

# FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION



## DRAFT School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

## 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

### PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

#### School Information

School Name: 3021 Orange Grove Elementary School	District Name: Pinellas County Schools
Principal: Mrs. Nanette H. Grasso	Superintendent: Dr. Michael Grego
SAC Chair: Mr. Jeffrey Redett	Date of School Board Approval: Pending: October 9, 2012

#### Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

[School Grades Trend Data](#) (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

[Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test \(FCAT\)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data](#) (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

[High School Feedback Report](#)

[K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan](#)

#### Administrators

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
Principal	Mrs. Nanette H. Grasso	BA Education; M.S. Admin./Supervision	9	14	<p>Prior Performance:                      "A" Grade for the past 11 years:2002, 2003, 2004,2005,2006,2007,2008,2009,2010,2011, 2012                      Adequate Yearly Progress for 7 years:                      2004,2005,2006,2007,2008,2009,2010</p> <p>2012 FCAT Data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 65% of students scored a Level 3 or higher in reading</li> <li>• 65% of students scored a Level 3 or higher in math</li> <li>• 24% of students scored a Level 4.0 or higher in writing</li> <li>• 64% of students scored a Level 3.0 or higher in Science</li> <li>• 64% of students made learning gains in reading</li> <li>• 63% of the lowest 25% made learning gains in reading</li> <li>• 25% of the ELL students scored at a Level 3 or higher in reading</li> <li>• 29% of the SWD scored at a Level 3 or higher in reading</li> <li>• 58% of the Economically Disadvantaged students scored at a Level 3 or higher in reading.</li> <li>• 72% of the students made learning gains in mathematics</li> <li>• 67% of the lowest 25% made learning gains in mathematics</li> <li>• 75% of the ELL students scored at or above a Level 3 in Math</li> <li>• 41% of the SWD scored at a Level 3 or above in Mathematics</li> <li>• 46% of the Economically Disadvantaged students scored at a Level 3 or higher in Mathematics</li> </ul>
Assistant Principal	N/A				

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Instructional Coaches**

List your school’s instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)

**Highly Effective Teachers**

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date
1. The majority of Orange Grove teachers have been teaching at Orange Grove for several years. In order to maintain highly qualified teachers regular and consistent communication with the principal is critical. Data meetings and input is/will be our way of work. When hiring is necessary, the principal along with a team of teachers and other staff members carefully select candidates for the interview process.	Principal	On-going
2. Teachers and staff that are new to Orange Grove are paired with a mentor and veteran staff members.	Principal, Lead Mentor, Assigned Mentors	On-Going
3. The principal also meets with new teachers/staff members a minimum of three times a year or as needed to review observations, provide feedback and address any developing concerns.	Principal, Lead Mentor, Mentor	On-Going
4.		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

***Non-Highly Effective Instructors***

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who are NOT highly effective.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out-of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective

***Staff Demographics***

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
35	5% (2)	25% (9)	31% (11)	43% (15)	34% (12)	100%	2% (1)	2% (1)	54% (19)

***Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan***

Please describe the school’s teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Heidi Bockover Goldstein	Kyle Dudley	Knowledge of Grade Level	Observation of mentee’s instruction and providing feedback; Planning lessons with mentee; Connecting lesson activities to content standards; Discussing student progress and analyzing student work; Modeling or co-teaching lessons
Janet Harmeson	Justin Grimshaw	Knowledge of Grade Level	
Andrea Medina	Scott Shields	Collaborative Partner	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Additional Requirements**

***Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only***

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A Not Applicable: Orange Grove Elementary is not a Title I school.
Title I, Part C- Migrant NA in Pinellas
Title I, Part D Not Applicable: Orange Grove Elementary is not a Title I school.
Title II Not Applicable: Orange Grove Elementary is not a Title I school.
Title III Not Applicable: Orange Grove Elementary is not a Title I school.
Title X- Homeless Not Applicable: Orange Grove Elementary is not a Title I school.
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI) Not Applicable: Orange Grove Elementary is not a Title I school.
Violence Prevention Programs Not Applicable: Orange Grove Elementary is not a Title I school.
Nutrition Programs Not Applicable: Orange Grove Elementary is not a Title I school.
Housing Programs
Head Start Not Applicable: Orange Grove Elementary is not a Title I school.
Adult Education Not Applicable: Orange Grove Elementary is not a Title I school.

**June 2012**

**Rule 6A-1.099811**

**Revised April 29, 2011**

## 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Career and Technical Education

Not Applicable: Orange Grove Elementary is not a Title I school.

