FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

School Improvement Plan (SIP)
Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

School Information

School Name:2691North Shore Elementary School	District Name: Pinellas County Schools
Principal: Juanita Deason	Superintendent: John A. Stewart, Ed.D.
SAC Chair: Jeannie Hunt	Date of School Board Approval: October 19, 2012

Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.
School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)
Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data(Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)
High School Feedback Report
K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

Administrators

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
Principal	Juanita Deason	BA, MA, EDS	14	19	2011-12- Grade: C, Reading 58%, Math, 39%, Science, 31%, Writing, 74%, Learning Gains (R), 61%, (M), 65%; Lowest 25%, (R), 65% (M), 70%. 2010-11 Grade: C, Reading; 76%, Math; 62%, Science; 45%, Writing; 76%; Learning Gains- Reading ; 64%, Math;46%; Lowest 25%-Reading;57%; Math; 50%.
Assistant Principal	Marjorie Lorand	BS, MS, MA-Ed.Leadership	13	2	2011-12- Grade: C, Reading 58%, Math, 39%, Science, 31%, Writing, 74%, Learning Gains (R), 61%, (M), 65%; Lowest 25%, (R), 65% (M), 70%. 2010-11 Grade: C, Reading; 76%, Math; 62%, Science; 45%, Writing; 76%; Learning Gains- Reading ; 64%, Math;46%; Lowest 25%-Reading;57%; Math; 50%.

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Instructional Coaches

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as anInstructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)

Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date
1. Teachers meet weekly in Professional Learning Communities.	Juanita Deason- Prinicpal	June, 2013
2. Partnering new teachers with veteran staff at the new teacher's grade level.	Juanita Deason- Prinicpal	Aug., 2012
3. The open door policy of the administrative staff invites teachers to comfortably discuss pertinent and pressing issues and provide support throughout the year.	Juanita Deason- Prinicpal	ongoing
4. All teachers are highly qualified.	Juanita Deason- Prinicpal	Aug., 2012

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who are NOT highly effective.
*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out-of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	%ESOL Endorsed Teachers
32	0%	19% (6)	41% (13)	41% (13)	38% (12)	100%	6% (2)	9% (3)	86%

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Anne Newsome	Amy Kohnle	Teacher is teaching Kdg for the first year.	Observation of mentee's instruction and providing feedback; Planning lessons with mentee; Connecting lesson activities to content standards; Discussing student
Amy Pendergrass	Christin Frey	Teacher is teaching varying exceptionalities resource for the first year.	
Michelle Brommelsick	Jen Greitzer	Teacher is teaching 4th grade for the first year.	

			progress and analyzing student work; Modeling or co-teaching lessons

Additional Requirements

*Coordination and Integration-***Title I Schools Only**

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A Title I, Part A funds are utilized, in conjunction with district operating funds and other federal resources, to support teaching and learning, parental engagement, and professional development. Title I services are coordinated and integrated with other resources through the Division of Teaching and Learning, Student Assignment, and Research and Accountability.
Title I, Part C- Migrant NA in Pinellas
Title I, Part D The district receives Title I, Part D funds which provide transition services from alternative education programs to zoned schools. In addition, a portion of Title I, Part A funds is reserved for services to neglected and delinquent students. Funds are targeted to support continuous education services to students in neglected and delinquent facilities through tutoring, instructional materials and resources, and technology.
Title II The district receives funds to increase student achievement through professional development for teachers and administrators. Title II funds provide math and science coaches, as required by Differentiated Accountability, in some of the district's lowest performing schools. A portion of Title I, Part A funds is used to provide additional reading and math coaches in targeted schools based on FCAT results.
Title III Title III funds provide educational materials, bilingual translators, summer programs, and other support services to improve the education of immigrant and English Language Learners. Bilingual translators provide assistance with parent workshops and dissemination of information in various languages for Title I schools.
Title X- Homeless The district receives funds to provide resources for students for students identified as homeless under the McKinney-Vento Act to eliminate barriers for a free and appropriate education. A portion of Title I, Part A funds is also reserved to provide services to homeless students (social workers, a resource teacher, tutoring, and technology).
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI) SAI funds are coordinated with Title I, Part A funds to provide extended learning opportunities for students before/during/after school and during the summer.

