

# FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION



## School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

**PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS**

**School Information**

School Name: 251 Bayside High School	District Name: Pinellas County Schools
Principal: Patricia Fuller	Superintendent: John A. Stewart, Ed.D.
SAC Chair: Co-Chair Scott Watson and Nichole Martens	Date of School Board Approval: Pending: October 9, 2012

**Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:**

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

[School Grades Trend Data](#) (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

[Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test \(FCAT\)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data](#)(Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

[High School Feedback Report](#)

[K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan](#)

**Administrators**

List your school’s administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
Principal	Patricia Fuller	M.Ed in Ed Leadership Bus Ed, Soc St. 6-12	6	21	Bayside High School-2012 Ungraded FCAT scores
Assistant Principal	Darrell Kretz	MA in Education VE, Ed Leadership	3	3	Bayside High School-2012 Ungraded FCAT scores

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Instructional Coaches**

List your school’s instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Reading	Nancy Ragland	BA Sec Eng, MA Couns/Guid, Adult Ed. Cert/Endorse: Eng, Reading	8	9	

**Highly Effective Teachers**

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date
1. Professional Development aligned to NGSS/Common Core State Standards to increase student engagement.	Principal, School Based Leadership Team (SBLT), teachers	06/2013
2. Staff recognition, socials, and activities implemented to increase morale.	Principal, School Based Leadership Team (SBLT)	06/2013
3. Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) provide teachers with training based on priorities determined by the staff.	Principal, School Based Leadership Team (SBLT), teachers	06/2013
4. Coordinate with district recruiters to identify highly qualified applicants	Principal, School Based Leadership Team (SBLT)	06/2013

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

***Non-Highly Effective Instructors***

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who are NOT highly effective.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out-of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
3 ( 11% ) out of field	Continuing education / working on completing district requirements to comply.

***Staff Demographics***

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	%ESOL Endorsed Teachers
33	6.06 (2)	24.24 (8)	33.33 (11)	36.36 (12)	36.36 (12)		15.15 (5)	0.00	12.12 (4)

***Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan***

Please describe the school’s teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Pam Hershberger	Tia Davis	Veteran ESE instructor paired with developing teacher	Observation of mentee’s instruction and providing feedback; Planning lessons with mentee; Connecting lesson activities to content standards; Discussing student progress and analyzing student work; Modeling or co-teaching lessons
Melinda Hill-Lovering	Sarah Crovo	Veteran Reading Instructor paired with a developing teacher	

## 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

### Additional Requirements

#### **Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only**

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A Title I, Part A funds are utilized, in conjunction with district operating funds and other federal resources, to support teaching and learning, parental engagement, and professional development. Title I services are coordinated and integrated with other resources through the Division of Teaching and Learning, Student Assignment, and Research and Accountability.
Title I, Part C- Migrant NA in Pinellas
Title I, Part D The district receives Title I, Part D funds which provide transition services from alternative education programs to zoned schools. In addition, a portion of Title I, Part A funds is reserved for services to neglected and delinquent students. Funds are targeted to support continuous education services to students in neglected and delinquent facilities through tutoring, instructional materials and resources, and technology.
Title II The district receives funds to increase student achievement through professional development for teachers and administrators. Title II funds provide math and science coaches, as required by Differentiated Accountability, in some of the district's lowest performing schools. A portion of Title I, Part A funds is used to provide additional reading and math coaches in targeted schools based on FCAT results.
Title III Title III funds provide educational materials, bilingual translators, summer programs, and other support services to improve the education of immigrant and English Language Learners. Bilingual translators provide assistance with parent workshops and dissemination of information in various languages for Title I schools.
Title X- Homeless The district receives funds to provide resources for students for students identified as homeless under the McKinney-Vento Act to eliminate barriers for a free and appropriate education. A portion of Title I, Part A funds is also reserved to provide services to homeless students (social workers, a resource teacher, tutoring, and technology).
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI) SAI funds are coordinated with Title I, Part A funds to provide extended learning opportunities for students before/during/after school and during the summer.
Violence Prevention Programs
Nutrition Programs Title I coordinates with district food services to provide breakfast and lunch to students in Title I summer extended learning camps.

June 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Housing Programs
Head Start Title I, Part A funds are used to provide Pre-Kindergarten to Kindergarten transition services. Title I schools coordinate with staff from public and private preschool programs, including Head Start, to prepare students for a successful start to school. A portion of Title I, Part A funds is used to provide classes for 3 year olds at targeted elementary schools to support early literacy.
Adult Education
Career and Technical Education
Job Training
Other

## 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

### *Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)*

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team
Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team. Principal Patricia Fuller, Assistant Principal Darrell Kretz, General and ESE teachers, Literacy Coach Nancy Ragland, School Psychologist Mike Johnson, Social Worker Matt McKillop, and Student Services Personnel, Donnie McKahand and Carole Rodrigue.
Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts? -Facilitator – generates agenda and leads team discussions -Data Manager(s)/Data Coach(es) – assist team in accessing and interpreting (aggregating/disaggregating) the data -Technology Specialist – brokers technology necessary to manage and display data -Recorder/Note Taker – documents meeting content and disseminates to team members in a timely manner as well as storing a hard copy in a binder for all teachers to access -Time Keeper –helps team begin on time and ensures adherence to agreed upon agenda  Meeting time: The RtI team meets the second Tuesday of each month at 7:30am.
Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP? All participants of the RtI team have had input into the SIP, are familiar with the goals, barriers, and strategies for each of the respective and required goals, and support the implementation of the SIP through Professional Development, in Professional Learning Communities, and in daily interactions with students.
MTSS Implementation
Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior. Baseline data is collected through the Progress Monitoring Network (PRMN), EDS, the FLDOE, Portal, and FAIR testing. Progress Monitoring data is further collected through FAIR testing, Focus lessons, and direct observation in classrooms and during regularly scheduled school and district classroom walk-throughs. End of year data will be collected from the FCAT, Portal, FAIR, and End-of-Course examinations.
Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS. Professional Development begins during pre-school and continues for the duration of the school year through Professional Learning Communities, in subject specific trainings in department meetings. Staff meetings and staff committee initiatives also include RtI data and strategies for improving academic achievement and student behavior.
Describe the plan to support MTSS. MTSS is supported through staff feedback and professional development. Ideas for implementation, additional trainings, and staff develop arise from discussions held in MTSS meetings, staff meetings, and through evaluation of RtI data.

## 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

### *Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)*

#### School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Principal Patricia Fuller, General Education teachers Kathy Endris, Natalie Keyes, Stephanie Palmer, David Detwiler, Susan Mathewson, Danette Reid, Reading Coach Nancy Ragland, and Library Information Specialist Scott McIntosh.

