all students.</p>
MTSS Implementation
<p>Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior. Baseline data: Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT) and Florida Assessment Instruction in Reading (FAIR), AIMS Web Probes. Progress Monitoring: AIMS Web Probes and End of the unit assessments Midyear: Florida Assessments for Instruction in Reading (FAIR) and Common Assessments End of year: FAIR, FCAT Frequency of Data Days: End of unit tests are monitored for Tier One. Progress Monitoring is done every two weeks for Tier 2 and every week for Tier 3. Data is reviewed every two weeks in PLC</p>
<p>Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS. One half day of preschool will be devoted to training teachers on new processes for Tier One. Tier 2 and 3 processes will also be gone over. On-going training will be done in PLC and faculty meeting based on input from staff</p>
<p>Describe the plan to support MTSS. Needs Assessment to staff and plan for support written in December.</p>

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT). Principal, Guidance Counselor, Teacher from each team, Acting Administrator.

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

Literacy Leadership Teams create capacity of reading knowledge within the school by focusing on the following areas of literacy concern:

- Support for text complexity
- Support for instructional skills to improve reading comprehension
 - Ensuring that text complexity, along with close reading and rereading of texts, is central to lessons
 - Providing scaffolding that does not preempt or replace text reading by students
 - Developing and asking text dependent questions from a range of question types
 - Emphasizing students supporting their answers based upon evidence from the text
 - Providing extensive research and writing opportunities (claims and evidence)
- Support for implementation of Common Core State Standards for Literacy in Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects (a focus on text, task, and instruction).

The district will provide training and tools for Literacy Leadership Teams.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

Support for text complexity

- Support for instructional skills to improve reading comprehension
- Support for implementation of Common Core State Standards for Literacy in Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects

Public School Choice

- **Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification**

Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

****Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition***

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as Applicable.

Kindergarten Teachers will hold an orientation for incoming students and their parents prior to the beginning of the school year. Readiness skills will be emphasized and good choices for academic and social characteristics will be presented. Materials will be available, as well as pamphlets covering a variety of helpful parenting subjects ranging from parenting skills, helping with homework, students with disabilities and what to expect at a parent teacher conference.

June 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Reading Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1a.FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading.			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson Staff will develop a general rubric for students to self-monitor their understanding of concept Teachers will develop conferencing strategies to implement during Reading Workshop.	1a.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
Reading Goal #1a:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Improve current level of performance	(25%) (81)	Decrease level 1&2 from 25% To 15%	1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies Staff Development on Gradual Release model will be on-going throughout the year	1a.2. Administrator who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and	1a.2. Walkthrough

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

					personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur		
			1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor Teachers will transition classroom libraries from Lexile to Fountas and Pinnell leveling system and provide a wider variety of reading materials to students.	1a.3. Administrator who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.			1b.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1b.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1b.2. ADMINISTRATOR who evaluates teacher	1b.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes aligned to access points when appropriate *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	1b.2. Walkthrough
Reading Goal #1b:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Improve current level of performance	#N/A	Decrease level 1,2,3					
			1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2a.FCAT 2.0:Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in reading.			2a.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2a.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2a.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	2a.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students	2a.1. Walkthrough
Reading Goal #2a: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	50% (160)	Increase level 4 and 5 by 5%					
			2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.
			2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in reading.			2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. ADMINISTRATOR who evaluates teacher	2b.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal	2b.1. Walkthrough
Reading Goal #2b: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	#N/A	Increase level 7 by 5%					

June 2012
 Rule 6A-1.099811
 Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

						and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points	
			2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.
			2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.			3a.1. Lack of student engagement	3a.1. Differentiate Instruction	3a.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	3a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are Appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are Appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	3a.1. School Progress Monitoring data done with AIMS.
<u>Reading Goal #3a:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Improve current level of performance	71%(145)	100%					
			3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.			3b.1. Lack of student engagement	3b.1. Differentiate Instruction	3b.1. ADMINISTRATOR who evaluates teacher	3b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are Appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are Appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	3b.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher Appraisal results IPI data when available State instructional walkthrough when Applicable
Reading Goal #3b:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Improve current level of performance	pending	100%					
			3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	
			3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
4a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.			4a.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	4a.1. Differentiate Instruction	4a.1. ADMINISTRATOR who evaluates teacher	4a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are Appropriately	4a.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Reading Goal #4a:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Improve current level of							

