

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION



School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

School Information

School Name: 3921 Seminole High School	District Name: Pinellas County Schools
Principal: Walter Weller	Superintendent: John A. Stewart, Ed. D.
SAC Chair: Jeffrey Redett	Date of School Board Approval: Pending: October 9, 2012

Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

[School Grades Trend Data](#) (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

[Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test \(FCAT\)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data](#)(Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

[High School Feedback Report](#)

[K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan](#)

Administrators

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
Principal	Walter Weller	Bachelor of Science Social Studies Education University of South Florida Master of Science Education Leadership Nova Southeastern University	6	17	Dixie Hollins High School 1995-1996: No School Grade 1996-1997: No School Grade 1997-1998: No School Grade 1998-1999: Grade D 1999-2000: Grade C 2000-2001: Grade C 2001-2002: Grade C 2002-2003: Grade C County Director of Athletics Non-School Based Position 2003-2004 2004-2005 2005-2006 Seminole High School 2006-2007: Grade A 2007-2008: Grade B 2008-2009: Grade C 2009-2010: Grade A 2010-2011: Grade B 2011-2012: Grade Pending
Assistant Principal	Lois McKee	Bachelor of Science in Special Education from the University of South Florida. Master of Arts Degree in Guidance and Counseling from the University of South Florida. Education Leadership Certification from University of South Florida.	2	4	John Hopkins Middle School 1996-1997: No School Grade 1997-1998: No School Grade 1998-1999: Grade C Southside Fundamental Middle School 1999-2000: Grade C 2000-2001: Grade A 2001-2002: Grade A John Hopkins Middle School 2006-2007: Grade B 2007-2008: Grade B Pinellas Technical Education Centers (Adult Education) PTEC 2008-2009 No Grade 2009-2010 No Grade Seminole High School 2010-2011: B 2011-2012: Grade Pending

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Assistant Principal	Erin Savage	Bachelor of Arts Florida State University, Master of Science Education Leadership Nova Southeastern University	5	5	Azalea Middle School Gibbs High School Reading Coach Seminole High School 2007-2008: Grade B 2008-2009: Grade C 2009-2010: Grade A 2010-2011: Grade B 2011-2012: Grade Pending
Assistant Principal	Thomas Scott	Bachelor of Science Social Studies Education University of South Florida Master of Science Education Leadership Nova Southeastern University	1	17	Lakewood High School 1995-1996: No School Grade 1996-1997: No School Grade 1997-1998: No School Grade 1998-1999: Grade C 1999-2000: Grade C 2000-2001: Grade B 2001-2002: Grade B 2002-2003: Grade B 2003-2004: Grade C 2004-2005: Grade D 2005-2006: Grade D Dixie Hollins High School 2006-2007: Grade D 2007-2008: Grade D 2008-2009: Grade D 2009-2010: Grade D 2010-2011: Grade: B 2011-2012: Grade Pending
Assistant Principal	Douglas Williams	Bachelor of Arts in Marketing and Management University of South Florida, Master of Science Education Leadership National Louis University	3	3	Pinellas Park Middle School Teacher Seminole High School 2009-2010: Grade A 2010-2011: B 2011-2012: Grade Pending

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Instructional Coaches

List your school’s instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Reading	Allison Eisinga		1	0	

Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date
1. Department Heads will be informed of potential openings within their departments due to retirements, transfers or unit gained due to increased student population. Department Heads will inform high-qualified individuals at other schools of our openings and encourage them to transfer.	Principal and Department Heads	Ongoing throughout the year
2. Vacancies will be posted on the Pinellas County School’s job vacancy website with specific qualifications and certification requirements.	Principal and Assistant Principal for Curriculum	As vacancies occur
3. Open opportunities for all teachers to teach Advanced Placement (AP) courses by requiring all AP teachers to complete AP training and instructional strategies professional development every four years.	Individual Teachers	Annually

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who are NOT highly effective.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out-of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
Teaching out-of-field- 2% (2) –data from Henry NOT highly effective- X% (#)- not available	Will provide support to ensure all teacher s are properly in field and Highly Effective.

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	%ESOL Endorsed Teachers
115	7.83% (9)	13.91% (16)	38.26% (44)	40.00% (46)	35.65% (41)		11.30% (13)	2.61% (3)	8.70% (10)

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school’s teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Melodee Drake	Michelle Beckert	Same content area/New teacher	Observation of mentee’s instruction and providing feedback; Planning lessons with mentee; Connecting lesson activities to content standards; Discussing student progress and analyzing student work; Modeling or co-teaching lessons
Jennifer Cipolla	Anne Pekrul	Same content area/New teacher	
Zaiara Schnake	Terry Marlett	Same content area/New teacher	
Sue Wolodkowicz	Jennifer Kennan	Same subject area/New teacher	
Alan Smith	Jay Pritchard	Same subject area/New teacher	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Laura Leocata	Rebecca Gallegos	Same subject area/New teacher	
Bill Scales	Carl Brown	Same subject area/New teacher	
Harry Jones	Kyle Reade	Similar Certification as New Teacher	
Paul Crellin	Tim Martin	Same subject area/New teacher	
Kim Nunez	Sarah Martin	Same subject area/New teacher	
Lisa Pritchard	Tracy Smith	Same subject area/New teacher	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Additional Requirements

Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A Title I, Part A funds are utilized, in conjunction with district operating funds and other federal resources, to support teaching and learning, parental engagement, and professional development. Title I services are coordinated and integrated with other resources through the Division of Teaching and Learning, Student Assignment, and Research and Accountability.
Title I, Part C- Migrant NA in Pinellas
Title I, Part D The district receives Title I, Part D funds which provide transition services from alternative education programs to zoned schools. In addition, a portion of Title I, Part A funds is reserved for services to neglected and delinquent students. Funds are targeted to support continuous education services to students in neglected and delinquent facilities through tutoring, instructional materials and resources, and technology.
Title II The district receives funds to increase student achievement through professional development for teachers and administrators. Title II funds provide math and science coaches, as required by Differentiated Accountability, in some of the district's lowest performing schools. A portion of Title I, Part A funds is used to provide additional reading and math coaches in targeted schools based on FCAT results.
Title III Title III funds provide educational materials, bilingual translators, summer programs, and other support services to improve the education of immigrant and English Language Learners. Bilingual translators provide assistance with parent workshops and dissemination of information in various languages for Title I schools.
Title X- Homeless The district receives funds to provide resources for students for students identified as homeless under the McKinney-Vento Act to eliminate barriers for a free and appropriate education. A portion of Title I, Part A funds is also reserved to provide services to homeless students (social workers, a resource teacher, tutoring, and technology).
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI) SAI funds are coordinated with Title I, Part A funds to provide extended learning opportunities for students' before/during/after school and during the summer.
Violence Prevention Programs
Nutrition Programs Title I coordinates with district food services to provide breakfast and lunch to students in Title I summer extended learning camps.
Housing Programs
Head Start Title I, Part A funds are used to provide Pre-Kindergarten to Kindergarten transition services. Title I schools coordinate with staff from public and private preschool programs, including Head Start, to prepare students for a successful start to school. A portion of Title I, Part A funds is used to provide classes for 3 year olds at targeted elementary schools to support early literacy.
Adult Education
Career and Technical Education
Job Training
Other

June 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team
Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team. <i>Administration; language arts, math, and science, specialist/instructional coaches; behavior specialist; school psychologist; social worker; educational diagnostician, guidance counselor, General Education Teacher, and VE Specialist.</i>
Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts? <i>-Facilitator – generates agenda and leads team discussions -Data Manager(s)/Data Coach(es) – assist team in accessing and interpreting (aggregating/disaggregating) the data -Technology Specialist – brokers technology necessary to manage and display data -Recorder/Note Taker – documents meeting content and disseminates to team members in a timely manner as well as storing a hard copy in a binder for all teachers to access -Time Keeper –helps team begin on time and ensures adherence to agreed upon agenda</i> Meeting time: <i>Group meets monthly</i>
Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP? <i>The SBLT will be responsible for managing and coordinating these efforts between all school teams as well as reviewing and revising the School Improvement Plan.</i>
Multi-Tiered System(s) of Support (MTSS) Implementation
Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior. <i>School wide FCAT and End of Course Assessment data will be used for initial determination of needs, as the school year progresses additional student academic data will be available to the team via the school's student information data system: attendance, class, grades and student discipline. In addition to the school wide available data, individual teachers will introduce a student academic self-monitoring instruments during the 2011/2012 school year. The will provide specific academic data to assist the RtI team in addressing student needs the areas of reading, mathematics, science, writing and behavior.</i>
Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS. <i>The RtI plan will be presented to the faculty as a whole via department meetings. The RtI team leader Lois McKee will train Seminole High School's administrative team, the administrative team with the assistance of the RtI team; will train the faculty regarding the operational practices being followed by the RtI team.</i>
Describe the plan to support MTSS. <i>AT the beginning of the school year and then throughout the year specific Targets will be generated and monitored to develop processes aimed at helping students succeed while at Seminole High School and after they graduate. BY monitoring our systems and the progress of our students we will ensure that every student has the opportunity to succeed. Students or process proving deficient will be reviewed for effectiveness and modified as need to correct.</i>

June 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Shannon Chisholm-Perez: Language Arts/Reading Teacher
Joan Riedmiller: Language Arts/Reading Teacher
Theresa Hohmann: Math Teacher
Allison Eisinga: Reading Coach
Carol Sterling: Media Specialist
Erin Savage: Assistant Principal
Larry Browett: Science Teacher
Jennifer Cipolla: Language Arts/Reading Teacher
Charles Craig: Language Arts/Reading Teacher
Dawn Gentry: ESE Teacher
Brit Moseley: Language Arts/Reading Teacher
Lisa Pritchard: Language Arts/Reading Teacher
Patricia Reed: Social Studies Teacher
Jessica Hoag: Health Teacher
Christina Calderon: Business Teacher
Andrew Brosk: Social Studies Teacher
Norma Foster: ESE Teacher
Rosa Santell: Math Teacher

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

Literacy Leadership Teams create capacity of reading knowledge within the school by focusing on the following areas of literacy concern:

- *Support for text complexity*
- *Support for instructional skills to improve reading comprehension*
 - *Ensuring that text complexity, along with close reading and rereading of texts, is central to lessons*
 - *Providing scaffolding that does not preempt or replace text reading by students*
 - *Developing and asking text dependent questions from a range of question types*
 - *Emphasizing students supporting their answers based upon evidence from the text*
 - *Providing extensive research and writing opportunities (claims and evidence)*
- *Support for implementation of Common Core State Standards for Literacy in Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects (a focus on text, task, and instruction).*

The district will provide training and tools for Literacy Leadership Teams.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

- Support for text complexity
- Support for instructional skills to improve reading comprehension
- Support for implementation of Common Core State Standards for Literacy in Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects
- Promoting a culture of school-wide literacy
- Professional development that promotes student achievement such as Cornell Notes and WICR

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Public School Choice

- **Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification**

Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

***Grades 6-12 Only** Sec. 1003.413 (2) (b) F.S

For schools with grades 6-12, how does the school ensure that every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student?