Job Training

Not Applicable: Orange Grove Elementary is not a Title I school.

Other

### *Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)*

#### School-Based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based RtI Leadership Team.

Orange Grove Elementary's school-based RtI Leadership Team consists of the following:

Principal (Nanette Grasso)/Curriculum Specialist (Heidi Bockover-Goldstein): Provide a common vision for the use of data-based decision making, ensuring that the school-based intervention team is implementing Response to Intervention (RtI), conducts assessments of RtI skills of school staff through a survey, ensures adequate professional development to support RtI implementation, and communicates with parents regarding school-based plans and activities.

Exceptional Student Education (ESE) teachers (Sue Brewer/Bonnie Volland): Participates in student data collection, integrates core instructional activities/materials into Tier 3 instruction, and collaborates with general education teachers through such activities as co-teaching.

School Psychologist (Audra Walsh ): Participates in collection, interpretation, and analysis of data; facilitates development of intervention plans; provides support for intervention fidelity and documentation; provides technical assistance for problem-solving activities including data collection, data analysis, intervention planning, and program evaluation; facilitates data-based making activities.

Guidance Counselor (Stacey White): Participates in collection, interpretation, and analysis of school-wide data; provides support for intervention fidelity participates in the planning and provision of social emotional interventions for classroom and small group.

Speech Language Pathologist (Bonnie Volland): Educates the team in the role language plays in curriculum, assessments, and instruction, as a basis for appropriate program design; and helps identify systemic patterns of student needs with respect to language skills.

School Social Worker (Michele Glenn): Provides services ranging from program design to assessment and intervention with individual students. In addition to providing interventions, school social worker continues to link child-serving and community agencies to the schools and families to support the child's academic, emotional, behavioral, and social success.

June 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

## 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

- Facilitator – generates agenda and leads team discussions
- Data Manager(s)/Data Coach(es) – assist team in accessing and interpreting (aggregating/disaggregating) the data
- Technology Specialist – brokers technology necessary to manage and display data
- Recorder/Note Taker – documents meeting content and disseminates to team members in a timely manner as well as storing a hard copy in a binder for all teachers to access
- Time Keeper –helps team begin on time and ensures adherence to agreed upon agenda

The RtI Leadership Team will focus meetings around one question: How do we develop and maintain a problem solving system to bring out the best in our school, our teachers and our students?

The team meets weekly on Wednesdays from 7:35-8:30 to engage in the following activities:

Review universal screening data to link to instructional decisions; review progress monitoring data at the grade level and the classroom level to identify students who are meeting/exceeding benchmarks, at moderate risk or at high risk for not meeting benchmarks. The team will also collaborate regularly, problem solve, share effective practices, evaluate implementation, make decisions and practice new processes and skills.

Many of the Orange Grove Elementary RtI members work at other schools within Pinellas County. This unique situation gives Orange Grove the ability to copy systems that are working for other schools without having to reinvent the wheel.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

Two of the RtI Leadership Team members are members of the School Improvement Team. The School Improvement Team and the Principal meet to develop the 2011-12 School Improvement Plan.

Data drives our SBLT and our SIP goals. Every 6 to 8 weeks the SBLT meets with grade level teams to discuss student progress. The data is compared to the goals set via the SIP and if needed, changes in interventions are made to meet our SIP goals.

### MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Baseline Data:

2012 April FAIR data as reported via the Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN), the 2012 Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT) data, and the Math and Science Common Assessment Data maintained in EDS.

Universal Screenings:

Reading, Math, Writing and Science: Curriculum Based Measurements (CBM) for more frequent Tier 2 and Tier 3 with Excel graphs maintained by the school RtI Leadership Team.

Behavior: Data Collection using a variety of tools with Excel graphs maintained by the RtI Leadership Team.

End of year:

**June 2012**

**Rule 6A-1.099811**

**Revised April 29, 2011**



## 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

FAIR data, Pinellas County Common Assessment data, and the 2013 FCAT results for grade 3, 4 and 5.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Early in the school year the Orange Grove staff will be trained on the RtI process by the RtI Leadership team. In addition to this training, each grade level team will meet with a school-based RtI member assigned to each grade level to review ongoing progress monitoring data for each Tier 2 and Tier 3 student every 5 to 6 weeks in grade level PLCs. These PLCs are intended to offer the instructional staff more precise training to facilitate the fidelity of RtI and to identify students who are progressing successfully with the interventions put in place and to make decisions regarding students who are showing little or no progress based on the data. This forum is also designed to create an environment which allows teachers to process the information and have all questions and concerns addressed in an individualized manner. The team will be available to teachers at any time to guide the staff. The training and learning is on-going throughout the school year.