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

performance	56%(27)	100%				<p>scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are Appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.</p>	
			4a.2. Insufficient intervention supports exist to address the varying needs of students across academic and engagement areas	4a.2. Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives	4a.2. SBLT	<p>4a.2. *SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses *Intervention and core teachers communicate and plan together regularly *Intervention curriculum is aligned with core instructional goals/objectives *Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within intervention courses *Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes *Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers *Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses</p>	4a.2. Evidence of core teachers and intervention teachers communicating and planning; Lesson Plans & Walkthroughs
			4a.3	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.
4b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.			4b.1. Lack of differentiation of	4b.1. Differentiate Instruction	4b.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	4b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural	4b.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Reading Goal #4b: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	instruction			background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are Appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are Appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.		
	pending	100%						
			4b.2. Insufficient intervention supports exist to address the varying needs of students across academic and engagement areas	4b.2. Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives	4ab.2. SBLT	4b.2. *SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses *Intervention and core teachers communicate and plan together regularly *Intervention curriculum is aligned with core instructional goals/objectives *Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within intervention courses *Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes *Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers *Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses	4b.2. Evidence of core teachers and intervention teachers communicating and planning; Lesson Plans & Walkthroughs	
			4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target			2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017

June 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<p>5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement Administrator by 50%.</p>	<p>Baseline data 2010-2011 <u>85</u></p>	<p>88</p>	<p>90</p>	<p>93</p>	<p>95</p>	<p>98</p>	<p>100</p>
<p>Reading Goal #5A: Improve current level of performance.</p>							
<p>Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:</p>		<p>Anticipated Barrier</p>	<p>Strategy</p>	<p>Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring</p>	<p>Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy</p>	<p>Evaluation Tool</p>	
<p>5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading.</p>		<p>5b.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian: Lack of differentiation of instruction</p>	<p>5b.1. Differentiate Instruction</p>	<p>5b.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher</p>	<p>5b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are Appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are Appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.</p>	<p>5b.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough</p>	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Reading Goal #5B: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	White:150 62% Black: 61 25% Hispanic: 13 5% Asian: 6 2% American Indian: 0 0%	100% of all subgroups to make a learning gain Increase proficiency of all subgroups by 10%					
			5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading.	5c.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5c.1. Differentiate Instruction	5c.1. ADMINISTRATOR who evaluates teacher	5c.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are Appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are Appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express	5c.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough		
Reading Goal #5C: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	50%(1)	100% of ELL students to make a learning gain An increase in proficiency by 10%					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

						knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
			5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.
			5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD)not making satisfactory progress in reading.			5d.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5d.1. Differentiate Instruction	5d.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	5d.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are Appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are Appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5d.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Reading Goal #5D: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	59% 19	100% of all SWD students to make a learning gain An increase in proficiency by 10%					
			5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.
			5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.			5e.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5e.1. Differentiate Instruction	5e.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	5e.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are Appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are Appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5e.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Reading Goal #5E: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance: 57%(48)	2013 Expected Level of Performance: 100% of economically disadvantaged students will learning gain An increase in proficiency by 10%					
			5E.2.	5E.2	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.
			5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3

Reading Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities						
Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Rubric	All	Administrator	All	September	Faculty Meeting	Administrator
Gradual Release/Conferring	All	Team Leader	All	September/November	Faculty Meeting	Team Leaders
Fountas and Pinnell Leveling System	All	LaPointe and Husentiza	All	September/October	Schoolwide training days	Administrator

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.

Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Fountas and Pinnell	Subscriptions for leveling	RClub	\$1,000
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of Reading Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CELLA Goals		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition				
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring proficient in Listening/Speaking.		1.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	1.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	1.1. ADMINISTRATOR who evaluates teacher	1.1. Determine: * Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction * Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning * Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle * Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students	1.1. Walkthrough
<u>CELLA Goal #1:</u>	<u>2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking:</u>					
Improve current level of performance	50%					
Number CELLA tested: 2	1					
		2.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2.1. Differentiate Instruction	2.1. ADMINISTRATOR who evaluates teacher	2.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are Appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are Appropriately scaffolded to meet the	2.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

					needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring proficient in Reading.		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
CELLA Goal #2: Improve current level of performance	<u>2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading :</u> 50% 1	Insufficient standard based instruction	Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	ADMINISTRATOR who evaluates teacher	Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	Walkthrough
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3. Students scoring proficient in Writing.		3.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	3.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	3.1. ADMINISTRATOR who evaluates teacher	3.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	3.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
<u>CELLA Goal #3:</u>	<u>2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing :</u>					
Improve current level of performance	50% 1					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