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

Literacy Leadership Teams create capacity of reading knowledge within the school by focusing on the following areas of literacy concern:

- Support for text complexity
- Support for instructional skills to improve reading comprehension
  - Ensuring that text complexity, along with close reading and rereading of texts, is central to lessons
  - Providing scaffolding that does not preempt or replace text reading by students
  - Developing and asking text dependent questions from a range of question types
  - Emphasizing students supporting their answers based upon evidence from the text
  - Providing extensive research and writing opportunities (claims and evidence)
- Support for implementation of Common Core State Standards for Literacy in Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects (a focus on text, task, and instruction).

The district will provide training and tools for Literacy Leadership Teams.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

Support for text complexity

- Support for instructional skills to improve reading comprehension
- Support for implementation of Common Core State Standards for Literacy in Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects

### *Public School Choice*

- **Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification**

*Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.*

### *\*Grades 6-12 Only* Sec. 1003.413 (2)(b) F.S

For schools with grades 6-12, how does the school ensure that every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student?

- The school Literacy Leadership Team is established to grow the use of literacy strategies in all disciplines. The Team is composed of a cross section of the faculty that act as liaisons to help grow department wide literacy strategies in all classrooms
- The school has a Student Literacy Team that assists in the development and implementation of classroom literacy strategies.
- Teacher evaluations include a provision for teaching reading strategies to students. The teacher summative evaluation, in most cases, uses reading data as a portion of teacher performance.

June 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011



## 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

### *\*High Schools Only*

Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(2)(g), (2)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

- Students are provided with an array of course offerings that have applicable skills directly relating to various post-secondary training.
- Applied and integrated courses are found extensively in our schools' Center of Excellence program. Within the Center of Excellence, students are required to take multiple integrated courses each year.
- Teachers use a daily common board configuration to identify learning targets for students and how it is relevant in their daily lives.

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

- Guidance counselors meet with students in individual, small group and large group settings to provide service for academic and social needs.
- Academic advisement leading into career choice awareness, exploration and planning occurs each year.
- Students meet with guidance counselors individually each year to identify and request courses for the upcoming school year. Counselors also conduct credit checks each year, with multiple meetings each year as student's progress through high school.
- Students have the ability to take a transitions course into and out of high school where transition skills are developed and career planning takes place.

### *Postsecondary Transition*

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the [High School Feedback Report](#).

The School Based Leadership Team analyzes the High School Feedback Report each year to determine strengths, weaknesses and problem solve gaps in data. Since the data is lagging by two years, it is sometimes difficult to quickly affect change. Some current and previous strategies used to increase postsecondary readiness include: implementation and increased use of the AVID program; increased participation in advanced coursework; establishment and expansion of Centers of Excellence; increased participation in ACT, CPT, and SAT exams; improved articulation with local colleges and postsecondary institutions; and participation in partnerships with St. Petersburg College.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS**

**Reading Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Reading Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1a.FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading.</b>			1a.1. Students enroll in high school with reading skill deficits that inhibit grade level knowledge acquisition.	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. Administrators Fuller and Kretz; Literacy Coach Ragland.	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
<b>Reading Goal #1a:</b>	<b>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</b>	<b>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</b>					
Students in grade nine (9) will show a 10% increase in the number of students scoring Level 3 and above on the 2013 FCAT.  Students in grade ten (10) will show a 10% increase in the number of students scoring Level 3 and above on the 2013 FCAT  African American students will increase the number of students scoring Level 3 and above by 10% on the 2013 Reading section of the FCAT.	10.5% (4/38)  .05 % (1) of 9 <sup>th</sup> graders scored Level 3 or above 0 % of 10 <sup>th</sup> graders scored Level 3 or above on the 2012 Reading FCAT. No African	Decrease level 1&2 from 89% to 79% (30.02 scoring at 1 & 2)  5% of 9 <sup>th</sup> graders will score Level 3 or above, 5% of 10 <sup>th</sup> graders will score Level 3 or above on the 2012 Reading FCAT. African					
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies aligned to the Common Core State Standards.  Increase instructional rigor by implementing	1a.2. Administrators Fuller and Kretz; Literacy Coach Ragland.	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and	1a.2. Walkthrough

**June 2012  
Rule 6A-1.099811  
Revised April 29, 2011**

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

			Common Core content area specific training to promote vocabulary development, critical thinking skills as well as interaction with and response to complex, non-fiction tests.		goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
		1a.3. A history of poor attendance creates an achievement gap which takes time to make up.	1a.3. Develop and implement a schedule and curriculum which allows students the ability to earn credits in shorter blocks of time (9 weeks). Provide incentives for attendance. Provide a positive classroom environment.  Student and/or parent conferences to brainstorm ways to improve attendance.  Referral to Child Study Team or RtI Team.  Home visits by LCSW.	1a.3. All staff members.	1a.3. Daily/weekly review of attendance reports.	1a.3. Attendance Records  Record of student and parent conferences  Referrals to Child Study Team or RtI team
<b>1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.</b>		1b.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1b.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies based on the Common Core Standards.	1b.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1b.2. Determine: Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes aligned to access points when appropriate. Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc.	1b.2. Walkthrough
Reading Goal #1b:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
N/A	#N/A	Decrease level 1,2,3				

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

						*Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
			1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.
			1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>2a.FCAT 2.0:Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in reading.</b>			2a.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2a.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction aligned to the Common Core Standards.	2a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	2a.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students	2a.1. Walkthrough
<b>Reading Goal #2a:</b>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Teachers in all content areas will align their instruction to the Common Core Standards and increase the use of Higher Order Thinking and Questioning during instruction in order to achieve 5% gains in Levels 4 and 5.	3 (8%) students in grades 9 and 10 scored Level 4 or above on the 2012 FCAT Reading Test	13% of students in grades 9 and 10 will score at Level 4 or above on the 2013 Reading FCAT (increase by 5%)					
			2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.
			2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in reading.</b>			2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	2b.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points	2b1. Walkthrough
Reading Goal #2b:  N/A	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	#N/A	Increase level 7 by 5%					
			2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.
			2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.</b>			3a.1. Lack of student engagement	3a.1. Differentiate Instruction	3a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	3a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level. Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs). Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners. Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning	3a.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results  IPI data when available  State instructional walkthrough when applicable  •Assessment Data (e.g., FCAT, FAIR, Glencoe OEG, FCIM Activities, •District Common Assessments, EOCs, other classroom assessments
Reading Goal #3a:  Increase by 5% the students demonstrating annual learning gains on the 2013 Reading FCAT.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	N/A	100% of 9 <sup>th</sup> and 10 <sup>th</sup> graders will demonstrate a learning gain.					