Violence Prevention Programs
Nutrition Programs Title I coordinates with district food services to provide breakfast and lunch to students in Title I summer extended learning camps.
Housing Programs
Head Start Title I, Part A funds are used to provide Pre-Kindergarten to Kindergarten transition services. Title I schools coordinate with staff from public and private preschool programs, including Head Start, to prepare students for a successful start to school. A portion of Title I, Part A funds is used to provide classes for 3 year olds at targeted elementary schools to support early literacy.
Adult Education
Career and Technical Education
Job Training
Other

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team. Angela Warner Kelly Tibbles Nancy Miretello Talya Howard Juanita Deason Marjorie Lorand

Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts? -Facilitator – generates agenda and leads team discussions -Data Manager(s)/Data Coach(es) – assist team in accessing and interpreting (aggregating/disaggregating) the data -Technology Specialist – brokers technology necessary to manage and display data -Recorder/Note Taker – documents meeting content and disseminates to team members in a timely manner as well as storing a hard copy in a binder for all teachers to access -Time Keeper –helps team begin on time and ensures adherence to agreed upon agenda Meeting time: Wed. @ 7:30 a.m. in the Conference Room

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP? The school-based MTSS leadership team will focus meetings on the development and maintenance of a problem-solving system to bring out the best in our teachers, our students and our school community. The MTSS leadership team meets once a week to collaborate, problem solve, share effective practices, evaluate implementation, make decisions, and practice new processes and skills, based on current school data and observations. The team will also facilitate the process of building consensus, increasing infrastructure, and making decisions about implementation. A member of the staff from primary and intermediate grade levels will meet monthly with members of the MTSS leadership team to collaborate, problem solve, share effective practices, evaluate implementation, make decisions, and practice new processes and skills, based on current school data and observations. The school MTSS leadership team will meet every 5-6 weeks to review universal screening data and link to instructional decisions; review progress monitoring data at the grade level and classroom level to identify students who are meeting/exceeding benchmarks, at moderate risk or at high risk for not meeting benchmarks. Based on the above information, the team will identify professional development and resources.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior. Tier 1: Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN), Florida Kindergarten Readiness Screener (FLKRS), Early Childhood Observation Systems (ECHOS), Florida Assessments for Instruction in Reading (FAIR), Pinellas Classroom Assessment Series (PCAS), Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT) Tier 2: Florida Assessments for Instruction in Reading (FAIR), Progress Monitoring data; classroom assessments and observations

Tier 3: FAIR, Progress Monitoring data; classroom observations

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.
Professional Development will be provided in a variety of ways: embedded in the classroom through on-going modeling and work with literacy coach and district staff developers, provided during weekly grade level Professional Learning Community (PLC) meetings, and teachers' common planning time. Regular staff development opportunities to train staff on RtI/Behavior (Positive Behavior Plans) will be scheduled during early release days or monthly curriculum meetings.
Describe the plan to support MTSS.
Administrators are a part of the MTSS leadership team and participate in training and activities for the team. Regular times to meet and will be adhered to. Agendas and meeting minutes will be supplied to all members and available for staff.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team
Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).
Amy Kohnle, Cameron Frey, Mary McLean, Jen Greitzer, Paul Heyne, Glenda Mauger, Kristin Verhine and Nita Deason.
Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).
Literacy Leadership Teams create capacity of reading knowledge within the school by focusing on the following areas of literacy concern:
• Support for text complexity
• Support for instructional skills to improve reading comprehension
o Ensuring that text complexity, along with close reading and rereading of texts, is central to lessons
o Providing scaffolding that does not preempt or replace text reading by students
o Developing and asking text dependent questions from a range of question types
o Emphasizing students supporting their answers based upon evidence from the text
o Providing extensive research and writing opportunities (claims and evidence)
• Support for implementation of Common Core State Standards for Literacy in Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects (a focus on text, task, and instruction).
The district will provide training and tools for Literacy Leadership Teams.
What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?
Support for text complexity
• Support for instructional skills to improve reading comprehension
• Support for implementation of Common Core State Standards for Literacy in Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects

Public School Choice

- **Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification**
  Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

