FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION



DRAFT School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

1

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

School Information

School Name: Renaissance Charter School of St. Lucie	District Name: St. Lucie County
Principal: Mrs. Rachel Windler-Freitag	Superintendent:
SAC Chair:	Date of School Board Approval:

Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

Administrators

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
Principal	Rachel Windler-Freitag	B.S. Elementary Ed/M.S. Reading/M.S. Educational Administration/Elementary Education Certification/ Educational Administration Certification	2	8	

Assistant Principal	David Messner	B.A. Political Science/M.A. Ed Leadership/ PHD Ed Leadership	3	36	
Assistant Principal	Olivia Fine (Burns)	B.A. Music Education M.S. Educational Leadership, Elementary K-6 Certification, K-12 Music Certification, Educational Leadership Certification	4	1	

Instructional Coaches

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
All	Elizabeth Phillips	B.S. Elementary	4	1	
		Education			
All	Chentella Graham	B.S. Business Administration; MS Business Leadership; PhD Organizational Leadership; K-6 Elementary Education; K- 12 ESE; 6-12 Business	4	2	

Effective and Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

De	scription of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date
1.	An interview committee reviews the resumes of potential teacher candidates to determine the highest quality as defined by State policy. After interviews, the best candidates are chosen according to State and District requirements. In order to retain high-quality and highly qualified teachers, professional development opportunities as defined in NCLB are provided.	Principal AP CSUSA Dept	On-going
2.	Charter School USA (CSUSA) our school's management company, is committed to ensuring a highly qualified pool of teachers. Presently,	Principal AP CSUSA HR Dept	On-going

	recruitment efforts include ongoing outreach to local, in and out-of-state colleges and universities. Electronic application procedures are streamlined to provide a more efficient method of processing applicants and to improve communication with prospective candidates. Additionally, the CSUSA office of Teacher Recruitment participates in year- round local, district, state, and national teacher recruitment fairs.		
3.	Professional Development initiatives target researched based-instructional strategies aligned to the needs the school's population.	Principal AP CSUSA Ed Team	On-going
4.			

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only). *When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages.	include the number of teachers the	percentage represents	(e.g., 7	0% [35]).
			$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle$	L 1/

Total number of Instructional Staff	% of first- year teachers	% of teachers with 1-5 years of experience	% of teachers with 6-14 years of experience	% of teachers with 15+ years of experience	% of teachers with Advanced Degrees	% of teachers with an Effective rating or higher	% of Reading Endorsed Teachers	% of National Board Certified Teachers	% of ESOL Endorsed Teachers
68	14.7% (10)	60.3% (41)	19.1% (13)	5.9% (4)	19.1% (13)	23.5% (16)	7.3% (5)	0%	25% (17)

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
A Thornhill	Kindergarten Team	As team lead, Mrs. Thornhill will model school expectations and standards.	Mrs Thornhill will conduct weekly team meetings to review grade level goals, model and monitor professional growth

			plans, and classroom
			preparedness.
C. Bonventre	1 st Grade Team	As team lead, Mrs. Bonventre will	Mrs. Bonventre will conduct
		model school expectations and	weekly team meetings to review
		standards.	grade level goals, model and
			monitor professional growth
			plans, and classroom
			preparedness.
C. Willmot	2 nd Grade Team	As team lead, Mrs. Willmot will	Mrs. Willmot will conduct
		model school expectations and	weekly team meetings to review
		standards.	grade level goals, model and
			monitor professional growth
			plans, and classroom
			preparedness.
A. Miller	3 rd Grade Team	As team lead, Mrs. Miller will	Mrs. Miller will conduct weekly
		model school expectations and	team meetings to review grade
		standards.	level goals, model and monitor
			professional growth plans, and
			classroom preparedness.
R. Villagra	4 th Grade Team	As team lead, Ms. Villagra will	Ms. Villagra will conduct
		model school expectations and	weekly team meetings to review
		standards.	grade level goals, model and
			monitor professional growth
			plans, and classroom
			preparedness.
B. Fuqua	5 th Grade Team	As team lead, Mrs. Fuqua will	Mrs. Fuqua will conduct weekly
		model school expectations and	team meetings to review grade
		standards.	level goals, model and monitor
			professional growth plans, and
			classroom preparedness.
H. Macias	MS Team	As team lead, Mrs. Macias will	Mrs. Macias will conduct weekly
		model school expectations and	team meetings to review grade
		standards.	level goals, model and monitor

			professional growth plans, and
			classroom preparedness.
L. Tzimenatos	MS Team	As team lead, Mrs. Tzimenatos will	Mrs. Tzimenatos will conduct
		model school expectations and	weekly team meetings to review
		standards.	grade level goals, model and
			monitor professional growth
			plans, and classroom
			preparedness.
E. Gioia	Elementary Specials	As team lead, Ms. Gioia will model	Ms. Gioia will conduct weekly
		school expectations and standards.	team meetings to review grade
			level goals, model and monitor
			professional growth plans, and
			classroom preparedness.
D. Succes	MS Team	As team lead, Mrs. Succes will	Mrs. Succes will conduct weekly
		model school expectations and	team meetings to review grade
		standards.	level goals, model and monitor
			professional growth plans, and
			classroom preparedness.
L. Stebbing	MS Team	As team lead, Mrs. Stebbing will	Mrs. Stebbing will conduct
		model school expectations and	weekly team meetings to review
		standards.	grade level goals, model and
			monitor professional growth
			plans, and classroom
			preparedness.

Additional Requirements

Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A	
Title I, Part C- Migrant	
Title I, Part D	
Title II	
Title III	
Title X- Homeless	
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)	
Violence Prevention Programs	
Nutrition Programs	
Housing Programs	
Head Start	
Adult Education	
Career and Technical Education	
Job Training	
Other	
A	

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team
Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.
Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?
Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?
MTSS Implementation
Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.
Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.
Describe the plan to support MTSS.
Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)
School-Based Literacy Leadership Team
Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).
$\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{r}} = (1 + \mathbf{r}) 1 + (1 + \mathbf{r}) $

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

*Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413 (2)(b) F.S

For schools with grades 6-12, how does the school ensure that every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student?

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(2)(g), (2)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S. Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u>.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Read	ing Goals		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improve	student achiever Questions," identi ement for the foll	nent data and fy and define owing group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1A. FCAT 2.0: Stude Achievement Level 3	nts scoring a in reading.	t	1.1. Ensuring 100% student motivation on reaching goal.	1.1. Provide motivational rewards for individuals reaching a Level 3 or	1.1. Classroom teachers, CRTs, leadership team.	1.1. Analysis of assessment data and annual student survey.	1.1. Benchmarks (1, 2, and 3) and FCAT.	
Reading Goal #1A: 100% of the students will maintain or improve proficiency.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 58% of students are performing at Level 3 or above.	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 61% of students will perform at a score of Level 3 or above.		higher on the reading portion of the three benchmark assessments and the reading portion of the FCAT.				
	1.2. Students have limited exposure to reading strategies in the content areas.		1.2. Teachers will attend professional development trainings focused on integrating reading strategies into the content areas.	1.2. Classroom teachers, CRTs, leadership team.	1.2. Analysis of assessment data, teacher evaluations of professional development, walkthroughs, teacher survey.	1.2. Benchmarks (1, 2, and 3) and FCAT.	1A.2.	
	Limited implementation of differentiated instruction.		1.3. Teachers will attend professional development trainings focused on differentiation. Focused professional development on embedded test-taking strategies.	1.3. Classroom teachers, CRTs, leadership team.	1.3. Analysis of assessment data, teacher evaluations of professional development, walkthroughs, teacher survey.	1.3. Benchmarks (1, 2, and 3) and FCAT.	1A.3.	
1B. Florida Alternate scoring at Levels 4, 5	e Assessment , and 6 in rea	: Students iding.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	
Reading Goal #1B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.						
			1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	

