

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**



Meadowlawn Middle School  
School Improvement Plan (SIP)  
Form SIP-1

2012-2013

## 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

### 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

#### PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

##### School Information

School Name: 2321.00 Meadowlawn Middle School	District Name: Pinellas County Schools
Principal: : Claudius B. Effiom	Superintendent: John A. Stewart Ed.D
SAC Chair: Deborah Whittemore	Date of School Board Approval: Pending: October 9, 2012

##### Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

[School Grades Trend Data](#) (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

[Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test \(FCAT\)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data](#) (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

[High School Feedback Report](#)

[K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan](#)

##### Administrators

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

June 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
Principal	Claudius B. Effiom	Masters in Educational Leadership	2	6	<p>Principal 2011-12 at Meadowlawn Middle School                      School Grade: C                      47% Mastery in Reading                      42% Mastery in Mathematics                      40% Mastery in Science                      70% Mastery in Writing                      56% Learning Gains in reading                      53% Learning Gains in math                      58% Learning Gains in reading of the lowest 25% and 50% in math</p> <p>Principal 2010-11 at Meadowlawn Middle School                      School Grade: C                      61% Mastery in Reading                      50% Mastery in Mathematics                      36% Mastery in Science                      81% Mastery in Writing                      55% Learning Gains in reading                      56% Learning Gains in math                      62% Learning Gains in reading of the lowest 25% and 58% in math</p> <p>No subgroup met APY</p> <p>Principal 2009-2010 at John Hopkins Middle School                      School Grade: C                      64% Mastery in Reading                      61% Mastery in Mathematics                      34% Mastery in Science                      93% Mastery in Writing                      AYP not met</p> <p>Acting Principal 2008-2009 at Kennedy Middle School                      School Grade: B</p>

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

					<p>60% in Reading          61% Mastery in Mathematics          35% Mastery in Science          98% Mastery in Writing          AYP met for each sub group but Students economically disadvantaged          Assistant Principal 2007-2008 at Safety Harbor Middle School          School Grade: A          Assistant Principal 2007-2008 at Kennedy Middle School          School Grade: B          61% Mastery in Reading          59% Mastery in Mathematics          31% Mastery in Science          88% Mastery in Writing          AYP met for all subgroups but students economically disadvantaged          Assistant Principal 2006-2007 at Kennedy Middle School          School Grade: B          88% Mastery in Reading          86% Mastery in Mathematics          84% Mastery in Writing          AYP not met          Assistant Principal 2005-2006 at Kennedy Middle School          School Grade :B</p>
Assistant Principal	Carolyn Underwood	Masters in Educational Leadership	2	6	<p>Assistant Principal 2011-12 at Meadowlawn Middle School          School Grade: C          47% Mastery in Reading          42% Mastery in Mathematics          40% Mastery in Science          70% Mastery in Writing          56% Learning Gains in reading          53% Learning Gains in math</p>

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

					<p>58% Learning Gains in reading of the lowest 25% and 50% in math  Assistant Principal 2010-2011 at Meadowlawn Middle School  School Grade: C  61% Mastery in Reading  50% Mastery in Mathematics  36% Mastery in Science  81% Mastery in Writing  55% Learning Gains in reading  56% Learning Gains in math  62% Learning Gains in reading of the lowest 25% and 58% in mathematics  No subgroup made APY  Assistant Principal 2009-2010 at Clearwater Fundamental Middle School  School Grade: A  88% Mastery in Reading  86% Mastery in Mathematics  61% Mastery in Science  98% Mastery in Writing  Met AYP for all subgroups but students economically disadvantaged  Assistant Principal 2008-2009 at Coachman Fundamental Middle School.  School Grade: A  88% Mastery in Reading  86% Mastery in Mathematics  65% Mastery in Science  100% Mastery in Writing  AYP made met for all subgroups but African American students in Science  Assistant Principal 2007-2008 at Coachman Fundamental Middle School</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

					<p>School Grade: B              87% Mastery in Reading              85% Mastery in Mathematics              69% Mastery in Science              99% Mastery in Writing              AYP Met              Assistant Principal 2006-2007 at Coachman Fundamental Middle School              School Grade: A              87% Mastery in Reading              86% Mastery in Mathematics              70% Mastery in Science              98% Mastery in Writing              Met AYP</p>
Assistant Principal	Melissa Athanson	Masters in Educational Leadership	3	3	<p>Assistant Principal 2011-12 at Meadowlawn Middle School              School Grade: C              47% Mastery in Reading              42% Mastery in Mathematics              40% Mastery in Science              70% Mastery in Writing              56% Learning Gains in reading              53% Learning Gains in math              58% Learning Gains in reading of the lowest 25% and 50% in math              Assistant Principal 2010-2011 at Meadowlawn Middle School              School Grade: C              61% Mastery in Reading              50% Mastery in Mathematics              36% Mastery in Science              81% Mastery in Writing              55% Learning Gains in Reading</p>

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

					<p>56% Learning Gains in Math          62% Learning Gains in reading of the lowest 25% and          58% in math          No subgroup made AYP          Assistant Principal 2009-2010          at Meadowlawn Middle School          School Grade C          66% Mastery in Reading          54% Mastery in Mathematics          33% Mastery in Science          99% Mastery in Writing          No subgroup made AYP</p>
Assistant Principal	Kristy Therrien	Masters in Educational Leadership	1	1	<p>Assistant Principal 2011-12 at Meadowlawn Middle          School          School Grade: C          47% Mastery in Reading          42% Mastery in Mathematics          40% Mastery in Science          70% Mastery in Writing          56% Learning Gains in reading          53% Learning Gains in math          58% Learning Gains in reading of the lowest 25% and          50% in math          Reading Coach 2010-2011 Northeast High School          42% Mastery in Reading          67% Mastery in Mathematics          30% Mastery in Science          81% Mastery in Writing          48% Learning Gains in reading          71% Learning Gains in math          46% Learning Gains in reading of the lowest 25% and          56% in math</p>

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Instructional Coaches**

List your school’s instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Reading	Roseann Izzo	Bachelor of Arts in Elem. Ed, Sp. Lrng. Dis., Ment. Hand., Reading Endorsed	1	3	Meadowlawn 2011-C Dunedin High-2011-B Dunedin High-2010-B

**Highly Effective Teachers**

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date
1. Developed interviewing questions to align with mission/vision	Administrators/PLC Leaders	On going
2. Pair new teachers with/mentor/buddy	APC	On going
3. Give feedback regularly on performance	Administrators	On going
4. Avoid giving new teachers more than one prep if possible	APC	On going



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

***Non-Highly Effective Instructors***

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who are NOT highly effective.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out-of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
Three	Regular meetings to give feedback Recommending specific courses to take