Kindergarten Teachers will hold an orientation for incoming students and their parents prior to the beginning of the school year. Readiness skills will be emphasized and good choices for academic and social characteristics will be presented. Materials will be available, as well as pamphlets covering a variety of helpful parenting subjects ranging from parenting skills, helping with homework, students with disabilities and what to expect at a parent teacher conference.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Reading Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1a.FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading. Reading Goal #1a: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* (30%) (62)	2013Expected Level of Performance:* Decrease level 1&2 from 43% To 33%	1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
			1a.2. Insufficient	1a.2. Implement High Yield	1a.2. Administrator who	1a.2. Determine:	1a.2. Walkthrough

		standard based instruction	Instructional Strategies	evaluates teacher	*Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
		1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. Administrator who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.		1b.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1b.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1b.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1b.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes aligned to access points when appropriate *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc.	1b.2. Walkthrough

Reading Goal #1b:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*
Improve current level of performance	#N/A	Decrease level 1,2,3

						*Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
			1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.
			1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2a.FCAT 2.0:Students scoring at or above AchievementLevels 4 and 5 in reading. Reading Goal #2a: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 25% (52)	2013Expected Level of Performance:* Increase level 4 and 5 by 5%	2a.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2a.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2a.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	2a.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students	2a.1. Walkthrough
			2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.
			2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

2b. **Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in reading.**			2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	2b.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points	2b1. Walkthrough
Reading Goal #2b: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* #N/A	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Increase level 7 by 5%					
			2b.2.	2b2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.
			2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3a. **FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.**			3a.1. Lack of student engagement	3a.1. Differentiate Instruction	3a.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	3a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs.	3a.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results IPI data when available State instructional walkthrough when applicable
Reading Goal #3a: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* pending	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 100%					

					*These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
		3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.
		3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a..3.	3a.3.
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: **Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.** Reading Goal #3b: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* N/A	2013Expected Level of Performance:* 100%	3b.1. Lack of student engagement	3b.1. Differentiate Instruction	3b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	3b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	3b.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results IPI data when available State instructional walkthrough when applicable
			3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.
			3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
4a.FCAT 2.0:Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.	4a.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	4a.1. Differentiate Instruction	4a.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	4a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	4a.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
4a. Reading Goal #4a: Improve current level of performance 2012 Current Level of Performance:* — pending 2013Expected Level of Performance:* — 100%	4a.2. Insufficient intervention supports exist to address the varying needs of students across academic and engagement areas	4a.2. Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives	4a.2. SBLT	4a.2. *SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses *Intervention and core teachers communicate and plan together regularly *Intervention curriculum is aligned with core instructional goals/objectives *Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within intervention courses *Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes *Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers *Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses	4a.2. Evidence of core teachers and intervention teachers communicating and planning; Lesson Plans & Walkthroughs

June 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

14

		4a.3	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.
4b. Florida Alternate Assessment: **Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.** Reading Goal #4b: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* / 2013Expected Level of Performance:* — N/A / 100%	4b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	4b.1. Differentiate Instruction	4b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	4b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	4b.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
		4b.2. Insufficient intervention supports exist to address the varying needs of students across academic and engagement areas	4b.2. Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives	4ab.2. SBLT	4b.2. *SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses *Intervention and core teachers communicate and plan together regularly *Intervention curriculum is aligned with core instructional goals/objectives *Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within intervention courses *Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes *Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers *Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses	4b.2. Evidence of core teachers and intervention teachers communicating and planning; Lesson Plans & Walkthroughs

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		4b.3	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target		2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Reading Goal #5A: *Enter narrative for the goal in this box.*	Baseline data 2010-2011 71	76	81	86	90	95	100
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy		Evaluation Tool	
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading.	5b.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian: Lack of differentiation of instruction	5b.1. Differentiate Instruction	5b.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	5b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in	5b.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough	

June 2012
June 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

						different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
Reading Goal #5B: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* White:77 68% Black: 17 15% Hispanic: 14 12% Asian: 1 1% American Indian: 1 1%	2013Expected Level of Performance:* 100% of all subgroups to make a learning gain Increase proficiency of all subgroups by 10%					
			5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5C: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* *pending*	2013Expected Level of Performance:* 100% of ELL students to make a learning gain An increase in	5c.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5c.1. Differentiate Instruction	5c.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	5c.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs.	5c.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
		proficiency by 10%				*These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
			5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.
			5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD)not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5D: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 24% 8	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 100% of all SWD students to make a learning gain An increase in proficiency by 10%	5d.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5d.1. Differentiate Instruction	5d.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	5d.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5d.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
			5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.
			5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.			5e.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5e.1. Differentiate Instruction	5e.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	5e.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5e.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Reading Goal #5E: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* *pending*	2013Expected Level of Performance:* 100% of economically disadvantaged students will learning gain An increase in proficiency by 10%					
			5E.2.	5E.2	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.
			5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3

