

# FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION



## DRAFT School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

**PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS**

**School Information**

School Name:Sawgrass Lake Elementary School	District Name: Pinellas County Schools
Principal: Jean Charles-Marks	Superintendent:John A. Stewart, Ed.D.
SAC Chair:Bill Shane	Date of School Board Approval: October 19, 2012

**Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:**

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

[School Grades Trend Data](#) (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

[Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test \(FCAT\)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data](#)(Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

[High School Feedback Report](#)

[K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan](#)

**Administrators**

List your school’s administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
Principal	Jean Charles-Marks	Educational Leadership	14	17	At Sawgrass Lake Elementary. Student Achievement of High Standards: Reading 62% (3 or Above) Math 52% (3 or Above)
Assistant Principal	Delonda Boyd	Education Leadership	12	2	Writing: 88% (4 or Above) Science 53% (3 or Above) Annual Learning Gains: Reading 73% (Making Gains) Math 65% (Making Gains) Annual Learning Gains of Lowest 25% in the School: Reading 65% (Making Gains) Math 61%

June 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Instructional Coaches**

List your school’s instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)

**Highly Effective Teachers**

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date
1.		May 2013
2.		May 2013
3.		
4.		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

***Non-Highly Effective Instructors***

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who are NOT highly effective.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out-of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
N/A	All staff at Sawgrass Lake Elementary are Highly Qualified

***Staff Demographics***

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	%ESOL Endorsed Teachers
68	0	19% (13)	38% (26)	43% (29)	26% (18)	100%	1% (2)	1% (3)	21% (14)

***Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan***

Please describe the school’s teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Alex O’Brien	Heather Winsor	1 <sup>st</sup> year teacher/ASD teammate	District-wide program and Sawgrass Lake First Year Orientation.  Planned weekly PLC meetings to support teaching efforts
Nichole Waaland	Kristine Moomaw	New to Sawgrass	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Additional Requirements**

***Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only***

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A
Title I, Part C- Migrant
Title I, Part D
Title II
Title III
Title X- Homeless
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)
Violence Prevention Programs
Nutrition Programs
Housing Programs
Head Start
Adult Education
Career and Technical Education
Job Training
Other

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

***Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)***

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team
Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team. The school based MTSS leadership team includes Jean Charles-Marks, Principal, Delonda Boyd-Assistant Principal, Melissa Leech-School Counselor, Linda Frazee-School Psychologist, Marty Lopez-School Social Worker, Anne Lopez-Behavior Specialist, Ann Lewis-Media Specialist and Tech., Robin McManaway-Diagnostician, Molly Bender-SLP.
Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts? The MTSS leadership team will meet every three weeks on Friday at 1:00pm. The team will review and analyze data to make decisions regarding curriculum, instruction, group and individual interventions. The team will also develop a resource map of interventions and strategies currently available at Sawgrass Lake Elementary. The team will also be responsible for training the staff in the implementation of MTSS.  Principal and Assistant Principal: Facilitate team discussions. Provide a common vision for the use of data-based decision making. Ensure the provision of professional development to support MTSS implementation.
Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP? The SBLT will analyze data in order to identify student achievement trends and groups in need of intervention. The team makes decisions that determine the allocation of school resources. All school resources (personnel, materials, curriculum, etc.) are used to support the achievement of all students as outlined in the School Improvement Plan.
MTSS Implementation
Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior. Grade level SIP assessments and FAIR will be reviewed throughout the year to determine students in need of intervention. The team will also compile a data base of students in the Tier 2 and Tier 3 levels of support. Frequency of ongoing progress monitoring-Tier 2-Every 2 weeks, Tier 3 every week
Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS. The staff at Sawgrass Lake Elementary receives ongoing training on MTSS at staff meetings and grade level PLC meetings.
Describe the plan to support MTSS. Our MTSS support team will provide individual guidance for those teachers that need assistance.

***Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)***

**June 2012**

**Rule 6A-1.099811**

**Revised April 29, 2011**

## 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

### School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

The school based Literacy Leadership team includes Jean Charles-Marks-Principal, Delonda Boyd-Assistant Principal, Ann Lewis-Media and Tech. Specialist, Melissa Leech, School Counselor, Robyn McManaway-Diagnostician.

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

Literacy Leadership Teams create capacity of reading knowledge within the school by focusing on the following areas of literacy concern:

Support for text complexity

Support for instructional skills to improve reading comprehension

- Ensuring that text complexity, along with close reading and rereading of texts, is central to lessons
- Providing scaffolding that does not preempt or replace text reading by students
- Developing and asking text dependent questions from a range of question types
- Emphasizing students supporting their answers based upon evidence from the text
- Providing extensive research and writing opportunities (claims and evidence)

Support for implementation of Common Core State Standards for Literacy in Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects (a focus on text, task, and instruction).