- The school Literacy Leadership Team is established to grow the use of literacy strategies in all disciplines. The Team is composed of a cross section of the faculty that act as liaisons to help grow department wide literacy strategies in all classrooms
- The school has a Student Literacy Team that assists in the development and implementation of classroom literacy strategies.
- Teacher evaluations include a provision for teaching reading strategies to students. The teacher summative evaluation, in most cases, uses reading data as a portion of teacher performance.

***High Schools Only**

Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(2) (g), (2)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

- Students are provided with an array of course offerings that have applicable skills directly relating to various post-secondary training.
- Applied and integrated courses are found extensively in our schools' Center of Excellence program. Within the Center of Excellence program, students are required to take multiple integrated courses each year.
- Teachers use a daily common board configuration to identify learning targets for students and how it is relevant in their daily lives.

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

- Guidance counselors meet with students in individual, small group and large group settings to provide service for academic and social needs. Academic advisement leading into career choice awareness, exploration and planning occurs each year.
- Students meet with guidance counselors individually each year to identify and request courses for the upcoming school year. Counselors also conduct credit checks each year, with multiple meetings each year as student's progress through high school.
- Students have the ability to take a transitions course into and out of high school where transition skills are developed and career planning takes place.

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the [High School Feedback Report](#).

- The School Based Leadership Team analyzes the High School Feedback Report each year to determine strengths, weaknesses and problem solve gaps in data. Since the data is lagging by two years, it is sometimes difficult to quickly affect change. Some current and previous strategies used to increase postsecondary readiness include: implementation and increased use of the AVID program; increased participation in advanced coursework; establishment and expansion of Centers of Excellence; increased participation in ACT, CPT, and SAT exams; improved articulation with local colleges and postsecondary institutions; and participation in partnerships with St. Petersburg College.

June 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Reading Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1a.FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading.			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
Reading Goal #1a: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	24% (244)	Decrease level 1 & 2 from 41% to 31%					
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	1a.2. Walkthrough
			1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.			1b.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1b.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1b.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1b.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes aligned to access points when appropriate *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	1b.2. Walkthrough
Reading Goal #1b:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Improve current level of performance	25%	Decrease level 1,2,3					
			1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.
			1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in reading.			2a.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2a.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	2a.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students.	2a.1. Walkthrough
Reading Goal #2a:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Improve current level of performance	35% (364)	Increase level 4 and 5 by 5%					
			2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.
			2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in reading.			2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	2b.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points	2b.1. Walkthrough
Reading Goal #2b:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Improve current level of performance	75%	Increase level 7 by 5%					
			2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.
			2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.			3a.1. Lack of student engagement	3a.1. Differentiate Instruction	3a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	3a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	3a.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results IPI data when available State instructional walkthrough when applicable
Reading Goal #3a:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Improve current level of performance	61%	100%					
			3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.
			3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.			3b.1. Lack of student engagement	3b.1. Differentiate Instruction	3b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	3b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are scaffold appropriately to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are scaffold appropriately to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	3b.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results IPI data when available State instructional walkthrough when applicable
Reading Goal #3b:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Improve current level of performance	pending	100%					
			3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.
			3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
4a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.			4a.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	4a.1. Differentiate Instruction	4a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	4a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are scaffold appropriately to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are scaffolded appropriately to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	4a.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Reading Goal #4a:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Improve current level of performance	56%	100%					
			4a.2. Insufficient intervention supports exist to address the varying needs of students across academic and engagement areas	4a.2. Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives	4a.2. SBLT	4a.2. *SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses *Intervention and core teachers communicate and plan together regularly *Intervention curriculum is aligned with core instructional goals/objectives *Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within intervention courses *Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes *Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers *Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses	4a.2. Evidence of core teachers and intervention teachers communicating and planning; Lesson Plans & Walkthroughs
			4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

4b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.			4b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	4b.1. Differentiate Instruction	4b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	4b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	4b.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough	
Reading Goal #4b: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance: *	2013 Expected Level of Performance: *						
	Pending	100%						
			4b.2. Insufficient intervention supports exist to address the varying needs of students across academic and engagement areas	4b.2. Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives	4ab.2. SBLT	4b.2. *SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses *Intervention and core teachers communicate and plan together regularly *Intervention curriculum is aligned with core instructional goals/objectives *Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within intervention courses *Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes *Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers *Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses	4b.2. Evidence of core teachers and intervention teachers communicating and planning; Lesson Plans & Walkthroughs	
			4b.3	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target			2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Baseline data 2010-2011 49		58	66	75	83	92	100
Reading Goal #5A: <i>Improve proficiency</i>								

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading.	Reading Goal #5B:		White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian: Lack of differentiation of instruction	5b.1. Differentiate Instruction	5b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5b.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Improve current level of performance	White: 88% (536) Black:2% (13) Hispanic:6% (38) Asian:1% (9) American Indian: 0% (10) 100% of all subgroups to make a learning gain Increase proficiency of all subgroups by 10%					
			5B.2	5B.2	5B.2	5B.2	5B.2
			5B.3	5B.3	5B.3	5B.3	5B.3