	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
2A. FCAT 2.0: Stude Achievement Levels	ents scoring a 4 in reading.	t or above	2.1. Ensuring 100% student motivation	2.1. Provide motivational rewards for	2.1. Classroom teachers, CRTs,	2.1. Analysis of assessment data and	2.1. Benchmarks (1, 2, and 3) and
Reading Goal #2A: 100% of the students will maintain or improve proficiency.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 58% of students are performing at Level 3 or above.	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 61% of students will perform at a score of Level 3 or above.	on reaching goal	individuals reaching a Level 4 or higher on the reading portion of the three benchmark assessments and the reading portion of the FCAT.	leadership team.	annual student survey.	FCAT.
			2.2. Students have limited exposure to enrichment opportunities.	2.2. Teachers will provide opportunities for students to participate in enrichment activities in the classroom at a minimum of three times per week. In addition, students in grades 4-8 have the opportunity to apply to the Cambridge program.	2.2. Classroom teachers, CRTs, leadership team.	2.2. Analysis of assessment data, teacher evaluations of professional development, walkthroughs, teacher survey.	2.2. Benchmarks (1, 2, and 3) and FCAT.
			2.3. Limited implementation of differentiated instruction.	2.3. Teachers will attend professional development trainings focused on differentiation.	2.3. Classroom teachers, CRTs, leadership team.	2.3. Analysis of assessment data, teacher evaluations of professional development, walkthroughs, teacher survey.	2.3. Benchmarks (1, 2, and 3) and FCAT.
2B. Florida Alternate scoring at or above I	e Assessment Level 7 in read	: Students ding.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.
Reading Goal #2B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.

	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		nent data and fy and define owing group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3A FCAT 2 0. Perce	ntage of stud	ents making	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.
learning gains in read	ling.	citts making	Ensuring 100% student motivation	Provide motivational rewards for	Classroom teachers, CRTs,	Analysis of assessment data and	Benchmarks (1, 2, and 3) and
Reading Goal #3A: 100% of the students will make learning gains.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 71% of students made learning gains.	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 73% of students will make learning gains.	on reaching goal.	individuals making learning gains on the reading portion of the three benchmark assessments and the reading portion of the FCAT.	leadership team.	annual student survey.	FCAT.
			3.2. Limited implementation of small group instruction.	3.2. Teachers will attend professional development trainings focused on small group instruction and focused on guided reading. Teachers will use data to target areas of deficiency when creating IFPs.	3.2. Classroom teachers, CRTs, leadership team.	3.2. Analysis of assessment data, teacher evaluations of professional development, walkthroughs, teacher survey.	3.2. Benchmarks (1, 2, and 3) and FCAT.
			3.3. Limited implementation of differentiated instruction.	3.3. Teachers will attend professional development trainings focused on differentiation.	3.3. Classroom teachers, CRTs, leadership team.	3.3. Analysis of assessment data, teacher evaluations of professional development, walkthroughs, teacher survey.	3 .3. Benchmarks (1, 2, and 3) and FCAT.
3B. Florida Alternate of students making le	Assessment: arning gains	Percentage in reading.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.
Reading Goal #3B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.
			3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.

Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improve	student achiever questions," identi ement for the foll	nent data and fy and define owing group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
4. FCAT 2.0: Percent 25% making learning	4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.		4.1. Full participation of students in intervention programs.	4.1. Offer multiple opportunities for students to receive interventions, including, but not limited to in along	4.1. Classroom teachers, co-teachers, CRTs, leadership team.	4.1. Evaluation of data and classroom observations.	4.1. Quarterly benchmarks, FAIR, classroom assessments, FCAT.
Reading Goal #4: Students will demonstrate learning gains in reading as represented by growth in the FCAT developmental scale score or maintaining proficiency level from the previous year's data.	2012 Current <u>Level of</u> <u>Performance:*</u> 70% of bottom quartile students made learning gains.	2013 Expected <u>Level of</u> <u>Performance:*</u> 73% of bottom quartile students will make learning gains.		including, but not limited to in-class support, pull-out intervention services, free after-school tutoring, Walk To Intervention, and use of software intervention programs.			
			 4.2. Limited implementation of small group instruction. 4.3. Limited implementation of differentiated instruction. 	 4.2. Teachers will attend professional development trainings focused on small group instructions, focused on guided reading. Teachers will use data to target areas of deficiency when creating IFPs. 4.3. Teachers will attend professional development trainings focused on differentiation. 	 4.2. Classroom teachers, CRTs, leadership team. 4.3. Classroom teachers, CRTs, leadership team. 	 4.2. Analysis of assessment data, teacher evaluations of professional development, walkthroughs, teacher survey. 4.3. Analysis of assessment data, teacher evaluations of professional development, walkthroughs, teacher survey. 	 4.2. Benchmarks (1, 2, and 3) and FCAT. 4.3. Benchmarks (1, 2, and 3) and FCAT.