The district will provide training and tools for Literacy Leadership Teams.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

Support for text complexity

Support for instructional skills to improve reading comprehension

Support for implementation of Common Core State Standards for Literacy in Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects

### ***Public School Choice***

- **Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification**

*Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.*

### ***\*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition***

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

## **PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS**

June 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Reading Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Reading Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1a.FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading.</b>			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. Administrators who evaluate teachers	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans Walkthrough Lesson Plans aligned to Common Core Standards Teacher Observations (informal) Assessment used 6 Times per year (every 6 weeks) Grade Level Specific Common Core Standard Rubric *to be determined by grade level consensus Teachers share data at Grade Level PLC, Team Leaders share data during Leadership Meeting Assessments to be used: K-Letter Recognition (1 <sup>st</sup> Semester) Letter/Sound Knowledge (2 <sup>nd</sup> Semester) 1 & 2-Running Records 3-5-Florida Ready Pre/Post Test
<b>Reading Goal #1a:</b>	<b>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</b>	<b>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</b>					
Improve current level of performance	(31%)  (112)	Decrease level 1&2 from 40% To 30%					
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. Administrators who evaluate teachers	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students’ background knowledge, interests, and	1a.2. Walkthrough Lesson Plans aligned to Common Core Standards Teacher Observations (informal) Assessment used 6 Times per year (every 6 weeks) Grade Level Specific Common Core

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

					personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	Standard Rubric *to be determined by grade level consensus Teachers share data at Grade Level PLC, Team Leaders share data during Leadership Meeting Assessments to be used: K-Letter Recognition (1 <sup>st</sup> Semester) Letter/Sound Knowledge (2 <sup>nd</sup> Semester) 1 & 2-Running Records 3-5-Florida Ready Pre/Post Test
		1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. Administrators who evaluate teachers	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough  Lesson Plans aligned to Common Core Standards  Teacher Observations (informal)  Assessment used 6 Times per year (every 6 weeks)  Grade Level Specific Common Core Standard Rubric *to be determined by grade level consensus  Teachers share data at Grade Level PLC, Team Leaders share data during Leadership Meeting Assessments to be used: K-Letter Recognition (1 <sup>st</sup> Semester) Letter/Sound Knowledge (2 <sup>nd</sup> Semester) 1 & 2-Running Records 3-5-Florida Ready Pre/Post Test
<b>1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.</b>		1b.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1b.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1b.2. Administrators who evaluate teachers	1b.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes aligned to access points when appropriate *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting	1b.2. Walkthrough
Reading Goal #1b:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
Improve current level of performance	0%	Decrease level 1,2,3				

June 2012  
Rule 6A-1.099811  
Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

						instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
			1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.
			1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>2a.FCAT 2.0:Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in reading.</b>			2a.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2a.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2a.1. Administrators who evaluate teachers	2a.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students	2a.1. Walkthrough Lesson Plans aligned to Common Core Standards Teacher Observations (informal) Assessment used 6 Times per year (every 6 weeks) Grade Level Specific Common Core Standard Rubric *to be determined by grade level consensus Teachers share data at Grade Level PLC, Team Leaders share data during Leadership Meeting Assessments to be used: K-Letter Recognition (1 <sup>st</sup> Semester) Letter/Sound Knowledge (2 <sup>nd</sup> Semester) 1 & 2-Running Records 3-5-Florida Ready Pre/Post Test
<u>Reading Goal #2a:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Improve current level of performance	29% (102)	Increase level 4 and 5 by 5%					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

			2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.
			2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3
<b>2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in reading.</b>			2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. Administrators who evaluate teachers	2b.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points	2b1. Walkthrough
Reading Goal #2b: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	75%	Increase level 7 by 5%					
			2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.
			2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.</b>			3a.1. Lack of student engagement	3a.1. Differentiate Instruction	3a.1. Administrators who evaluate teachers	3a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural	3a.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>Reading Goal #3a:</b> Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 65%	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 100%				background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	IPI data when available  State instructional walkthrough when applicable
			3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.
			3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.
<b>3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.</b>			3b.1. Lack of student engagement	3b.1. Differentiate Instruction	3b.1. Administrators who evaluate teachers	3b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in	3b.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results  IPI data when available State instructional walkthrough when applicable
<b>Reading Goal #3b:</b> Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 100%	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 100%					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

						different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
			3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.
			3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>4a.FCAT 2.0:Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.</b>			4a.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	4a.1. Differentiate Instruction	4a.1. Administrators who evaluate teachers	4a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	4a.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Reading Goal #4a: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	65%	100%					
			4a.2. Insufficient intervention supports exist to address the varying needs of students across academic and engagement areas	4a.2. Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives	4a.2. SBLT	4a.2. *SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses *Intervention and core teachers communicate and plan together regularly *Intervention curriculum is aligned with core instructional goals/objectives *Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within	4a.2. Evidence of core teachers and intervention teachers communicating and planning; Lesson Plans & Walkthroughs