CELLA Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of CELLA Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary Mathematics Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1a.FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson Staff will develop a general rubric for students to self monitor Teachers will develop conferencing strategies to implement during Math Workshop.	1a.1. ADMINISTRATOR who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
<u>Mathematics Goal</u> #1a: Improve current level of performance	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance</u> :* 106 33%	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance</u> :* Decrease in level 1 and 2 from 28% To 18%					
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies Staff Development on Gradual Release model will be on-going throughout the year	1a.2. ADMINISTRATOR who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students’ background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc.	1a.2. Walkthrough

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

					*Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
		1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor through use of leveled math games, daily journaling, questioning strategies (Classroom Discussions).	1a.3. ADMINISTRATOR who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.		1b.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1b.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1b.2. ADMINISTRATOR who evaluates teacher	1b.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes aligned to access points when appropriate *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	1b.2. Walkthrough
<u>Mathematics Goal</u> #1b:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>				
Improve current level of performance	#N/A	Decrease in level 1,2 and 3				
			1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in mathematics.			2a.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2a.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2a.1. ADMINISTRATOR who evaluates teacher	2a.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students	2a.1. Walkthrough
<u>Mathematics Goal #2a:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Improve current level of performance	39% 127	Increase in level 4 and 5 by 5%					
			2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.
			2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.			2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. ADMINISTRATOR who evaluates teacher	2b.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal	2b.1. Walkthrough
<u>Mathematics Goal #2b:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Improve current level of performance	#N/A	Increase in level 7 by 5%					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

						and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points	
			2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.
			2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.			3a.1. Lack of student engagement	3a.1. Differentiate Instruction through use of leveled math games, daily journaling, questioning strategies (Classroom Discussions).	3a.1. ADMINISTRATOR who evaluates teacher	3a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are Administrator Appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are Administrator Appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or	3a.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher Administrator Appraisal results IPI data when available State instructional walkthrough when Administrator Applicable
<u>Mathematics Goal #3a:</u> Improve current level of performance	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u> 78%(160)	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u> 100% of students will make a learning gain					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

						express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
			3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.
			3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.			3b.1. Lack of student engagement	3b.1. Differentiate Instruction	3b.1. ADMINISTRATOR who evaluates teacher	3b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are Appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are Appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	3b.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher Appraisal results IPI data when available State instructional walkthrough when Applicable
<u>Mathematics Goal #3b:</u>	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Improve current level of performance	<i>pending</i>	100% of students will make learning gains					
			3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.
			3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<p>4a.FCAT 2.0:Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.</p> <p><u>Mathematics Goal</u> #4a: Improve current level of performance</p>			<p>4a.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction</p>	<p>4a.1. Differentiate Instruction through use of leveled math games, daily journaling, questioning strategies (Classroom Discussions).</p>	<p>4a.1. ADMINISTRATOR who evaluates teacher</p>	<p>4a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are Administrator Appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are Administrator Appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.</p>	<p>4a.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough</p>
	<p>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</p> <p>74%(43)</p>	<p>2013Expected Level of Performance:*</p> <p>100% of students will make a learning gain</p>					
			<p>4a.2. Insufficient intervention supports exist to address the varying needs of students across academic and engagement areas</p>	<p>4a.2. Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives</p>	<p>4a.2. SBLT</p>	<p>4a.2. *SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses *Intervention and core teachers communicate and plan together regularly *Intervention curriculum is aligned with core instructional goals/objectives *Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within intervention courses *Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes *Interventions are integrated</p>	<p>4a.2. Evidence of core teachers and intervention teachers communicating and planning; Lesson Plans & Walkthroughs</p>

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

					and aligned across all providers *Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses	
			4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3
4b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.		4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.
Mathematics Goal #4b: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance: * <i>pending</i>	2013 Expected Level of Performance: * 100% of students will make a learning gain	Lack of differentiation of instruction	Differentiate Instruction	ADMINISTRATOR who evaluates teacher	Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
			4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.
			Insufficient intervention supports exist to address the varying needs of students across academic and engagement areas	Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives	SBLT	Evidence of core teachers and intervention teachers communicating and planning; Lesson Plans & Walkthroughs
					*Content materials are Differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are Appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are Appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
					*SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses *Intervention and core teachers communicate and plan together regularly *Intervention curriculum is aligned with core instructional	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