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years		ble 2011-2012 ics	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
5A. In six years school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Reading Goal #5A:	Baseline data 2010-2011 All: 56% Asian: 82% Black/AA: 43% Hispanic: 58% White: 60% ELL: 35% SWD: 21% Economically Disadvantage	All: 58% Asian: 71% Black/African American: 47% Hispanic: 60% White: 60% ELL: 29% SWD: 20% Economically Disadvantaged: 52%	All: 63% Asian: 85% Black/African American: 53% Hispanic: 65% White: 67% ELL: 46% SWD: 34% Economically Disadvantaged: 56%	All: 67% Asian: 87% Black/African American: 57% Hispanic: 69% White: 70% ELL: 51% SWD: 41% Economically Disadvantaged: 60%	All: 71% Asian: 88% Black/African American: 62% Hispanic: 72% White: 73% ELL: 57% SWD: 47% Economically Disadvantaged: 65%	All: 74% Asian: 90% Black/African American: 67% Hispanic: 76% White: 77% ELL: 62% SWD: 54% Economically Disadvantaged	All: 78% Asian: 91% Black/African American: 72% Hispanic: 79% White: 80% ELL: 68% SWD: 61% Economically Disadvantaged
Students within the sub-grou reading test.	ips will reach AMOs in the	state				: 69%	: 74%
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improvem	student achievement data uestions," identify and def ent for the following subgr	nd Anticipated Barrier ne oups:	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluat	ion Tool
5B. Student subgroup	os by ethnicity (Whit	e, 5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	
Black, Hispanic, Asiar making satisfactory p	n, American Indian) n progress in reading.	ot Students lack experiences and exposure to materials relating to	School will purchase culturally diverse reading materials, provide	Classroom teachers, Social Studies Committee, CRTs, and	Analysis of assessment data.	FCAT	
Reading Goal #5B: 2012 Current 2013 Expected Students within the sub- Performance:* Performance:* groups will make White: 60% White: 63% satisfactory progress in the Black: 47% Black: 48% Hispanic: 60% Hispanic: 62% Asian: 71% Asian: 84% American American Indian: N/A Indian: N/A		ected cultural diversity. nce:* White: % Black: % Hispanic: 62% Asian: % American Indian:	teachers with professional development workshops that focus on the importance of and methods for including cultural connections in the everyday classroom, and offer culturally-rich school wide events for students.	leadership team.			
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B. <u>3</u> .	
				·	·	•	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
5C. English Languag making satisfactory p Reading Goal #5C:	5C. English Language Learners (ELL) notmaking satisfactory progress in reading.Reading Goal #5C:2012 Current2013 Expected		5C.1. Teachers need to incorporate strategies within the classroom to	5C.1. Provide teachers with training on differentiated instruction and ensure	5C.1. Leadership Team, SSS, eClassroom Teachers	5C.1. Analysis of Assessment data	5C.1. FCAT
ELL students will make satisfactory progress in the state reading test.	Level of Performance:* 29%	<u>Level of</u> <u>Performance:*</u> 46%	neip support ELL students.	towards their ESOL Endorsement.		evidence of ELL strategies	
			5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.
			5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improven	Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5D. Students with Dis making satisfactory p	sabilities (SV progress in ro	VD) not eading.	5D.1. Teachers need to incorporate	5D.1. Provide teachers with training on	5D.1. Leadership Team, SSS, ESE,	5D.1. Analysis of Assessment data	5D.1. FCAT
Reading Goal #5D: ESE students will make	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	strategies within the classroom to help support ESE students.	differentiated instruction	Classroom Teachers	Review of Lesson Plans for evidence of ESE strategies	Lesson Plans
satisfactory progress in the state reading test.	20%	34%					
			5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.
			5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
5E. Economically Dis	advantaged s	students not	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.
making satisfactory p	2012 Current	bolla Ermented	Teachers need to incorporate	Provide teachers with training on differentiated instruction	Leadership Team, SSS, ESE, Classroom Teachers	Analysis of Assessment data	FCAT
Reading Goal #5E:	Level of	Level of	help support students who are				
Economically	Performance:*	Performance:*	economicany disadvantaged.				
make satisfactory progress in the state reading test.	52%	56%					
			5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.
		5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	

Reading Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities										
			Please note that each strategy does not	require a professional development	t or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring					
Differentiation	All	CRT and leadership team	All teachers	PD days, Wednesday PD, and grade level meetings	Observations and LPs	CRTs and leadership team					
Reading Strategies	All	CRT and leadership team	All teachers	PD days, Wednesday PD, and grade level meetings	Observations and LPs	CRTs and leadership team					
Cultural Diversity	All	CRT and leadership team	All teachers	PD days, Wednesday PD, and grade level meetings	Observations and LPs	CRTs and leadership team					
Marzano's Research- Based Strategies	All	CRT and leadership team	All teachers	PD days, Wednesday PD, and grade level meetings	Observations and LPs	CRTs and leadership team					
Small Group Instruction and Guided Reading	All	CRT and leadership team	All teachers	PD days, Wednesday PD, and grade level meetings	Observations and LPs	CRTs and leadership team					

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school funded activities/ma	terials and exclude district funded activities/	materials.	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Research-Based Reading Programs	Scholastic Reading Inventory Kits, Leveled Text, Achieve 3000	General Fund: Textbook and Consumables	\$32,000.00
			Subtotal: \$32,000
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Increase Student Engagement and Differentiated Instruction	Promethean Boards	Technology Budget	\$18,000.00
Utilizing online research-based reading programs	Student Laptops	Technology Budget	\$18,000.00
			Subtotal: \$36,000
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
High-Yield Instructional Strategies	Marzano's Classroom Instruction that Works Book	Discretionary Fund	\$1,000.00
K-2 nd Framework for Reading Instruction	Daily Five	Discretionary Fund	\$600
			Subtotal: \$1,600
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total: \$69,600.00

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CELLA Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition				
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking. CELLA Goal #1: ELL students will increase proficiency in the Listening/ Speaking portion of the CELLA.	1.1 Teachers need to incorporate ESOL ts strategies within the classroom to thelp support ELL students.	1.1 Provide teachers with training on differentiated instruction and ensure that all teachers are working towards their ESOL Endorsement.	1.1 Leadership Team, SSS, Classroom Teachers	1.1 Analysis of Assessment data Review of Lesson Plans for evidence of ELL strategies	1.1 FCAT Lesson Plans
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2. 1.3.	1.2. 1.3.
Students read grade-level text in English in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring proficient in reading. CELLA Goal #2: ELL students will increase proficiency in the Reading 98%	2.1 Teachers need to incorporate ESOL ts strategies within the classroom to help support ELL students.	2.1 Provide teachers with training on differentiated instruction and ensure that all teachers are working towards their ESOL Endorsement.	2.1 Leadership Team, SSS, Classroom Teachers	2.1 Analysis of Assessment data Review of Lesson Plans for evidence of ELL strategies	2.1 FCAT Lesson Plans
	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

Students write in Engli similar to n	ish at grade level in a manner ion-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3. Students scoring p	roficient in writing.	3.1 Teachers need to incorporate ESOI	3.1 Provide teachers with training on	3.1 Leadership Team, SSS	3.1	3.1 ECAT
CELLA Goal #3: ELL students will increase proficiency in the writing portion of the CELLA.	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing : 35%	strategies within the classroom to help support ELL students.	differentiated instruction and ensure that all teachers are working towards their ESOL Endorsement.	Classroom Teachers	Review of Lesson Plans for evidence of ELL strategies	Lesson Plans
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

CELLA Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activi	ities/materials and exclude district funded ac	tivities/materials.	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of CELLA Goals

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary M	lathematics	s Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1A. FCAT 2.0: Stude Achievement Level 3 Mathematics Goal #1A: Students will maintain or increase proficiency in the State Math Test.	1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1A: 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Students will maintain or increase proficiency in the State Math Test 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 2013 Expected Level of Performance:*		1.1. Ensuring 100% student motivation on reaching goal.	1.1. Provide motivational rewards for individuals reaching a Level 3 or higher on the math portion of the three benchmark assessments and the math portion of the FCAT.	1.1. Classroom teachers, CRTs, leadership team.	1.1. Analysis of assessment data and annual student survey.	1.1. Benchmarks (1, 2, and 3) and FCAT.	
			1.2. Limited implementation of differentiated instruction.	1.2. Teachers will attend professional development trainings focused on differentiation.	1.2. Classroom teachers, CRTs, leadership team.	1.2. Analysis of assessment data, teacher evaluations of professional development, walkthroughs, teacher survey.	1.2. Benchmarks (1, 2, and 3) and FCAT.	
			1.3. Limited understanding of effective math practices.	1.3. Focused professional development on teaching math conceptually and integrating into the content area. Focused professional development on embedded test-taking strategies.	1.3. Classroom teachers, CRTs, leadership team.	1.3. Analysis of assessment data, teacher evaluations of professional development, walkthroughs, teacher survey.	1.3. Benchmarks (1, 2, and 3) and FCAT.	
1B. Florida Alternate scoring at Levels 4, 5	e Assessment: , and 6 in mat	Students thematics.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	
Mathematics Goal #1B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.						
			1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	