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

					<p>intervention courses</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>*Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes</li> <li>*Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers</li> <li>*Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses</li> </ul>					
		4a.3	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.				
<p><b>4b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.</b></p> <p><b>Reading Goal #4b:</b></p> <p>Improve current level of performance</p>		<p>4b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction</p>	<p>4b.1. Differentiate Instruction</p>	<p>4b.1. Administrators who evaluate teachers</p>	<p>4b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>*Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs)</li> <li>*Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners</li> <li>*Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs.</li> <li>*These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments</li> <li>*Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.</li> </ul>	<p>4b.1. Lesson Plans &amp; Walkthrough</p>				
	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</td> <td>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</td> </tr> <tr> <td>100%</td> <td>100%</td> </tr> </table>	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	100%	100%					
2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*									
100%	100%									
		<p>4b.2. Insufficient intervention supports exist to address the varying needs of students across academic and engagement areas</p>	<p>4b.2. Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives</p>	<p>4ab.2. SBLT</p>	<p>4b.2.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>*SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses</li> <li>*Intervention and core teachers communicate and plan together regularly</li> <li>*Intervention curriculum is aligned with core instructional goals/objectives</li> <li>*Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within</li> </ul>	<p>4b.2. Evidence of core teachers and intervention teachers communicating and planning; Lesson Plans &amp; Walkthroughs</p>				

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

					intervention courses *Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes *Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers *Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses		
		4b.3	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target		<b>2011-2012</b>	<b>2012-2013</b>	<b>2013-2014</b>	<b>2014-2015</b>	<b>2015-2016</b>	<b>2016-2017</b>
<b>5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.</b>	Baseline data 2010-2011 <u>73</u>	78	82	87	91	96	100
Reading Goal #5A: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading.</b>	5b.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian: Lack of differentiation of instruction	5b.1. Differentiate Instruction	5b.1. Administrators who evaluate teachers	5b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the	5b.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough		

June 2012  
Rule 6A-1.099811  
Revised April 29, 2011



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

						needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
<b>Reading Goal #5B:</b>	<b>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</b>	<b>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</b>					
Improve current level of performance	White: 131 61%  Black: 27 13%  Hispanic: 24 11%  Asian: 17 8%  American Indian: 0 0%	100% of all subgroups to make a learning gain  Increase proficiency of all subgroups by 10%					
			5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading.</b>	5c.1. Lack of	5c.1. Differentiate	5c.1. Administrators who	5c.1. Content materials are differentiated	5c.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<p><b>Reading Goal #5C:</b> Improve current level of performance</p>	<p>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</p>	<p>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</p>	<p>differentiation of instruction</p>	<p>Instruction</p>	<p>evaluate teachers</p>	<p>by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.</p>	
	<p>42%</p>	<p>100% of ELL students to make a learning gain An increase in proficiency by 10%</p>					
<p>Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:</p>			<p>Anticipated Barrier</p>	<p>Strategy</p>	<p>Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring</p>	<p>Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy</p>	<p>Evaluation Tool</p>
<p><b>5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading.</b></p>			<p>5d.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction</p>	<p>5d.1. Differentiate Instruction</p>	<p>5d.1. Administrators who evaluate teachers</p>	<p>5d.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.</p>	<p>5d.1. Lesson Plans &amp; Walkthrough</p>
<p><b>Reading Goal #5D:</b> Improve current level of performance</p>	<p>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</p>	<p>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</p>	<p>differentiation of instruction</p>	<p>Instruction</p>	<p>evaluate teachers</p>	<p>by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.</p>	
	<p>28% 7</p>	<p>100% of all SWD students to make a learning gain An increase in proficiency by 10%</p>					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.</b>		5e.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5e.1. Differentiate Instruction	5e.1. Administrators who evaluate teachers	5e.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5e.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Reading Goal #5E: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance: 57%	2013 Expected Level of Performance: 100% of economically disadvantaged students will learning gain An increase in proficiency by 10%				
			5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.
		5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.