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading.			5c.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5c.1. Differentiate Instruction	5c.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5c.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5c.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Reading Goal #5C:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:</u> *	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:</u> *					
Improve current level of performance	pending	100% of ELL students to make a learning gain An increase in proficiency by 10%					
			5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.
			5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading.			5d.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5d.1. Differentiate Instruction	5d.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5d.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5d.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Reading Goal #5D:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:</u> *	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:</u> *					
Improve current level of performance	pending	100% of all SWD students to make a learning gain An increase in proficiency by 10%					
			5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.
			5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.			5e.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5e.1. Differentiate Instruction	5e.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5e.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5e.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Reading Goal #5E: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance: pending	2013 Expected Level of Performance: 100% of economically disadvantaged students will make learning gains. An increase in proficiency by 10%					
			5E.2.	5E.2	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.
			5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3

Reading Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities						
Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Specific Reading Strand Training across curriculum.	9-12	Literacy Leadership Team	Entire staff via Department PLCs	Ongoing each month beginning October and ending in April.	Walk Thru and Instructional Review data.	Principal via data gathered from Walk Thru and Instructional Reviews.
Cornell Note Taking system	9-12	AVID Site Coordinator and Literacy Leadership Team	Entire Staff	Early Release Wednesdays through 1st semester of 2011/2012 school year.	PLC surveys and Walk Through Observations.	Administrative Team and Pro Ed Facilitator
WICOR Writing, Inquiry, Collaboration, Organization and Rigor	9-12	AVID Site Coordinator and Literacy Leadership Team	Entire Staff	2nd Semester Early release Wednesdays	PLC surveys and Walk Through Observations.	Administrative Team and Pro Ed Facilitator
Critical Reading Strategies	9-12	Literacy Leadership Team	Entire Staff	Professional Development Days	PLC surveys and Walk Through Observations.	Administrative Team and Pro Ed Facilitator

June 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.

Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Critical Reading Strategies	Collective knowledge of the Literacy Leadership Team; AVID Coordinator	None	0
WICR Learning Strategy	AVID Coordinator and Literacy Leadership Team's collective knowledge	None	\$0.00
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
FAIR assessments	On line testing, Reading Coach, Language Arts teachers, computer labs, computer technician, media center specialist.	None	\$0.00
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Early release Wednesdays will be dedicated to Critical Reading Strategies	Literacy Leadership team; County staff developers and Professional Development	None	0
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of Reading Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CELLA Goals		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition				
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring proficient in Listening/Speaking.		1.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	1.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	1.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students	1.1. Walkthrough
CELLA Goal #1: Improve current level of performance Number of students tested on CELLA: 9	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking: 78% (7)					
		1.2. Lack of differentiation of instruction	1.2. Differentiate Instruction	1.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1.2. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	1.2. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring proficient in Reading.		2.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	2.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	2.2. AP who evaluates teacher	2.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	2.2. Walkthrough
CELLA Goal #2: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading : 22% (2)					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3
Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3. Students scoring proficient in Writing.		3.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	3.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	3.1. AP who evaluates teacher	3.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	3.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
CELLA Goal #3: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing : 33% (3)					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

CELLA Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Reading Strand Training across curriculum.	Literacy Leadership Team	None	\$0.00
Critical Reading Strategies	Literacy Leadership Team	None	\$0.00
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
FAIR assessments	On line testing, Reading Coach, Language Arts teachers, computer labs, computer technician, media center specialist.	None	\$0.00
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
WICR Learning Strategy	AVID Coordinator and Literacy Leadership Team's collective knowledge	None	\$0.00
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of CELLA Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

High School Mathematics Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
Mathematics Goal #1:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Improve current level of performance	50%	Decrease level 1,2,3					
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	1a.2. Walkthrough
			1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.			2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	2b.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students’ readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students’ learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points	2b.1. Walkthrough
Mathematics Goal #2:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Improve current level of performance	50%	Increase level 7 by 5%					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
			2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.			3a.1. Lack of student engagement	3a.1. Differentiate Instruction	3a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	3a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	3a.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results IPI data when available State instructional walkthrough when applicable
Mathematics Goal #3:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Improve current level of performance	pending	100% of students will make learning gains					
			3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.
			3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
4. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #4: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	4a.1. Lack of student engagement	4a.1. Differentiate Instruction	4a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	4a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	4a.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results IPI data when available State instructional walkthrough when applicable
	pending	100% of students will make learning gains	4.a.2. Insufficient intervention supports exist to address the varying needs of students across academic and engagement areas	4.a.2. Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives	4.a.2. SBLT	4.a.2. *SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses *Intervention and core teachers communicate and plan together regularly *Intervention curriculum is aligned with core instructional goals/objectives *Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within intervention courses *Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes *Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers *Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses	4.a.2. Evidence of core teachers and intervention teachers communicating and planning; Lesson Plans & Walkthroughs
				4.3.	4.3.	4.3.	4.3.

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Algebra 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals *(this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Algebra I EOC)*

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Algebra EOC Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra.			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
Algebra Goal #1:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Improve current level of performance	36% (106)	Decrease level 1 and 2 By 10%					
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students’ background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	1a.2. Walkthrough
			1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy			Evaluation Tool	
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Algebra.			2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	2b.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points				2b.1. Walkthrough
Algebra Goal #2:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>								
Improve current level of performance	3% (9)	Increase level 4 and 5 by 5%								
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target			2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017		
3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	<u>Baseline data 2011-2012</u> 49		39%	51%	63%	76%	88%	100%		
Algebra Goal #3A: Improve current level of performance										
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy			Evaluation Tool	
3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.			3b.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian: Lack of differentiation of instruction	3b.1. Differentiate Instruction	3b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	3b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.				3b.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Algebra Goal #3B:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>								
Improve current level of performance	White: 81% 93 Black: 3% 3 Hispanic: 11% 13 Asian: 3% 3 American Indian: 0% 0	100% of all students subgroups by ethnicity to make a learning gain Increase proficiency of all student subgroups by ethnicity by 10%								
			3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.				3B.2.
			5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.				3B.3.