	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
2A. FCAT 2.0: Stude Achievement Levels	ents scoring a 4 and 5 in ma	t or above thematics.	2.1. Ensuring 100% student motivation	2.1. Provide motivational rewards for	2.1. Classroom teachers, CRTs,	2.1. Analysis of assessment data and	2.1. Benchmarks (1, 2, and 3) and
Mathematics Goal #2A: 100% of students will maintain or increase in proficiency level.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 49% of students earned a score of 3, 4, or 5	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 51% of students will earn a score of 3, 4, or 5	on reaching goal	individuals reaching a Level 4 or higher on the math portion of the three benchmark assessments and the math portion of the FCAT.	leadership team.	annual student survey.	FCAT.
			2.2. Limited implementation of differentiated instruction.	2.2. Teachers will attend professional development trainings focused on differentiation.	2.2. Classroom teachers, CRTs, leadership team.	2.2. Analysis of assessment data, teacher evaluations of professional development, walkthroughs, teacher survey.	2.2. Benchmarks (1, 2, and 3) and FCAT.
			2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.
2B. Florida Alternate scoring at or above L Mathematics Goal #2B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	e Assessment: Level 7 in mat 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	E Students hematics. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.
			2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.
			2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3A. FCAT 2.0: Percent	ntage of stud	ents making	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.
learning gains in mat Mathematics Goal #3A:	rning gains in mathematics. thematics Goal 2012 Current 2013 Expected A: Level of Level of Performance:* Performance:*		Ensuring 100% student motivation on reaching goal.	Provide motivational rewards for individuals making learning gains on the reading portion of the three benchmark assessments and the reading portion of the FCAT	Classroom teachers, CRTs, leadership team.	Analysis of assessment data and annual student survey.	Benchmarks (1, 2, and 3) and FCAT.
100% students will make learning gains in Math.	60%	62%					
			3.2. Limited implementation of differentiated instruction.	3.2. Teachers will attend professional development trainings focused on differentiation. Teachers will use data to target areas of deficiency when creating IFPs.	3.2. Classroom teachers, CRTs, leadership team.	3.2. Analysis of assessment data, teacher evaluations of professional development, walkthroughs, teacher survey.	3 .2. Benchmarks (1, 2, and 3) and FCAT.
			3.3. Limited understanding of effective math practices.	3.3. Focused professional development on teaching math conceptually and integrating into the content area.	3.3. Classroom teachers, CRTs, leadership team.	3.3. Analysis of assessment data, teacher evaluations of professional development, walkthroughs, teacher survey.	3.3. Benchmarks (1, 2, and 3) and FCAT.
			3.4. Limited implementation of small group instruction in the area of math.	3.4. Teachers will attend professional development trainings focused on small group instruction in the area of math. Teachers will use data to target areas of deficiency when creating IFPs.	3.4. Classroom teachers, CRTs, leadership team.	3.4. Analysis of assessment data, teacher evaluations of professional development, walkthroughs, teacher survey.	3.4. Benchmarks (1, 2, and 3) and FCAT.
3B. Florida Alternate	Assessment:	Percentage	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.
of students making le	of students making learning gains in						
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal #3B:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					

Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.
			3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.

Based on the analysis of studen reference to "Guiding Questions," in need of improvement for t	nt achievement data and ," identify and define areas the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of 25% making learning gain Mathematics Goal #4: 2012 C Level of 100% of students in the lowest 25% will make significant gains in Math.	of students in lowest ns in mathematics. Current of rmance:* 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 57%	4.1. Full participation of students in intervention programs.	4.1. Offer multiple opportunities for students to receive interventions, including, but not limited to in-class support, middle school intensive math classes, pull-out intervention services, free after-school tutoring.	4.1. Classroom teachers, co-teachers, CRTs, leadership team.	4.1. Evaluation of data and classroom observations.	4.1. Quarterly benchmarks, classroom assessments, FCAT.
		4.2. Limited implementation of differentiated instruction.4A.3.	4.2. Teachers will attend professional development trainings focused on differentiation.4A.3.	4.2.Classroom teachers, CRTs, leadership team.4A.3.	 4.2. Analysis of assessment data, teacher evaluations of professional development, walkthroughs, teacher survey. 4A.3. 	4 .2. Benchmarks (1, 2, and 3) and FCAT. 4A.3.

