

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION



DRAFT School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

School Information

School Name: 4331 Starkey Elementary School	District Name: Pinellas County Schools
Principal: Audrey Chaffin	Superintendent: John A. Stewart, Ed.D.
SAC Chair: Alfredo Blanco	Date of School Board Approval: Pending: October 19, 2012

Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

[School Grades Trend Data](#) (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

[Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test \(FCAT\)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data](#) (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

[High School Feedback Report](#)

[K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan](#)

Administrators

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
Principal	Audrey Chaffin	BS Elementary Education M.Ed. Educ. Leadership	3	7	2011-2012: Grade A; AYP Met Rdg 64% Math 59% Wrtg 75% Science 50% 2010-2011: Grade A; No AYP (Econ. Disad.) Rdg 83% Math 81% Wrtg 87% Science 69%
Assistant Principal	Hillary Regan	BS Elementary Education M.Ed. Instructional Tech.	5	5	2011-2012: Grade A; AYP Met Rdg 64% Math 59% Wrtg 75% Science 50% 2010-2011: Grade A; No AYP (Econ. Disad.) Rdg 83% Math 81% Wrtg 87% Science 69%
Assistant Principal	Sandra Kemp	BS Early Childhood & Elementary Education M.S. Specific Learning Disabilities	1	15	2011-2012: Grade A; AYP Met Rdg 64% Math 59% Wrtg 75% Science 50%

June 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Instructional Coaches

List your school’s instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)

Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date
1. Teacher retention is addressed through multiple initiatives at the district level i.e. competitive salaries, open communication with district administrators and research-based professional development.	District	On-going
2. Pinellas County has a teacher recruitment plan in which district representatives visit college campuses to showcase the benefits of employment.	District	On-going
3. A site based mentoring program focuses on helping new teachers find the highest level of success. A site-based decision was made to increase the amount of mentors and collaborative partners.	Audrey Chaffin	On-going
4. Monthly meetings with the principal are scheduled for all “new to the school” staff members. These meetings build support, comfort, and improve communication with culture, curriculum, and procedures.	Audrey Chaffin	On-going

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who are NOT highly effective.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out-of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
0	-Appraisal training to build awareness of expectations -Classroom walkthroughs with immediate feedback -Mentors provided, as needed -District updates provided to teachers regarding the appraisal -Discussion of effective instructional practices conducted at PLCs

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
41	0	17.07% [7]	39.02% [16]	43.9% [18]	31.71% [13]	Pending finalized appraisal	4.88 [2]	2.44 [1]	39.02% [16]

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school’s teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Ashley Senka	Susan Hagerty	Ashley Senka is an experienced teacher. She is the lead teacher for Starkey’s mentoring program. Susan is new to our school.	Observation of mentee’s instruction and providing feedback; Planning lessons with mentee; Monthly “New To Starkey” meetings

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Beth Kaufholz	Heather Leisch	Beth Kaufholz is an experienced teacher. She has experience mentoring interns and first year teachers. Heather is new to our school.	

Additional Requirements

Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A
Title I, Part C- Migrant
Title I, Part D
Title II
Title III
Title X- Homeless
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)
Violence Prevention Programs
Nutrition Programs
Housing Programs
Head Start
Adult Education

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Career and Technical Education
Job Training
Other

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team
<p>Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team. Audrey Chaffin, Principal; Hillary Regan, Assistant Principal; Susan Hagerty, Guidance Counselor; Mary Witlow, Psychologist; Lisa Porter, Educational Diagnostician; Melissa Watson, School Social Worker; Deborah Swain, Speech/Language Pathologist. Stefany Brabant, Speech/Language Pathologist, Laura Hamburg, Varying Exceptionalities Resource Teacher, Debbie Taylor, Primary Representative, Tammy Sasso, Intermediate Representative</p>
<p>Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts? The team meets bi-weekly to engage in the following activities: review methods of screening which guide instructional decisions; review grade level and school progress monitoring data to identify patterns and trends that are preventing benchmarks from being met. The team will collaborate regularly to problem solve, share effective practices, evaluate implementation and make decisions. The team will communicate with instructors regularly to monitor the progress of students at Tiers 1, 2, and 3. SBLT members work in conjunction with our Literacy Leadership Team and facilitate grade level Professional Learning Community meetings. PLC facilitators are grade level team leaders. Meeting time: 7:30 am Mondays (bi-weekly)</p>
<p>Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP? The SBLT will be responsible for managing and coordinating these efforts between all school teams as well as reviewing and revising the School Improvement Plan.</p>
MTSS Implementation
<p>Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior. Baseline data: Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN), Assessment and Information Management System (AIMS web), Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT), Pinellas County Common Assessments in Reading, Math, Science and Writing. Making Sense of Phonics, Show What You Know, Great Leaps, New Heights, Triumphs, and Waterford are all utilized for Reading on-going progress monitoring. Pearson SuccessNet and Destination Success are used for Math on-going progress monitoring.</p>
<p>Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS. Professional Development will occur as needed throughout the year through grade level PLC's and school wide PLC's. The guidance counselor, psychologist, and social worker will also provide support in RtI. Handouts regarding processes and expectations will be shared for instructional staff members to reference.</p>
<p>Describe the plan to support MTSS. MTSS will be supported by having instructional staff members participate in both behavioral and academic meetings.</p>