The RtI school-based Leadership Team will also evaluate additional staff professional development needs during the RtI Leadership Team Meetings.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

The Master Schedule has been designed to maximize grade level planning time together. This will allow for teacher at a grade level to conduct PLC's and Data Share meeting with the SBLT to analyze data and monitor student progress. Grade Level data share meeting are scheduled at 6 to 8 week intervals to monitor student growth.

### *Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)*

June 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

## 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

### School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

The Orange Grove Literacy Leadership Team consists of the Principal (Nanette Grasso), Curriculum Specialist (Heidi Bockover-Goldstein), Guidance Counselor (Stacey White) and the Library Informational Specialist (Jack Howard).

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

Literacy Leadership Teams create capacity of reading knowledge within the school by focusing on the following areas of literacy concern:

- Support for text complexity
- Support for instructional skills to improve reading comprehension
  - Ensuring that text complexity, along with close reading and rereading of texts, is central to lessons
  - Providing scaffolding that does not preempt or replace text reading by students
  - Developing and asking text dependent questions from a range of question types
  - Emphasizing students supporting their answers based upon evidence from the text
  - Providing extensive research and writing opportunities (claims and evidence)
- Support for implementation of Common Core State Standards for Literacy in Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects (a focus on text, task, and instruction).

The district will provide training and tools for Literacy Leadership Teams.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

Support for text complexity

- Support for instructional skills to improve reading comprehension
- Support for implementation of Common Core State Standards for Literacy in Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects

### *Public School Choice*

- **Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification**

*Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.*

### *\*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition*

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

Not Applicable: Orange Grove Elementary is not a Title I school.

June 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS**

**Reading Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Reading Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1a.FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading.</b>			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. Principal who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
Reading Goal #1a:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Improve current level of performance	(25%)  (56)	Decrease level 1&2 from 32% To 22%					
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. Principal who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and	1a.2. Walkthrough

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

					personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
		1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. Principal who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
<b>1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.</b>		1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.
<b>Reading Goal #1b:</b>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>				
Improve current level of performance	#N/A	Decrease level 1,2,3				
Does not apply to Orange Grove Elementary						
		1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.
		1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
<b>2a.FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in reading.</b>	2a.1. Lack of differentiation of	2a.1. Provide formative assessments to	2a.1. Principal who evaluates teacher	2a.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess	2a.1. Walkthrough	

June 2012  
Rule 6A-1.099811  
Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>Reading Goal #2a:</b> Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 44% (100)	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Increase level 4 and 5 by 5%	instruction	inform differentiation in instruction		students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students	
			2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.
			2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3
					2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.
2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.			2b.2.	2b.2.	
2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	
<b>2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in reading.</b>							
<b>Reading Goal #2b:</b> Improve current level of performance  Does not apply to Orange Grove Elementary	2012 Current Level of Performance:* #N/A	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Increase level 7 by 5%					
			2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.
			2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3
					2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.
2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.			2b.2.	2b.2.	
2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.</b>			3a.1. Lack of student engagement	3a.1. Differentiate Instruction	3a.1. Principal who evaluates teacher	3a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	3a.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results  IPI data when available  State instructional walkthrough when applicable
<u>Reading Goal #3a:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Improve current level of performance	64% (97)	100%					
			3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.
			3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.
<b>3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.</b>			3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.
<u>Reading Goal #3b:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Improve current level of performance	NA	100%					
Does not apply to Orange Grove Elementary			3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.

**June 2012  
Rule 6A-1.099811  
Revised April 29, 2011**

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

		3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>4a.FCAT 2.0:Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.</b>		4a.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	4a.1. Differentiate Instruction	4a.1. Principal who evaluates teacher	4a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	4a.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
<b>Reading Goal #4a:</b>	<b>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</b>					
Improve current level of performance	63% (24)	100%				
		4a.2. Insufficient intervention supports exist to address the varying needs of students across academic and engagement areas	4a.2. Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives	4a.2. SBLT	4a.2. *SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses *Intervention and core teachers communicate and plan together regularly *Intervention curriculum is aligned with core instructional goals/objectives *Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within intervention courses *Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes *Interventions are integrated and	4a.2. Evidence of core teachers and intervention teachers communicating and planning; Lesson Plans & Walkthroughs