**Reading Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities</b>						
Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

June 2012  
 Rule 6A-1.099811  
 Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Reading Units of Study	K-5	Assistant Principal, SIP	Schoolwide	Early Release, Monthly PLC's	S.I.P. Team Reviews at Monthly Meetings	S.I.P. Team Members
Common Core	K-5	Assistant Principal, SIP	Schoolwide	Schoolwide PLC's, Monthly	PLC Minutes	S.I.P. Team, Administrators
Data Analysis	K-5	Assistant Principal, SIP Team, PLC's	Schoolwide	Reviewed every 6 weeks/PLC	Reported to staff-6 week interval	S.I.P. Team Members, SBLT

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Reading Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
On-going assessment comparative data	Florida Ready Assessment Material, Gr. 3-5	Discretionary Funds	\$1,500.00
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
			<b>Total:</b>

*End of Reading Goals*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CELLA Goals		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition				
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Students scoring proficient in Listening/Speaking.</b>		1.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	1.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	1.1. Administrators who evaluate teachers	1.1. Determine: * Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction * Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning * Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle * Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students	1.1. Walkthrough
<u>CELLA Goal #1:</u>	<u>2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking:</u>					
Improve current level of performance	49%	2.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2.1. Differentiate Instruction	2.1. Administrators who evaluate teachers	2.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the	2.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Number CELLA tested: 63	31					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

					needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>2. Students scoring proficient in Reading.</b>		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
<b>CELLA Goal #2:</b>  Improve current level of performance	<u>2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading :</u>  21% 13	Insufficient standard based instruction	Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	Administrators who evaluate teachers	Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	Walkthrough
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>3. Students scoring proficient in Writing.</b>		3.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	3.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	3.1. Administrators who evaluate teachers	3.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	3.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
<u>CELLA Goal #3:</u>	<u>2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing :</u>					
Improve current level of performance	14% 9					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**CELLA Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
			<b>Total:</b>

*End of CELLA Goals*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Elementary School Mathematics Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary Mathematics Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1a.FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.</b>			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. Administrators who evaluate teachers	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
<u>Mathematics Goal</u> #1a:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Improve current level of performance	101 28%	Decrease in level 1 and 2 from 49% To 39%					
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. Administrators who evaluate teachers	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students’ background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc.	1a.2. Walkthrough

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

					*Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
		1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. Administrators who evaluate teachers	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
<b>1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.</b>		1b.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1b.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1b.2. Administrators who evaluate teachers	1b.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes aligned to access points when appropriate *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	1b.2. Walkthrough
<u>Mathematics Goal</u> #1b:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>				
Improve current level of performance	75%	Decrease in level 1,2 and 3				
			1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

			1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>2a.FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in mathematics.</b>			2a.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2a.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2a.1. Administrators who evaluate teachers	2a.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students	2a.1. Walkthrough
<u>Mathematics Goal #2a:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Improve current level of performance	22%  79	Increase in level 4 and 5 by 5%					
			2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.
			2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3
<b>2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.</b>			2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. Administrators who evaluate teachers	2b.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal	2b.1. Walkthrough
<u>Mathematics Goal #2b:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Improve current level of performance	0%	Increase in level 7 by 5%					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

						and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points	
			2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.
			2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.</b>			3a.1. Lack of student engagement	3a.1. Differentiate Instruction	3a.1. Administrators who evaluate teachers	3a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and	3a.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results  IPI data when available  State instructional walkthrough when applicable
<u>Mathematics Goal #3a:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Improve current level of performance	65%	100% of students will make a learning gain					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

						understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
			3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.
			3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.
<b>3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.</b>			3b.1. Lack of student engagement	3b.1. Differentiate Instruction	3b.1. Administrators who evaluate teachers	3b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	3b.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results  IPI data when available  State instructional walkthrough when applicable
<u>Mathematics Goal #3b:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Improve current level of performance	100%	100% of students will make learning gains					
			3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.
			3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool						
<p><b>4a.FCAT 2.0:Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.</b></p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Mathematics Goal #4a:</th> <th>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</th> <th>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Improve current level of performance</td> <td>61%</td> <td>100% of students will make a learning gain</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Mathematics Goal #4a:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	Improve current level of performance	61%	100% of students will make a learning gain	4a.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	4a.1. Differentiate Instruction	4a.1. Administrators who evaluate teachers	4a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	4a.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Mathematics Goal #4a:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*											
Improve current level of performance	61%	100% of students will make a learning gain											
			4a.2. Insufficient intervention supports exist to address the varying needs of students across academic and engagement areas	4a.2. Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives	4a.2. SBLT	4a.2. *SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses *Intervention and core teachers communicate and plan together regularly *Intervention curriculum is aligned with core instructional goals/objectives *Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within intervention courses *Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes *Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers	4a.2. Evidence of core teachers and intervention teachers communicating and planning; Lesson Plans & Walkthroughs						