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Audrey Chaffin, Principal; Hillary Regan, Assistant Principal; Tina Suleiman, Kdg.; Susan Rood, 1st grade; Carolyn Mertz, 2nd grade.; Cathy Kleinsorge, 3rd grade; Pam Bender, 4th grade; Kate Hickman, 5th grade; Laurie Jackson, VE-Resource; Sheryl Adams, Specialists

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

Literacy Leadership Teams create capacity of reading knowledge within the school by focusing on the following areas of literacy concern:

- Support for text complexity
- Support for instructional skills to improve reading comprehension
 - Ensuring that text complexity, along with close reading and rereading of texts, is central to lessons
 - Providing scaffolding that does not preempt or replace text reading by students
 - Developing and asking text dependent questions from a range of question types
 - Emphasizing students supporting their answers based upon evidence from the text
 - Providing extensive research and writing opportunities (claims and evidence)
- Support for implementation of Common Core State Standards for Literacy in Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects (a focus on text, task, and instruction).

The district will provide training and tools for Literacy Leadership Teams.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

Support for text complexity

- Support for instructional skills to improve reading comprehension
- Support for implementation of Common Core State Standards for Literacy in Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects

Public School Choice

- **Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification**

Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

****Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition***

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

June 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Reading Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1a.FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading.			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
Reading Goal #1a: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	24% [82]	Decrease level 1&2 from 37% To 27%					
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	1a.2. Walkthrough
		1a.3. Insufficient standard based	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			instruction			which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.			1b.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1b.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1b.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1b.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes aligned to access points when appropriate *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	1b.2. Walkthrough
Reading Goal #1b:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Improve current level of performance	25%	Decrease level 1,2,3					
			1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.
			1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2a.FCAT 2.0:Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in reading.			2a.1. Lack of differentiation of	2a.1. Provide formative assessments to	2a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	2a.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess	2a.1. Walkthrough

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Reading Goal #2a: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 39% [131]	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Increase level 4 and 5 by 5%	instruction	inform differentiation in instruction		students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students	
			2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.
			2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in reading.			2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	2b.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points	2b1. Walkthrough
Reading Goal #2b: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 75%	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Increase level 7 by 5%					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.
		2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.		3a.1. Lack of student engagement	3a.1. Differentiate Instruction	3a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	3a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	3a.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results IPI data when available State instructional walkthrough when applicable
Reading Goal #3a:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
Improve current level of performance	66% [142]	100%				
			3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.
			3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.		3b.1. Lack of student engagement	3b.1. Differentiate Instruction	3b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	3b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural	3b.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Reading Goal #3b: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 0%	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 100%				background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	IPI data when available State instructional walkthrough when applicable
			3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.
				3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
4a.FCAT 2.0:Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.			4a.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	4a.1. Differentiate Instruction	4a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	4a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities	4a.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Reading Goal #4a: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 70% [42]	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 100%					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

						to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
			4a.2. Insufficient intervention supports exist to address the varying needs of students across academic and engagement areas	4a.2. Create intervention plan or use research based intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives	4a.2. SBLT	4a.2. *SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses *Intervention and core teachers communicate and plan together regularly *Intervention curriculum is aligned with core instructional goals/objectives *Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within intervention courses *Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes *Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers *Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses	4a.2. Evidence of core teachers and intervention teachers communicating and planning; Lesson Plans & Walkthroughs
			4a.3	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.
4b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4b: Improve current level of performance			4b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	4b.1. Differentiate Instruction	4b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	4b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities	4b.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	0%	100%					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

						to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
		4b.2. Insufficient intervention supports exist to address the varying needs of students across academic and engagement areas	4b.2. Create intervention plan or use research based intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives	4ab.2. SBLT	4b.2. *SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses *Intervention and core teachers communicate and plan together regularly *Intervention curriculum is aligned with core instructional goals/objectives *Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within intervention courses *Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes *Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers *Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses	4b.2. Evidence of core teachers and intervention teachers communicating and planning; Lesson Plans & Walkthroughs	
		4b.3	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target		2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Baseline data 2010-2011 <u>77</u>	81	85	89	92	96	100