Reading Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities						
Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Differentiating learning	K-5	PLC leader	PLC	By Dec, 2012	Review PLC notes, lesson plans	Administrators
Implement high yield instructional strategies	K-5	R/L Coaches	Grade level coaching sessions	By May, 2013	Review meeting agendas, lesson plans	Administrators
Increase instructional rigor	3-5	Intermediate R/L Coach, Learning Specialist	PLC, Curriculum Meetings 1X/month	By Jan, 2013	Review training notes, agendas, lesson plans	Administrators

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Provide intensive interventions to struggling students	Hourly Teachers	Title 1 funds	$88,129.44
Provide materials for intervention	Program materials	Title 1 funds	$3,970.00
			Subtotal: $92,099.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total: $92,099.00

End of Reading Goals

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<u>Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals</u>

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CELLA Goals		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition				
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring proficient in Listening/Speaking. <u>CELLA Goal #1:</u> Improve current level of performance Number CELLA tested: 13	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking: 54% 7	1.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	1.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	1.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	1.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students	1.1. Walkthrough
		2.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2.1. Differentiate Instruction	2.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	2.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the	2.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

June 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

					needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring proficient in Reading. <u>CELLA Goal #2:</u> Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading : 23% 3	2.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	2.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	2.2. Administrator who evaluates teacher	2.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	2.2. Walkthrough
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3. Students scoring proficient in Writing. CELLA Goal #3: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing : 23% 3	3.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	3.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	3.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	3.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	3.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

CELLA Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
		Subtotal:	
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
		Subtotal:	
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
		Subtotal:	
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
		Subtotal:	
		Total:	

End of CELLA Goals

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary Mathematics Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1a.FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at AchievementLevel 3 in mathematics.			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
Mathematics Goal #1a: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 48 23%	2013Expected Level of Performance:* Decrease in level 1 and 2 from 60% To 50%					
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. Administrator who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc.	1a.2. Walkthrough

June 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

						*Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
		1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. Administrator who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results	
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.		1b.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1b.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1b.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1b.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes aligned to access points when appropriate *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	1b.2. Walkthrough	
Mathematics Goal #1b: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* #N/A	2013Expected Level of Performance:* Decrease in level 1,2 and 3					
		1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2a.FCAT 2.0:Students scoring at or above AchievementLevels 4 and 5 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2a: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 15% 30 / 2013Expected Level of Performance:* Increase in level 4 and 5 by 5%	2a.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2a.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2a.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	2a.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students	2a.1. Walkthrough
		2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.
		2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2b: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* #N/A / 2013Expected Level of Performance:* Increase in level 7 by 5%	2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	2b.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal	2b1. Walkthrough

						and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of studentsaligned to FAA access points	
			2b2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.
			2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3a: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* *pending*	2013Expected Level of Performance:* 100% of students will make a learning gain	3a.1. Lack of student engagement	3a.1. Differentiate Instruction	3a.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	3a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and	3a.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results IPI data when available State instructional walkthrough when applicable

						understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
			3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.
			3a.3.	3a.3.	3a..3.	3a.3.	3a.3.
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.			3b.1. Lack of student engagement	3b.1. Differentiate Instruction	3b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	3b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	3b.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results IPI data when available State instructional walkthrough when applicable
Mathematics Goal #3b:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
Improve current level of performance	N/A	100% of students will make learning gains					
			3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.
			3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
4a.FCAT 2.0:Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.			4a.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	4a.1. Differentiate Instruction	4a.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	4a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	4a.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Mathematics Goal #4a: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* *pending*	2013Expected Level of Performance:* 100% of students will make a learning gain					
			4a.2. Insufficient intervention supports exist to address the varying needs of students across academic and engagement areas	4a.2. Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives	4a.2. SBLT	4a.2. *SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses *Intervention and core teachers communicate and plan together regularly *Intervention curriculum is aligned with core instructional goals/objectives *Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within intervention courses *Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes *Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers	4a.2. Evidence of core teachers and intervention teachers communicating and planning; Lesson Plans & Walkthroughs

June 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

						*Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses	
			4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3