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years			2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
5A. In six years school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Mathematics Goal #5A Students within the sub-grou reading test.	Baseline data All: Asian Black/A Hispan White ELL: SWD Economically Dis A: ups will reach AM	a 2010-2011 51% :: 88% :A: 33% ic: 51% :: 55% : 42% : 26% sadvantaged: 43%	All: 49% Asian: 79% Black/African American: 36% Hispanic: 48% White: 51% ELL: 37% SWD: 15% Economically Disadvantaged: 40%	All: 59% Asian: 90% Black/African American: 44% Hispanic: 59% White: 63% ELL: 52% SWD: 38% Economically Disadvantaged: 53%	All: 63% Asian: 91% Black/African American: 50% Hispanic: 63% White: 66% ELL: 57% SWD: 45% Economically Disadvantaged: 57%	All: 67% Asian: 92% Black/African American: 55% Hispanic: 67% White: 70% ELL: 61% SWD: 51% Economically Disadvantaged: 62%	All: 71% Asian: 93% Black/African American: 61% Hispanic: 71% White: 74% ELL: 66% SWD: 57% Economically Disadvantaged : 67%	All: 76% Asian: 94% Black/African American: 67% Hispanic: 76% White: 78% ELL: 71% SWD: 63% Economically Disadvantaged : 72%
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluat	ion Tool
5B. Student subgroup Black, Hispanic, Asian making satisfactory p <u>Mathematics Goal</u> #5B: Students within the sub- groups will make satisfactory progress in the state math test.	by ethnicit, a, American Ir brogress in m 2012 Current Level of Performance:* White: 51% Black: 36% Hispanic: 48% Asian: 79% American Indian: N/A	y (White, adian) not athematics. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* White: 63% Black: 44% Hispanic: 59% Asian: 90% American Indian: N/A	5B.1. Students lack experiences and exposure to materials relating to cultural diversity. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian: 5B.2.	5B.1. School will purchase culturally diverse reading materials, provide teachers with professional development workshops that focus on the importance of and methods for including cultural connections in the everyday classroom, and offer culturally-rich school wide events for students. 5B.2.	5B.1. Classroom teachers, Social Studies Committee, CRTs, and leadership team. 5B.2.	5B.1. Analysis of assessment data. 5B.2.	5B.1. FCAT 5B.2.	
			5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		nent data and and define areas ag subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5C. English Language making satisfactory p Mathematics Goal #5C: ELL Students will make satisfactory progress in the	English Language Learners (ELL) not king satisfactory progress in mathematics. thematics Goal 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Students will make factory progress in the 37%		5C.1. Teachers need to incorporate strategies within the classroom to help support ELL students.	5C.1. Provide teachers with training on differentiated instruction and ensure that all teachers are working towards their ESOL Endorsement.	5C.1. Leadership Team, SSS, Classroom Teachers	5C.1. Analysis of Assessment data Review of Lesson Plans for evidence of ELL strategies	5C.1. FCAT Lesson Plans
state math test.			5C.2. 5C.3.	5C.2. 5C.3.	5C.2. 5C.3.	5C.2. 5C.3.	5C.2. 5C.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5D: 2012 Current Level of Performance:*		5D.1. Teachers need to incorporate strategies within the classroom to help support ESE students.	5D.1. Provide teachers with training on differentiated instruction	5D.1. Leadership Team, SSS, ESE, Classroom Teachers	5D.1. Analysis of Assessment data Review of Lesson Plans for evidence of ESE strategies	5D.1. FCAT Lesson Plans	
satisfactory progress in the state math test.	15%	38%	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.
			5D.3.	pD.3.	50.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not			5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.
making satisfactory progress in mathematics.			Teachers need to incorporate	Provide teachers with training on	Leadership Team, SSS, ESE,	Analysis of Assessment data	FCAT
Mathematics Goal #5E:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	strategies within the classroom to help support students who are economically disadvantaged.	differentiated instruction	Classroom Teachers		
Students who are economically disadvantaged will make	40%	53%					
satisfactory progress in the state math test.			5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.
			5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Middle School Mathematics Goals: (*RCSSL data & goals for Middle School can be found in the above Elementary section due to combined data reports and school-wide goal-setting for a K-8 building)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Middle School Mathematics Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define are in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.		
Mathematics Goal 2012 Current 2013 Expect #1A: Level of Performance:* Performance Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Enter numerical data for current level of performance in performance in performance this box. Enter numerical evel of performance in performance in performance	d <u>*</u> eal ted n						
	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.		
	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.		
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
Mathematics Goal 2012 Current Level of 2013 Expect Level of #1B: Performance:* Performance:* Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Enter numerical data for current level of Enter numerical level of erformance in performance in this box. Enter numerical level of Enter numerical level of	d * cal ted n						
	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.		
	18.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.		
Based on the analysis reference to "Guiding Qu in need of improver	of student achiever aestions," identify a ment for the follow	nent data and and define areas ing group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
---	---	---	---------------------	----------	--	--	-----------------
2A. FCAT 2.0: Stud Achievement Levels	ents scoring at 4 and 5 in ma	t or above thematics.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.
Mathematics Goal #2A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.
			2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.
2B. Florida Alterna scoring at or above Mathematics Goal #2B:	te Assessment: Level 7 in mat 2012 Current Level of Performance:*	Students hematics. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.
			2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.
					•	•	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
3A. FCAT 2.0: Percer learning gains in mat	ntage of stude hematics.	ents making	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.
Mathematics Goal #3A:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.
			3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.
3B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage		3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	
of students making le	arning gains	in					
Mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3B:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.
			3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in lowest		ts in lowest	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.
25% making learning	gains in mat	thematics.					
Mathematics Goal #4: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.
			4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years		2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
5A. In six years, school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Baseline data 2010-2011 Mathematics Goal #5A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Que in need of improvement	f student achievement data and stions," identify and define areas t for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluati	on Tool
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Enter narrative for the goal in this box. White: White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: Asian: Asian: Asian: American Indian:		5B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Que in need of improvement	f student achievement data and estions," identify and define areas at for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.Mathematics Goal #5C.2012 Current Level of2013 Expected Level of		5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Performance:* Performance:* Enter numerical Enter numerical data for current data for expected level of level of performance in performance in this box. this box.	1				
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Que in need of improvement	f student achievement data and estions," identify and define areas at for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5D. Students with Dis making satisfactory p	sabilities (SWD) not progress in mathematics.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.
Mathematics Goal #5D: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current 2013 Expected Level of Level of Performance:* Performance:* Enter numerical data for current data for expected level of Level of performance in performance in this box. this box.					
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
5E. Economically Dis	advantaged s	students not	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.
making satisfactory p	orogress in ma	athematics.					
Mathematics Goal #5E: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.
			5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

High School Mathematics Goals		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.			
Mathematics Goal #1: 2012 Current 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Performance:* goal in this box. Enter numerical Enter numerical data for current level of level of performance in performance in performance in this box. this box. this box.	t d							
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.			
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.			
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.			
scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.								
Mathematics Goal #2: 2012 Current Level of 2013 Expected Level of Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Performance:* Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of Enter numerical level of Enter numerical level of performance in this box. performance in this box. Enter numerical level of								
	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.			
	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage o students making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. Baseline Assessment: Percentage o 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.		Percentage of 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.
			3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2. 3.3.

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

Algebra 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Algebra I EOC)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Algebra 1	I EOC Go:	als		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra 1. Algebra 1 Goal #1: 2012 Current Level of Level of		1.1. Ensuring that students have an adequate foundation in mathematics before becoming enrolled in Algebra	1.1. Provide students with an entrance exam in order to become enrolled in Algebra I.	1.1. Leadership Team, Math teachers	1.1. Analysis of assessment data and annual student survey.	1.1. EOC exam			
100% of students will reach the proficiency level.	51% (36)	75%	ngeoru.						
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.		
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.		
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improve	student achiever uestions," identi ment for the foll	nent data and fy and define lowing group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Students scoring at	or above Ac	chievement	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Levels 4 and 5 in Alge Algebra Goal #2: 100% of students will reach the proficiency	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	Ensuring that students have an adequate foundation in mathematics before becoming enrolled in Algebra.	Provide students with an entrance exam in order to become enrolled in Algebra I.	Leadership Team, Math teachers	Analysis of assessment data and annual student survey.	EOC exam		
16761.	16% (11)	25%							
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.		
			2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.		

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years		2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
3A. In six years, school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Baseline data 2010-2011						
Algebra 1 Goal #3A:							
Data not available.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluati	on Tool
3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1. Algebra 1 Goal #3B: 2012 Current Level of 2013 Expected Level of Data not available. Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of Enter numerical level of White: White: Black: Hispanic: Black: Black: Hispanic: Black: Hispanic: Asian: Asian: American Indian: American Indian:		3B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1. Algebra 1 Goal #3C: Algebra 1 Goal #3C: 2012 Current Data not available. 2012 Current Enter numerical Level of Performance:* Enter numerical Idata for current Level of level of performance in performance in performance in this box. this box.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.
	3C.2. 3C.3.	3C.2. 3C.3.	3C.2. 3C.3.	3C.2. 3C.3.	3C.2. 3C.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1. Algebra 1 Goal #3D: Data not available. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.
	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.
	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3E. Economically Dis	advantaged s	students not	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.
making satisfactory p	orogress in A	lgebra 1.					
Algebra 1 Goal #3E:	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
Data not available.	Performance:*	Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current	Enter numerical data for expected					
	level of performance in	level of					
	this box.	this box.					
			3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.
			3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.