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Reading Goal #5A: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading.		5b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5b.1. Differentiate Instruction	5b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5b.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Reading Goal #5B:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>				
Improve current level of performance	White:165 77% Black: 4% Hispanic: 19 9% Asian: 3% American	100% of all subgroups to make a learning gain Increase proficiency of all subgroups by 10%				

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	Indian: 0 0%						
			5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading.		5c.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5c.1. Differentiate Instruction	5c.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5c.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5c.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough	
Reading Goal #5C: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	0%	100% of ELL students to make a learning gain An increase in proficiency by 10%					
			5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading.		5d.1. Lack of	5d.1. Differentiate	5d.1. AP who evaluates	5d.1. Content materials are differentiated	5d.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough	

June 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<p>Reading Goal #5D: Improve current level of performance</p>	<p>2012 Current Level of Performance: 24%</p>	<p>2013 Expected Level of Performance: 100% of all SWD students to make a learning gain An increase in proficiency by 10%</p>	<p>differentiation of instruction</p>	<p>Instruction</p>	<p>teacher</p>	<p>by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.</p>	
<p>Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:</p>			<p>Anticipated Barrier</p>	<p>Strategy</p>	<p>Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring</p>	<p>Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy</p>	<p>Evaluation Tool</p>
<p>5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.</p>			<p>5e.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction</p>	<p>5e.1. Differentiate Instruction</p>	<p>5e.1. AP who evaluates teacher</p>	<p>5e.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.</p>	<p>5e.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough</p>
<p>Reading Goal #5E: Improve current level of performance</p>	<p>2012 Current Level of Performance: 54% [106]</p>	<p>2013 Expected Level of Performance: 100% of economically disadvantaged students will learning gain An increase in proficiency by 10%</p>					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Reading Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities						
Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Comprehension	K-5	Laura Lindsey	Instructional Personnel	TBD	Informal Observation, Collaborative Discussions	Administration
Common Core Standards	K-5	Michelle Ovalle	Instructional Personnel	TBD	Classroom Observations	Administration, Literacy Staff Developer
Guided Reading	K-2	District	Instructional Personnel	On-going	Informal Observation, Collaborative Discussions	Administration

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Time For Kids magazine	Weekly magazines for grades K-5 th	Picture money & school fundraiser	\$1,900.00
Book Fair	Recreational Reading Materials	Book Fair Profits	\$1,500.00
Sunshine State Young Reader Group	2012-2013 Sunshine State Books	Book Fair Profits	\$750.00
Florida Reading Association Children's Book List	2012-2013 FRA Books	Book Fair Profits	\$895.00
Kindergarten Enrichment Reading Group	I Can Read Books	Book Fair Profits	\$500.00
Faculty Small Group Books	"Reading for Real" Small Group Books	Discretionary Funds	\$500.00
			Subtotal: \$6.045
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:0

June 2012
 Rule 6A-1.099811
 Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:\$0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:0
			Total:\$6,045

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CELLA Goals		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition				
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring proficient in Listening/Speaking.		1.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	1.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	1.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to	1.1. Walkthrough
CELLA Goal #1: Improve current level of performance Number CELLA tested: 17	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking: 59%					

June 2012
 Rule 6A-1.099811
 Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

					modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students	
		2.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2.1. Differentiate Instruction	2.1. AP who evaluates teacher	2.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	2.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring proficient in Reading.		2.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	2.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	2.2. AP who evaluates teacher	2.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional	2.2. Walkthrough
<u>CELLA Goal #2:</u> Improve current level of performance	<u>2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading :</u> 24%					

June 2012
 Rule 6A-1.099811
 Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

					objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3. Students scoring proficient in Writing.		3.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	3.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	3.1. AP who evaluates teacher	3.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	3.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
<u>CELLA Goal #3:</u> Improve current level of performance	<u>2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing :</u> 35%					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