June 2012  
Rule 6A-1.099811  
Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

						needs. These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments. Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
			3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.
			3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.
<b>3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.</b>			3b.1. Lack of student engagement	3b.1. Differentiate Instruction	3b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	3b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	3b.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results  IPI data when available  State instructional walkthrough when applicable
Reading Goal #3b:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
N/A	N/A	100%					
			3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.
			3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>4a.FCAT 2.0:Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.</b>			4a.1. Closing the gap in achievement for students who are a year or more behind in reading achievement takes time and motivation.	4a.1. Engage teachers and leaders in routines that continuously promote a culture of change and improvement (e.g., classroom walkthroughs, instructional rounds, strategy walks, peer visits, lesson study). Provide classroom and school wide incentives for reading.	4a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	4a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	4a.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough  FAIR data : FCAT Success Probability
<b>Reading Goal #4a:</b>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Decrease the number of students scoring in the red zone on the FCAT Success Predictor on the FAIR assessments from test 1 to test 3.	N/A	100%					
Decrease the number of African American students scoring in the red zone on the FCAT Success Predictor on the FAIR assessments from Test 1 to Test 3.			4a.2. Insufficient intervention supports exist to address the varying needs of students across academic and engagement areas	4a.2. Create intervention that support common core instructional goals and objectives	4a.2. SBLT	4a.2. *SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses *Intervention and core teachers communicate and plan together regularly *Intervention curriculum is aligned with core instructional goals/objectives *Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within intervention courses *Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes *Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers *Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses	4a.2. Evidence of core teachers and intervention teachers communicating and planning; Lesson Plans & Walkthroughs

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

		4a.3	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.				
<p><b>4b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.</b></p> <p><b>Reading Goal #4b:</b></p> <p>N/A</p>		4b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	4b.1. Differentiate Instruction	4b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	4b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	4b.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough				
	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</td> <td>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N/A</td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table>	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	N/A	100%	4b.2. Insufficient intervention supports exist to address the varying needs of students across academic and engagement areas	4b.2. Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives	4ab.2. SBLT	4b.2. *SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses *Intervention and core teachers communicate and plan together regularly *Intervention curriculum is aligned with core instructional goals/objectives *Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within intervention courses *Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes *Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers *Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses	4b.2. Evidence of core teachers and intervention teachers communicating and planning; Lesson Plans & Walkthroughs
2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*									
N/A	100%									



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

		4b.3	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.													
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target		2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017												
<b>5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.</b> <b>Reading Goal #5A:</b> Provide opportunities to reduce the achievement gap through Extended Learning Programs, increased Nova Net curriculum and Summer School.	<b>Baseline data 2010-2011</b> 10	25	40	55	70	85	100												
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool													
<b>5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading.</b> <b>Reading Goal #5B:</b> Improve the reading performance/scores of students in all subgroups, including African Americans, making Learning Gains by 10%.		5b.1. All ethnicities at Bayside have the same barriers: attendance, transportation, motivation and background knowledge	5b.1. Implement attendance incentive program as designed by staff and Rtl.  Provide bus passes for those students demonstrating transportation difficulties staying after school for additional assistance.  Provide a caring school community where students feel accepted for where they are yet challenged to improve themselves.	5b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5b.1. Attendance at school and tutoring sessions,  Classroom observations and walkthroughs	5b.1. Ongoing progress monitoring data, FAIR, Running Records, FCAT data.													
	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</td> <td>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</td> </tr> <tr> <td>White: 100% (4)</td> <td>100% of all subgroups to make a learning gain of 10%.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Black: 0% (0.00)</td> <td>Increase proficiency of all subgroups by 10%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Hispanic: 0% (0.00)</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Asian: 0% (0.00)</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>American</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	White: 100% (4)	100% of all subgroups to make a learning gain of 10%.	Black: 0% (0.00)	Increase proficiency of all subgroups by 10%	Hispanic: 0% (0.00)		Asian: 0% (0.00)		American							
2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*																		
White: 100% (4)	100% of all subgroups to make a learning gain of 10%.																		
Black: 0% (0.00)	Increase proficiency of all subgroups by 10%																		
Hispanic: 0% (0.00)																			
Asian: 0% (0.00)																			
American																			

June 2012  
 Rule 6A-1.099811  
 Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

	Indian: 0% (0.00)			Provide additional activities in and outside the classroom to improve background knowledge.			
			5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
<b>5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading.</b>		5c.1.	5c.1.	5c.1.	5c.1.	5c.1.	5c.1.
<b>Reading Goal #5C:</b>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>	Patterns of nonattendance or sporadic attendance interfere with student progress.	T1: Offer Great Leaps Reading Program- one on one intervention with trained support staff in a direct instruction model	AP who evaluates teacher	Daily and weekly assessment Informal observation	Great Leaps Assessment, FAIR assessment data
To increase the fluency and comprehension scores of all students as measured by the FAIR testing data.  There are no African American students in this category.	During the 2011-12 testing cycle, there were not enough students to make up this subgroup. NA was reported on AYP report.	100% of ELL students to make a learning gain  An increase in proficiency by 10%	Time constraints limit teacher's ability to meet the needs of individual students.	T2: Parent Teacher Conferences, Literacy Team action  T3: Child Study Team Rtl referral			
			5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.
			5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<p><b>5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD)not making satisfactory progress in reading.</b></p> <p><b>Reading Goal #5D:</b></p> <p>To improve the reading fluency and reading comprehension scores of all SWD as measured by the gains from test 1 to test 3 on the FAIR testing.</p>	<p>2012 Current Level of Performance:</p>	<p>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</p>	<p>5d.1.</p> <p>Time constraints limit teacher's ability to meet the needs of individual students.</p>	<p>5d.1.</p> <p>Offer READ 180 and Great Leaps to struggling SWD.</p> <p>Enroll students in Learning Strategies/Social Personal Skills classes as electives to provide skills necessary for school success.</p> <p>Provide teacher assistants in classrooms as needed to provide additional support.</p>	<p>5d.1.</p> <p>VE Specialist Kathy Kaye</p>	<p>5d.1.</p> <p>Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level</p> <p>*Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs)</p> <p>*Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs.</p> <p>*These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments</p> <p>*Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.</p>	<p>5d.1.</p> <p>FAIR assessments</p> <p>Individual Educational Plan</p>
	<p>During the 2011-12 testing cycle, there were not enough students to make up this subgroup. NA was reported on AYP report.</p>	<p>100% of all SWD students to make a learning gain</p> <p>An increase in proficiency by 10%</p>	<p>5D.2.</p>	<p>5D.2.</p>	<p>5D.2.</p>	<p>5D.2.</p>	<p>5D.2.</p>
			<p>5D.3.</p>	<p>5D.3.</p>	<p>5D.3.</p>	<p>5D.3.</p>	<p>5D.3.</p>