June 2012  
 Rule 6A-1.099811  
 Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

					*Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses	
		4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3
<b>4b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.</b>		4b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	4b.1. Differentiate Instruction	4b.1. Administrators who evaluate teachers	4b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	4b.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
<u>Mathematics Goal #4b:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>				
Improve current level of performance	100%	100% of students will make a learning gain				
		4b.2. Insufficient intervention supports exist to address the varying needs of students across academic and engagement areas	4b.2. Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives	4ab.2. SBLT	4b.2. *SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses *Intervention and core teachers communicate and plan together regularly *Intervention curriculum is aligned with core instructional goals/objectives	4b.2. Evidence of core teachers and intervention teachers communicating and planning; Lesson Plans & Walkthroughs



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

					*Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within intervention courses *Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes *Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers *Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses		
		4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target		<b>2011-2012</b>	<b>2012-2013</b>	<b>2013-2014</b>	<b>2014-2015</b>	<b>2015-2016</b>	<b>2016-2017</b>
<b>5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.</b>	72	74	77	79	81	84	86
Mathematics Goal #5A: Improve current level of performance							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
<b>5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.</b>		5b.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	5b.1. Differentiate Instruction	5b.1. Administrators who evaluate teachers	5b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level	5b.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough	
Mathematics Goal #5B:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					

June 2012  
Rule 6A-1.099811  
Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Improve current level of performance	White: 64% 116	100% of student subgroups will make learning gains	Lack of differentiation of instruction			*Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
	Black: 12% 21	An increase in proficiency by 10%					
			5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.</b>			5c.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5c.1. Differentiate Instruction	5c.1. Administrators who evaluate teachers	5c.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning	5c.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
<u>Mathematics Goal</u> #5C:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:</u> *	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:</u> *	5c.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5c.1. Differentiate Instruction	5c.1. Administrators who evaluate teachers	5c.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning	5c.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Improve current level of performance	31%	100% of ELL students will make learning gains An increase in proficiency by 10%					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

						needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
			5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.
			5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.</b>			5d.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5d.1. Differentiate Instruction	5d.1. Administrators who evaluate teachers	5d.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5d.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
<u>Mathematics Goal #5D:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Improve current level of performance	32%	100% of SWD students will make learning gains An increase in proficiency by 10%					
			5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.</b>		5e.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5e.1. Differentiate Instruction	5e.1. Administrators who evaluate teachers	5e.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5e.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
<u>Mathematics Goal #5E:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>				
Improve current level of performance	44%	100% of Economically Disadvantaged students will make learning gains An increase in proficiency by 10%				
		5E.2	5E.2	5E.2	5E.2	5E.2
		5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	

*End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Elementary and Middle School Science Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

<b>Elementary and Middle Science Goals</b>			<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1a.FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science.</b>			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. Administrators who evaluate teachers	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans  Teaching Points and Essential Questions Posted.  Evidence of student learning.
<b>Science Goal #1a:</b>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Improve current level of performance	39% 41	Decrease the number of level 1 and 2					
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. Administrators who evaluate teachers	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for	1a.2. Walkthrough  Students' journal/notebook entries  Teacher appraisal results

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

					learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
		1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. Administrators who evaluate teachers	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
<b>1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Level 4, 5, and 6 in science.</b>		1b.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1b.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1b.1. Administrators who evaluate teachers	1b.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to	1b.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans  Teaching Points and Essential Questions posted.  Evidence of student learning.
<u>Science Goal #1b:</u> Improve current level of performance	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u> 0%	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u> Decrease the number of level 1,2, and 3				

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

						answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	
			1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.
			1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in science.</b>			2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. Administrators who evaluate teachers	2b.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points	2b1. Walkthrough  Science Workshops/ Fusion Unit Benchmark Reviews  Use Brain Check and Apply Concepts pages to monitor progress.
<u>Science Goal #2a:</u> Improve current level of performance	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u> 11% 12	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u> Increase the level 4 and 5 students 5%					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

			2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.
			2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3
<b>2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.</b>			2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. Administrators who evaluate teachers	2b.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points	2b1. Walkthrough
<b>Science Goal #2b:</b>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Improve current level of performance	0%	Increase the level 7 by 5%					
			2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.
			2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3

*End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals*



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Science Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b>						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
5E Model	1-5	Science Leadership Team	1-5 Teachers	Early Release Wednesdays	Walkthroughs, Science Assessments	Previous Science