CELLA Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:0
			Total:0

End of CELLA Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary Mathematics Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1a.FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
<u>Mathematics Goal</u> #1a: Improve current level of performance	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u> 95 28%	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u> Decrease in level 1 and 2 from 41% To 31%					
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students’ background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback;	1a.2. Walkthrough

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

					and Independent Practice occur		
			1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.			1b.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1b.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1b.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1b.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes aligned to access points when appropriate *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	1b.2. Walkthrough
<u>Mathematics Goal</u> #1b:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Improve current level of performance	25%	Decrease in level 1,2 and 3					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2a.FCAT 2.0:Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in mathematics.			2a.1. Lack of differentiation of	2a.1. Provide formative assessments to inform	2a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	2a.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess	2a.1. Walkthrough

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<p><u>Mathematics Goal #2a:</u> Improve current level of performance</p>	<p>2012 Current Level of Performance: * 31% 104</p>	<p>2013 Expected Level of Performance: * Increase in level 4 and 5 by 5%</p>	<p>instruction</p>	<p>differentiation in instruction</p>		<p>students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students</p>	
<p>2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.</p>			<p>2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction</p>	<p>2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction</p>	<p>2b.1. AP who evaluates teacher</p>	<p>2b.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points</p>	<p>2b1. Walkthrough</p>
<p><u>Mathematics Goal #2b:</u> Improve current level of performance</p>	<p>2012 Current Level of Performance: * 75%</p>	<p>2013 Expected Level of Performance: * Increase in level 7 by 5%</p>					
			<p>2b2.</p>	<p>2b.2.</p>	<p>2b.2.</p>	<p>2b.2.</p>	<p>2b.2.</p>
			<p>2b.3</p>	<p>2b.3</p>	<p>2b.3</p>	<p>2b.3</p>	<p>2b.3</p>

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.			3a.1. Lack of student engagement	3a.1. Differentiate Instruction	3a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	3a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	3a.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results IPI data when available State instructional walkthrough when applicable
<u>Mathematics Goal #3a:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Improve current level of performance	68% [147]	100% of students will make a learning gain					
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.			3b.1. Lack of student engagement	3b.1. Differentiate Instruction	3b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	3b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning	3b.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results IPI data when available State instructional walkthrough when applicable
<u>Mathematics Goal #3b:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Improve current level of performance	100%	100% of students will make learning gains					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

						needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
4a.FCAT 2.0:Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.			4a.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	4a.1. Differentiate Instruction	4a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	4a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	4a.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
<u>Mathematics Goal #4a:</u> Improve current level of performance	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u> 68% [38]	<u>2013Expected Level of Performance:*</u> 100% of students will make a learning gain					
			4a.2. Insufficient intervention supports exist to address the varying needs of students across	4a.2. Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives	4a.2. SBLT	4a.2. *SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses *Intervention and core teachers communicate and plan together	4a.2. Evidence of core teachers and intervention teachers communicating and planning; Lesson Plans &

June 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		academic and engagement areas			regularly * Intervention curriculum is aligned with core instructional goals/objectives * Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within intervention courses * Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes * Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers * Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses	Walkthroughs
4b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.		4b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	4b.1. Differentiate Instruction	4b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	4b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level * Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) * Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners * Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. * These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments * Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	4b.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
<u>Mathematics Goal #4b:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>				
Improve current level of performance	100%	100% of students will make a learning gain				
		4b.2. Insufficient intervention supports exist to address the	4b.2. Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives	4ab.2. SBLT	4b.2. * SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses	4b.2. Evidence of core teachers and intervention teachers communicating and

June 2012
 Rule 6A-1.099811
 Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		varying needs of students across academic and engagement areas			*Intervention and core teachers communicate and plan together regularly *Intervention curriculum is aligned with core instructional goals/objectives *Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within intervention courses *Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes *Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers *Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses	planning; Lesson Plans & Walkthroughs	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target		2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	78	80	82	84	85	87	89
<u>Mathematics Goal #5A:</u> Improve current level of performance							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.	5b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5b.1. Differentiate Instruction	5b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student	5b.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough		