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

					aligned across all providers *Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses		
		4a.3	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	
<b>4b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.</b>	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	
Reading Goal #4b: Improve current level of performance  Does not apply to Orange Grove Elementary	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	NA	100%					
	4b.2.	4b.2.	4ab.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	
	4b.3	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
<b>5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.</b>	Baseline data 2010-2011  85	88	90	93	95	98	100



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>Reading Goal #5A:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading.</b>			5b.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian: Lack of differentiation of instruction	5b.1. Differentiate Instruction	5b.1. Principal who evaluates teacher	5b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5b.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
<b>Reading Goal #5B:</b>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Improve current level of performance	White:131 84%  Black: 9 6%  Hispanic: 6 4%	100% of all subgroups to make a learning gain  Increase proficiency of all					

June 2012  
Rule 6A-1.099811  
Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

	Asian: 4 3%	subgroups by 10%					
	American Indian: 0 0%						
			5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
<b>5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading.</b>		5c.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5c.1. Differentiate Instruction	5c.1. Principal who evaluates teacher	5c.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5c.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough	
<b>Reading Goal #5C:</b>	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Improve current level of performance	25% (1)	100% of ELL students to make a learning gain An increase in proficiency by 10%					
			5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.
			5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
<b>5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading.</b>		5d.1. Lack of	5d.1. Differentiate	5d.1. Principal who	5d.1. Content materials are differentiated	5d.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough	

June 2012  
Rule 6A-1.099811  
Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<p><b>Reading Goal #5D:</b> Improve current level of performance</p>	<p>2012 Current Level of Performance: 35% 7</p>	<p>2013 Expected Level of Performance: 100% of all SWD students to make a learning gain An increase in proficiency by 10%</p>	<p>differentiation of instruction</p>	<p>Instruction</p>	<p>evaluates teacher</p>	<p>by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.</p>	
			<p>5D.2.</p>	<p>5D.2.</p>	<p>5D.2.</p>	<p>5D.2.</p>	<p>5D.2.</p>
				<p>5D.3.</p>	<p>5D.3.</p>	<p>5D.3.</p>	<p>5D.3.</p>
<p>Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:</p>			<p>Anticipated Barrier</p>	<p>Strategy</p>	<p>Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring</p>	<p>Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy</p>	<p>Evaluation Tool</p>
<p><b>5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.</b></p>			<p>5e.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction</p>	<p>5e.1. Differentiate Instruction</p>	<p>5e.1. Principal who evaluates teacher</p>	<p>5e.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and</p>	<p>5e.1. Lesson Plans &amp; Walkthrough</p>
<p><b>Reading Goal #5E:</b> Improve current level of performance</p>	<p>2012 Current Level of Performance: 58% (64)</p>	<p>2013 Expected Level of Performance: 100% of economically disadvantaged students will learning gain</p>					

June 2012  
Rule 6A-1.099811  
Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

		An increase in proficiency by 10%				assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
			5E.2.	5E.2	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.
			5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3

**Reading Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities</b>						
Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Student Data Analysis	K-5	Team Leaders at each grade	Grade Level PLCs	Weekly	PLC Protocol Worksheet electronically submitted to administration	Team Leaders, Principal, Curriculum Specialist
Curriculum Training	K-5	Curriculum Specialist, Literacy Coach	Curriculum Meetings	Weekly	PLC Protocol Worksheet electronically submitted to administration Student Data	Team Leaders, Principal, Curriculum Specialist, Literacy Coach
Data PLCs	K-5	All teachers, Assigned RtI, team member and principal	PLCs and professional development will be delivered in both school wide trainings as well as grade level specific	PLCs take place weekly at each grade level. Grade level demonstration lessons will take place once a month; grade level data point meeting and school wide data PLCs will take place after each assessment period.	Each team documents their grade level PLC on an electronic PLC protocol. It is sent to the principal each week. Regardless if the principal was in attendance at the PLC or not an electronic response is sent to the team after principal review. Assigned RtI team member will also bring back grade level concerns to the RtI team at each PS/SBLT meeting.	Principal, SBLT, Curriculum Specialist and teachers.
Strategies PLCs	K-5	All teachers, assigned SBLT members and principal	PLCs and professional development trainings will be delivered both school-wide as well as grade level specific.	PLCs take place weekly at each grade level. Grade level demonstration lessons will take place once a month; grade level data point meeting and school wide data PLCs will take place after each assessment period.	Each team documents their grade level PLC on an electronic protocol. It is sent to the principal each week for review and comment. Regardless if the principal was in attendance at the PLC or not an electronic response is sent to the team after	Principal, RtI team members, Curriculum Specialist