End of Algebra 1 EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Geometry EOC)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Geometry	y EOC Goa	als	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improve	student achiever uestions," identi ement for the foll	nent data and fy and define owing group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry. Geometry Goal #1: 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 100% of students taking the Geometry EOC will reach proficiency. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:*		1.1. Ensuring that students have an adequate foundation in mathematics before becoming enrolled in Geometry.	1.1. Only students who pass the Algebra class and EOC will be enrolled in Geometry.	1.1. Leadership Team, Math teachers	1.1. Analysis of assessment data and annual student survey.	1.1. EOC exam	
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q	student achiever	ment data and fy and define	1.2. 1.3. Anticipated Barrier	1.2. 1.3. Strategy	1.2. 1.3. Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	 1.2. 1.3. Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy 	1.2. 1.3. Evaluation Tool
areas in need of improve 2. Students scoring at Levels 4 and 5 in Geo Geometry Goal #2: 100% of students taking the Geometry EOC will reach proficiency.	ement for the foll t or above Acometry. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* N/A	wing group: hievement 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 25%	2.1. Ensuring that students have an adequate foundation in mathematics before becoming enrolled in Geometry.	2.1. Only students who pass the Algebra class and EOC will be enrolled in Geometry.	2.1. Leadership Team, Math teachers	2.1. Analysis of assessment data and annual student survey.	2.1. EOC exam
			2.2.	2.3.	2.3.	2.2. 2.3.	2.3.

Based on ambitious but a Objectives (AMOs), iden performance target	chievable Annual Measurable ntify reading and mathematics t for the following years	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
3A. In six years, school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Geometry Goal #3A:	Baseline data 2011-2012					
Data not available.						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3B. Student subgroup Black, Hispanic, Asian making satisfactory p <u>Geometry Goal #3B:</u> Data not available.	by ethnicity (White, American Indian) not progress in Geometry. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. White: Black: Black: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian: Model Mite,	3B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not and the set of the set		3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.
	level of level of performance in this box.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improvem	student achievement data and uestions," identify and define thent for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3D. Students with Dis making satisfactory p <u>Geometry Goal #3D:</u> Data not available.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current tanal of current Enter numerical data for expected tanal of current	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.
	performance in performance in this box.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.

Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improvem	student achievement data an uestions," identify and defir ent for the following subgro	d Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3E. Economically Dis	3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not		3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.
making satisfactory p	rogress in Geometry					
<u>Geometry Goal #3E:</u> Data not available.	2012 Current 2013 Expe Level of Level of Performance:* Performan Enter numerical Enter numerical data for current data for explevel of level of performance in performance in performance this box. this box.	ted e:* ected e in				
		3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.
		3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Mathematics Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities								
	Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.								
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring			
Differentiation	All	CRT and leadership team	All teachers	Early Release, PD days, and grade level meetings	Observations and LPs	CRTs and leadership team			
Marzano's Research- Based Strategies	All	CRT and leadership team	All teachers	Early Release, PD days, and grade level meetings	Observations and LPs	CRTs and leadership team			
Math Academy	All	CRT and leadership team	All teachers	Early Release, PD days, and grade level meetings	Observations and LPs	CRTs and leadership team			
Small Group Instruction in Math	All	CRT and leadership team	All teachers	Early Release, PD days, and grade level meetings	Observations and LPs	CRTs and leadership team			

<u>Mathematics Budget</u> (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based fund	led activities/materials and exclude district funded	activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Ma	aterials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			•	Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary ar	nd Middle Goals	Science	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improve	student achiever suestions," identitiement for the follow	nent data and fy and define owing group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science. Science Goal #1A: 2012 Current 2013 Expected		t 2013 Expected	1.1. Science FCAT is only tested in 5 th and 8 th grades.	1.1. All grade levels are required to teach weekly inquiry-based science	 1.1. CRTs, leadership team, and classroom teachers 	 1.1. Focused walkthroughs, observations, LPs 	1.1. FCAT scores and classroom assessments
Student will maintain or increase achievement level in Science.	<u>Performance:*</u> 42%	<u>Performance:*</u> 48%		among all grades.			
			1.2. Limited understanding of how to effectively teach standards-based and inquiry-based science.	 1.2. Focused professional development in the area of science for grades 3- 8. Focused professional development on embedded test- taking strategies. 	1.2. CRTs, leadership team, and classroom teachers	1.2. Focused walkthroughs, observations, LPs	1.2. FCAT scores and classroom assessments
			1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.
1B. Florida Alternate scoring at Levels 4, 5, <u>Science Goal #1B:</u> Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Assessment: and 6 in scie 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	1B.1. 1B.2.	1B.1. 1B.2.	1B.1. 1B.2.	1B.1. 1B.2.	1B.1. 1B.2.
			1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.

Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improve	student achiever uestions," identi ement for the foll	ment data and fy and define owing group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in science.Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in science.Science Goal #2A:Students will maintainor increase in their achievement level2012 Current Performance:*2013 Expected Level of Performance:*48% will be at 3, 4, or 5		2.1. Science FCAT is only tested in 5 th and 8 th grades.	2.1. All grade levels are required to teach weekly inquiry-based science labs. Cross-curricular collaboration among all grades.	2.1. CRTs, leadership team, and classroom teachers	2.1. Walkthroughs, observations, LPs	2.1. FCAT scores and classroom assessments	
			2.2. Limited exposure to enrichment projects.	2.2. Students in grades 4-8 have the opportunity to apply to the Cambridge program.	2.2. Leadership team and classroom teachers (Cambridge)	2.2. Walkthroughs, observations, LPs	2.2. FCAT scores and classroom assessments
			2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.
2B. Florida Alternate scoring at or above L Science Goal #2B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Assessment evel 7 in scie 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Students nce. 2013Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.
			2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.
			2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

High School Science Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Science Goal #1: 2012 Current 2013 Expected Enter narrative for the Performance:* Performance:* goal in this box. Enter numerical Enter numerical data for current level of level of performance in performance in this box.	I d					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	
scoring at or above Level 7 in science.						
Science Goal #2: 2012 Current 2013Expected Enter narrative for the goal in this box. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Performance:* Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of level of level of performance in this box. this box. this box.						
	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

Biology 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Biology I EOC)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Biology 1 EOC Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Biology 1.	1.1.	1.1.	11.	1.1.	1.1.	
Biology 1 Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Enter numerical Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	T					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology 1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	
Biology 1 Goal #2: 2012 Current 2013 Expected Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Performance:* Performance:* Enter numerical Level of this box. Enter numerical Level of leve						
	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

End of Biology 1 EOC Goals

Science Professional Development

Profes	ssional Devel	opment (PD)	aligned with Strategies	through Professional	Learning Community	unity (PLC)	or PD Activity
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-	up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Instructional Strategies	K-8	Middle School Science teachers and leadership team	All teachers	Grade :Level Meetings and PD days	Lead Science teacher will science teachers on strate with hands on activities a	collaborate with gies and provide nd labs	Leadership team and CRTs
Technology	K-8	Principal, Assistant Principal, CRT's, IT Dept	hcipal, Assistant hcipal, CRT's, Dept Grade Level Meetings and PD days days boards, use of United S video library		Implementation of and us response systems, Promet boards, use of United Stre video library	e of student hean interactive aming digital	Principal, Assistant Principal, CRT, Lead Teacher
Embedded Test-Taking Strategies	Principal, Assistant Principal, CRT's, IT Dept	All teachers	eachers Grade Level Meetings and PD days From the an interactive boards, use of United Streaming digital video library		Principal, Assistant Principal, CRT's, IT Dept		
Science Budget (Insert rows as	s needed)					
Include only school-b	ased funded ac	tivities/material	s and exclude district funded a	activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Materials(s)					
Strategy		Descriptio	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
Integration of authentic literature into the conte	c non-fiction ent area.	Non-Fictio	on Text	Library Fund		\$3,000.00	
T 1 1							Subtotal:
Technology			(D			A	
Strategy Description of Resources		n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount		
							C. L.(., L.)
Professional Davalann	aant						Subtotai:
Stratagy	nent	Descriptio	n of Descurees	Eunding Source		Amount	
Sualegy		Descriptio		Funding Source		Alloulli	