June 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<p>Mathematics Goal #5B:</p> <p>Improve current level of performance</p>	<p>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</p> <p>White: 79% 157</p> <p>Black: 4%</p> <p>Hispanic: 7% 14</p> <p>Asian: 5%</p> <p>American Indian: 0% 0</p>	<p>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</p> <p>100% of student subgroups will make learning gains</p> <p>An increase in proficiency by 10%</p>				<p>interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level</p> <p>*Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs)</p> <p>*Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs.</p> <p>*These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments</p> <p>*Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.</p>	
<p>Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:</p>		<p>Anticipated Barrier</p>	<p>Strategy</p>	<p>Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring</p>	<p>Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy</p>	<p>Evaluation Tool</p>	
<p>5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.</p>		<p>5c.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction</p>	<p>5c.1. Differentiate Instruction</p>	<p>5c.1. AP who evaluates teacher</p>	<p>5c.1. Content materials are differentiated by student</p>	<p>5c.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough</p>	
<p>Mathematics Goal #5C:</p> <p>Improve current level of performance</p>	<p>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</p> <p>Not Available</p>	<p>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</p> <p>100% of ELL students will make learning gains</p> <p>An increase in proficiency by 10%</p>				<p>interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level</p> <p>*Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs)</p> <p>*Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs.</p>	

June 2012
 Rule 6A-1.099811
 Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

						*These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD)not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.			5d.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5d.1. Differentiate Instruction	5d.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5d.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5d.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
<u>Mathematics Goal</u> #5D: Improve current level of performance	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u> 35% [15]	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u> 100% of SWD students will make learning gains An increase in proficiency by 10%					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.			5e.1. Lack of differentiation	5e.1. Differentiate Instruction	5e.1. AP who evaluates	5e.1. Content materials are	5e.1. Lesson Plans &

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Mathematics Goal #5E:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	of instruction		teacher	differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners * Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	Walkthrough
Improve current level of performance	49% [91]	100% of Economical ly Disadvanta ged students will make learning gains An increase in proficiency by 10%					

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary and Middle Science Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1a.FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science.			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
Science Goal #1a:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Improve current level of performance	36% 40	Decrease the number of level 1 and 2					
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Increase instructional rigor	1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks	1a.2. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

					Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Level 4, 5, and 6 in science.		1b.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1b.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1b.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question	1b.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
Science Goal #1b:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>				
Improve current level of performance	0%	Decrease the number of level 1,2, and 3				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in science.		2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction Each K-3 student will participate in a class science project and have a display board at the Starkey Science Showcase. Each grade 4-5 student will complete an individual or group science project using the scientific method to be on display at the Starkey Showcase.	2b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	2b.1. Determine: * Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction * Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning * Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle	2b1. Walkthrough
Science Goal #2a:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>				
Improve current level of performance	13% 14	Increase the level 4 and 5 students 5%				

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.			2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	2b.1. Determine: * Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction * Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning * Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle * Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points	2b1. Walkthrough
Science Goal #2b:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Improve current level of performance	100%	Increase the level 7 by 5%					

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Science Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Utilizing FCAT data	5 th Grade FCAT data	Julie Poth	Grades 3-5	August 2012	Cross grade level articulation	Administration

Science Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Student Engagement	Science Boards	Discretionary	\$100
Consumables for Science Investigations	Science Supplies	Discretionary	\$200
			Subtotal:\$300.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Book Study	Implementing Science Notebooking	Discretionary	\$400
			Subtotal:\$400
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:0
			Total:\$700.00

End of Science Goals

June 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Writing Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1a. FCAT: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing.			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
<u>Writing Goal #1a:</u> Improve current level of performance	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u> 74% 78 Level 4 and above 16% 17	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u> Decrease number of level 1,2 and 3 students					
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by	1a.2. Walkthrough

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

					connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
		1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.		1b.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1b.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1b.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential	1b.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
Writing Goal #1b:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>				
Improve current level of performance	16% 17	Decrease number of level 1,2 and 3 students				

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

						question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

End of Writing Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Conventions and sentence fluency	K-5	AP	PLC	September – December	PLC Minutes, Student Writing Samples, Walkthroughs, Lesson Plans	Administration

Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
District Trainings	Writing Strategies	District	0.00
			Subtotal:0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:0
			Total:0