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.</b>			5e.1 Closing the gap in achievement for students who are a year or more behind in reading achievement takes time.	5e.1. Align daily lessons across the content areas to incorporate Common Core literacy standards.	5e.1. Administrators Fuller, Kretz; Social Worker McKillop	5e.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5e.1. FAIR test results Lexile growth FCAT results
<b>Reading Goal #5E:</b>	<b>2012 Current Level of Performance:</b>	<b>2013 Expected Level of Performance:</b>					
Improve the reading level of performance of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup on the FAIR testing by 10%.	During the 2011-12 testing cycle, there were not enough students to make up this subgroup. NA was reported on AYP report.	100% of economically dis-advantaged students to make a learning gain  An increase in proficiency by 10%	Some students have job requirements that conflict with school transportation schedules.	Provide bus passes for those students who have job conflicts.			
			5E.2.	5E.2	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.
			5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Reading Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities</b>						
Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Develop Literacy Strategies and Best Practices for Classroom Teachers that align to the Common Core Standards.	All	Reading Coach	LLT	Monthly	Classroom walk throughs / feedback from Teachers	administration
Reading Conferences	All	TBA	Schoolwide	TBA	Participants present to PLC's what strategies they learned	administration
Bi-weekly PLC's to support literacy instruction that aligns to Common Core State Standards	All	Principal Fuller, Lit Coach:Ragland	All teachers	Site based training days and PLCs ( Bi Weekly )		administration

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Reading Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
All reading initiatives (materials, libraries, etc.)	Reading Referendum	District	\$4,500.00
Credit Recovery Program	ELP Program and Transportation	District special allocation	\$2,500.00
			<b>Subtotal: \$8,500.00</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Keep all technology in working order	Reading Referendum	District	\$1,000.00
			<b>Subtotal: \$1,000.00</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Literacy Leadership Team Training to align to Common Core Standards	Discretionary funds	District	\$500.00
			<b>Subtotal: \$500.00</b>
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
			<b>Total: \$10,000.00</b>

*End of Reading Goals*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CELLA Goals		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition				
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Students scoring proficient in Listening/Speaking.</b>		1.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	1.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	1.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1.1. Determine: * Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction * Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning * Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle * Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students	1.1. Walkthrough
<u>CELLA Goal #1:</u>	<u>2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking:</u>					
N/A	N/A					
		2.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2.1. Differentiate Instruction	2.1. AP who evaluates teacher	2.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the	2.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

					needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>2. Students scoring proficient in Reading.</b>		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
CELLA Goal #2:	<u>2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading :</u>	Insufficient standard based instruction	Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	AP who evaluates teacher	Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	Walkthrough
	N/A					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>3. Students scoring proficient in Writing.</b>		3.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	3.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	3.1. AP who evaluates teacher	3.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	3.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
<u>CELLA Goal #3:</u>	<u>2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing :</u>					
Improve current level of performance	0% (0)					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**CELLA Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
			<b>Total:</b>

*End of CELLA Goals*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

High School Mathematics Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.</b>			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
Mathematics Goal #1: N/A	2012 Current Level of Performance: * #N/A	2013 Expected Level of Performance: * Decrease level 1,2,3					
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background	1a.2. Walkthrough

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

					knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
		1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.</b>		2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	2b.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to	2b.1. Walkthrough
Mathematics Goal #2:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
N/A	#N/A	Increase level 7 by 5%				

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

						FAA access points	
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
			2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>3. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.</b>			3a.1. Lack of student engagement	3a.1. Differentiate Instruction	3a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	3a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	3a.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results IPI data when available  State instructional walkthrough when applicable
<u>Mathematics Goal #3:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
N/A	N/A	100% of students will make learning gains					
			3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.
			3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool						
<p><b>4. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.</b></p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td><u>Mathematics Goal #4:</u></td> <td><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></td> <td><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>N/A</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>100% of students will make learning gains</td> </tr> </table>			<u>Mathematics Goal #4:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>	N/A	N/A	100% of students will make learning gains	4a.1. Lack of student engagement	4a.1. Differentiate Instruction	4a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	<p>4a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level</p> <p>*Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs)</p> <p>*Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners</p> <p>*Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs.</p> <p>*These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments</p> <p>*Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.</p>	<p>4a.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results</p> <p>IPI data when available</p> <p>State instructional walkthrough when applicable</p>
<u>Mathematics Goal #4:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>											
N/A	N/A	100% of students will make learning gains											
			4.2. Insufficient intervention supports exist to address the varying needs of students across academic and engagement areas	4.2. Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives	4.2. SBLT	<p>4.2. *SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses</p> <p>*Intervention and core teachers communicate and plan together regularly</p> <p>*Intervention curriculum is aligned with core instructional goals/objectives</p> <p>*Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within intervention courses</p> <p>*Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes</p> <p>*Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers</p>	<p>4.2. Evidence of core teachers and intervention teachers communicating and planning; Lesson Plans &amp; Walkthroughs</p>						

June 2012  
 Rule 6A-1.099811  
 Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

					*Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses	
		4.3	4.3.	4.3.	4.3.	4.3.

*End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Algebra 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals** *(this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Algebra I EOC)*

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Algebra EOC Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra.</b>			1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.
<b>Algebra Goal #1:</b>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>	Students enter math courses not having achieved previous grade level proficiency.	Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson.  •Students receive in class differentiated instruction to help students meet math deficiencies.  Provide in-school/after school tutoring for struggling students.	Math Department School Based Leadership Team (SBLT) Principal Fuller Math Instructors	1a.1. Determine Lesson: Is aligned with Common Core Standards and the district/school pacing guide. Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals. Includes a learning goal/essential question Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question. Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question. Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it. Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson.	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans  Common Assessments, Formative Assessments, such as exit slips, using mathematical probes, purposeful questions for understanding.
To increase the number of students scoring at Level 3 or above on the Algebra 1 EOC by 6%, from 9% (4) to 15% of the students tested.	9% (4)	Decrease level 1 and 2 By 10%					
			1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.
			Insufficient standard based instruction	Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies that align to the Common Core Standards.  Include a variety of assessments and checks for understanding to determine	Math Department School Based Leadership Team (SBLT) Principal Fuller Math Instructors	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes	1a.2. Walkthrough



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

			student mastery of goals, including use of the new district benchmark assessments.		*Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
		1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor in alignment with the Common Core Standards.	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the Common Core Standards, offering cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Algebra.</b>			2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	2b.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students’ readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students’ learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points	2b1. Walkthrough
<u>Algebra Goal #2:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
To increase the number of students scoring at Levels 4 and 5 by 5%.  Students will receive assistance to prepare them for the End of Course Exams	0% (0) of all students tested scored at Level 4 and 5	5% of all students tested will score at Level 4 or 5  Students in all math classes will continue to receive rigorous math instruction aligned to the NGSSS/Common Core State Standards					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
			2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target		2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
<b>3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.</b>	<b>Baseline data <del>2010-2011</del></b> <u>2011-2012</u> 10	9%	27%	45%	64%	82%	100%
	Algebra Goal #3A:  Provide opportunities to reduce the achievement gap through Extended Learning Programs, increased Nova Net curriculum, Summer School and on-site tutoring.						