**Science Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
			<b>Total:</b>

*End of Science Goals*

June 2012  
 Rule 6A-1.099811  
 Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Writing Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Writing Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1a. FCAT: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing.</b>			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. Administrators who evaluate teachers	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1a.1. Each grade level will establish a uniform writing rubric to be used in scoring assessments based on grade level writing expectations.  Monthly writing assessments will be given by each grade level.  Writing pieces will be dual scored prior to grade level meeting post assessment. Grade level team will analyze scores and disseminate, using data to drive writing instruction.  Monthly data will be given to leadership team. Data report form will be provided to be used by teachers.  Scores may be used as classroom grades.  Assessments may also be used in establishing S/U status for writing PMPs.
<b>Writing Goal #1a:</b> Improve current level of performance	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>  88% 113  Level 4 and above 25% 32	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>  Decrease number of level 1,2 and 3 students					
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. Administrators who evaluate teachers	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically	1a.2. Assessment Tools:  Grade level team will determine monthly prompt

June 2012  
Rule 6A-1.099811  
Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

					<p>stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes                  *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc.                  *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur</p>	<p>to be used in assessment.                  Possible Assessment Tools:                  Previously used Pinellas County writing prompts                  Grade level rubric to be used throughout the year.                  For rubrics, use county established writing guidelines for stages/scores expectations.                  For S/U PMP purposes, grade levels will use district guidelines from 2011-12 school year.</p>
		1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. Administrators who evaluate teachers	<p>1a.3. Evidence of:                  Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks                  The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks                  Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks</p>	<p>1a.3. Walkthrough                  Teacher Appraisal Results</p>
<b>1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.</b>		1b.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1b.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1b.1. Administrators who evaluate teachers	<p>1b.1. Determine Lesson:                  *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide</p>	<p>1b.1. Walkthrough &amp; Lesson Plans</p>
<u>Writing Goal #1b:</u> Improve current level of	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>				

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

performance	50%	Decrease number of level 1,2 and 3 students				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>*Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals</li> <li>*Includes a learning goal/essential question</li> <li>*Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question</li> <li>*Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question</li> <li>*Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it</li> <li>*Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson</li> </ul>	
	Level 7 and above		1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.
	50%		1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.

*End of Writing Goals*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b>						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Student Work Study	1-5	SIP Leaders	PLC	PLC Monthly	Monitoring Instructional Results	Admin./S.I.P. Team
Data Analysis	K-5	Writing Leadership	Schoolwide	PLC Monthly-S.I.P. Team	Data Analysis; goal achievement	Admin/Writing Leadership

**Budget (Insert rows as needed)**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
			<b>Total:</b>

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Attendance Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Attendance Goal(s)			Problem-solving Process to Increase Attendance				
Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Attendance</b>			1.1. Lack of student engagement	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan	1.1. SBLT	1.1. Determine: Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Data-based monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly conducted	1.1. Decrease in Number of In-School Suspension Number of Students suspended In-School Number of out-of-school suspensions Number of Students suspended out-of-school Number of alternative bell assignments Number of students assigned to alternative bell schedule
Attendance Goal #1:	2012 Current Attendance Rate:*	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:*					
Improve current level of performance	94%	Greater than prior year					
	2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)					
	361	10% decrease from prior year					
	2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)					
	173	10% decrease from prior year					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

June 2012  
 Rule 6A-1.099811  
 Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

		PLC Leader	school-wide)	Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		
Attendance Trends	K-5	Guidance	Schoolwide	Monthly, SBLT	SBLT Analysis	School Based Leadership Team

**Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
			<b>Total:</b>

*End of Attendance Goals*

**Suspension Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

June 2012  
 Rule 6A-1.099811  
 Revised April 29, 2011



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Suspension Goal(s)			Problem-solving Process to Decrease Suspension				
Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Suspension</b>			1.1. Lack of Student Engagement	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan	1.1. SBLT	1.1. Determine: Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Data-based monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly conducted	1.1. Decrease in Number of In-School Suspension Number of Students suspended In-School Number of out-of-school suspensions Number of Students suspended out-of-school Number of alternative bell assignments Number of students assigned to alternative bell schedule
Suspension Goal #1: Improve current level of performance	2012 Total Number of In-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions					
	4	10% decrease from prior year					
	2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In-School					
	3	10% decrease from prior year					
	2012 Number of Out-of-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions					
	42	10% decrease from prior year					
	2012 Total Number of Students Suspended Out-of-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out-of-School					
12	10% decrease from prior year						
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

**Suspension Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

June 2012  
 Rule 6A-1.099811  
 Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Alternatives to Suspension	K-5	Social Worker Guidance Behavior Specialist	Schoolwide	4 X's a year	Social Worker presentations-Staff Meeting	Social Worker