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Attendance Goal(s)			Problem-solving Process to Increase Attendance				
Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Attendance			1.1. Lack of student engagement	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan	1.1. SBLT	1.1. Determine: Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Data-based monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly conducted	1.1. Decrease in Number of In-School Suspension Number of Students suspended In-School Number of out-of-school suspensions Number of Students suspended out-of-school Number of alternative bell assignments Number of students assigned to alternative bell schedule
Attendance Goal #1:	2012 Current Attendance Rate:*	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:*					
Improve current level of performance	96%	Greater than prior year					
	2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)					
	181	10% decrease from prior year					
	2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)					
	116	10% decrease from prior year					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Portal training to monitor absences	School- wide	Technology Specialist	Classroom teachers	On-going	Portal reports	Social worker

Attendance Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Parent Notifications	Newsletters, Postage for Certified Letters	Discretionary & Postage Account	\$100.00
			Subtotal:\$100.00
			Total:\$100.00

End of Attendance Goals

June 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Suspension Goal(s)			Problem-solving Process to Decrease Suspension				
Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Suspension			1.1. Lack of Student Engagement	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan	1.1. SBLT	1.1. Determine: Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Data-based monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly conducted	1.1. Decrease in Number of In-School Suspension Number of Students suspended In-School Number of out-of-school suspensions Number of Students suspended out-of-school Number of alternative bell assignments Number of students assigned to alternative bell schedule
Suspension Goal #1: Improve current level of performance	2012 Total Number of In-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions					
	24	10% decrease from prior year					
	2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In-School					
	14	10% decrease from prior year					
	2012 Number of Out-of-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions					
	27	10% decrease from prior year					
	2012 Total Number of Students Suspended Out-of-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out-of-School					
	12	10% decrease from prior year					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Suspension Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Schoolwide Discipline Plan	PreK-5	AP	All staff	Aug. 13 & 22, 2012 On-going, as needed	Monthly review of discipline data	AP

Suspension Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Cafe Behavior Plan	Cafeteria Behavior Signs	Adopt-A-School	\$400.00
			Subtotal:\$400.00
			Total:\$400.00

End of Suspension Goals

June 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Dropout Prevention Goal(s) Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)		Problem-solving Process to Dropout Prevention					
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Dropout Prevention Dropout Prevention Goal #1: <i>*Please refer to the percentage of students who dropped out during the 2011-2012 school year.</i>		1.1. Students lack skills to plan for future aspirations and create educational goals	1.1. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1.1. Principal	1.1.	1.1. Walkthrough and teacher appraisal	
Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Dropout Rate:*						2013 Expected Dropout Rate:*
	<i>pending</i>						10% decrease from prior year
	2012 Current Graduation Rate:*						2013 Expected Graduation Rate:*
	<i>pending</i>						Improve rate from prior year
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.		
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.		

Dropout Prevention Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Dropout Prevention Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:0
			Total:0

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section.

Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involvement Goal(s)			Problem-solving Process to Parent Involvement				
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Parent Involvement <u>Parent Involvement Goal #1:</u> <i>*Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.</i>			1.1. Lack of frequent home-school communication in a variety of formats, and allows for families to support and supervise their child's educational progress	1.1. Provide frequent home-school communication in a variety of formats, and allows for families to support and supervise their child's educational progress	1.1. SBLT	1.1. Parents are informed how to access their child's information on Portal.	1.1. Portal logins by parents
Improve current level of performance	2012 Current level of Parent Involvement:*	2013 Expected level of Parent Involvement:*					
Portal logins by parents	29.09% [231]	Increase by 20%					

Parent Involvement Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Focus login	K-5	Community Involvement liaison	Classroom teachers	Ongoing	Monitoring volunteer logins	Kim Shipley

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Parent Involvement Budget

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:0
			Total:0

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

June 2012
 Rule 6A-1.099811
 Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

STEM Goal #1: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

STEM Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity <small>Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.</small>						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement: CTE Goal #1: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

CTE Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

CTE Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	Subtotal:
	Total:

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal I Wellness (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Additional Goal: Wellness			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Additional Goal #1:	<u>2012 Current Level :*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level :*</u>					
Improve current level of performance	Meeting Bronze Level on Healthy Schools Inventory	Meeting Silver Level on Healthy Schools Inventory	A: Failure to form a Healthy School Team. B: Failure to assess students and upload Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgram data	A: Complete Healthy Schools Program 6 Step Process online https://schools.healthiergeneration.org/ B: Complete Pre and Post Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgram student assessments and upload data	A: Healthy School Team (school administrator, physical education teacher, cafeteria manager, health teacher/elementary classroom teachers (optional members – students, parents, school nurse) B: physical education teachers	A: Completion of 6 th Step of the Healthy School Program online (Celebrate Successes) B: Compare Pre and Post Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgram student assessments results	A: Healthy School Inventory (Evaluate Your School) online B: Being Fit Matters Statistical Report (Portal)