Subtotal:

Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Hands-On Learning Strategies	Engaging Science Units for Hands-on learning	Teacher Consumables	\$1,000.00
			Subtotal:
			Total: \$4,000

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Writi	ing Goals			Problem-Solving Pro	cess to Increase Stud	ent Achievement	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1A. FCAT: Students Level 3.0 and higher i Writing Goal #1A: Students will maintain or increase writing proficiency.	scoring at Ac in writing. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 80%	hievement 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 83%	1.1. Teaching language arts skills in isolation.	1.1. Teachers will target areas of deficiency based on FCAT data and writing prompts. Teachers will embed language arts skills within writing instruction and composition.	1.1. Teachers, CRTs, and leadership team	1.1. Bi-monthly writing prompts will be administered to students. Targeted walkthroughs.	1.1. Writing prompt results
			1.2. Limited understanding of how to effectively teach writing through Writer's Workshop. 1A.3.	1.2. Targeted professional development in the area of Writer's Workshop.1A.3.	1.2.Teachers, CRTs, and leadership team1A.3.	1.2.Bi-weekly writing prompts will be administered to students.1A.3.	1.2. Writing prompt results 1A.3.
1B. Florida Alternate scoring at 4 or higher	Assessment: in writing.	Students	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.
Writing Goal #1B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.
			1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.

Writing Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity								
		- · · /	Please note that each Strategy does not	require a professional developmer	nt or PLC activity.	-			
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring			
Writer's Workshop	3-8	Leadership Team	3-8 Language Arts Teachers	PD Days and Wednesday PDs	Walkthroughs	Leadership Team			
Common Core Writing	K-2	Leadership Team	K-2 Teachers	PD Days and Wednesday PDs	Walkthroughs	Leadership Team			

Writing Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activit	ties/materials and exclude district funded activ	vities/materials.	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:		
Total:		

End of Writing Goals

Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2014-2015)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Civics EOC Goals		Problem-Solving Pro	ocess to Increase Stud	ent Achievement	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Civics.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Civics Goal #1: 2012 Current 2013 Expected Enter narrative for the Performance:* 2013 Expected goal in this box. Enter numerical Enter numerical data for current level of performance in performance in this box. this box.	T				
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.
Levels 4 and 5 in Civics.					
Civics Goal #2: 2012 Current 2013 Expected Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Performance:* Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of Enter numerical data for expected of performance in this box.					
	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

Civics Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.							
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-u	p/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
Civics Budget (In	nsert rows as r	needed)						
Include only school-b	ased funded act	ivities/materia	ls and exclude district funded	activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Progra	m(s)/Materials(s	5)						
Strategy		Description	on of Resources	Funding Source		Amount		
							Subtotal:	
Technology								
Strategy		Description	on of Resources	Funding Source		Amount		
							Subtotal:	
Professional Developm	nent							
Strategy		Descriptio	on of Resources	Funding Source		Amount		
							Subtotal:	
Other								
Strategy		Descriptio	on of Resources	Funding Source		Amount		
							Subtotal:	
							Total:	

End of Civics Goals

U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2013-2014)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

U.S. History EOC Goals		Problem-Solving Pro	ocess to Increase Stud	ent Achievement	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
U.S. History Goal #1: 2012 Current Level of Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.
Levels 4 and 5 in U.S. History.					
U.S. History Goal #2: 2012 Current Enter narrative for the goal in this box. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical level of performance in this box. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical level of performance in this box.					
	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

U.S. History Professional Development

Profes	ssional Devel	opment (PD)) aligned with Strategies Please note that each Strategy does no	through Professional t require a professional development	Learning Comm	unity (PLC)) or PD Activity
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-u	p/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
U.S. History Bud	dget (Insert ro	ows as needed	d)				
Include only school-b	ased funded ac	tivities/materia	ls and exclude district funded	activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Materials((s)				ſ	
Strategy		Descriptio	on of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
							Subtotal
Technology							Subtotal.
Strategy		Descriptio	on of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
		I I I I					
						1	Subtotal:
Professional Developm	nent						
Strategy		Descriptio	on of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
							0.14.4.1
Other							Subtotal:
Strategy		Descriptio	on of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
Strategy		Description				¹ mount	
				I			Subtotal:
							Total:
End of U.S. Histor	y Goals						

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Attenda	nce Goal(s))	Problem-solving Process to Increase Attendance				
Based on the analysis of attendance data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:		nd reference to reas in need of	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Attendance			1.1. Lack of understanding of the	1.1. Parental communication to explain	1.1. Classroom teachers, guidance	1.1. The personnel responsible for	1.1. Attendance rate calculator tool.
Attendance Goal #1: Reduce the number of absences and tardies by encouraging and implementing additional forms of communication and strategies.	2012 Current <u>Attendance</u> <u>Rate:*</u> <i>Enter numerical</i> <i>data for current</i> <i>attendance rate</i> <i>in this box.</i> 2012 Current <u>Number of</u> <u>Students with</u> Excessive <u>Absences</u> (10 or more) <i>Enter numerical</i> <i>data for current</i> <i>number of</i> <i>absences in this</i> <i>box</i> 2012 Current <u>Number of</u> <u>Students with</u> <u>Excessive</u> Tardies (10 or <u>more)</u> <i>Enter numerical</i> <i>data for current</i> <i>number of</i> <u>Students with</u> <u>Excessive</u> Tardies (10 or <u>more)</u> <i>Enter numerical</i> <i>data for current</i> <i>number of</i> <i>students tardy in</i> <i>this box.</i>	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:* Enter numerical data for expected attendance rate in this box. 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) Enter numerical data for expected number of absences in this box. 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) Enter numerical data for expected number of students tardy in this box.	compulsory attendance policy.	Implementation of RTI	counselor, registrar, and attendance specialist	monitoring will ensure parental communication by keeping track record of absences and tardiness. Absences will be monitored on a quarterly basis.	
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			attendance policy by school personnel.	helping to improve attendance rates.	counselor, registrar, and attendance specialist	and the distribution of attendance letters on a quarterly basis.	Autentiance rate calculator tool.