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Guided Reading Jan Richardson	Grade 2	Michelle Ovalle	Grade Level Curriculum Training	Every 6 weeks	Literacy Coach Minutes	Principal, Curriculum Specialist, Literacy Coach
Read Aloud Project	K-2	Michelle Ovalle	Grade Level Curriculum Training	Every 6 weeks	Literacy Coach Minutes	Principal, Curriculum Specialist, Literacy Coach

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Reading Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
			<b>Total:</b>

*End of Reading Goals*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CELLA Goals		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition				
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Students scoring proficient in Listening/Speaking.</b>		1.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	1.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	1.1. Principal who evaluates teacher	1.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students	1.1. Walkthrough
<u>CELLA Goal #1:</u> Improve current level of performance  Number CELLA tested: 7	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking:  43% 3					
		2.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2.1. Differentiate Instruction	2.1. Principal who evaluates teacher	2.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the	2.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

					needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>2. Students scoring proficient in Reading.</b>		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
<b>CELLA Goal #2:</b>	<u>2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading :</u>	Insufficient standard based instruction	Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	Principal who evaluates teacher	Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	Walkthrough
Improve current level of performance	14% 1					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>3. Students scoring proficient in Writing.</b>		3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.
<b>CELLA Goal #3:</b>  Improve current level of performance	<u>2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing :</u>  14% 1	Insufficient standard based instruction	Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	Principal who evaluates teacher	Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**CELLA Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
			<b>Total:</b>

*End of CELLA Goals*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Elementary School Mathematics Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary Mathematics Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1a.FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.</b>			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. Principal who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
<u>Mathematics Goal</u> #1a: Improve current level of performance	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u> 63 28%	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u> Decrease in level 1 and 2 from 45% To 35%					
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. Principal who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students’ background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc.	1a.2. Walkthrough

June 2012  
Rule 6A-1.099811  
Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

					*Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
		1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. Principal who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
<b>1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.</b>		1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.
<b>Mathematics Goal #1b:</b>	<b>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</b>	<b>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</b>				
Improve current level of performance	#N/A	Decrease in level 1,2 and 3				
Does not apply to Orange Grove Elementary			1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.
			1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>2a.FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in mathematics.</b>		2a.1. Lack of differentiation of	2a.1. Provide formative assessments to inform	2a.1. Principal who evaluates teacher	2a.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess	2a.1. Walkthrough

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<u>Mathematics Goal</u> <u>#2a:</u>	<u>2012 Current</u> <u>Level of</u> <u>Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected</u> <u>Level of</u> <u>Performance:*</u>	instruction	differentiation in instruction		students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students	
Improve current level of performance	27%	Increase in level 4 and 5 by 5%					
	62		2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.
			2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3
<b>2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.</b>			2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.
<u>Mathematics Goal</u> <u>#2b:</u>	<u>2012 Current</u> <u>Level of</u> <u>Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected</u> <u>Level of</u> <u>Performance:*</u>					
Improve current level of performance  Does not apply to Orange Grove Elementary	#N/A	Increase in level 7 by 5%					
			2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.
			2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.</b>			3a.1. Lack of student engagement	3a.1. Differentiate Instruction	3a.1. Principal who evaluates teacher	3a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	3a.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results  IPI data when available  State instructional walkthrough when applicable
<u>Mathematics Goal</u> #3a:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Improve current level of performance	72% (108)	100% of students will make a learning gain					
			3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.
			3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.
<b>3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.</b>			3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.
<u>Mathematics Goal</u> #3b:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Improve current level of performance  Does not apply to Orange Grove Elementary	NA	100% of students will make learning gains					
			3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.
			3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>4a.FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.</b>			4a.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	4a.1. Differentiate Instruction	4a.1. Principal who evaluates teacher	4a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	4a.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
<u>Mathematics Goal #4a:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Improve current level of performance	67% (26)	100% of students will make a learning gain					
			4a.2. Insufficient intervention supports exist to address the	4a.2. Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives	4a.2. SBLT	4a.2. *SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses	4a.2. Evidence of core teachers and intervention teachers communicating and