**June 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011**

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Additional Wellness Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Present Information to Staff Re: Healthy Snacks and Healthy Schools Program/Assessment	all	SIT team	School-wide	Bi-monthly, 10 minutes at Staff Meetings during the school year	Completion of Healthy Schools Program Assessment	SIT Team/Healthy School Team

Additional Wellness Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

June 2012
 Rule 6A-1.099811
 Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Subtotal:0			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:0			
Total:0			

Additional Goal II Bradley MOU (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Additional Goal: Black Academic Achievement			1.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	1.1. Differentiate Instruction	1.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided	1.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Additional Goal #1:	2012 Current Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*					
There will be an increase in black student achievement	Reading level 3 and above:4%	All black students to make learning gains in reading and math					
	MathLevel 3and above: 4%						

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

						opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional MOU Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Enrichment for black students	K-5	Gifted teacher	Classroom teachers	October, 2012	Number of gifted referrals	Administration

Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

June 2012
 Rule 6A-1.099811
 Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

				Subtotal:0
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:0
				Total:0

Additional Goal III Bradley MOU (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Additional Goal: Student Engagement for Black Students			1.1. Lack of Student Engagement	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan	1.1. SBLT	1.1. Determine: Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Data-based monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly conducted	1.1. Decrease in Number of In-School Suspension Number of Students suspended In-School suspensions Number of out-of-school suspended out-of-school assignments Number of alternative bell schedule
Additional Goal #1:	<u>2012 Current Level :*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level :*</u>					
There will be an increase in black student engagement	55%	Decrease the percent of Black students receiving referrals, and Receiving in school and out of school suspensions					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional MOU II Goals Professional Development

June 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Schoolwide Discipline Plan	PreK-5	AP	All staff	Aug. 13 & 22, 2012 On-going, as needed	Monthly review of discipline data	AP

Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:0
			Total:0

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Additional Goal IV Bradley MOU (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Additional Goal: Black graduation rate			1.1. Lack of Student Engagement	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan	1.1. SBLT	1.1. Determine: Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Data-based monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly conducted	1.1. Increase in black graduation rate
Additional Goal #1:	<u>2012 Current Level :*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level :*</u>					
There will be an increase in black student graduation rate							
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional MOU Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

June 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:0
			Total:0

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Additional Goal V Bradley MOU (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Additional Goal: Black advanced Coursework			1.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	1.1. Differentiate Instruction	1.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	1.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough Professional Development includes equity and cultural responsiveness
Additional Goal #1:	2012 Current Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*					
There will be an increase percent of black students enrolled in rigorous advanced coursework	0	Increase from prior year					
There will be an increase in performance of black students in rigorous advanced coursework							
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional MOU Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

June 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Enrichment for black students	K-5	Gifted teacher	Classroom teachers	October, 2012	Number of gifted referrals	Administration

Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:0

End of Additional Goal(s)

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Final Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	Total:\$6,045
Mathematics Budget	Total:0
Science Budget	Total:\$700
Writing Budget	Total:0
Attendance Budget	Total:\$100
Suspension Budget	Total:\$400
Dropout Prevention Budget	Total:0
Parent Involvement Budget	Total:0
Additional Goals	Total:0
Grand Total:\$7,245	

Final Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	Total: :\$6,045
CELLA Budget	Total:0
Mathematics Budget	Total:0
Science Budget	Total: \$700

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Writing Budget	Total:0
Civics Budget	Total:0
U.S. History Budget	Total:0
Attendance Budget	Total:\$100
Suspension Budget	Total:\$400
Dropout Prevention Budget	Total:0
Parent Involvement Budget	Total:0
STEM Budget	Total:0
CTE Budget	Total:0
Additional Goals	Total:0
Grand Total:\$7,245	

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school’s DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under “Default value” header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an “x” in the box.)

School Differentiated Accountability Status		
<input type="checkbox"/> Priority	<input type="checkbox"/> Focus	<input type="checkbox"/> Prevent

June 2012
 Rule 6A-1.099811
 Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

- Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the *Upload* page

School Advisory Council (SAC)

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting *Yes* or *No* below.

Yes No

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.

Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.
Review SIP monitor school data, host FCAT Celebration, advise school principal of initiatives as they arise

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
N/A-There are no allocated state or district SIP funds for the 2012-201 school year.	