					goals/objectives *Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within intervention courses *Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes *Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers *Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses		
		4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target		2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap Administrator by 50%.	84	85	87	88	89	91	92
<u>Mathematics Goal #5A:</u> Improve current level of performance							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.		5b.1. White: Black:	5b.1. Differentiate Instruction through use of leveled	5b.1. ADMINISTRATOR who evaluates teacher	5b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student	5b.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Mathematics Goal #5B: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* White: 64% 149 Black: 24% 57 Hispanic: 5% 11 Asian: 3% 0 American Indian: 0% 0	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 100% of student subgroups will make learning gains An increase in proficiency by 10%	Hispanic: Asian: American Indian: Lack of differentiation of instruction	math games, daily journaling, questioning strategies (Classroom Discussions).		interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are Appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are Appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
				5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5C: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 50%(1)	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 100% of ELL students will make learning gains An increase	5c.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5c.1. Differentiate Instruction through use of leveled math games, daily journaling, questioning strategies (Classroom Discussions).	5c.1. ADMINISTRATOR who evaluates teacher	5c.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are Appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are Administrator Appropriately scaffolded to	5c.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		in proficiency by 10%				meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
			5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.
			5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD)not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.			5d.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5d.1. Differentiate Instruction through use of leveled math games, daily journaling, questioning strategies (Classroom Discussions).	5d.1. ADMINISTRATOR who evaluates teacher	5d.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are Appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are Appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5d.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
<u>Mathematics Goal</u> #5D:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Improve current level of performance	66% (21)	100% of SWD students will make learning gains An increase in proficiency by 10%					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. <u>Mathematics Goal</u> #5E: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 51%(43)	5e.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5e.1. Differentiate Instruction through use of leveled math games, daily journaling, questioning strategies (Classroom Discussions).	5e.1. ADMINISTRATOR who evaluates teacher	5e.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are Administrator Appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are Administrator Appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5e.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 100% of Economicaly Disadvantaged students will make learning gains An increase in proficiency by 10%					
		5E.2	5E.2	5E.2	5E.2	5E.2
		5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	

Mathematics Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Classroom Discussions	All grade levels	Darcy Webb	K – 5 math teachers	October	Administrator observation	Administrator
Math games	All	Webb/Bianchi	K – 5 math teachers	October	Administrator observation	Administrator
Math journaling	All	Webb/Bianchi	K – 5 math teachers	October	Administrator observation	Administrator

Math Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary and Middle Science Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1a.FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science.			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson Staff will develop a general rubric for students to self- monitor their understanding of concept Students in all grade levels will participate in the school Science Fair.	1a.1. Admin who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
Science Goal #1a:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Improve current level of performance	43% 46	Decrease the number of level 1 and 2					
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies Staff Development on Gradual Release/ 5 E model will be on-going throughout the year	1a.2. Administrator who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for	1a.2. Walkthrough

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			Teachers will provide students with time and tools to journal during Science Workshop.		learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
		1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor Teachers will transition classroom libraries from Lexile to Fountas and Pinnell leveling system and provide a wider variety of reading materials to students.	1a.3. Administrator who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Level 4, 5, and 6 in science.		1b.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1b.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1b.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	1b.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to	1b.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
<u>Science Goal #1b:</u> Improve current level of performance	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u> #N/A	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u> Decrease the number of level 1,2, and 3				

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

						answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	
			1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.
			1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in science.			2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	2b.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points	2b1. Walkthrough
<u>Science Goal #2a:</u> Improve current level of performance	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u> 25% 27	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u> Increase the level 4 and 5 students 5%					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.
			2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.			2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	2b.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points	2b.1. Walkthrough
Science Goal #2b:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Improve current level of performance	#N/A	Increase the level 7 by 5%					
			2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.
			2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Science Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Science Fair	All	Science Fair Team	All	February 13 and May 15		Science Fair Team
5E and standards	All	Fifth Grade team	All	October 19 and January 15	Faculty Meeting	Administrator

Science Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of Science Goals

June 2012
 Rule 6A-1.099811
 Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Writing Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1a. FCAT: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing.			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson Staff will develop a general rubric for students to self-monitor Teachers will develop conferencing strategies to implement during Writer's Workshop	1a.1. ADMINISTRATOR who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
<u>Writing Goal #1a:</u> Improve current level of performance	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u> 94% 101 Level 4 and above 43% 46	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u> Decrease number of level 1,2 and 3 students					
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies Staff Development on Gradual Release model will be on-going throughout the year	1a.2. Administrator who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by	1a.2. Walkthrough

June 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

					connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
		1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor Teachers will utilize the Writing Units of Study Teachers will conference with students and differentiate instruction	1a.3. ADMINISTRATOR who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are Administrator Appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with Administrator Appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Administrator Appraisal Results
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.		1b.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1b.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1b.1. ADMINISTRATOR who evaluates teacher	1b.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the	1b.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
Writing Goal #1b:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
Improve current level of performance	#N/A Level 7 and above #N/A	Decrease number of level 1,2 and 3 students				

June 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

						learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	
			1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.
			1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.