June 2012  
Rule 6A-1.099811  
Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

		varying needs of students across academic and engagement areas			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>*Intervention and core teachers communicate and plan together regularly</li> <li>*Intervention curriculum is aligned with core instructional goals/objectives</li> <li>*Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within intervention courses</li> <li>*Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes</li> <li>*Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers</li> <li>*Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses</li> </ul>	planning; Lesson Plans & Walkthroughs
		4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3
<b>4b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.</b>		4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.
<u>Mathematics Goal #4b:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>				
Improve current level of performance	NA	100% of students will make a learning gain				
Does not apply to Orange Grove Elementary						
		4b.2.	4b.2.	4ab.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.
		4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable		2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016   2016-2017

**June 2012**  
**Rule 6A-1.099811**  
**Revised April 29, 2011**



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target								
<b>5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.</b>	77		79	81	83	85	87	89
	<b>Mathematics Goal #5A:</b> Improve current level of performance							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
<b>5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.</b>			5b.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian: Lack of differentiation of instruction	5b.1. Differentiate Instruction	5b.1. Principal who evaluates teacher	5b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or	5b.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough	
<b>Mathematics Goal #5B:</b> Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance: * White: 83% 104 Black: 4% 5 Hispanic: 5% 6 Asian: 2%	2013 Expected Level of Performance: * 100% of student subgroups will make learning gains An increase in proficiency by 10%						

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

	0					express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
	American Indian: 0%						
	0						
			5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.</b>			5c.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5c.1. Differentiate Instruction	5c.1. Principal who evaluates teacher	5c.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5c.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
<u>Mathematics Goal</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
#5C: Improve current level of performance	75% (3)	100% of ELL students will make learning gains An increase in proficiency by 10%					
			5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.
			5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

June 2012  
 Rule 6A-1.099811  
 Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.</b>			5d.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5d.1. Differentiate Instruction	5d.1. Principal who evaluates teacher	5d.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5d.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
<u>Mathematics Goal</u> #5D: Improve current level of performance	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance</u> :* 41% (7)	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance</u> :* 100% of SWD students will make learning gains An increase in proficiency by 10%					
			5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.
			5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.</b>			5e.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5e.1. Differentiate Instruction	5e.1. Principal who evaluates teacher	5e.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse	5e.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
<u>Mathematics Goal</u> #5E: Improve current level of performance	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance</u> :* 46% (51)	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance</u> :* 100% of Economical ly					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

		Disadvantaged students will make learning gains An increase in proficiency by 10%				learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
			5E.2	5E.2	5E.2	5E.2	5E.2
			5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	

*End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Elementary and Middle School Science Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

<b>Elementary and Middle Science Goals</b>			<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1a.FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science.</b>			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. Principal who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
<b>Science Goal #1a:</b>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Improve current level of performance	47% 42	Decrease the number of level 1 and 2					
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. Principal who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for	1a.2. Walkthrough

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

					learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
		1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. Principal who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
<b>1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Level 4, 5, and 6 in science.</b>		1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.
<b>Science Goal #1b:</b>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>				
Improve current level of performance	N/A	Decrease the number of level 1,2, and 3				
Does not apply to Orange Grove Elementary			1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

			1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in science.</b>			2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. Principal who evaluates teacher	2b.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points	2b1. Walkthrough
<b>Science Goal #2a:</b>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Improve current level of performance	17%	Increase the level 4 and 5 students 5%					
	15						
			2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.
			2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3
<b>2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.</b>			2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b1.
<b>Science Goal #2b:</b>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Improve current level of performance	#N/A	Increase the level 7 by 5%					
Does not apply to Orange Grove Elementary							

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

			2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.
			2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3

*End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals*



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Science Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b>						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Fusion Training	1-2	Curriculum Specialist, District Trainers, Moodle	Teachers in grades 1-2	Monthly Curriculum meetings, Moodle, Various District Training	PLC Protocol Worksheets	Curriculum Specialist and Classroom Teachers
Continued support for Fusion Adoption	3-4	Curriculum Specialist, District Trainers, Moodle	Teachers in grades 3-5	Monthly Curriculum meetings, Moodle, Various District Training	PLC Protocol Worksheets	Curriculum Specialist and Classroom Teachers

**Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

June 2012  
 Rule 6A-1.099811  
 Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

	<b>Subtotal:</b>
	<b>Total:</b>

*End of Science Goals*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Writing Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