June 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.			5c.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5c.1. Differentiate Instruction	5c.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5c.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5c.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
<u>Algebra Goal #3C:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Improve current level of performance		100% of ELL students to make a learning gain Increase proficiency of ELL students by 10%					
			5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	3C.2.
			5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	3C.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.			5d.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5d.1. Differentiate Instruction	5d.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5d.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5d.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
<u>Algebra Goal #3D:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Improve current level of performance		100% of all SWD students to make a learning gain Increase proficiency of SWD students by 10%					
			5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	3D.2.
			5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	3D.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.			5e.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5e.1. Differentiate Instruction	5e.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5e.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5e.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
<u>Algebra Goal #3E:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Improve current level of performance		100% of Economically Disadvantaged students to make a learning gain Increase proficiency of Economically Disadvantaged students by 10%					
			5E.2	5E.2	5E.2	5E.2	3E.2.
			5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	3E.3

End of Algebra EOC Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Geometry End-of-Course Goals *(this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Geometry EOC)*

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Geometry EOC Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry.			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
<u>Geometry Goal #1:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Improve current level of performance		Decrease level 1 and 2 students					
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students’ background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	1a.2. Walkthrough
			1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Geometry.			2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	2b.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points	2b.1. Walkthrough	
Geometry Goal #2: Improve current level of performance .	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*						
		Increase level 4 and 5 by 5%						
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
			2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target			2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Baseline data 2010-2011							
Geometry Goal #3A: Improve current level of performance								

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.			5b.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian: Lack of differentiation of instruction	5b.1. Differentiate Instruction	5b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5b.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Geometry Goal #3B: Improve current level of performance	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
		100% of all student subgroups to make a learning gain Increase proficiency of all student subgroups by 10%					
			3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.
			3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.			3c.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	3c.1. Differentiate Instruction	3c.1. AP who evaluates teacher	3c.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	3c.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Geometry Goal #3C: Improve current level of performance	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
		100% of ELL students to make a learning gain Increase proficiency of of ELL students by 10%					
			3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.
			3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.			3d.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	3d.1. Differentiate Instruction	3d.1. AP who evaluates teacher	3d.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	3d.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Geometry Goal #3D: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		100% of SWD students to make a learning gain Increase proficiency of SWD students by 10%					
			3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.
			3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.			3e.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	3e.1. Differentiate Instruction	3e.1. AP who evaluates teacher	3e.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	3e.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Geometry Goal #3E: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		100% of Economically Disadvantaged students to make a learning gain Increase proficiency of Economically Disadvantaged students by 10%					
			3E.2	3E.2	3E.2	3E.2	3E.2.
			3E.3	3E.3	3E.3	3E.3	3E.3

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Mathematics Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities						
Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Strand and Standard Focus. How to utilize curriculum map and pacing guide to focus class instruction on specific areas to be assessed on EOC assessments.	9-12	Department Head and Lead Teacher in content area.	All math teachers	Ongoing throughout the school year	PLC Monthly surveys and Walk Through Observations	Administrative team, PLC groups and Pro Ed facilitator.
Use of common formal assessment data, county formal assessment data and 6 weeks grades.	9-12	Content area teachers of Algebra and Geometry	All math teachers	Ongoing throughout the school year	PLC Monthly surveys and Walk Through Observations	Administrative team, PLC groups and Pro Ed facilitator.
Development of school made assessments to monitor student growth on standards to be tested by state on EOC assessments.	9-12	All content area Teachers	All math teachers	Ongoing throughout the school year	PLC Monthly surveys and Walk Through Observations	Administrative team, PLC groups and Pro Ed facilitator.

**June 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011**

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Mathematics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Development and refining of course curriculum maps, and the development of common formal assessments.	Individual teacher experience, state standards and county developed pacing guides.	None	\$0.00
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Use of Smart Boards to increase Student Engagement.	SIP funds if they become available. PTSA funds if available. School Budget if available	SIP, PTSA, School Budget	NA
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of Mathematics Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

High School Science Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Level 4, 5, and 6 in science.			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
Science Goal #1:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Improve current level of performance	25%	Decrease level 1,2, and 3					
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students’ background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	1a.2. Walkthrough
			1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.			2.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2.1. AP who evaluates teacher	2.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points	2.1. Walkthrough
Science Goal #2:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Improve current level of performance	75%	Increase the level 7 by 5%					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
			2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

Biology 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals *(this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Biology I EOC)*

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Biology EOC Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Biology.			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
Biology Goal #1:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Improve current level of performance		Decrease the level 1 and 2					
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson	1a.2. Walkthrough

June 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

					agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
		1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology.		2.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2.1. AP who evaluates teacher	2.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points	2.1. Walkthrough
Biology Goal #2: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
		Increase level 4 and 5 by 5%				
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
			2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3

End of Biology EOC Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Science Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Development of school made assessments to monitor student growth on standards to be tested by state on EOC assessments.	9-12	Biology Lead Teacher	Biology Teachers	Ongoing throughout the school year	PLC Monthly surveys and Walk Through Observations	Administrative team and Pro Ed facilitator.
Implementation of county wide Biology Pacing Guide	9-12	Biology Lead Teacher	Biology Teachers	Ongoing throughout the school year	PLC Monthly surveys and Walk Through Observations	Administrative team and Pro Ed facilitator.

Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Common Formual Assessments training and Curriculum Map revision Professional Development. Development of common assessments based on EOC tested standards in Biology and Chemistry.	Common Assessment Bank of questions	School Budget	
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Increase the number of teachers applying for and completing the training to receive a Smart Board.	Smart Board training and utilization to increase student engagement.	NA	
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Train teachers to conduct Instructional Reviews	Teachers will conduct walk through observations and gather data to provide professional development suggestions for instructional delivery.	School Budget	
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of Science Goals

June 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Writing Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1a. FCAT: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing.			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
Writing Goal #1a: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Level 3: 85% 419	Decrease level 1,2 and 3 students					
	Level 4: 31% (153)						
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students’ background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	1a.2. Walkthrough

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Ib. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.			1b.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1b.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1b.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1b.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
Writing Goal #1b: Improve current level of performance	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	Level 4,5,6 100%	Decrease level 1,2 and 3 students					
	Level 7,8,9 0%						
			1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.
			1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.

End of Writing Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals *(required in year 2013-2014)*

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

U.S. History EOC Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History.			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
U.S. History Goal #1:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Establish baseline level of performance	Not available	Improved from baseline					
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	1a.2. Walkthrough
			1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in U.S. History.			2.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	2.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	2.1. AP who evaluates teacher	2.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	2.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
U.S. History Goal #2:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Establish baseline level of performance	Not available	Improved from baseline					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
			2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3

U.S. History Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Creating Common Assessments which compares student data with mastery of standards on grade period exam and week grades.	9-12	Content area teachers and Department Head	PLC will focus on reviewing academic data and instructional delivery providing highest result.	Ongoing though out year main emphasis is each six weeks.	Walk Through Observations and grade comparisons.	PLC, Department Head, and administration.
Walk Through observers monitoring Gradual Release Model.	9-12	Observers	Walk Through Data will be utilized to determine quality and quantity of Gradual Release Model of instruction.	Ongoing though out the school year. 1 st Semester will focus on coaching second semester will focus on professional development for areas not noting improvement.	Walk Throughs providing coaching documents and mandatory professional development.	Walk-Through observers, Department Heads, and Administration

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

U.S. History Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Implementation of Gradual Release model as noted by walk through observations.	Observers trained to conduct walk through with consistency and coaching instrument.	School Budget	NA
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
IPads to gather the walk through data	IPad and Walk Through Instrument converted into a File Pro document	School	NA
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Gradual Release process: specifically noted deficit areas such Guided practice and knowledge checks.	Walk Through observer notes and gathered data. County Professional developers.	School Budget	NA
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of U.S. History Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Attendance Goal(s)			Problem-solving Process to Increase Attendance				
Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Attendance			1.1. Lack of student engagement	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan	1.1. SBLT	1.1. Determine: Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Data-based monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly conducted	1.1. Decrease in Number of In-School Suspension Number of Students suspended In-School Number of out-of-school suspensions Number of Students suspended out-of-school Number of alternative bell assignments Number of students assigned to alternative bell schedule
Attendance Goal #1:	2012 Current Attendance Rate:*	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:*					
Improve current level of performance	90%	Greater than prior year					
	2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)					
	1186	10% decrease from prior year					
	2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)					
	0	10% decrease from prior year					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Gradual Release Process to improve student engagement.	9-12	Department heads	All instructional staff	Monthly PLCs	Monitor Monthly PLC surveys	Administrative team and Pro-Ed facilitator

Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Develop strategies to increase student engagement in classes.	Common Lesson Plan format Common Assessments which include "cold read" passages which measure student mastery of standards.	None	
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Use of internet to research topic and "cold read" passages	Internet and school computers	School Budget.	
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Development of Common Lesson Plan format by department	Collective experience of teachers in each department along with required lesson plan items.	School Budget	
Development of common assessments which include "cold read" passages which measure student mastery of standards.	Teacher PLCs and student academic data.	School Budget	
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of Attendance Goals

June 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Suspension Goal(s)			Problem-solving Process to Decrease Suspension				
Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Suspension			1.1. Lack of Student Engagement	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan	1.1. SBLT	1.1. Determine: Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Data-based monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly conducted	1.1. Decrease in Number of In-School Suspension Number of Students suspended In-School Number of out-of-school suspensions Number of Students suspended out-of-school Number of alternative bell assignments Number of students assigned to alternative bell schedule
Suspension Goal #1: Improve current level of performance	2012 Total Number of In-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions					
	192	10% decrease from prior year					
	2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In-School					
	78	10% decrease from prior year					
	2012 Number of Out-of-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions					
	1082	10% decrease from prior year					
	2012 Total Number of Students Suspended Out-of-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out-of-School					
	251	10% decrease from prior year					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Suspension Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Mentoring and Monitoring of at risk students to decrease the number of instances resulting in suspensions.	9-12	Mentor teacher	All teachers	On going	Monitoring discipline data	DOP teachers, case managers and administrators.

Suspension Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Implementation of Gradual Release Model with emphasis on Knowledge Checks and Guided Practice.	Class observers	School Budget	TBD	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Gradual Release Model Training	Use of Walk Through Data to improve level and use of Knowledge checks and Guided Practice.	School Budget	TBD	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Mentor and Monitoring Program	Use of instructional staff position to mentor and monitor student performance in areas of social, behavioral, and academic growth.	School Budget	TBD	
				Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Suspension Goals

June 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Dropout Prevention Goal(s) Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)		Problem-solving Process to Dropout Prevention					
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Dropout Prevention Dropout Prevention Goal #1: <i>*Please refer to the percentage of students who dropped out during the 2011-2012 school year.</i>		1.1. Students lack skills to plan for future aspirations and create educational goals	1.1. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1.1. Principal	1.1.	1.1. Walkthrough and teacher appraisal	
Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Dropout Rate:*						2013 Expected Dropout Rate:*
							10% decrease from prior year
	2012 Current Graduation Rate:*						2013 Expected Graduation Rate:*
	Pending						Improve rate from prior year
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.		
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.		