**Suspension Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.

Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**Subtotal:**

Technology

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**Subtotal:**

Professional Development

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**Subtotal:**

Other

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**Subtotal:**

**Total:**

*End of Suspension Goals*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Dropout Prevention Goal(s)** Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)			Problem-solving Process to Dropout Prevention				
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Dropout Prevention</b> Dropout Prevention Goal #1: <i>*Please refer to the percentage of students who dropped out during the 2011-2012 school year.</i>			1.1. Students lack skills to plan for future aspirations and create educational goals	1.1. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1.1. Principal	1.1.	1.1. Walkthrough and teacher appraisal
Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Dropout Rate:*	2013 Expected Dropout Rate:*					
		10% decrease from prior year					
	2012 Current Graduation Rate:*	2013 Expected Graduation Rate:*					
		Improve rate from prior year					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

**Dropout Prevention Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

**Dropout Prevention Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

June 2012  
 Rule 6A-1.099811  
 Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
			<b>Total:</b>

*End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)*

**Parent Involvement Goal(s)**

**Upload Option-**For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section.

**Online Template-** For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involvement Goal(s)	Problem-solving Process to Parent Involvement				
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>1. Parent Involvement</b>			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
<b>Parent Involvement Goal #1:</b> <i>*Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.</i>			Lack of frequent home-school communication in a variety of formats, and allows for families to support and supervise their child's educational progress	Provide frequent home-school communication in a variety of formats, and allows for families to support and supervise their child's educational progress	SBLT		
Improve current level of performance	2012 Current level of Parent Involvement:*	2013 Expected level of Parent Involvement:*					
Portal logins by parents		Increase by 20%					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

**Parent Involvement Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b>						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Family Friendly Schools	K-5	Leadership Team	Schoolwide	Four Events	Sign In Sheets/Agendas	Leadership Team; School Improvement Team
Grade Level Family Nights	K-5	Team Leaders	Schoolwide	TBD by Teams		

**Parent Involvement Budget**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
<b>Professional Development</b>			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
<b>Other</b>			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
			<b>Total:</b>

*End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)*

**Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>STEM Goal #1:</b>  <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

**STEM Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b>						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

**STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Other			

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
			<b>Total:</b>

*End of STEM Goal(s)*

**Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

CTE Goal(s) Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>CTE Goal #1:</b>  <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

**CTE Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

June 2012  
 Rule 6A-1.099811  
 Revised April 29, 2011



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**


**CTE Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.

**Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)**

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>

**Technology**

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>

**Professional Development**

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>

**Other**

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
			<b>Total:</b>

*End of CTE Goal(s)*

**Additional Goal I Wellness (s)**

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Additional Goal: Wellness</b>			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
<u>Additional Goal #1:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level :*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level :*</u>					
Improve current level of performance	<p>A Data (Options): Not yet meeting Bronze Level on Healthy Schools Inventory</p> <p>Meeting Bronze Level on Healthy Schools Inventory</p> <p>Meeting Silver Level on Healthy Schools Inventory</p> <p>Meeting Gold Level on Healthy Schools Inventory</p> <p>B Data: Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgram Data by school will be inserted here.</p>	<p>Options Set A: Not yet meeting Bronze Level on Healthy Schools Inventory</p> <p>Meeting Bronze Level on Healthy Schools Inventory</p> <p>Meeting Silver Level on Healthy Schools Inventory</p> <p>Meeting Gold Level on Healthy Schools Inventory</p> <p>B Data: Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgram</p> <p>School will improve students' scores on one Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgram Assessment scores for selected by school.</p>	<p>A: Failure to form a Healthy School Team.</p> <p>B: Failure to assess students and upload Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgram data</p>	<p>A: Complete Healthy Schools Program 6 Step Process online <a href="https://schools.healthiergeneration.org/">https://schools.healthiergeneration.org/</a></p> <p>B: Complete Pre and Post Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgram student assessments and upload data</p>	<p>A: Healthy School Team (school administrator, physical education teacher, cafeteria manager, health teacher/elementary classroom teachers (optional members – students, parents, school nurse)</p> <p>B: physical education teachers</p>	<p>A: Completion of 6<sup>th</sup> Step of the Healthy School Program online (Celebrate Successes)</p> <p>B: Compare Pre and Post Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgram student assessments results</p>	<p>A: Healthy School Inventory (Evaluate Your School) online</p> <p>B: Being Fit Matters Statistical Report (Portal)</p>

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

**Additional Wellness Goals Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b>						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Use Healthier Generation Guide-lines throughout school day and all functions	K-5	Wellness Coaches	All	All school functions, incorporated throughout the day	Increase healthy food and activities. Increase awareness of the importance of nutrition and exercise.	Wellness Coaches

**Additional Wellness Goal(s) Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Materials for Healthier Generation Guidelines	Materials, guides	PTA	\$1,000.00
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
<b>Total:</b>			