<b>Writing Goals</b>			<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1a. FCAT: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing.</b>			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. Principal who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
<b>Writing Goal #1a:</b> Improve current level of performance	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u> 85% 60 Level 4 and above 24% 17	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u> Decrease number of level 1,2 and 3 students					
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. Principal who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by	1a.2. Walkthrough

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

					connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
		1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. Principal who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
<b>1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.</b>		1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.
<b>Writing Goal #1b:</b>  Improve current level of performance  Does not apply to Orange Grove Elementary	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>  #N/A	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>  Decrease number of level 1, 2 and 3 students				
	Level 7 and above #N/A					
			1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.
		1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

--	--	--	--	--	--	--

*End of Writing Goals*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b>						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Data PLCs	K-5	All teachers, Assigned RtI, team member and principal	PLCs and professional development will be delivered in both school wide trainings as well as grade level specific	PLCs take place weekly at each grade level. Grade level demonstration lessons will take place once a month; grade level data point meting and school wide data PLCs will take place after each assessment period.	Each team documents their grade level PLC on an electronic PLC protocol. It is sent to the principal each week. Regardless if the principal was in attendance at the PLC or not and electronic response is sent to the team after principal review. Assigned RtI team member will also bring back grade level concerns to the RtI team at each PS/SBLT meeting.	Principal, SBLT, Curriculum Specialist and teachers.
Strategies PLCs	K-5	All teachers, assigned SBLT members and principal	PLCs and professional development trainings will be delivered both school-wide as well as grade level specific.	PLCs take place weekly at each grade level. Grade level demonstration lessons will take place once a month; grade level data point meting and school wide data PLCs will take place after each assessment period.	Each team documents their grade level PLC on an electronic protocol. It is sent to the principal each week for review and comment. Regardless if the principal was in attendance at the PLC or not an electronic response is sent to the team after	Principal, RtI team members, Curriculum Specialist

**Budget (Insert rows as needed)**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
			<b>Total:</b>

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Attendance Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Attendance Goal(s)			Problem-solving Process to Increase Attendance				
Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Attendance</b>			1.1. Lack of student engagement	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan	1.1. SBLT	1.1. Determine: Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Data-based monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly conducted	1.1. Decrease in Number of In-School Suspension Number of Students suspended In-School Number of out-of-school suspensions Number of Students suspended out-of-school Number of alternative bell assignments Number of students assigned to alternative bell schedule
Attendance Goal #1:	2012 Current Attendance Rate:*	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:*					
Improve current level of performance	96%	Greater than prior year					
	2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)					
	118	10% decrease from prior year					
	2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)					
	56	10% decrease from prior year					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

**Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity**

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
------------------------------------	---------------------	-----------------------	---	---	-----------------------------------	---

June 2012  
Rule 6A-1.099811  
Revised April 29, 2011



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

		PLC Leader	school-wide)	Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		
Data PLCs	K-5	All teachers, PS/SBLT, Child Study Team and Principal	PLCs and professional development will be delivered in both school wide trainings as well as grade level specific	PLCs take place weekly at each grade level. Attendance and tardy data discussed at PLCs	Each team documents their grade level PLC on an electronic PLC protocol. It is sent to the principal each week. Regardless if the principal was in attendance at the PLC or not an electronic response is sent to the team after principal review. Once a month the entire PS/SBLT will meet with each grade level PLC.	Principal, SBLT, Curriculum Specialist and teachers.
Strategies PLCs	K-5	All teachers, assigned SBLT, Child Study Team Members and principal	PLCs and professional development trainings will be delivered both school-wide as well as grade level specific.	PLCs take place weekly at each grade level. Attendance and tardy data discussed at PLCs	Each team documents their grade level PLC on an electronic PLC protocol. It is sent to the principal each week. Regardless if the principal was in attendance at the PLC or not an electronic response is sent to the team after principal review. Once a month the entire PS/SBLT will meet with each grade level PLC.	Principal, RtI team members, Curriculum Specialist

**Attendance Budget**(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

June 2012  
 Rule 6A-1.099811  
 Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
<b>Total:</b>			

*End of Attendance Goals*

**Suspension Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Suspension Goal(s)			Problem-solving Process to Decrease Suspension				
Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Suspension</b>			1.1. Lack of Student Engagement	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan	1.1. SBLT	1.1. Determine: Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Data-based monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly conducted	1.1. Decrease in Number of In-School Suspension Number of Students suspended In-School Number of out-of-school suspensions Number of Students suspended out-of-school Number of alternative bell assignments Number of students assigned to alternative bell schedule
Suspension Goal #1:	2012 Total Number of In-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions					
Improve current level of performance	4	10% decrease from prior year					
	2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In-School					
	3	10% decrease from prior year					
	2012 Number of Out-of-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions					
	0	10% decrease from prior year					
	2012 Total Number of Students Suspended	2013 Expected Number of Students					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