***Staff Demographics***

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
68	4	14	28	22	19		19	4	33

***Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan***

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Please describe the school’s teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Robert Wagner	Makra Brannon	Subject area, Leadership skills	Observation of mentee’s instruction and providing feedback; Planning lessons with mentee; Connecting lesson activities to content standards; Discussing student progress and analyzing student work; Modeling or co-teaching lessons
Jessica Scott	Robin Brown	Location, Experience	
Jocee Kostka	Cynthia McDonald	Location, Subject area	
Crispin Cole	Jessica Scott	Location, Experience	

**Additional Requirements**

***Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only***

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

<p>Title I, Part A</p> <p>Title I, Part A funds are utilized, in conjunction with district operating funds and other federal resources, to support teaching and learning, parental engagement, and professional development. Title I services are coordinated and integrated with other resources through the Division of Teaching and Learning, Student Assignment, and Research and Accountability.</p>
<p>Title I, Part C- Migrant</p> <p>NA in Pinellas</p>
<p>Title I, Part D</p> <p>The district receives Title I, Part D funds which provide transition services from alternative education programs to zoned schools. In addition, a portion of Title I, Part A funds is reserved for services to neglected and delinquent students. Funds are targeted to support continuous education</p>

## 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

services to students in neglected and delinquent facilities through tutoring, instructional materials and resources, and technology.

### Title II

The district receives funds to increase student achievement through professional development for teachers and administrators. Title II funds provide math and science coaches, as required by Differentiated Accountability, in some of the district's lowest performing schools. A portion of Title I, Part A funds is used to provide additional reading and math coaches in targeted schools based on FCAT results.

### Title III

Title III funds provide educational materials, bilingual translators, summer programs, and other support services to improve the education of immigrant and English Language Learners. Bilingual translators provide assistance with parent workshops and dissemination of information in various languages for Title I schools.

### Title X- Homeless

The district receives funds to provide resources for students for students identified as homeless under the McKinney-Vento Act to eliminate barriers for a free and appropriate education. A portion of Title I, Part A funds is also reserved to provide services to homeless students (social workers, a resource teacher, tutoring, and technology).

### Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

SAI funds are coordinated with Title I, Part A funds to provide extended learning opportunities for students before/during/after school and during the summer.

### Violence Prevention Programs

### Nutrition Programs

Title I coordinates with district food services to provide breakfast and lunch to students in Title I summer extended learning camps.

### Housing Programs

### Head Start

Title I, Part A funds are used to provide Pre-Kindergarten to Kindergarten transition services. Title I schools coordinate with staff from public and private preschool programs, including Head Start, to prepare students for a successful start to school. A portion of Title I, Part A funds is used to provide classes for 3 year olds at targeted elementary schools to support early literacy.

### Adult Education

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Career and Technical Education
Job Training
Other
<b><i>Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)</i></b> School-Based MTSS/RtI Team
Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team. Principal, Assistant Principals, Guidance Counselors, Social Worker, Psychologist, Behavior Specialist, Department PLC Leaders, Team Leaders, AVID Coordinator
Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts? -Facilitator – generates agenda and leads team discussions -Data Manager(s)/Data Coach(es) – assist team in accessing and interpreting (aggregating/disaggregating) the data -Technology Specialist – brokers technology necessary to manage and display data -Recorder/Note Taker – documents meeting content and disseminates to team members in a timely manner as well as storing a hard copy in a binder for all teachers to access -Time Keeper –helps team begin on time and ensures adherence to agreed upon agenda  Meeting time: One per month on Wednesday at 3:10 PM in the media center
Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP? Instructional, administrative and support staff gave input or work on specific areas related on the school improvement plan, RtI meets monthly by grade level to problem solve and discuss and provide services for identified students.
<b>MTSS Implementation</b>
Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior. FCAT data is broken down by students who made gains, did not make gains, stayed the same level of 1 or 2 but made jumps developmentally. A weekly discipline report is given to faculty to problem solve on interventions. FAIR data and reading sorter is used to make academic placements
Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS. Through PLC and professional development training
Describe the plan to support MTSS. Assign roles and calendar and consistently meet to improve the process

June 2012  
Rule 6A-1.099811  
Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

***Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)***

**School-Based Literacy Leadership Team**

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Bernard, Bigham, Brachmann, Bringas, Herman, Hess, Izzo, Jacobs, McSorley, Owen, Rankin, Rexroad, Pabalan, Thomas, Zitelli, Therrien, Underwood, Effiom

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

Literacy Leadership Teams create capacity of reading knowledge within the school by focusing on the following areas of literacy concern:

Support for text complexity

Support for instructional skills to improve reading comprehension

- Use of collaborative structures including reciprocal teaching.
- Providing scaffolding that does not preempt or replace text reading by students
- Developing and asking text dependent questions from a range of question types
- Emphasizing students supporting their answers based upon evidence from the text
- Providing extensive research and writing opportunities (claims and evidence)

Support for implementation of Common Core State Standards for Literacy in Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects (a focus on text, task, and instruction).

Support for researched based best practices. GRRM

Support of the Standard based instruction through the use of common board configuration

The team will meet after school on the first Wednesday of the month. They will provide professional development to their content area peers at PLC meetings.

The district will provide training and tools for Literacy Leadership Teams.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

Support for common board configuration

Support for planning lessons using the GRRM.

Support for use of collaborative structures including reciprocal reading

Support for implementation of Common Core State Standards for Literacy in Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects

**June 2012**

**Rule 6A-1.099811**

**Revised April 29, 2011**

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Public School Choice**

- **Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification**

*Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the “Upload” page.*

**\*Grades 6-12 Only** Sec. 1003.413 (2)(b) F.S

For schools with grades 6-12, how does the school ensure that every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student?

- The school Literacy Leadership Team is established to grow the use of literacy strategies in all disciplines. The Team is composed of a cross section of the faculty that act as liaisons to help grow department wide literacy strategies in all classrooms
- The school has a Student Literacy Team that assists in the development and implementation of classroom literacy strategies.
- Teacher evaluations include a provision for teaching reading strategies to students. The teacher summative evaluation, in most cases, uses reading data as a portion of teacher performance.

**PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS**

**Reading Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Reading Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1a.FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading.</b>			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion	1a.1. Walkthroughs Lesson Plans
Reading Goal #1a:	2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:					
Improve current level of							

June 2012  
 Rule 6A-1.099811  
 Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

performance	24% 276	Decrease level 1&2 from 53% To 43%		through use of a common board configuration.		of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies. Teacher will deliver lesson using the GRRM.	1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional	1a.2. Walkthrough Lesson Plans

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

					objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
		1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor through use of collaborative structures including reciprocal teaching.	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.</b>			1b.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1b.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies. Teachers will develop lessons using GRRM.	1b.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1b.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes aligned to access points when appropriate *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	1b.2. Walkthrough Lesson plans
Reading Goal #1b: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	26% 5	Decrease level 1,2,3					
			1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.
			1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>2a.FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in reading.</b>			2a.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2a.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction and implement collaborative structures including reciprocal teaching to increase student ownership of learning.	2a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	2a.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students’ readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students’ learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students	2a.1. Walkthrough Lesson plans
<u>Reading Goal #2a:</u> Improve current level of performance	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u> 21% 236	<u>2013Expected Level of Performance:*</u> Increase level 4 and 5 by 5%					
			2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

			2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3
<p><b>2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in reading.</b></p>			2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.
<p><u>Reading Goal #2b:</u></p> <p>Improve current level of performance</p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></p> <p>41%</p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></p> <p>Increase level 7 by 5%</p>	<p>Lack of differentiation of instruction</p>	<p>Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction</p>	<p>AP who evaluates teacher</p>	<p>Determine:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>*Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction</li> <li>*Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning</li> <li>*Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle</li> <li>*Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points</li> </ul>	<p>Walkthrough</p>
			2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

		2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.</b>		3a.1. Lack of student engagement	3a.1. Implement collaborative structures including reciprocal teaching	3a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	3a.1. *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students’ learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are	3a.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results IPI data when available State instructional walkthrough when applicable
Reading Goal #3a: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance: * pending	2013 Expected Level of Performance: * 100%				

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

						flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
			3a.2.	3a.2 Plan all lessons using the GRRM.	3a.2.	3a.2.*Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	3a.2.
			3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.
<b>3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.</b>			3b.1. Lack of student engagement	3b.1. Differentiate Instruction	3b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	3b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and	3b.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results  IPI data when available  State instructional walkthrough when applicable
Reading Goal #3b:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Improve current level of performance	26% 5	100%					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

					<p>questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs.                  *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments                  *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.</p>		
			3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.
			3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>4a.FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.</b>			4a.1. Lack of differentiation	4a.1. Differentiate Instruction	4a.1. AP who evaluates	4a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student	4a.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<p><u>Reading Goal #4a:</u> Improve current level of performance</p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u> 58%</p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u> 100%</p>	<p>of instruction</p>		<p>teacher</p>	<p>interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.</p>	
			<p>4a.2. Insufficient intervention supports exist to address the varying needs of students across academic and</p>	<p>4a.2. Create interventions that support core instructional goals and objectives</p>	<p>4a.2. SBLT</p>	<p>4a.2. *SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses *Reading Intervention teachers and core content area teachers communicate regularly *Core content materials and subject matter are integrated</p>	<p>4a.2. Lesson Plans &amp; Walkthroughs</p>

June 2012  
Rule 6A-1.099811  
Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

			engagement areas			within intervention courses *Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes *Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers *Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses	
			4a.3	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.
<b>4b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.</b>			4b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	4b.1. Differentiate Instruction	4b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	4b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs.	4b.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Reading Goal #4b:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Improve current level of performance	pending	100%					



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

						<p>*These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments</p> <p>*Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.</p>	
			<p>4b.2. Insufficient intervention supports exist to address the varying needs of students across academic and engagement areas</p>	<p>4b.2. Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives</p>	<p>4ab.2. SBLT</p>	<p>4b.2. *SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses *Intervention and core teachers communicate and plan together regularly *Intervention curriculum is aligned with core instructional goals/objectives *Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within intervention courses *Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes *Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers *Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses</p>	<p>4b.2. Evidence of core teachers and intervention teachers communicating and planning; Lesson Plans &amp; Walkthroughs</p>
			4b.3	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target		<b>2011-2012</b>	<b>2012-2013</b>	<b>2013-2014</b>	<b>2014-2015</b>	<b>2015-2016</b>	<b>2016-2017</b>
<b>5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.</b> Baseline data 2010-2011 58	65	72	79	86	93	100	
Reading Goal #5A:  <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading.</b>	5b.1. White: Black: Hispanic:	5b.1. Differentiate Instruction	5b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background,	5b.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<p><u>Reading Goal #5B:</u> Improve current level of performance</p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u> White:74% 377 Black: 6% 30.00 Hispanic: 9% 44.00 Asian: 7% 37.00 American Indian: 0% 2.00</p>	<p><u>2013Expected Level of Performance:*</u> 100% of all subgroups to make a learning gain  Increase proficiency of all subgroups by 10%</p>	<p>Asian: American Indian: Lack of differentiation of instruction</p>			<p>prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.</p>	
				5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.
<p>Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of</p>			<p>Anticipated Barrier</p>	<p>Strategy</p>	<p>Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring</p>	<p>Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy</p>	<p>Evaluation Tool</p>

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

improvement for the following subgroup:							
<b>5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading.</b>			5c.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5c.1. Differentiate Instruction	5c.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5c.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5c.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
<u>Reading Goal #5C:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Improve current level of performance	Pending	100% of ELL students to make a learning gain An increase in proficiency by 10%					
			5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.
			5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool						
<p><b>5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading.</b></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="79 483 571 1391"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="79 483 317 743">Reading Goal #5D: Improve current level of performance</th> <th data-bbox="317 483 422 743">2012 Current Level of Performance: *</th> <th data-bbox="422 483 571 743">2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="79 743 317 1391"></td> <td data-bbox="317 743 422 1391">20%</td> <td data-bbox="422 743 571 1391">100% of all SWD students to make a learning gain An increase in proficiency by 10%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Reading Goal #5D: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance: *	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*		20%	100% of all SWD students to make a learning gain An increase in proficiency by 10%	5d.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5d.1. Differentiate Instruction	5d.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5d.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5d.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Reading Goal #5D: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance: *	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*									
	20%	100% of all SWD students to make a learning gain An increase in proficiency by 10%									

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.				
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool				
<b>5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.</b>		5e.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5e.1. Differentiate Instruction	5e.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5e.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are	5e.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough				
<u>Reading Goal</u> #5E: Improve current level of performance	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>2012 Current Level of Performance: *</td> <td>2013 Expected Level of Performance: *</td> </tr> <tr> <td>41%</td> <td>100% of economically disadvantaged students will learning gain</td> </tr> </table>	2012 Current Level of Performance: *	2013 Expected Level of Performance: *	41%	100% of economically disadvantaged students will learning gain					
2012 Current Level of Performance: *	2013 Expected Level of Performance: *									
41%	100% of economically disadvantaged students will learning gain									

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

	An increase in proficiency by 10%				flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
		5E.2.	5E.2	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.
		5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3

**Reading Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities</b>						
Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Common Board Configuration	6-8 All Content Areas	Literacy Team Member	All content and Grade Level PLCs	9/12/12; 9/19/12; 9/26/12	LLT walkthroughs, Administrative walkthroughs, and peer to peer observations	Administrator responsible for Reading/LA
Gradual Release of Responsibility	6-8 All Content Areas	Literacy Team Member	All content and Grade Level PLCs	10/3/12; 10/10/12; 10/17/12; 10/24/12	LLT walkthroughs, Administrative walkthroughs, and peer to peer observations	Administrator responsible for Reading/LA
Collaborative Structures/ Reciprocal Teaching	6-8 All Content Areas	Literacy Team Member	All content and Grade Level PLCs		LLT walkthroughs, Administrative walkthroughs, and peer to peer observations	Administrator responsible for Reading/LA

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Reading Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.

**Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)**

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**Subtotal:**

**Technology**

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**Subtotal:**

**Professional Development**

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Common Board Configuration	Training Materials	SAC	\$100
Gradual Release of Responsibility	Training Materials	SAC	\$150

**Subtotal:\$250**

**Other**

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**Subtotal:**

**Total:\$250**

*End of Reading Goals*



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CELLA Goals		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition				
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Students scoring proficient in Listening/Speaking.</b>		1.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	1.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	1.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust	1.1. Walkthrough
<u>CELLA Goal #1:</u>	<u>2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking:</u>					
Improve current level of performance	68% 15					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

					teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students	
		2.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2.1. Differentiate Instruction	2.1. AP who evaluates teacher	2.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and	2.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

					understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>2. Students scoring proficient in Reading.</b>		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
CELLA Goal #2:	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading :	Insufficient standard based instruction	Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	AP who evaluates teacher	Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback;	Walkthrough
Improve current level of performance	23% 5					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

					and Independent Practice occur	
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3
Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>3. Students scoring proficient in Writing.</b>		3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.
CELLA Goal #3:	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing :	Insufficient standard based instruction	Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	AP who evaluates teacher	Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring	Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
Improve current level of performance	32% 7					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

					back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3

**CELLA Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
			<b>Total:</b>

*End of CELLA Goals*

**Middle School Mathematics Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Middle School Mathematics Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrie	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1a.FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.</b>			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
Mathematics Goal #1a: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance: 25% 277	2013 Expected Level of Performance: Decrease in level 1 and 2 from 59% to 49%					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

						<p>class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question</p> <p>*Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question</p> <p>*Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it</p> <p>*Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson</p>	
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher	<p>1a.2. Determine:</p> <p>*Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes</p> <p>*Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc.</p> <p>*Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction;</p>	1a.2. Walkthrough

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

					Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
		1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
<b>1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.</b>		1b.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1b.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1b.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1b.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by	1b.2. Walkthrough
Mathematics Goal #1b:	2012 Current	2013 Expected Level of				



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Improve current level of performance	<u>Level of Performance:</u> *	<u>Performance:</u> *				specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes aligned to access points when appropriate	
	64%	Decrease in level 1,2 and 3				*Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
			1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.
			1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>2a.FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in mathematics.</b>			2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	2b.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students’ readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students’ learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points	2b.1. Walkthrough
Mathematics Goal #2a:  Improve current level of performance	<u>2012</u> <u>Current Level of Performance:</u> 15% 172	<u>2013Expected Level of Performance:</u> Increase level 4 and 5 by 5%					
			2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	
<b>2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.</b>			2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	2b.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust	2b1. Walkthrough
<b>Mathematics Goal #2b:</b> Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance: 14%	2013Expected Level of Performance: Increase level 7 by 5%					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

						teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points	
			2b.2.	2b2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.
			2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.</b>			3a.1. Lack of student engagement	3a.1. Differentiate Instruction	3a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	3a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs)	3a.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results IPI data when available State instructional walkthrough when applicable
Mathematics Goal #3a:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Improve current level of performance	51% 530	100% of students will make learning gains					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

						<p>*Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.</p>	
			3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.
			3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a..3.	3a.3.
<p><b>3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.</b></p>			3b.1. Lack of student engagement	3b.1. Differentiate Instruction	3b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	3b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior	3b.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results
Mathematics	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013Expe</u>					
Goal #3b:	Current	cted Level					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Improve current level of performance	Level of Performance: <sup>*</sup>	of Performance: <sup>*</sup>				knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	IPI data when available  State instructional walkthrough when applicable
	37% 7	100% of students will make learning gains					
			3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.
			3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool						
<p><b>4a.FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.</b></p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Mathematics Goal #4a:</th> <th>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</th> <th>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Improve current level of performance</td> <td>50%</td> <td>100% of students will make learning gains</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Mathematics Goal #4a:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	Improve current level of performance	50%	100% of students will make learning gains	4a.1. Lack of student engagement	4a.1. Differentiate Instruction	4a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	4a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and	4a.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results  IPI data when available  State instructional walkthrough when applicable
Mathematics Goal #4a:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*											
Improve current level of performance	50%	100% of students will make learning gains											

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

						<p>assessments                  *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.</p>	
			<p>4a.2.                  Insufficient intervention supports exist to address the varying needs of students across academic and engagement areas</p>	<p>4a.2.                  Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives</p>	<p>4a.2.                  SBLT</p>	<p>4a.2.                  *SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses                  *Intervention and core teachers communicate and plan together regularly                  *Intervention curriculum is aligned with core instructional goals/objectives                  *Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within intervention courses                  *Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes                  *Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers                  *Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing</p>	<p>4a.2.                  Evidence of core teachers and intervention teachers communicating and planning;                  Lesson Plans &amp; Walkthroughs</p>



2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

					student success in core courses	
			4a.3	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.
<b>4b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.</b>			4b.1. Lack of student engagement	4b.1. Differentiate Instruction	4b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	4b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments
<u>Mathematics</u> <b>Goal #4b:</b> Improve current level of performance	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:</u> pending	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:</u> 100% of students will make learning gains				School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results IPI data when available State instructional walkthrough when applicable

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

						*Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
			4b.2. Insufficient intervention supports exist to address the varying needs of students across academic and engagement areas	4b.2. Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives	4b.2. SBLT	4b.2. *SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses *Intervention and core teachers communicate and plan together regularly *Intervention curriculum is aligned with core instructional goals/objectives *Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within intervention courses *Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes *Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers *Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core	4b.2. Evidence of core teachers and intervention teachers communicating and planning; Lesson Plans & Walkthroughs

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

					courses		
		4b.3	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target		<b>2011-2012</b>	<b>2012-2013</b>	<b>2013-2014</b>	<b>2014-2015</b>	<b>2015-2016</b>	<b>2016-2017</b>
<b>5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.</b>	<b>Baseline data 2010-2011</b>  48.00	52	57	61	65	70	74
<u>Mathematics Goal #5A:</u>  Improve current level of performance							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity</b> (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) <b>not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.</b>			5b.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian: Lack of differentiation of instruction	5b.1. Differentiate Instruction	5b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5b.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Mathematics Goal #5B: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance: White: 75% 338 Black: 4% 20 Hispanic: 8% 37 Asian: 9% 40 American Indian: 0% 2	2013 Expected Level of Performance: 100% of all subgroups to make a learning gain Increase proficiency of all subgroups by 10%					
5B.2.							