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool												
<p><b>3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.</b></p>		<p>5b.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:</p> <p>Attendance and Motivation are barriers for all subgroups</p>	<p>5b.1. Differentiate Instruction</p> <p>Smaller class sizes Long class periods ESE assistance when applicable Hands-on projects One-on-one tutoring</p>	<p>5b.1.</p> <p>Principal Fuller Department Chair Bodine Math Instructors Child Study Team Rtl Team Guidance Department</p>	<p>5b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.</p>	<p>5b.1. Lesson Plans &amp; Walkthrough Common Assessments Focus Assessments</p>												
<p><b>Algebra Goal #3B:</b></p> <p>Students across all subgroups will increase their proficiency by 10%.</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</th> <th>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>White: 50% 2</td> <td>100% of all students subgroups by ethnicity to make a learning gain</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Black: 50% 2</td> <td>Increase proficiency of all student subgroups by ethnicity by 10%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Hispanic: 0% 0</td> <td>:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Asian: 0% 0.00</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>American Indian: 0% 0</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	White: 50% 2	100% of all students subgroups by ethnicity to make a learning gain	Black: 50% 2	Increase proficiency of all student subgroups by ethnicity by 10%	Hispanic: 0% 0	:	Asian: 0% 0.00		American Indian: 0% 0						
2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*																	
White: 50% 2	100% of all students subgroups by ethnicity to make a learning gain																	
Black: 50% 2	Increase proficiency of all student subgroups by ethnicity by 10%																	
Hispanic: 0% 0	:																	
Asian: 0% 0.00																		
American Indian: 0% 0																		
						3B.2.												
						3B.3.												

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
<b>3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.</b>		5c.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5c.1. Differentiate Instruction	5c.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5c.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5c.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough	
<u>Algebra Goal #3C:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>						<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>
To increase by 10% the number of ELL students making AYP.	There were not enough students to make up a subgroup.						100% of ELL students to make a learning gain Increase proficiency of ELL students by 10%  Students in all math classes will continue to receive rigorous math instruction aligned to the NGSSS/Common Core State Standards
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	3C.2.	
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	3C.3.	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.</b>			5d.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5d.1. Differentiate Instruction	5d.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5d.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5d.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
<u>Algebra Goal #3D:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
To increase by 10% the number of students making AYP across all subgroups.	N/A	100% of all SWD students to make a learning gain					
		Increase proficiency of SWD students by 10%  Students in all math classes will continue to receive rigorous math instruction aligned to the NGSSS/Common Core State Standards					
			5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	3D.2.
			5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	3D.3.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
<b>3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.</b>		5e.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5e.1. Differentiate Instruction	5e.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5e.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs.	5e.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough	
<u>Algebra Goal #3E:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>						<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>
To increase by 10% the number of Economically Disadvantaged students making AYP.	Not Available						100% of Economically Disadvantaged students to make a learning gain  Increase proficiency of Economically Disadvantaged students by 10%  Students in all math classes will continue to receive rigorous math instruction aligned to the NGSSS/Common Core State Standards

*End of Algebra EOC Goals*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Geometry End-of-Course Goals** *(this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Geometry EOC)*

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Geometry EOC Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry.</b>			1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.
<b>Geometry Goal #1:</b>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>	Students enter math courses not having achieved previous grade level proficiency.	Students receive in class differentiated instruction in alignment with the Common Core State Standards to help students meet math deficiencies.  Provide after school tutoring for struggling students	Math Department School Based Leadership Team (SBLT) Principal Fuller Geometry Instructors	Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
Improve the current level of performance by decreasing by 10% the number of students scoring at Level 1 and 2  Students will receive assistance to prepare them for the End of Course Exams	Geometry data is not available as requested.	Decrease level 1 and 2 students by 10%.					
			1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.
			Ample opportunities are not provided to engage students in discussions and activities that are on or above grade level.	Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies that align to the Common Core Standards.  Include a variety of assessments and checks for	AP who evaluates teacher	Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes	Walkthrough



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

			understanding to determine student mastery of goals, including use of the new district benchmark assessments.		*Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
		1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Geometry.</b>			2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	2b.1. Determine: * Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction * Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning * Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle * Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points	2b1. Walkthrough
<b>Geometry Goal #2:</b>  To increase the number of students scoring at Levels 4 and 5 by 5%.  Students will receive assistance to prepare them for the End of Course Exams	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Not Available	Increase level 4 and 5 by 5%					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target		2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
<b>3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.</b>	<b>Baseline data 2010-2011</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Geometry Goal #3A:</u> Provide opportunities to reduce the achievement gap through Extended Learning Programs, increased Nova Net curriculum, Summer School and on-site tutoring.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
<b>3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.</b>		5b.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian: Lack of differentiation of instruction	5b.1. Differentiate Instruction	5b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided	5b.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough	
<u>Geometry Goal #3B:</u> To increase by 10% all students across all subgroups will making AYP. Students will receive assistance to prepare them for the End of Course Exams	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u> No subgroups to report	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u> 100% of all student subgroups to make a learning gain Increase proficiency of all student subgroups by 10% :					

June 2012  
Rule 6A-1.099811  
Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

						opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
							3B.2.
			5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	3B.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.</b>			5c.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5c.1. Differentiate Instruction	5c.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5c.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5c.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
<u>Geometry Goal #3C:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
To increase by 10% the number of ELL students making AYP.  Students will receive assistance to prepare them for the End of Course Exams	Current level of performance not available.	100% of ELL students to make a learning gain  Increase proficiency of of ELL students by 10%					
			5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	3C.2.