	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Attendance Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity							
		- · · ·	Please note that each Strategy does not	require a professional development	t or PLC activity.	•		
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		
Attendance Budge	et (Insert rows	s as needed)						

Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based fi	unded activities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/M	Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:
End of Attendance Goals

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "cuiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement: Anticipated Barrier Strategy Presso or Position Responsible for Monitoring Process Used to Determine Freedwares 1. Suspension 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 Suspension Goal #1: 2013 Expected Under Suspension Adda, and reference to "Cuiding Strategy" 1.1 1.1 1.1 Reduce the number of suspensions. 2013 Expected Under School Suspension Adda, analyze referral and suspension Adda, analyze referral and suspension dual support of students discussed of the school suspension Adda, analyze referral and suspension Adda, and analyze referral and suspension Adda, and referrence adda sugnation Adda, and referrence adda sugnation Adda, and analyze referral and suspension Adda, and referrence Adda, and reference Adda, and reference Adda,	Suspension Goal(s)			Problem-solving Process to Decrease Suspension				
I. Suspension 1.1.	Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Suspension Goal #1: 2012 Total Number of Suspensions 2013 Expected Number of Suspensions Provide Leaders with training on Leadership Team, SSS. Analyze referral and suspension du data; number of students discussed in -School Referral and suspension du suspensions Enter numerical data for current number of School Suspensions Enter numerical data for current number of of suspensions Enter numerical data for current number of suspensions Referral and suspension du the PST. Referral and suspension du the PST. 2012 Total Number of Suspensions Enter numerical data for current number of of sudents Enter numerical data for current number of suspensions Referral and suspension du the PST. Referral and suspension du the PST. 2012 Total Number of Suspended In -School Suspended n -School Suspended Suspended Suspended Suspended <td>1. Suspension</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1.1.</td> <td>1.1.</td> <td>1.1.</td> <td>1.1.</td> <td>1.1.</td>	1. Suspension			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
$\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{L}}$ $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{L}}$ $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{L}}$ $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{L}}$ $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{L}}$	Suspension Goal #1: Reduce the number of suspensions.	2012 Total Number of In –School Suspensions Enter numerical data for current number of in-school suspensions 2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School Enter numerical data for current number of students suspended in-school 2012 Total Number of Out-of- School Suspensions Enter numerical data for current number of students suspended out- of- school 2012 Total Number of Students Suspended Out- of- School Enter numerical data for current number of students Suspended Out- of- School Enter numerical data for current number of students suspended out- of- school	2013 Expected Number of In- School Suspensions Enter numerical data for expected number of in-school suspensions 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In -School Enter numerical data for expected number of students suspended in- school 2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions Enter numerical data for expected number of students suspended out- of- school 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School Enter numerical data for expected number of students suspended Out- of-School Enter numerical data for expected number of students suspended out- of- school	Limited knowledge of RtI for behavior	Provide teachers with training on strategies to meet students behavioral needs and utilize the Problem-Solving Team for support.	Leadership Team, SSS, Classroom Teachers	Analyze referral and suspension data; number of students discussed at the PST.	Referral and suspension data
1.3. 1.3. 1.3. 1.3. 1.3.				1.3.	1.2.	1.2. 1.3.	1.2. 1.3.	1.2.

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic PD Facilitator PD Participants Target Dates (e.g., Early Grade Person or Position Responsible for Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring and/or PLC Focus and/or (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or Release) and Schedules (e.g., Level/Subject Monitoring school-wide) PLC Leader frequency of meetings) Discipline with DWD Team August and ongoing K-8 Monthly meetings Leadership Team All staff Dignity Suspension Budget (Insert rows as needed) Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s) Description of Resources **Funding Source** Strategy Amount Subtotal: Technology Description of Resources **Funding Source** Strategy Amount Subtotal: Professional Development Description of Resources Funding Source Strategy Amount Subtotal: Other Description of Resources **Funding Source** Strategy Amount Subtotal: Total:

Suspension Professional Development

End of Suspension Goals

Dropout Prevention Goal(s) Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Dropout I	Prevention Goal(s)		Problem-solving Process to Dropout Prevention				
Based on the analysis of pa "Guiding Questions,"	rrent involvement data, and reference identify and define areas in need of mprovement:	o Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Dropout Prevention	n	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Dropout Prevention Goal #1:	2012 Current 2013 Expected Dropout Rate:* Dropout Rate:*						
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Enter numerical data for dropout rate in this box, 2012 Current Graduation Rate ^{+*} Graduation Rate ^{+*}	lata out					
*Please refer to the percentage of students who dropped out during the 2011-2012 school	Enter numerical Enter numerical data for for expected graduation rate in graduation rate it this box. this box.	lata					
year.		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Dropout Prevention Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity									
			Please note that each Strategy does not	require a professional development	nt or PLC activity.					
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring				

Dropout Prevention Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based fun	ded activities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Ma	terials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:
	σ 1()			

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involv	vement Goal(s)	Problem-solving Process to Parent Involvement				
Based on the analysis of parent i "Guiding Questions," identi impro	nvolvement data, and reference to fy and define areas in need of vement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Parent Involvement		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Parent Involvement Goal #1:	2012 Current2013 ExpectedLevel of ParentLevel of ParentInvolvement.*Involvement.*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Enter numericalEnter numericaldata for currentdata for expectedlevel of parentlevel of parent					
*Please refer to the	this box. this box.					
percentage of parents who participated in school		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
activities, duplicated or unduplicated.		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Parent Involvement Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity									
			Please note that each Strategy does not	require a professional developmer	nt or PLC activity.					
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus Grade Level/Subject PD Facilitator and/or PD Participants Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Person or Position Responsible Monitoring										

Parent Involvement Budget

Include only school-based funded activi	ties/materials and exclude district funded	activities /materials.	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

STEM Goal(s)		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
<u>STEM Goal #1:</u> Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.			
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.			
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.			

STEM Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity							
			Please note that each Strategy does not	require a professional development	t or PLC activity.		
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus Grade Level/Subject PD Facilitator PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or PLC Leader PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Leader PD Facilitator PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or PLC Leader PD Content /Topic Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring							

STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based func	ded activities/materials and exclude district fund	ded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Mat	terials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			· ·	Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			· · ·	Subtotal:
				Total:

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

CTE Goal(s)		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
<u>CTE Goal #1:</u> Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.			
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.			
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.			

CTE Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity								
			Please note that each Strategy does not	require a professional development	t or PLC activity.				
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus Grade Level/Subject PD Facilitator and/or PD Participants Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Person or Position Responsible f Monitoring									

CTE Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.						
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)						
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount			
			Subtotal:			
Technology						
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount			
			Subtotal:			
Professional Development						
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount			
Subtotal:						
Other						
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount			
Subtotal:						
Total:						
End of CTE Goal(s)						

Additional Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Additional Goal Additional Goal #1:	2012 Current	2013 Expected	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level :* Enter numerical data for current goal in this box.	Level :* Enter numerical data for expected goal in this box.					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Additional Goals Professional Development							

Additional Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
			Please note that each Strategy does not	require a professional developmer	nt or PLC activity.	
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Additional Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based	d funded activities/materials and exclude district fun-	ded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s	s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			I	Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			I	Subtotal:
				Total:
End of Additional Goa	<i>ul(s)</i>			

Final Budget (Insert rows as needed)	
Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	
	Total:
CELLA Budget	
	Total:
Mathematics Budget	
	Total:
Science Budget	
	Total:
Writing Budget	
	Total:
Civics Budget	
	Total:
U.S. History Budget	
	Total:
Attendance Budget	
6	Total:
Suspension Budget	
	Total:
Dropout Prevention Budget	
	Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	
	Total:
STEM Budget	
	Total:
CTE Budget	
	Total:
Additional Goals	10000
	Total
	i otai.
	Grand Total:
August 2012	

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select Checked under "Default value" header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an "x" in the box.)

School Differentiated Accountability Status				
Priority	Focus	Prevent		

Are you reward school? Yes No (A reward school is any school that has improved their letter grade from the previous year or any A graded school.)

• Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the Upload page

School Advisory Council (SAC)

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting Yes or No below.

Yes No

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.

Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.

Amount