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

5B.3.			5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.</b>			5c.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5c.1. Differentiate Instruction	5c.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5c.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided	5c.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
<u>Mathematics Goal #5C:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Improve current level of performance	Pending	100% of ELL students to make a learning gain  Increase proficiency of ELL students by 10%					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

						opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
			5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.
			5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD)not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.</b>			5d.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5d.1. Differentiate Instruction	5d.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5d.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group	5d.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Mathematics Goal	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>					
#5D:	<u>Current</u>	<u>Expected</u>					
Improve current level of performance	<u>Level of Performance:</u>	<u>Level of Performance:</u>					
	pending	100% of SWD students to make a learning gain					
		Increase proficiency of SWD students					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

		by 10%				instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
			5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.
			5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.</b>			5e.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5e.1. Differentiate Instruction	5e.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5e.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and	5e.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Mathematics Goal #5E:	2012 Current Level of	2013Expected Level of					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Improve current level of performance	<u>Performance:*</u>	<u>Performance:*</u>				skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
	35% 260	100% of Economically Disadvantaged students to make a learning gain  Increase proficiency of Economically Disadvantaged students by 10%					
			5E.2	5E.2	5E.2	5E.2	5E.2.
			5E.3	5E.3	5E.3		5E.3

*End of Middle School Mathematics Goals*



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Algebra 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals** (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Algebra I EOC)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Algebra EOC Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra.</b>			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
<u>Algebra Goal #1:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:</u> *	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:</u> *					
Improve current level of performance	47% 45	Decrease level 1 and 2					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

						referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and	1a.2. Walkthrough

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

					Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
		1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

improvement for the following group:						Strategy	
<b>2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Algebra.</b>			2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	2b.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points	2b.1. Walkthrough
<u>Algebra Goal #2:</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013Expected</u>					
Improve current level of performance	<u>Current</u>	<u>Level of</u>					
	<u>Performance</u>	<u>Performance:*</u>					
	44%	Increase level					
	42	4 and 5 by 5%					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target		<b>2011-2012</b>	<b>2012-2013</b>	<b>2013-2014</b>	<b>2014-2015</b>	<b>2015-2016</b>	<b>2016-2017</b>
<b>3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.</b>	<b>Baseline data 2010-2011</b>  <u>92%</u>	92%	93%	95%	97%	98%	100%
<u>Algebra Goal #3A:</u>  Improve current level of performance							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
<b>3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.</b>		5b.1.  Lack of differentiation of instruction	5b.1. Differentiate Instruction	5b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level	5b.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough	
<u>Algebra Goal #3B:</u>  Improve current level of	<u>2012 Current Level of</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance</u>					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

performance	Performance: e:*	e:*				*Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
	White:	100% of all students					
	Black:	subgroups by ethnicity					
	Hispanic:	to make a learning gain					
	Asian:	gain					
	American Indian:	Increase proficiency of all student subgroups by ethnicity by 10%					
			5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	3B.2.
							3B.3.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.</b>			5c.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5c.1. Differentiate Instruction	5c.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5c.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are	5c.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
<u>Algebra Goal #3C:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:</u>					
Improve current level of performance	pending	100% of ELL students to make a learning gain  Increase proficiency of ELL students by 10%					

June 2012  
 Rule 6A-1.099811  
 Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

						provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
			5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	3C.2.
			5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	3C.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.</b>			5d.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5d.1. Differentiate Instruction	5d.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5d.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers	5d.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Algebra Goal #3D:  Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performanc e:*	2013 Expected Level of Performanc e:*					
	pending	100% of all SWD students to make a learning gain  Increase proficiency of SWD students by 10%					



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

						provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
			5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	3D.2.
			5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	3D.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.</b>			5e.1. Lack of	5e.1. Differentiate	5e.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5e.1. Content	5e.1. Lesson Plans &

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

			differentiation of instruction	Instruction		materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners	Walkthrough
<u>Algebra Goal #3E:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:</u>					
Improve current level of performance	pending	100% of Economically Disadvantaged students to make a learning gain  Increase proficiency of Economically Disadvantaged students by 10%					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

						<p>*Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs.                  *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments                  *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.</p>	
			5E.2	5E.2	5E.2	5E.2	3E.2.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

		5E.3	5E.3	5E.3		3E.3
--	--	------	------	------	--	------

*End of Algebra EOC Goals*

**Geometry End-of-Course Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Geometry EOC Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry.</b>			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
Geometry Goal #1: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance e:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
		Decrease level 1 and 2 students					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

						<p>the essential question</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>*Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question</li> <li>*Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it</li> <li>*Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson</li> </ul>	
		1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>*Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes</li> <li>*Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc.</li> </ul>	1a.2. Walkthrough	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

					*Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
		1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Geometry.</b>			2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	2b.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students’ readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students’ learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points	2b.1. Walkthrough
Geometry Goal #2:  Improve current level of performance.	2012 Current Level of Performance: e:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance: *  Increase level 4 and 5 by 5%					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target		<b>2011-2012</b>	<b>2012-2013</b>	<b>2013-2014</b>	<b>2014-2015</b>	<b>2015-2016</b>	<b>2016-2017</b>
<b>3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.</b>	<b>Baseline data 2010-2011</b>						
<u>Geometry Goal #3A:</u> Improve current level of performance							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
<b>3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.</b>		5b.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian:	5b.1. Differentiate Instruction	5b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background,	5b.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough	
<u>Geometry Goal #3B:</u> Improve current level of	<u>2012 Current</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of</u>					



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

performance	Level of Performance: e:*	Performance: e:*	American Indian: Lack of differentiation of instruction			prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	100% of all student subgroups to make a learning gain  Increase proficiency of all student subgroups by 10% :					3B.2.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

			5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	3B.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.</b>			5c.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5c.1. Differentiate Instruction	5c.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5c.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the	5c.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
<u>Geometry Goal #3C:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:</u>					
Improve current level of performance	e:*	e:*					
		100% of ELL students to make a learning gain  Increase proficiency of of ELL students by 10%					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

						content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
			5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	3C.2.
			5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	3C.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.</b>			5d.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5d.1. Differentiate Instruction	5d.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5d.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately	5d.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Geometry Goal #3D: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance: e:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance: e:*					
		100% of SWD students to make a learning gain  Increase proficiency					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

		of SWD students by 10%				scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
			5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	3D.2.
			5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	3D.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.</b>			5e.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5e.1. Differentiate Instruction	5e.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5e.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in	5e.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
<u>Geometry Goal #3E:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:</u> *					
Improve current level of performance		100% of Economically Disadvantaged students to make a learning gain  Increase proficiency of Economically Disadvantaged students by 10%					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

						different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
			5E.2	5E.2	5E.2	5E.2	3E.2.
			5E.3	5E.3	5E.3		3E.3