Dropout Prevention Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Mentor and Monitoring of at risk or identified students who are potential at risk students.	9-12	Mentor and Monitoring teacher	All staff	On going	Measure student progress each grading period as it relates to grades and attendance.	Principal
Introduction of two new courses on campus. Critical Thinking and Ethics.	9 th Grade	Social Studies	Social Studies teachers in 9 th grade	Ongoing throughout the year,	Monitor grades and test results on students assigned to these classes.	Teacher and Administration.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Dropout Prevention Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
After school evening tutoring	Use of ELP funds to schedule tutoring from 5:30 to 7:30 on Tuesday, Wednesday and Thursday throughout the school year in core academic areas. Teachers will be interviewed to man the evenings and provide support,	ELP	TBD
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Mentor and Monitoring Program	Use of instructional staff position to mentor and monitor student performance in areas of social, behavioral, and academic growth.	School Baased	TBD
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section.

Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involvement Goal(s)			Problem-solving Process to Parent Involvement				
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Parent Involvement <u>Parent Involvement Goal #1:</u> <i>*Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.</i>			1.1. Lack of frequent home-school communication in a variety of formats, and allows for families to support and supervise their child's educational progress	1.1. Provide frequent home-school communication in a variety of formats, and allows for families to support and supervise their child's educational progress	1.1. SBLT	1.1.	1.1.
Improve current level of performance	2012 Current level of Parent Involvement:*	2013 Expected level of Parent Involvement:*					
Portal logins by parents	92% (1,854)	Increased from prior year 1%					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Parent Involvement Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Making PTSA meetings open for general population to increase overall participation.	9-12	AP over PTSA	PTSA Officer Board	Ongoing primarily in September and October	Taking attendance at PTSA meetings	Administrator over PTSA

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Parent Involvement Budget

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.

Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:

Technology

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:

Professional Development

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:

Other

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Utilize the school's 50 th Anniversary to encourage additional volunteers and to establish goal of having 2,000 families register as members of the PTSA	Publicity	None	NA

Subtotal:

Total:

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
STEM Goal #1: <i>Increase the number of students participating in STEM related classes and participating on the school's Robotics team by 25% (25) over the 2011/2012 school year.</i>	1.1. Lack of student understanding of available courses and of the Robotics team.	1.1. Promote the classes with STEM emphasis and provide opportunities for Robotics team to promote their program on the morning show and in the school newsletter.	1.1. Robotics team sponsor	1.1. Class registration and Robotics team membership.	1.1. Attendance records.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

STEM Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Gradual Release Model of Instructional delivery.	9-12	Walk Through Observer	All staff	Ongoing throughout the school year.	Monitor walk through data and degree of student academic engagement	Walk through observers and administration.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.

Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:

Technology

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:

Professional Development

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Gradual Release implementation	Pro-ed facilitators	School Budget	TBD

Subtotal:

Other

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Publicity of program and classes	Publicize STEM offerings and Robotics club	School Budget	NA

Subtotal:

Total:

End of STEM Goal(s)

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
CTE Goal #1: <i>Decrease the number of students withdrawing from the Center For Education and Leadership Academy. 2011/2012 entering class was xxx students. The number of students remaining in the program from this class entering 2012/2013 is a 86% (9.5%) reduction.</i> <i>Goal is to improve the retention rate by 5% (4.9%) for students entering the program during the 2012/2013 school year.</i>	1.1. Student interest and engagement in the required activities of this academy.	1.1. Increase the number of teachers teaching in the academy to integrate the curriculum across contents.	1.1. Principal	1.1. Review of retention records	1.1. Enrollment records
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

CTE Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Integration of curriculum across all content and courses.	9, 10 and 11 th	CEL lead teacher	All CEL teachers	Ongoing throughout the school year.	Surveys of students and their interests	CEL lead teacher

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

CTE Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.

Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:

Technology

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:

Professional Development

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:

Other

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Integrate content in all core courses.	Have teachers introduce content in all contents and be utilized as elective teachers.	School Budget	\$300.00

Subtotal:

Total:

End of CTE Goal(s)

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Additional Goal I Wellness (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Additional Goal: Wellness			1.1.A: Failure to form a Healthy School Team.	1.1.A: Complete Healthy Schools Program 6 Step Process online https://schools.healthiergeneration.org/	1.1.A: Healthy School Team (school administrator, physical education teacher, cafeteria manager, health teacher/elementary classroom teachers (optional members – students, parents, school nurse)	1.1.A: Completion of 6 th Step of the Healthy School Program online (Celebrate Successes)	1.1.A: Healthy School Inventory (Evaluate Your School) online
Additional Goal #1: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*	B: Failure to assess students and upload Being Fit Matters/Fitness gram data	B: Complete Pre and Post Being Fit Matters/Fitness gram student assessments and upload data	B: physical education teachers	B: Compare Pre and Post Being Fit Matters/Fitness gram student assessments results	B: Being Fit Matters Statistical Report (Portal)
	A Data (Options): Not yet meeting Bronze Level on Healthy Schools Inventory	Options Set A: Not yet meeting Bronze Level on Healthy Schools Inventory					
	Meeting Bronze Level on Healthy Schools Inventory	Meeting Bronze Level on Healthy Schools Inventory					
	Meeting Silver Level on Healthy Schools Inventory	Meeting Silver Level on Healthy Schools Inventory					
	Meeting Gold Level on Healthy Schools Inventory	Meeting Gold Level on Healthy Schools Inventory					
	B Data: Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgram Data by school will be inserted here.	B Data: Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgram School will improve students' scores on one Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgram Assessment scores for selected by school.					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Additional Wellness Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Wellness activities	9-12	Coaches and Physical Education teachers	All staff	On going	Monitor student activities and participation in physical related activities	Wellness champions and Physical education teachers.