4b. **Florida Alternate Assessment:** Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.			4b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	4b.1. Differentiate Instruction	4b.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	4b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	4b.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Mathematics Goal #4b: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* *pending*	2013Expected Level of Performance:* 100% of students will make a learning gain					
			4b.2. Insufficient intervention supports exist to address the varying needs of students across academic and engagement areas	4b.2. Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives	4ab.2. SBLT	4b.2. *SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses *Intervention and core teachers communicate and plan together regularly *Intervention curriculum is aligned with core instructional goals/objectives	4b.2. Evidence of core teachers and intervention teachers communicating and planning; Lesson Plans & Walkthroughs

					*Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within intervention courses *Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes *Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers *Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses	
		4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target		**2011-2012**	**2012-2013**	**2013-2014**	**2014-2015**	**2015-2016**	**2016-2017**
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Mathematics Goal #5A: Improve current level of performance	58	62	65	69	72	76	79

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) **not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.** Mathematics Goal #5B:	2012 Current Level of Performance:* / 2013Expected Level of Performance:*	5b.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	5b.1. Differentiate Instruction	5b.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	5b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level	5b.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough	

Improve current level of performance	White: 69% 54 Black: 10% 8 Hispanic: 13% 10 Asian: 1% 1 American Indian: 1% 1	100% of student subgroups will make learning gains An increase in proficiency by 10%	Lack of differentiation of instruction			*Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
			5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. <u>Mathematics Goal #5C:</u> Improve current level of performance	5c.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5c.1. Differentiate Instruction	5c.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	5c.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning	5c.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

Sub-columns for 5C goal:

2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*
pending	100% of ELL students will make learning gains An increase in proficiency by 10%

			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
						needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
			5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.
			5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD)not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5D: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* pending	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 100% of SWD students will make learning gains An increase in proficiency by 10%	5d.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5d.1. Differentiate Instruction	5d.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	5d.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5d.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
			5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5E: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* pending	2013Expected Level of Performance:* 100% of Economically Disadvantaged students will make learning gains An increase in proficiency by 10%	5e.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5e.1. Differentiate Instruction	5e.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	5e.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5e.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
			5E.2	5E.2	5E.2	5E.2	5E.2
			5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

June 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Math Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Increasing instructional rigor; using essential questions	K-5	Learning Specialist	Curriculum Meetings	1st Tuesday of month beginning in Oct., 2012	Review training notes and lesson plans	Administrators

Math Goals Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			Subtotal:
			Total:

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary and Middle Science Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1a.FCAT 2.0:Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science. Science Goal #1a: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 23% 15	2013Expected Level of Performance:* Decrease the number of level 1 and 2	1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
			1a.2. Insufficient standard	1a.2. Implement High Yield	1a.2. Administrator who	1a.2. Determine:	1a.2. Walkthrough

		based instruction	Instructional Strategies	evaluates teacher	*Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
		1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. Administrator who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
1b.Florida Alternate Assessment:Students scoring at Level 4, 5, and 6 in science. Science Goal #1b: Improve current level of	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 2013Expected Level of Performance:*	1b.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1b.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1b.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide	1b.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans

performance	#N/A	Decrease the number of level 1,2, and 3				*Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	
			1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.
			1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2a. FCAT 2.0:Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in science.			2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	2b.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their	2b1. Walkthrough
Science Goal #2a: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
	6% 4	Increase the level 4 and 5 students 5%					

					personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points	
		2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.
		2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment:Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science. Science Goal #2b: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* #N/A	2013Expected Level of Performance:* Increase the level 7 by 5%	2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	2b.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points	2b1. Walkthrough
			2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.
			2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

Science Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic	Grade	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates (e.g. , Early	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

and/or PLC Focus	Level/Subject	and/or PLC Leader	(e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Monitoring
Increasing instructional rigor; using essential questions	K-5	Learning Specialist	Curriculum training sessions	First Tuesday of month beginning in Oct, 2012	Review training notes, lesson plans	Administrators

Science Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
		Subtotal:	
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
		Subtotal:	
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
		Subtotal:	
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
		Subtotal:	
		Total:	

End of Science Goals

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Writing Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1a. FCAT:Students scoring at Achievement Level3.0 and higher in writing.			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
Writing Goal #1a: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
	74% 56 Level 4 and above 14% 11	Decrease number of level 1,2 and 3 students					
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. Administrator who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by	1a.2. Walkthrough

					connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
			1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. Administrator who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment:Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.			1b.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1b.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1b.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	1b.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential	1b.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans

Writing Goal #1b:

Improve current level of performance

2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*
#N/A Level 7 and above #N/A	Decrease number of level 1,2 and 3 students

| | | | | | question
*Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question
*Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it
*Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | 1b.2. | 1b.2. | 1b.2. | 1b.2. | 1b.2. |
| | | 1b.3. | 1b.3. | 1b.3. | 1b.3. | 1b.3. |

End of Writing Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Implementing high yield strategies; Using rubrics with students	K-5	PLC leader	PLC members	By Dec, 2012	Review PLC notes, lesson plans	Administrators

Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Attendance Goal(s)		Problem-solving Process to Increase Attendance				
Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Attendance <u>Attendance Goal #1:</u> Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Attendance Rate:* / 2013 Expected Attendance Rate:* 94% / Greater than prior year 2012 Current Number of Studentswith / 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences	1.1. Lack of student engagement	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan	1.1. SBLT	1.1. Determine: Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are	1.1. Decrease in Number of In-School Suspension Number of Students suspended In-School Number of out-of-school suspensions Number of Students suspended out-of-school

June 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	Excessive Absences (10 or more)	(10 or more)				proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Data-based monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly conducted	Number of alternative bell assignments Number of students assigned to alternative bell schedule	
	168	10% decrease from prior year						
	2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)						
	127	10% decrease from prior year						
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Increase student engagement	K-5	PLC leader	PLC members	Weekly PLC meetings	Review PLC notes	Administrators

Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of Attendance Goals

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Suspension Goal(s)		Problem-solving Process to Decrease Suspension				
Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Suspension Suspension Goal #1: Improve current level of performance	2012Total Number of In –School Suspensions	1.1. Lack of Student Engagement	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan	1.1. SBLT	1.1. Determine: Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Data-based monitoring and adaptations to the plan are	1.1. Decrease in Number of In-School Suspension Number of Students suspended In-School Number of out-of-school suspensions Number of Students suspended out-of-school Number of alternative bell assignments Number of students assigned to alternative bell schedule
	2013 Expected Number of In- School Suspensions					
	16	10% decrease from prior year				
	2012Total Number of Students Suspended In-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In -School				
	12	10% decrease from prior year				
	2012Number of Out-	2013 Expected				

					regularly conducted	
of-School Suspensions	Number of Out-of-School Suspensions					
44	10% decrease from prior year					
2012Total Number of Students Suspended Out- of- School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School					
17	10% decrease from prior year					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Suspension Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Increasing student engagement	K-5	PLC Leaders	PLC	Weekly PLC meetings	Review PLC Notes, Lesson Plans	Administrators

Suspension Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
		Subtotal:	
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of Suspension Goals

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section.
Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involvement Goal(s)		Problem-solving Process to Parent Involvement				
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Parent Involvement Parent Involvement Goal #1: *Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.*		1.1. Lack of frequent home-school communication in a variety of formats, and allows for families to support and supervise their child's educational progress	1.1. Provide frequent home-school communication in a variety of formats, and allows for families to support and supervise their child's educational progress	1.1. SBLT	1.1 Determine: *Most convenient times for parents to attend events and conferences. *Best method for contacting parents regarding events and conferences *Types of information parents want to receive	1.1. Notifications provided in formats parents indicate are most effective (newsletters, fliers, School Messenger, agenda books, email)
Improve current level of performance	2012 Current level of Parent Involvement:*	2013 Expected level of Parent Involvement:*				

June 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

Portal logins by parents		Increase by 20%				regarding their child's learning.	
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Parent Involvement Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Increasing communication with parents	K-5	Learning Specialist	PLC	PLC meetings (dates and times vary)	Monitor agenda use in discussions; Collect sign in sheets and conference schedules.	Administrators

Parent Involvement Budget

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Increase communication	Agenda Planners (1-5 grades)	Title 1 funds	$1,400.00
Increase communication	Pledges for Success/Postage	Title 1 funding	$325.00
			Subtotal: $1,725.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Increasing communication	Use professional presenters for some events	Title 1	$1,0000
			Subtotal: $1,000.00
Other			
Strategy Increase communication	Description of Resources Provide a meal at some events to encourage attendance at events occurring during the dinner hour.	Funding Source Title 1	Amount $618.00
			Subtotal: $618.00
			Total: $3,343.00