*End of Geometry EOC Goals*

**Mathematics Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities</b>						
Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Common Board Configuration	6-8 All Content Areas	Literacy Team Member	All Math Teachers at all Grade Levels	9/12/12; 9/19/12	LLT walkthroughs, Administrative walkthroughs, and peer to peer observations	Administrator Responsible for Math PLC
Gradual Release of Responsibility	6-8 All Content Areas	Literacy Team Member	All Math Teachers at all Grade Levels	10/3/12; 10/10/12	LLT walkthroughs, Administrative walkthroughs, and peer to peer observations	Administrator Responsible for Math PLC
Collaborative Structures/Reciprocal Teaching	6-8 All Content Areas	Literacy Team Member	All Math Teachers at all Grade Levels	11/7/12	LLT walkthroughs, Administrative walkthroughs, and peer to peer observations	Administrator Responsible for Math PLC

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Mathematics Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Common Board Configuration	Training Materials	SAC	\$150
Reciprocal Teaching	Training Materials	SAC	\$100
			<b>Subtotal:\$250</b>
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
			<b>Total:\$250</b>

*End of Mathematics Goals*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Elementary and Middle School Science Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary and Middle Science Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1a.FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science.</b>			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
Science Goal #1a:	2012 Current Level of Performance: 29.6% 109	2013 Expected Level of Performance: Decrease the number of level 1 and 2					
Improve current level of performance							



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

						back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent	1a.2. Walkthrough

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

					Practice occur		
			1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
<b>1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Level 4, 5, and 6 in science.</b>			1b.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1b.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1b.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a	1b.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
Science Goal #1b: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance: e:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance: e:*					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

	75%	Decrease the number of level 1,2, and 3 from to				discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	
			1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.
			1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”,			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
<b>2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in science.</b>			2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	2b.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points	2b.1. Walkthrough
Science Goal #2a:  Improve current level of performance	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance</u> :*	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance</u> :*					
	7.9% 29	Increase the level 4 and 5 students 5%					
			2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.
			2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.</b>			2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	2b.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points	2b1. Walkthrough
Science Goal #2b: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance: 13%	2013 Expected Level of Performance: Increase the level 7 by 5%					
			2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.
			2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

*End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals*

**Science Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b>						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

**Science Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

				<b>Subtotal:</b>
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
School-wide Science Fair	Science Fair Materials	SAC	\$250	
				<b>Subtotal:\$250</b>
				<b>Total:\$250</b>

*End of Science Goals*

**Writing Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Writing Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1a. FCAT: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing.</b>			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson through the use of a common board configuration.	1a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher	1a.1. Walkthrough Lesson Plans
Writing Goal #1a:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
Improve current level of performance	Level of Performance: * 69% 251 Level 4 and above 17% 63	Level of Performance: * Decrease level 1,2 and 3					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

						<p>explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question</p> <p>*Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question</p>	
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies. Creating lessons that follow the GRRM.	1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher	<p>1a.2. Determine:</p> <p>*Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes</p> <p>*Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc.</p> <p>*Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback;</p>	1a.2. Walkthrough Lesson plans



2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

					and Independent Practice occur		
			1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor. Use of collaborative structures including reciprocal teaching.	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
<b>1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.</b>			1b.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1b.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson through the use of a common	1b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1b.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing	1b.1. Walkthrough Lesson Plans
Writing Goal #1b:	2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:					
Improve current level of	*	*					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

performance	Level 4,5, and 6 63%	Decrease level 1,2 and 3 38%		board configuration.		guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question	
			1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.
			1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.

**Writing Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**


**Writing Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Glencoe on line writing	Discs/flash drives	SAC	\$250
			<b>Subtotal:\$250</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
			<b>Total:\$250</b>

*End of Writing Goals*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals *(required in year 2014-2015)*

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Civics EOC Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Civics.</b>			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
<u>Civics Goal #1:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:</u> *	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:</u> *					
Establish baseline level of performance	pending	Improved from baseline					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

						referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and	1a.2. Walkthrough

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

					Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
		1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

improvement for the following group:						Strategy	
<b>2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Civics.</b>			2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.
<u>Civics Goal #2:</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013Expected</u>	Insufficient	Set and communicate	AP who evaluates	Determine Lesson:	Walkthrough &
Establish baseline level	<u>Current</u>	<u>Level of</u>	standard based	a purpose for	teacher	*Is aligned with a	Lesson Plans
of performance	<u>Level of</u>	<u>Performance:*</u>	instruction	learning and learning		course standard or	
	<u>Performance</u>			goals in each lesson		benchmark and to the	
	<u>e:*</u>					district/school pacing	
	not	Improved				guide	
	available	from baseline				*Begins with a	
						discussion of desired	
						outcomes and learning	
						goals	
						*Includes a learning	
						goal/essential question	
						*Includes teacher	
						explanation of how	
						the class activities	
						relate to the learning	
						goal and to answering	
						the essential question	
						*Focuses and/or	
						refocuses class	
						discussion by	
						referring back to the	
						learning goal/essential	
						question	
						*Includes a scale or	
						rubric that relates to	
						the learning goal is	
						posted so that all	
						students can see it	
						*Teacher reference to	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

						the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
			2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3

**Civics Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b>						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Common Board Configuration	7 <sup>th</sup> Grade; 6 <sup>th</sup> and 8 <sup>th</sup> Grades	Literacy Team Member	All Social Studies PLC members 6-8	9/26	LLT walkthroughs, Administrative walkthroughs, and peer to peer observations	Administrator Responsible for Social Studies PLC
Gradual Release of Responsibility	7 <sup>th</sup> Grade; 6 <sup>th</sup> and 8 <sup>th</sup> Grades	Literacy Team Member	All Social Studies PLC members 6-8	10/24/12	LLT walkthroughs, Administrative walkthroughs, and peer to peer observations	Administrator Responsible for Social Studies PLC
Collaborative Structures/ Reciprocal Teaching	7 <sup>th</sup> Grade; 6 <sup>th</sup> and 8 <sup>th</sup> Grades	Literacy Team Member	All Social Studies PLC members 6-8	11/21/12	LLT walkthroughs, Administrative walkthroughs, and peer to peer observations	Administrator Responsible for Social Studies PLC

**Civics Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)

June 2012  
 Rule 6A-1.099811  
 Revised April 29, 2011



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
<b>Technology</b>			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
<b>Professional Development</b>			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
<b>Other</b>			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
			<b>Total:</b>