Additional Wellness Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Additional Goal II Bradley MOU (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Additional Goal: Black Academic Achievement			1.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	1.1. Differentiate Instruction	1.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	1.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Additional Goal #1:	2012 Current Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*					
There will be an increase in black student achievement	Reading: 2% (13) Math:(algebra) 3% (3)	All black students to make learning gains in reading and math					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Additional MOU Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Gradual Release Model of Instructional delivery.	9-12	Walk Through Observer	All staff	Ongoing throughout the school year.	Monitor walk through data and degree of student academic engagement	Walk through observers and administration.

Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
High Yield Instructional Strategies Gradual Release Model	Walk Through Observations	School Budget	TBD
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Gradual Release Model	Walk Through Observations	School Budget	TBD
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Additional Goal III Bradley MOU (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Additional Goal: Student Engagement for Black Students			1.1. Lack of Student Engagement	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan	1.1. SBLT	1.1. Determine: Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Data-based monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly conducted	1.1. Decrease in Number of In-School Suspension Number of Students suspended In-School Number of out-of-school suspensions Number of Students suspended out-of-school Number of alternative bell assignments Number of students assigned to alternative bell schedule
Additional Goal #1: There will be an increase in black student engagement	2012 Current Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*					
	School data for 60% (62)of black students receiving referrals found on EDS: School Wide Behavior Plan report	Decrease the percent of Black students receiving referrals, and Receiving in school and out of school suspensions					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional MOU II Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Gradual Release Model of Instructional delivery. Place major focus on increasing level of student engagement via Guided Practice.	9-12	Walk Through Observer	All staff	Ongoing throughout the school year.	Monitor walk through data and degree of student academic engagement	Walk through observers and administration.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
High Yield Instructional Strategies Gradual Release Model	Walk Through Observations	School Budget	TBD
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Gradual Release Model	Walk Through Observations	School Budget	TBD
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Additional Goal IV Bradley MOU (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Additional Goal: Black graduation rate			1.1. Lack of Student Engagement	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan	1.1. SBLT	1.1. Determine: Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Data-based monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly conducted	1.1. Increase in black graduation rate
Additional Goal #1: There will be an increase in black student graduation rate	2012 Current Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*					
	60% (9)	73% (8)					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional MOU Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Gradual Release Model of Instructional delivery. Place major focus on increasing level of student engagement via Guided Practice.	9-12	Walk Through Observer	All staff	Ongoing throughout the school year.	Monitor walk through data and degree of student academic engagement	Walk through observers and administration.
Cornell Note taking	9-12	AVID Teacher	All Staff	Ongoing throughout the year	Monitor walk through data	Walk through observers and administration.

June 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
High Yield Instructional Strategies Gradual Release Model	Walk Through Observations	School Budget	TBD
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Gradual Release Model	Walk Through Observations	School Budget	TBD
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
5000 Role Models	Providing positive role models for Black students.	County and School Budget	TBD
			Subtotal:
			Total:

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Additional Goal V Bradley MOU (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Additional Goal: Black advanced Coursework			1.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	1.1. Differentiate Instruction	1.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	1.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough Professional Development includes equity and cultural responsiveness
Additional Goal #1: <i>There will be an increase percent of black students enrolled in rigorous advanced coursework</i> <i>There will be an increase in performance of black students in rigorous advanced coursework</i>	<u>2012 Current Level :*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level :*</u>					
	Honors: 2% (16)	Increase from prior year					
	DE: 1% (1) AP: 2% (8)						
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Additional MOU Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Gradual Release Model of Instructional delivery. Place major focus on increasing level of student engagement via Guided Practice.	9-12	Walk Through Observer	All staff	Ongoing throughout the school year.	Monitor walk through data and degree of student academic engagement	Walk through observers and administration.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
High Yield Instructional Strategies Gradual Release Model	Walk Through Observations	School Budget	TBD
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Gradual Release Model	Walk Through Observations	School Budget	TBD
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
5000 Role Models	Providing positive role models for Black students.	County and School Budget	TBD
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of Additional Goal(s)

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Final Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.

Reading Budget	Total:
Mathematics Budget	Total:
Science Budget	Total:
Writing Budget	Total:
Attendance Budget	Total:
Suspension Budget	Total:
Dropout Prevention Budget	Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	Total:
Additional Goals	Total:
	Grand Total:

End of Additional Goal(s)

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Final Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	Total:
CELLA Budget	Total:
Mathematics Budget	Total:
Science Budget	Total:
Writing Budget	Total:
Civics Budget	Total:
U.S. History Budget	Total:
Attendance Budget	Total:
Suspension Budget	Total:
Dropout Prevention Budget	Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	Total:
STEM Budget	Total:
CTE Budget	Total:
Additional Goals	Total:
	Grand Total:

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school’s DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under “Default value” header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an “x” in the box.)

School Differentiated Accountability Status		
<input type="checkbox"/> Priority	<input type="checkbox"/> Focus	<input type="checkbox"/> Prevent

- Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the *Upload* page

School Advisory Council (SAC)

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting *Yes* or *No* below.

Yes No

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.

Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.
SAC will continue to meet and provide advice to the school administration regarding information and details related to improving the school and all attribute associated to the school and how it functions.

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
No funds have been provided.	