End of Writing Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Conferring with writers	All	K. Gertsch	All	October	Faculty Meeting	Administrator
Rubric	All	Team Leader	All	September	Faculty Meeting	Administrator

Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	Total:
--	---------------

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Attendance Goal(s)			Problem-solving Process to Increase Attendance				
Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Attendance			1.1. Lack of student engagement	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan Communicate to families with excessive absences and tardies at the start and throughout the school year.	1.1. SBLT	1.1. Determine: Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Data-based monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly conducted	1.1. Decrease the number of excessive absences and tardies.
Attendance Goal #1:	2012 Current Attendance Rate:*	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:*					
Improve current level of performance	97%	Greater than prior year					
	2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)					
	84	10% decrease from prior year					
	2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)					
	118	10% decrease from prior year					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
------------------------------------	---------------------	-----------------------	-------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------

June 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		PLC Leader	school-wide)	Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		

Attendance Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of Attendance Goals

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

June 2012
 Rule 6A-1.099811
 Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Suspension Goal(s)			Problem-solving Process to Decrease Suspension				
Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Suspension			1.1. Lack of Student Engagement	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan	1.1. SBLT	1.1. Determine: Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Data-based monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly conducted	1.1. Decrease in Number of In-School Suspension Number of Students suspended In-School Number of out-of-school suspensions Number of Students suspended out-of-school Number of alternative bell assignments Number of students assigned to alternative bell schedule
Suspension Goal #1: Improve current level of performance	2012 Total Number of In-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions					
	1	10% decrease from prior year					
	2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In-School					
	1	10% decrease from prior year					
	2012 Number of Out-of-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions					
	1	10% decrease from prior year					
	2012 Total Number of Students Suspended Out-of-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out-of-School					
1	10% decrease from prior year						
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Suspension Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

June 2012
 Rule 6A-1.099811
 Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Tribes Training	ALL	Sulte	All	June 2012- June 2013	Monthly at Faculty	Sulte

Suspension Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.

Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:

Technology

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:

Professional Development

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:

Other

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of Suspension Goals

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)	Problem-solving Process to Dropout Prevention
----------------------------	-----------------------------------------------

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Dropout Prevention Dropout Prevention Goal #1: <i>*Please refer to the percentage of students who dropped out during the 2011-2012 school year.</i>		1.1. Students lack skills to plan for future aspirations and create educational goals	1.1. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1.1. Principal	1.1.	1.1. Walkthrough and teacher Appraisal
Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Dropout Rate:*	2013 Expected Dropout Rate:*				
	<i>pending</i>	10% decrease from prior year				
	2012 Current Graduation Rate:*	2013 Expected Graduation Rate:*				
	<i>pending</i>	Improve rate from prior year				
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Dropout Prevention Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Dropout Prevention Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

June 2012
 Rule 6A-1.099811
 Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section.

Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involvement Goal(s)	Problem-solving Process to Parent Involvement				
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Parent Involvement Parent Involvement Goal #1: <i>*Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or</i>	1.1. Parents do not have portal log in information	1.1. Provide frequent home-school communication in a variety of formats, and allows for families to	1.1. SBLT	1.1. Portal log ins	1.1. Portal log ins

June 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<i>unduplicated.</i>			support and supervise their child's educational progress			
Maintain current level of performance	2012 Current level of Parent Involvement:*	2013 Expected level of Parent Involvement:*				
	100% of parents participate in school activities.	Maintain				
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Parent Involvement Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Parent Involvement Budget

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
STEM Goal #1: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

STEM Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

June 2012
 Rule 6A-1.099811
 Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Subtotal:
Total:

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
CTE Goal #1: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

CTE Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

CTE Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.

Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:

Technology

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:

Professional Development

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:

Other

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:

Total:

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal I Wellness (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement
---------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Additional Goal: Wellness		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Additional Goal #1: Improve current level of performance	<u>2012 Current Level</u> :*	<u>2013 Expected Level</u> :*					
	A Data (Options): Not yet meeting Bronze Level on Healthy Schools Inventory	Options Set A: Meeting Bronze Level on Healthy Schools Inventory	A: Healthy School Team does not meet on a regular basis.	A: Complete Healthy Schools Program 6 Step Process online https://schools.healthiergeneration.org/	A: Healthy School Team (school Administrator, physical education teacher, cafeteria manager, health teacher/elementary classroom teachers (optional members – students, parents, school nurse)	A: Completion of 6 th Step of the Healthy School Program online (Celebrate Successes)	A: Healthy School Inventory (Evaluate Your School) online
	B Data: Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgram Data by school will be inserted here.	School will improve students' scores on one Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgram Assessment scores for selected by school.	B: Assess students and use the data in a timely manner.	B: Complete Pre and Post Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgram student assessments and upload data	B: physical education teachers	B: Compare Pre and Post Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgram student assessments results	B: Being Fit Matters Statistical Report (Portal)
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Additional Wellness Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

June 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Additional Wellness Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.

Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Additional Goal II Bradley MOU (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Additional Goal: Black Academic Achievement			1.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	1.1. Differentiate Instruction	1.1. ADMINISTRATOR who evaluates teacher	1.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are Appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are Appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	1.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Additional Goal #1:	<u>2012 Current Level :*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level :*</u>					
There will be an increase in black student achievement	Reading level 3 and above:25% (61) Math Level 3 and above: 24% (57)	All black students to make learning gains in reading and math					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional MOU Goals Professional Development

June 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.

Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Additional Goal III Bradley MOU (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Additional Goal: Student Engagement for Black Students			1.1. Lack of Student Engagement	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan	1.1. SBLT	1.1. Determine: Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Data-based monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly conducted	1.1. Decrease in Number of In-School Suspension Number of Students suspended In-School Number of out-of-school suspensions Number of Students suspended out-of-school Number of alternative bell assignments Number of students assigned to alternative bell schedule
Additional Goal #1:	<u>2012 Current Level :*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level :*</u>					
There will be an increase in black student engagement	60%(14)	Decrease the percent of Black students receiving referrals, and Receiving in school and out of school suspensions					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional MOU II Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Tribes	All	Sulte	ALL Staff	June 2012-June2013	Monthly	Sulte

Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

June 2012
 Rule 6A-1.099811
 Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

Additional Goal IV Bradley MOU (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:					
1. Additional Goal: Black graduation rate	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Additional Goal #1: There will be an increase in black student graduation rate	2012 Current Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*	Lack of Student Engagement	Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan	SBLT	Determine: Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Data-based monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly conducted	Increase in black graduation rate
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional MOU Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

Additional Goal V Bradley MOU (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

1. Additional Goal: Black advanced Coursework			1.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	1.1. Differentiate Instruction	1.1. ADMINISTRATOR who evaluates teacher	1.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are Appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are Appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	1.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough Professional Development includes equity and cultural responsiveness
Additional Goal #1: There will be an increase percent of black students enrolled in rigorous advanced coursework There will be an increase in performance of black students in rigorous and advanced coursework	<u>2012 Current Level</u> :*	<u>2013 Expected Level</u> :*					
		Increase from prior year					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional MOU Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

June 2012
 Rule 6A-1.099811
 Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of Additional Goal(s)

Final Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	Total:1,000
Mathematics Budget	Total:
Science Budget	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	Total:
Writing Budget	
	Total:
Attendance Budget	
	Total:
Suspension Budget	
	Total:
Dropout Prevention Budget	
	Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	
	Total:
Additional Goals	
	Total:
	Grand Total: 1,000

Final Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.

Reading Budget	
	Total:
CELLA Budget	
	Total:
Mathematics Budget	
	Total:
Science Budget	
	Total:
Writing Budget	
	Total:
Civics Budget	
	Total:
U.S. History Budget	
	Total:
Attendance Budget	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	Total:
Suspension Budget	
	Total:
Dropout Prevention Budget	
	Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	
	Total:
STEM Budget	
	Total:
CTE Budget	
	Total:
Additional Goals	
	Total:
	Grand Total: 1,000

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school’s DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under “Default value” header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an “x” in the box.)

School Differentiated Accountability Status		
<input type="checkbox"/> Priority	<input type="checkbox"/> Focus	<input type="checkbox"/> Prevent

- Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the *Upload* page

School Advisory Council (SAC)

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an Appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting *Yes* or *No* below.

June 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Yes No

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.
Recruited members through newsletters at the end and beginning of the school year.

Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.
Monitoring the SIP, Looking at Data and trends,

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
Staffing Training Needs	TBD