*End of Civics Goals*

**Attendance Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Attendance Goal(s)	Problem-solving Process to Increase Attendance				
Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>1. Attendance</b>			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
<b>Attendance Goal #1:</b>	<b>2012 Current Attendance Rate:*</b>	<b>2013 Expected Attendance Rate:*</b>	Lack of student engagement	Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan	SBLT	Determine: Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Data-based monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly conducted	Decrease in Number of In-School Suspension Number of Students suspended In-School Number of out-of-school suspensions Number of Students suspended out-of-school Number of alternative bell assignments Number of students assigned to alternative bell schedule
Improve current level of performance	91%	Greater than prior year					
	<b>2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)</b>	<b>2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)</b>					
	633	10% decrease from prior year					
	<b>2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)</b>	<b>2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)</b>					
	0	10% decrease from prior year					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b> Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Overcoming Obstacles	6-8 All Content Areas	Overcoming Obstacles Staff Trainer; Administrative team.	All Content and Grade Level PLCs	8/14/12; On -going	Administrative walkthroughs; peer to peer observations. Suspension Data	All Administrators; Attendance Specialist

**Attendance Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

June 2012  
 Rule 6A-1.099811  
 Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

				<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				<b>Subtotal:</b>
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				<b>Subtotal:</b>
				<b>Total:</b>

*End of Attendance Goals*

**Suspension Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Suspension Goal(s)			Problem-solving Process to Decrease Suspension				
Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Suspension</b>			1.1. Lack of Student Engagement	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan	1.1. SBLT	1.1. Determine: Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and Appropriate behaviors	1.1. Decrease in Number of In-School Suspension Number of Students suspended In-School Number of out-of-school suspensions Number of Students
<u>Suspension Goal #1:</u> Improve current level of performance	<u>2012 Total Number of In-School Suspensions</u> 1490	<u>2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions</u> 10% decrease from prior					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

		year				are acknowledged	suspended out-of-
	<u>2012Total</u>	<u>2013</u>				Behavioral errors are	school
	<u>Number of</u>	<u>Expected</u>				proactively corrected	Number of alternative
	<u>Students</u>	<u>Number of</u>				A database for keeping	bell assignments
	<u>Suspended</u>	<u>Students</u>				records and making	Number of students
	<u>In-School</u>	<u>Suspended</u>				decisions is established	assigned to alternative
		<u>In -School</u>				Data-based monitoring	bell schedule
	326	10% decrease				and adaptations to the	
		from prior				plan are regularly	
		year				conducted	
	<u>2012Number</u>	<u>2013</u>					
	<u>of Out-of-</u>	<u>Expected</u>					
	<u>School</u>	<u>Number of</u>					
	<u>Suspensions</u>	<u>Out-of-School</u>					
		<u>Suspensions</u>					
	2020	10% decrease					
		from prior					
		year					
	<u>2012Total</u>	<u>2013</u>					
	<u>Number of</u>	<u>Expected</u>					
	<u>Students</u>	<u>Number of</u>					
	<u>Suspended</u>	<u>Students</u>					
	<u>Out- of-</u>	<u>Suspended</u>					
	<u>School</u>	<u>Out- of-</u>					
		<u>School</u>					
	255	10% decrease					
		from prior					
		year					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Suspension Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Overcoming Obstacles	6-8 All content areas	Overcoming Obstacles Staff Trainer; Administrative team.	All Content and Grade Level PLCs	8/14/12	Administrative walkthroughs peer to peer observations. Suspension Data	All Administrators, Behavior Specialist

**Suspension Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.

Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**Subtotal:**

Technology

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**Subtotal:**

Professional Development

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
<b>Other</b>			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
			<b>Total:</b>

*End of Suspension Goals*

**Dropout Prevention Goal(s)** Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)		Problem-solving Process to Dropout Prevention								
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool				
<b>1. Dropout Prevention</b> Dropout Prevention Goal #1: <i>*Please refer to the percentage of students who dropped out during the 2011-2012 school year.</i>		1.1. Students lack skills to plan for future aspirations and create educational goals	1.1. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1.1. Principal	1.1.	1.1. Walkthrough and teacher appraisal				
Improve current level of performance	<table border="1"> <tr> <td><u>2012 Current Dropout Rate:*</u></td> <td><u>2013 Expected Dropout Rate:*</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>10% decrease from prior year</td> </tr> </table>	<u>2012 Current Dropout Rate:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Dropout Rate:*</u>		10% decrease from prior year					
<u>2012 Current Dropout Rate:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Dropout Rate:*</u>									
	10% decrease from prior year									

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

	<u>2012 Current Graduation Rate:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Graduation Rate:*</u>					
		Improve rate from prior year					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

**Dropout Prevention Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b>						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subje ct	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

**Dropout Prevention Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
<b>Total:</b>			

*End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)*

**Parent Involvement Goal(s)**

**Upload Option-**For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section.

**Online Template-** For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involvement Goal(s)	Problem-solving Process to Parent Involvement				
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Parent Involvement</b> <u>Parent Involvement Goal #1:</u>	1.1. Lack of frequent home-school	1.1. Provide frequent home-school	1.1. SBLT	1.1. Parent and Student Surveys.	1.1 Attendance at school activities.

June 2012  
Rule 6A-1.099811  
Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<i>*Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.</i>			communication in a variety of formats, and allows for families to support and supervise their child's educational progress	communication in a variety of formats, and allows for families to support and supervise their child's educational progress			
Improve current level of performance  Portal logins by parents	<u>2012</u> Current level of Parent Involvement:*	<u>2013</u> Expected level of Parent Involvement:*					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

**Parent Involvement Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b> Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

**Parent Involvement Budget**

June 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Parent Education and Activity Nights	Presenters	SAC	\$1,000
			<b>Subtotal:\$1,000</b>
			<b>Total:\$1,000</b>

*End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)*

**Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define					

June 2012  
 Rule 6A-1.099811  
 Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

areas in need of improvement:			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	
STEM Goal #1:  <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

**STEM Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**STEM Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.

**Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)**

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**Subtotal:**

**Technology**

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**Subtotal:**

**Professional Development**

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**Subtotal:**

**Other**

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**Subtotal:**

**Total:**

*End of STEM Goal(s)*

**Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)**

June 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<p>CTE Goal #1:</p> <p><i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></p>	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

**CTE Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b> Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

June 2012  
 Rule 6A-1.099811  
 Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

		PLC Leader		and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		

**CTE Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
			<b>Total:</b>

*End of CTE Goal(s)*

June 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Additional Goal I Wellness (s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