**Additional Goal II Bradley MOU (s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Additional Goal: Black Academic Achievement</b>			1.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	1.1. Differentiate Instruction	1.1. Administrators who evaluate teachers	1.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided	1.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
<b>Additional Goal #1:</b>	<b>2012 Current Level :*</b>	<b>2013 Expected Level :*</b>					
There will be an increase in black student achievement	Reading level 3 and above:13% (27)  Math Level 3and above: 12% (21)	All black students to make learning gains in reading and math					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

						opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

**Additional MOU Goals Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b>						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

**Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

				<b>Subtotal:</b>
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				<b>Subtotal:</b>
				<b>Total:</b>

**Additional Goal III Bradley MOU (s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

<b>Additional Goal(s)</b>			<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Additional Goal: Student Engagement for Black Students</b>			1.1. Lack of Student Engagement	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan	1.1. SBLT	1.1. Determine: Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Data-based monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly conducted	1.1. Decrease in Number of In-School Suspension Number of Students suspended In-School suspensions Number of out-of-school suspended out-of-school assignments Number of alternative bell schedule
<b>Additional Goal #1:</b>	<u>2012 Current Level :*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level :*</u>					
There will be an increase in black student engagement	9%	Decrease the percent of Black students receiving referrals, and Receiving in school and out of school suspensions					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

**Additional MOU II Goals Professional Development**

June 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b>						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

**Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Activities for students in STEP Program	Field Trips, Materials	Discretionary	\$500.00
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
			<b>Total:</b>

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Additional Goal IV Bradley MOU (s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

<b>Additional Goal(s)</b>			<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Additional Goal: Black graduation rate</b>			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
<b>Additional Goal #1:</b> There will be an increase in black student graduation rate	<u>2012 Current Level :*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level :*</u>	Lack of Student Engagement	Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan	SBLT	Determine: Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Data-based monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly conducted	Increase in black graduation rate
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

**Additional MOU Goals Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b>						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

June 2012  
Rule 6A-1.099811  
Revised April 29, 2011



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

		PLC Leader	school-wide)	Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		

**Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
			<b>Total:</b>

**Additional Goal V Bradley MOU (s)**

June 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

<b>Additional Goal(s)</b>			<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Additional Goal: Black advanced Coursework</b>			1.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	1.1. Differentiate Instruction	1.1. Administrators who evaluate teachers	1.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	1.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough  Professional Development includes equity and cultural responsiveness
<u>Additional Goal #1:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level :*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level :*</u>					
There will be an increase percent of black students enrolled in rigorous advanced coursework		Increase from prior year					
There will be an increase in performance of black students in rigorous advanced coursework							
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

**Additional MOU Goals Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b>						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

June 2012  
 Rule 6A-1.099811  
 Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

--	--	--	--	--	--	--

**Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
			<b>Total:</b>

*End of Additional Goal(s)*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Final Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.	
<b>Reading Budget</b>	
	<b>Materials \$1,500.00 Total:</b>
<b>Mathematics Budget</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Science Budget</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Writing Budget</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Attendance Budget</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Suspension Budget</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Dropout Prevention Budget</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Parent Involvement Budget</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Additional Goals</b>	
	<b>Wellness Budget \$1,000.00 Total:</b>
	<b>Bradley MOU Goal III \$500.00</b>
	<b>Grand Total:</b>

**Final Budget**(Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.	
<b>Reading Budget</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
<b>CELLA Budget</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Mathematics Budget</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Science Budget</b>	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Writing Budget</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Civics Budget</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
<b>U.S. History Budget</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Attendance Budget</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Suspension Budget</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Dropout Prevention Budget</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Parent Involvement Budget</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
<b>STEM Budget</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
<b>CTE Budget</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Additional Goals</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
	<b>Grand Total:</b>

**Differentiated Accountability**

**School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance**

Please choose the school’s DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under “Default value” header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an “x” in the box.)

School Differentiated Accountability Status		
<input type="checkbox"/> Priority	<input type="checkbox"/> Focus	<input type="checkbox"/> Prevent

June 2012  
 Rule 6A-1.099811  
 Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

--	--	--

- Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the *Upload* page

**School Advisory Council (SAC)**

*SAC Membership Compliance*

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting *Yes* or *No* below.

X  Yes       No

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.

Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.
Sawgrass Lake Elementary SAC will monitor the implementation of the School Improvement Plan. SAC will assist in educating families about “Healthier Generation” goals and monitor family involvement activities for alignment.

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
SAC funds will support the initiatives described above. Staff interested in attending conferences for professional development will submit an application to SAC one month prior to attendance for approval or denial. A plan for professional development for the Staff of Sawgrass Lake will be included in the application.	