June 2012  
 Rule 6A-1.099811  
 Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	3C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
<b>3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.</b>		5d.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5d.1. Differentiate Instruction	5d.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5d.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5d.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough	
<u>Geometry Goal #3D:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>						<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>
To increase by 10% the number of students making AYP across all subgroups.  Students will receive assistance to prepare them for the End of Course Exams	N/A						100% of SWD students to make a learning gain  Increase proficiency of SWD students by 10%
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	3D.2.	
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	3D.3.	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
<b>3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.</b>		5e.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5e.1. Differentiate Instruction	5e.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5e.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5e.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough	
<u>Geometry Goal #3E:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>						<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>
The percentage of students making learning gains will increase by 6% across all categories.  Students will receive assistance to prepare them for the End of Course Exams	N/A						100% of Economically Disadvantaged students to make a learning gain  Increase proficiency of Economically Disadvantaged students by 10%
		5E.2	5E.2	5E.2	5E.2	3E.2.	
		5E.3	5E.3	5E.3		3E.3	

*End of Geometry EOC Goals*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Mathematics Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities</b>						
Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Work with district math supervisor to align the district's pacing guides to quarter system	Math	Math department chair P. Bodine	All math teachers	Monthly	Walkthroughs	Principal Fuller
Work with supervisors to prepare students for EOC exams	Math	Math department chair P. Bodine	All math teachers	Monthly	Walkthroughs, baseline data collected this year	Principal Fuller
Ongoing professional development related to the Common Core State Standards	Math	Math department chair P. Bodine	All math teachers	Monthly	Continuous training of all teachers in Common Core State Standards	Dept. Chair Bodine/ Administration

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Mathematics Budget**(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Provide additional support for struggling students	After school Extended Learning Program	District Allocation	\$2,500.00
			<b>Subtotal: \$2,500.00</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Collaborate with subject area supervisors to incorporate Common Core State Standards across all subject areas.	Release time	Special District allocation	\$2,000.00
			<b>Subtotal: \$2,000.00</b>
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
			<b>Total: \$4,500.00</b>

*End of Mathematics Goals*



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

High School Science Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Level 4, 5, and 6 in science.</b>			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
<u>Science Goal #1:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
N/A	#N/A	Decrease level 1,2, and 3					
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected	1a.2. Walkthrough

June 2012  
Rule 6A-1.099811  
Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

					<p>outcomes                  *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc.                  *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur</p>	
		1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher	<p>1a.3. Evidence of:                  Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks                  The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks                  Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks</p>	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.</b>			2.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2.1. AP who evaluates teacher	2.1. Determine: * Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction * Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning * Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle * Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points	2.1. Walkthrough
<b>Science Goal #2:</b>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
N/A	#N/A	Increase the level 7 by 5%					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
			2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3

*End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Biology 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals** *(this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Biology I EOC)*

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Biology EOC Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Biology.</b>			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
<b>Biology Goal #1:</b>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
To increase the number of students scoring at Level 3 or above on the Biology 1 EOC by 6%.  Students will receive assistance to prepare them for the End of Course Exams	N/A	Decrease levels 1 and 2 by 10%					
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies aligned to the Common Core State Standards.	1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional	1a.2. Walkthrough

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

					objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
		1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology.</b>			2.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2.1. AP who evaluates teacher	2.1. Determine: * Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction * Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning * Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle * Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points	2.1. Walkthrough
<b>Biology Goal #2:</b>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
To increase the number of students scoring at Levels 4 and 5 by 5%.  Students will receive assistance to prepare them for the End of Course Exams	N/A	Increase level 4 and 5 by 5%					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
			2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3

*End of Biology EOC Goals*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Science Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b>						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Science District Wide Training	9-12	Fuller	All secondary science teachers	8/16/12	Common Assessments	Administrator Science Chair
Biometrics Conference	9-12	Detwiler	Science Department	9/25/12	Walkthroughs	Administrator
Bi-Weekly PLC's with Literacy Coach to infuse Common Core State Standards into lessons	All	Literacy Coach Ragland	All teachers	Bi-weekly	Walkthroughs	Administrators

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Science Budget**(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Hands-on Activities	Discretionary funds		\$495.00
Hydroponics Credit Recovery program	Grant Tutoring and transportation	Teach For Excellence Special District Allocation	\$1,000.00 \$2,500.00
			<b>Subtotal: \$3,995.00</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Gizmo's (virtual labs)			
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
District and state training supporting transition to Common Core State Standards.	Release time	Special allocation	\$2,000.00
			<b>Subtotal: \$2,000.00</b>
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
			<b>Total: \$5,995.00</b>

*End of Science Goals*



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Writing Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Writing Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1a. FCAT: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing.</b>			1a.1. Students come to Bayside with a deficiency in skill and confidence and are not on grade level initially.	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
<u>Writing Goal #1a:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
To increase the percentage of students scoring Level 3 or higher from 31% (5) to 36% (7) on the 2013 FCAT Writing Test.	Level 3: 31% (5)	Decrease level 1,2 and 3 students	1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by	1a.2. Walkthrough
	Level 4: 0% (0)						

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

					connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
		1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
<b>1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.</b>		1b.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1b.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1b.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential	1b.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
<b>Writing Goal #1b:</b>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>				
N/A	Level 4,5,6 #N/A Level 7,8,9 #N/A	Decrease level 1,2 and 3 students				

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

						question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	
			1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.
			1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.

*End of Writing Goals*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals** *(required in year 2013-2014)*

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

U.S. History EOC Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History.</b>			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
<b>U.S. History Goal #1:</b>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Establish baseline level of performance and transition students to EOC exams.	Not available	Improved from baseline					
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning,	1a.2. Walkthrough

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

					<p>lesson agenda and expected outcomes                  *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc.                  *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur</p>	
		<p>1a.3.                  Insufficient standard based instruction</p>	<p>1a.3.                  Increase instructional rigor</p>	<p>1a.3.                  AP who evaluates teacher</p>	<p>1a.3.                  Evidence of:                  Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks                  The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks                  Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks</p>	<p>1a.3.                  Walkthrough                  Teacher Appraisal                  Results</p>

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in U.S. History.</b>			2.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	2.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	2.1. AP who evaluates teacher	2.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	2.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
<u>U.S. History Goal #2:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Establish baseline level of performance and transition students to EOC exams.	Not available	Improved from baseline					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
			2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**U.S. History Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b>						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content / Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Continuing education to implement Common Core State Standards in classroom	11	Tracy Newman	All Social Science Instructors	Ongoing	Walkthrough / Teacher Evaluation	Fuller
DBQ (Document Based Questions) ; ongoing training	11	District	All Social Science Instructors	Ongoing	Walkthrough / Teacher Evaluation	Fuller

**U.S. History Budget**(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Classroom Activities	Discretionary funds		\$200.00
			<b>Subtotal: \$200.00</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
District and state training supporting transition to EOC's			\$2,000.00
District and state training supporting transition to Common Core State Standards			
			<b>Subtotal: \$2,000.00</b>

June 2012  
 Rule 6A-1.099811  
 Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
			<b>Total: \$2,200.00</b>

*End of U.S. History Goals*



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Attendance Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