<b>Additional Goal(s)</b>			<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Additional Goal: Wellness</b>			1.1. A: Failure to form a Healthy School Team.	1.1. A: Complete Healthy Schools Program 6 Step Process online <a href="https://schools.healthiergeneration.org/">https://schools.healthiergeneration.org/</a>	1.1. A: Healthy School Team (school administrator, physical education teacher, cafeteria manager, health teacher, parents students, school nurse)	1.1. A: Completion of 6 <sup>th</sup> Step of the Healthy School Program online	1.1. A: Evaluate Healthy School Inventory
Additional Goal #1: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*					
	A Data Meeting Bronze Level on Healthy Schools Inventory	A: Meeting Bronze Level on Healthy Schools Inventory	B:Failure to assess students and upload Being Fit Matters/Fitness gram data	B: Complete Pre and Post Being Fit Matters/Fitness gram student assessments and upload data	B: physical education teachers	B:Compare Pre and Post Being Fit Matters/Fitness gram student assessments results	B: Fitness gram student assessments results
	B Data: Being Fit Matters/Fitness gram Data:	B Data: Being Fit Matters/Fitness gram School will improve students' scores on					



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

		Fitness gram Assessment scores:					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

**Additional Wellness Goals Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b> Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

**Additional Wellness Goal(s) Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

June 2012  
 Rule 6A-1.099811  
 Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>Subtotal:</b>			
<b>Technology</b>			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
<b>Professional Development</b>			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
<b>Other</b>			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
<b>Total:</b>			

**Additional Goal II Bradley MOU (s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

<b>Additional Goal(s)</b>	<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>				
	<b>Anticipated Barrier</b>	<b>Strategy</b>	<b>Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring</b>	<b>Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy</b>	<b>Evaluation Tool</b>
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:					
<b>1. Additional Goal: Black Academic Achievement</b>	1.1. Lack of differentiation of	1.1. Differentiate Instruction	1.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1.1. Content materials are differentiated by	1.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Additional Goal #1:	2012	2013	Instruction				
There will be an increase in black student achievement	Current Level :*	Expected Level :*				student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
		All black students to make learning gains in reading and math					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
--	--	------	------	------	------	------

**Additional MOU Goals Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b>						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Overcoming Obstacles	6-8 Grades; All Content Areas	PLC Administrator; Literacy Team Member	All Content and Grade Level PLCs	8/14/12	Administrative walkthroughs; Peer to peer observations; Guidance Credit Checks	All Administrators
Common Board Configuration	6-8 Grades; All Content Areas	PLC Administrator; Literacy Team Member	All Content and Grade Level PLCs	9/12/12; 9/19/12; 9/26/12	Administrative walkthroughs; Peer to peer observations; Guidance Credit Checks	All Administrators
Gradual Release of Responsibility	6-8 Grades; All Content Areas	PLC Administrator; Literacy Team Member	All Content and Grade Level PLCs	10/3/12; 10/10/12; 10/17/12; 10/24/12	Administrative walkthroughs; Peer to peer observations; Guidance Credit Checks	All Administrators
Collaborative Structures/ Reciprocal Teaching	6-8 Grades; All Content Areas	PLC Administrator; Literacy Team Member	All Content and Grade Level PLCs	11/7/12; 11/14/12; 11/21/12	Administrative walkthroughs; Peer to peer observations; Guidance Credit Checks	All Administrators

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
			<b>Total:</b>

**Additional Goal III Bradley MOU (s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

<b>Additional Goal(s)</b>	<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>
---------------------------	--

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Additional Goal: Student Engagement for Black Students</b>			1.1. Lack of Student Engagement	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan	1.1. SBLT	1.1. Determine: Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Data-based monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly conducted	1.1. Decrease in Number of In-School Suspension Number of Students suspended In-School Number of out-of-school suspensions Number of Students suspended out-of-school
<u>Additional Goal #1:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level :*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level :*</u>					
There will be an increase in black student engagement	73% of Black students received a referral	Decrease the percent of Black students receiving referrals, and Receiving in school and out of school suspension by 10%					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

**Additional MOU II Goals Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b>						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subje	PD Facilitator	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade	Target Dates and Schedules	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

June 2012  
 Rule 6A-1.099811  
 Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

	ct	and/or PLC Leader	level, or school-wide)	(e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		

**Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.

**Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)**

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**Subtotal:**

**Technology**

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**Subtotal:**

**Professional Development**

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**Subtotal:**

**Other**

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**Subtotal:**

**Total:**

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Additional Goal IV Bradley MOU (s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

<b>Additional Goal(s)</b>			<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Additional Goal: Black graduation rate</b>			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Additional Goal #1:	2012 Current Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

**Additional MOU Goals Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b>						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

--	--	--	--	--	--	--

**Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
			<b>Total:</b>

**Additional Goal V Bradley MOU (s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

June 2012  
 Rule 6A-1.099811  
 Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>Additional Goal(s)</b>			<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Additional Goal: Black advanced Coursework</b>			1.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	1.1. Differentiate Instruction	1.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments	1.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough  Professional Development includes equity and cultural responsiveness
<u>Additional Goal #1:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level :*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level :*</u>					
<i>There will be an increase percent of black students enrolled in rigorous advanced coursework</i>	<i>Base Line Data</i>	<i>Increase from prior year</i>					
<i>There will be an increase in performance of black students in rigorous advanced coursework</i>							

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

						*Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

**Additional MOU Goals Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b>						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

**Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)

June 2012  
 Rule 6A-1.099811  
 Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
<b>Technology</b>			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
<b>Professional Development</b>			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
<b>Other</b>			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
			<b>Total:</b>

*End of Additional Goal(s)*

**Final Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.	
<b>Reading Budget</b>	<b>Total: \$250</b>
<b>CELLA Budget</b>	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Mathematics Budget</b>	<b>Total:\$250</b>

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>Science Budget</b>	
	<b>Total:\$250</b>
<b>Writing Budget</b>	
	<b>Total:\$250</b>
<b>Civics Budget</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
<b>U.S. History Budget</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Attendance Budget</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Suspension Budget</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Dropout Prevention Budget</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Parent Involvement Budget</b>	
	<b>Total:\$1,000</b>
<b>STEM Budget</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
<b>CTE Budget</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Additional Goals</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
	<b>Grand Total:\$2,000</b>

**Differentiated Accountability**

**School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance**

Please choose the school’s DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under “Default value” header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an “x” in the box.)

June 2012  
 Rule 6A-1.099811  
 Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

School Differentiated Accountability Status		
<input type="checkbox"/> Priority	<input type="checkbox"/> Focus	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prevent

- Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the *Upload* page

**School Advisory Council (SAC)**

*SAC Membership Compliance*

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting *Yes* or *No* below.

Yes  No

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.

Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.
The SAC will focus on identifying, establishing and improving all modes of communication available to ensure that all stakeholders are connected to the schools' goals, activities and improvement measures.

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
Teacher Professional Development	\$1,000
Parent/Student Involvement	\$1,000