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Additional Goal I Wellness (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Additional Goal: Wellness		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Additional Goal #1: Improve current level of	2012 Current Level :* 2013 Expected Level :*					

performance	Meeting Bronze Level on Healthy Schools Inventory	Meeting Silver Level on Healthy Schools Inventory	A: Failure to form a Healthy School Team.	A: Complete Healthy Schools Program 6 Step Process online https://schools.healthiergeneration.org/	A: Healthy School Team (school administrator, physical education teacher, cafeteria manager, health teacher/elementary classroom teachers (optional members – students, parents, school nurse)	A: Completion of 6th Step of the Healthy School Program online (Celebrate Successes)	A: Healthy School Inventory (Evaluate Your School) online
	B Data: Being Fit Matters/ Fitnessgram *Data by school will be inserted here.*	B Data: Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgram School will improve students' scores on one Being Fit Matters/ Fitnessgram Assessment scores for *to be selected by school.*	B: Failure to assess students and upload Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgram data	B: Complete Pre and Post Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgram student assessments and upload data	B: physical education teachers	B: Compare Pre and Post Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgram student assessments results	B: Being Fit Matters Statistical Report (Portal)
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional Wellness Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Additional Wellness Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

Additional Goal II Bradley MOU (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

June 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

1. Additional Goal: Black Academic Achievement			1.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	1.1. Differentiate Instruction	1.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	1.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	1.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Additional Goal #1: There will be an increase in black student achievement	2012 Current Level :* Reading level 3 and above:15% (17) MathLevel 3and above: 10% (8)	2013 Expected Level :* All black students to make learning gains in reading and math					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional MOU II Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Differentiating Learning	K-5	Math and Reading Coaches Learning Specialist	PLC; school-wide curriculum meetings	By Dec, 2012	Review training notes, lesson plans	Administrators

June 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

Additional Goal III Bradley MOU (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Additional Goal: Student Engagement for Black Students Additional Goal #1: There will be an increase in black student engagement	2012 Current Level :* **School data for % of black students receiving referrals found on EDS: School Wide Behavior Plan report**	2013 Expected Level :* Decrease the percent of Black students receiving referrals, and Receiving in school and out of school suspensions	1.1. Lack of Student Engagement	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan	1.1. SBLT	1.1. Determine: Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Data-based monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly conducted	1.1. Decrease in Number of In-School Suspension Number of Students suspended In-School Number of out-of-school suspensions Number of Students suspended out-of-school Increase in: Celebrations for positive behaviors. Mentors to students
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional MOU III Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Differentiating Learning	K-5	Reading and Math Coaches, Learning Specialist	PLC; school-wide curriculum meetings	By Dec, 2012	Review training notes, lesson plans	Administrators

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Additional MOU III Goals Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

Additional Goal IV Bradley MOU (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Additional Goal: Black advanced Coursework	1.1. Lack of differentiation of	1.1. Differentiate Instruction	1.1. Administrator who	1.1. Content materials are differentiated	1.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Additional Goal #1:	2012 Current Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*	instruction		evaluates teacher	by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	Professional Development includes equity and cultural responsiveness
There will be an increase percent of black students enrolled in rigorous advanced coursework There will be an increase in performance of black students in rigorousadvanced coursework		Increase from prior year					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional MOU Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Differentiating Learning	K-5	Math and Reading Coaches Learning Specialist	PLC; school-wide curriculum meetings	By Dec, 2012	Review training notes, lesson plans	Administrators

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of Additional Goal(s)

Final Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Reading Budget	
	Total: $92,099.00
Mathematics Budget	
	Total:
Science Budget	
	Total:
Writing Budget	
	Total:
Attendance Budget	
	Total:
Suspension Budget	
	Total:
Dropout Prevention Budget	
	Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	
	Total: $3,343.00
Additional Goals	
	Total:
	Grand Total: $95,129.00

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance
Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2.When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under "Default value" header; 3. Select *OK,*this will place an "x" in the box.)

School Differentiated Accountability Status		
☐Priority	☐Focus	X☐Prevent

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

- Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the *Upload* page

School Advisory Council (SAC)

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting *Yes* or *No* below.

X☐ Yes   ☐ No

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.

Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.
Meet in September to explain SAC procedures and processes. Review and approve the School Improvement Plan. Meet monthly to give feedback on district and school activities or School Improvement Plan. Periodically review student academic data to assess school improvement.

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
NA-There are no allocated State or District SIP funds for the 2012-2013 school year.	$0.00