<b>Attendance Goal(s)</b>			<b>Problem-solving Process to Increase Attendance</b>				
Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Attendance</b>			1.1. Lack of student engagement	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan	1.1. SBLT	1.1. Determine: Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Data-based monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly conducted	1.1. Decrease in Number of In-School Suspension Number of Students suspended In-School Number of out-of-school suspensions Number of Students suspended out-of-school Number of alternative bell assignments Number of students assigned to alternative bell schedule
<b>Attendance Goal #1:</b>	<b>2012 Current Attendance Rate:*</b>	<b>2013 Expected Attendance Rate:*</b>					
The number of students with excessive absences (greater than 10) will decrease from 413 to 372 during the 2012-13 school year.	64% (233)	74% (263)					
	<b>2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)</b>	<b>2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)</b>					
	413 (63%)	10% decrease from prior year					
	<b>2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)</b>	<b>2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)</b>					
	0	10% decrease from prior year					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b>						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Student Engagement PLC	All	Fuller	All	During Bi-weekly PLC's	Monitoring of attendance data	Fuller, Kretz

**Attendance Budget**(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Positive incentives for improvement in attendance	Supplies for G.A.M.E.	Unrestricted donations	\$2,300.00
	Gift Certificates, special events		\$500.00
			<b>Subtotal:\$2,800.00</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
			<b>Total: \$2,800.00</b>

*End of Attendance Goals*

June 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Suspension Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Suspension Goal(s)			Problem-solving Process to Decrease Suspension				
Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Suspension</b>			1.1. Lack of Student Engagement	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan	1.1. SBLT	1.1. Determine: Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Data-based monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly conducted	1.1. Decrease in Number of In-School Suspension Number of Students suspended In-School Number of out-of-school suspensions Number of Students suspended out-of-school Number of alternative bell assignments Number of students assigned to alternative bell schedule
<p><b>Suspension Goal #1:</b></p> <p>The total number of suspensions, both in and out of school will decrease by 10% during the 2012-13 school year.</p> <p>The total number of students receiving either in or out of school suspensions will decrease by 10% during the 2012-13 school year.</p> <p>The total number of black students receiving either in or out of school suspensions will decrease by 15% during the 2012-13 school year.</p>	<p>2012 Total Number of In-School Suspensions</p> <p>13% (85)</p>	<p>2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions</p> <p>10% decrease from prior year</p>	<p>The transient nature of our students is a challenge to the accurate recording of data. Our discipline data is not true Bayside data since when students enter Bayside, their previous disciplinary data is merged with our data.</p>				
	<p>2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School</p> <p>9% (59)</p>	<p>2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In-School</p> <p>10% decrease from prior year</p>					
	<p>2012 Number of Out-of-School Suspensions</p> <p>740</p>	<p>2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions</p> <p>10% decrease from prior year</p>					
	<p>2012 Total Number of Students Suspended Out-of-School</p> <p>176</p>	<p>2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out-of-School</p> <p>10% decrease from prior year</p>					
		1.2. Students come to Bayside with a history of school failure. They have received more attention for negative behaviors than positive behaviors	1.2. Expand a Reward /Recognition Program that identifies incentives so students learn positive incentives for appropriate behavior. Provide a campus monitor to provide visibility and	1.2. Administrators Fuller, Kretz, School Based Leadership Team	1.2. Reduction in the number of referrals, number of suspensions both in and out of school and number of students receiving them	1.2. Discipline data	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

			promote positive interactions with students.			
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

**Suspension Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b>						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Update on school expectations and positive incentive program	All	Fuller, Kretz	All School staff	Bi-weekly RtI	Monitoring of discipline data monthly and at semester	Assistant principal

**Suspension Budget**(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Provide positive incentives	Unrestricted Donations	Community Partners	\$300.00
			<b>Subtotal: \$300.00</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
	Release time, PLC time		
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Increase adult visibility to discourage student misbehavior	Campus monitor	Special district allocation	\$30,000.00
			<b>Subtotal: \$30,000.00</b>

June 2012  
 Rule 6A-1.099811  
 Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
<b>Total:</b>			<b>\$30,300.00</b>

*End of Suspension Goals*

**Dropout Prevention Goal(s)** Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)		Problem-solving Process to Dropout Prevention					
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
<b>1. Dropout Prevention</b> <b>Dropout Prevention Goal #1:</b> <i>*Please refer to the percentage of students who dropped out during the 2011-2012 school year.</i>		1.1. Students lack skills to plan for future aspirations and create educational goals	1.1. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1.1. Principal	1.1.	1.1. Walkthrough and teacher appraisal	
Increase the graduation rate and decrease the dropout rate at Bayside High and in Pinellas County	2012 Current Dropout Rate:* 5.6% (37)						2013 Expected Dropout Rate:* 10% decrease from prior year (34)
	2012 Current Graduation Rate:* N/A						2013 Expected Graduation Rate:* Improve rate from prior year
		1.2. Students enter Bayside with a history of poor school attendance and enrollment discontinuities	1.2. Provide Transition Coach to assist students new to Bayside and monitor their progress towards goals and personal responsibility.  Provide an extensive credit recovery program including NoveNet and after school enhanced learning program (ELP)	1.2. Administrators: Fuller, Kretz,  Nova Net and ELP teachers	1.2. Number of credits earned in credit recovery classes and through course modifications	1.2. Portal, credits earned	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Dropout Prevention Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b>						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

**Dropout Prevention Budget**(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Monitor Student Progress	Transition Coach position	Special district allocation	\$60,000.00
Extended Learning Program			
			<b>Subtotal: \$60,000.00</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

			<b>Subtotal:</b>
			<b>Total: \$60,000.00</b>

*End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)*

**Parent Involvement Goal(s)**

**Upload Option-**For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section.

**Online Template-** For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involvement Goal(s)		Problem-solving Process to Parent Involvement				
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Parent Involvement</b> <b>Parent Involvement Goal #1:</b> <i>*Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.</i>		1.1. Lack of frequent home-school communication in a variety of formats, and allows for families to support and supervise their child's educational progress	1.1. Provide frequent home-school communication in a variety of formats, and allows for families to support and supervise their child's educational progress	1.1. SBLT	1.1.	1.1.
Increase parental access to information concerning individual student progress.	<u>2012 Current level of Parent Involvement:*</u> 37% of parents used Parent Portal to monitor student progress	1.2. Disconnect between school and families. Because of the transient nature of our population, we have difficulty maintaining up to date parent contact information. Phone numbers and addresses change frequently.	1.2. Follow up with students after ConnectEd calls indicate a bad number or when mail is returned.  Remind students to provide accurate phone and address information through periodic morning announcements.  Encourage parent participation in Back to School Nights	1.2. Administrative support staff personnel: Afllitto  Media teacher: McIntosh  LCSW: McKilliop	1.2. Percentage of missed calls will improve;  Amount of parent contacts will increase.	1.2. ConnectEd Logs
	<u>2013 Expected level of Parent Involvement:*</u> 50% of parents will use Parent Portal to monitor student progress					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
--	--	------	------	------	------	------

**Parent Involvement Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b>						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

**Parent Involvement Budget**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

June 2012  
 Rule 6A-1.099811  
 Revised April 29, 2011



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

			<b>Subtotal:</b>
			<b>Total:</b>

*End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)*

**Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
STEM Goal #1:  N/A	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**STEM Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b>						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

**STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.

**Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)**

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**Subtotal:**

**Technology**

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**Subtotal:**

**Professional Development**

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**Subtotal:**

**Other**

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**Subtotal:**

**Total:**

*End of STEM Goal(s)*

June 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>CTE Goal #1:</b>  <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

**CTE Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

**CTE Budget**(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.

Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

			<b>Subtotal:</b>
<b>Technology</b>			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
<b>Professional Development</b>			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
<b>Other</b>			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
			<b>Total:</b>

*End of CTE Goal(s)*

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

**Additional Goal I Wellness (s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Additional Goal: Wellness</b>			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
<b>Additional Goal #1:</b>	<b>2012 Current Level :*</b>	<b>2013 Expected Level :*</b>					
Provide comprehensive supports for a healthier school environment by achieving Broonze Level status in all eight components of the selfreport Healthy Schools Inventory.	A Data Not yet meeting Bronze Level on Healthy Schools Inventory	A: Not yet meeting Bronze Level on Healthy Schools Inventory	A: Failure to form a Healthy School Team.	A: Complete Healthy Schools Program 6 Step Process online <a href="https://schools.healthiergeneration.org/">https://schools.healthiergeneration.org/</a>	A: Healthy School Team (school administrator, physical education teacher, cafeteria manager, health teacher/elementary classroom teachers (optional members – students, parents, school nurse)	A: Completion of 6 <sup>th</sup> Step of the Healthy School Program online (Celebrate Successes)	A: Healthy School Inventory (Evaluate Your School) online
	B Data: Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgram Data by school will be inserted here.	B Data: Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgram School will improve students' scores on one Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgram Assessment scores for selected by school.	B: Failure to assess students and upload Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgram data	B: Complete Pre and Post Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgram student assessments and upload data	B: physical education teachers	B: Compare Pre and Post Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgram student assessments results	B: Being Fit Matters Statistical Report (Portal)
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

--	--	--	--	--	--

**Additional Wellness Goals Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b>						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

**Additional Wellness Goal(s) Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

June 2012  
 Rule 6A-1.099811  
 Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

			<b>Subtotal:</b>
			<b>Total:</b>

**Additional Goal II Bradley MOU (s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

<b>Additional Goal(s)</b>			<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Additional Goal: Black Academic Achievement</b>			1.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	1.1. Differentiate Instruction	1.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	1.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
<u>Additional Goal #1:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level :*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level :*</u>					
Improve the level of academic achievement in reading and math by 10%	Reading: 0% (0.00)	All black students to make learning gains in reading and math					
	Math: (algebra) 50% (2)						
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
--	--	------	------	------	------	------

**Additional MOU Goals Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b>						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

**Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>Subtotal:</b>
<b>Total:</b>

**Additional Goal III Bradley MOU (s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

<b>Additional Goal(s)</b>			<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Additional Goal: Student Engagement for Black Students</b>			1.1. Lack of Student Engagement	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan	1.1. SBLT	1.1. Determine: Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Data-based monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly conducted	1.1. Decrease in Number of In-School Suspension Number of Students suspended In-School suspensions Number of out-of-school suspensions Number of Students suspended out-of-school Number of alternative bell assignments Number of students assigned to alternative bell schedule
<u>Additional Goal #1:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level :*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level :*</u>					
There will be an increase in black student engagement and a reduction in referrals by 10%.	<b>59%</b>	Decrease the percent of Black students receiving referrals, and Receiving in school and out of school suspensions					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Additional MOU II Goals Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b>						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

**Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>

**Additional Goal IV Bradley MOU (s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Additional Goal: Black graduation rate</b>			1.1. Lack of Student Engagement	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan	1.1. SBLT	1.1. Determine: Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Data-based monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly conducted	1.1. Increase in black graduation rate
Additional Goal #1:  There will be an increase in black student graduation rate	2012 Current Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*					
	N/A						
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Additional MOU Goals Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b>						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

**Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Other			

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
<b>Total:</b>			

**Additional Goal V Bradley MOU (s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

<b>Additional Goal(s)</b>			<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Additional Goal: Black advanced Coursework</b>			1.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	1.1. Differentiate Instruction	1.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	1.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough  Professional Development includes equity and cultural responsiveness
<b>Additional Goal #1:</b>  <b>There will be an increase percent of black students enrolled in rigorous advanced coursework</b>  <b>There will be an increase in performance of black students in rigorous advanced coursework</b>	<b>2012 Current Level :*</b>  Honors: #N/A (#N/A)  DE: #N/A (#N/A)  AP: #N/A (#N/A)	<b>2013 Expected Level :*</b>  Increase from prior year					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Additional MOU Goals Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b>						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

**Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Other			

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
			<b>Total:</b>

*End of Additional Goal(s)*

**Final Budget**(Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.	
<b>Reading Budget</b>	<b>Total:\$10,000.00</b>
<b>Mathematics Budget</b>	<b>Total:\$6,500.00</b>
<b>Science Budget</b>	<b>Total:\$5,995.00</b>
<b>Writing Budget</b>	<b>Total:\$4,074.00</b>
<b>Attendance Budget</b>	<b>Total:\$2,800.00</b>
<b>Suspension Budget</b>	<b>Total:\$30,300.00</b>
<b>Dropout Prevention Budget</b>	<b>Total:\$60,000.00</b>
<b>Parent Involvement Budget</b>	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Additional Goals</b>	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Grand Total: \$119,669.00</b>	

*End of Additional Goal(s)*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Final Budget**(Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.	
<b>Reading Budget</b>	<b>Total:</b>
<b>CELLA Budget</b>	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Mathematics Budget</b>	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Science Budget</b>	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Writing Budget</b>	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Civics Budget</b>	<b>Total:</b>
<b>U.S. History Budget</b>	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Attendance Budget</b>	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Suspension Budget</b>	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Dropout Prevention Budget</b>	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Parent Involvement Budget</b>	<b>Total:</b>
<b>STEM Budget</b>	<b>Total:</b>
<b>CTE Budget</b>	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Additional Goals</b>	<b>Total:</b>
	<b>Grand Total:</b>



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Differentiated Accountability**

**School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance**

Please choose the school’s DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under “Default value” header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an “x” in the box.)

School Differentiated Accountability Status		
<input type="checkbox"/> Priority	<input type="checkbox"/> Focus	<input type="checkbox"/> Prevent

- Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the *Upload* page

**School Advisory Council (SAC)**

*SAC Membership Compliance*

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting *Yes* or *No* below.

X Yes       No

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.

Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
No funds available.	\$0