	Out- of- School	Suspended Out- of-School					
	0	10% decrease from prior year					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

**Suspension Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b>						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Suspension Data	K-5	Principal, Teachers, Guidance Counselor	School-wide	Monthly	Number of school suspensions	Principal and DMT

**Suspension Budget (Insert rows as needed)**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Commitment to Character	Positive Behavior reinforcement materials: paper, C2C pencils, book tags	Internal Funds	
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**June 2012**  
**Rule 6A-1.099811**  
**Revised April 29, 2011**

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
<b>Total:</b>			

*End of Suspension Goals*

**Dropout Prevention Goal(s)** Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)		Problem-solving Process to Dropout Prevention					
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
<b>1. Dropout Prevention</b> Dropout Prevention Goal #1: <i>*Please refer to the percentage of students who dropped out during the 2011-2012 school year.</i>		1.1. Students lack skills to plan for future aspirations and create educational goals	1.1. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1.1. Principal	1.1.	1.1. Walkthrough and teacher appraisal	
Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Dropout Rate:*						2013 Expected Dropout Rate:*
	NA						10% decrease from prior year
	2012 Current Graduation Rate:*						2013 Expected Graduation Rate:*
	NA						Improve rate from prior year
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.		
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.		

**Dropout Prevention Professional Development**

June 2012  
 Rule 6A-1.099811  
 Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b>						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

**Dropout Prevention Budget**(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
			<b>Total:</b>

June 2012  
 Rule 6A-1.099811  
 Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

*End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)*

**Parent Involvement Goal(s)**

**Upload Option-**For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section.

**Online Template-** For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involvement Goal(s)			Problem-solving Process to Parent Involvement				
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Parent Involvement</b> Parent Involvement Goal #1: <i>*Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.</i>			1.1. Lack of frequent home-school communication in a variety of formats, and allows for families to support and supervise their child's educational progress	1.1. Provide frequent home-school communication in a variety of formats, and allows for families to support and supervise their child's educational progress	1.1. SBLT	1.1.	1.1.
Improve current level of performance	2012 Current level of Parent Involvement:*	2013 Expected level of Parent Involvement:*					
Portal logins by parents		Increase by 20%					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

**Parent Involvement Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Data PLCs	K-5	All teachers, SBLT, Principal	PLCs and professional development will be delivered in both school-wide trainings and grade specific trainings.	As needed	Each team documents their grade level PLC on an electronic PLC protocol. It is sent to the principal each week. Response by the principal is sent to each team member regardless if principal was in attendance at the PLC. These protocols at times have	Principal, Community/Parent Involvement Personnel, teachers

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

					events and upcoming information in which volunteers would be necessary.	

**Parent Involvement Budget**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.

**Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)**

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**Subtotal:**

**Technology**

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**Subtotal:**

**Professional Development**

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**Subtotal:**

**Other**

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**Subtotal:**

**Total:**

*End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>STEM Goal #1:</b>  <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

**STEM Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

**STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

June 2012  
 Rule 6A-1.099811  
 Revised April 29, 2011



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

			<b>Subtotal:</b>
<b>Technology</b>			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
<b>Professional Development</b>			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
<b>Other</b>			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
			<b>Total:</b>

*End of STEM Goal(s)*

**Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

<b>CTE Goal(s)</b>	<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>CTE Goal #1:</b>  <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

**CTE Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b> <small>Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.</small>						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

**CTE Budget**(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

				<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				<b>Subtotal:</b>
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				<b>Subtotal:</b>
				<b>Total:</b>

*End of CTE Goal(s)*

**Additional Goal I Wellness (s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Additional Goal: Wellness</b>			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
<b>Additional Goal #1:</b>	<b>2012 Current Level :*</b>	<b>2013 Expected Level :*</b>					
Improve current level of performance	A Data: Not yet meeting Bronze Level on Healthy Schools Inventory  B Data: Being Fit	Meeting Bronze Level on Healthy Schools Inventory  B Data:	A: Failure to form a Healthy School Team.	A: Complete Healthy Schools Program 6 Step Process online <a href="https://schools.healthiergeneration.org/">https://schools.healthiergeneration.org/</a>	A: Healthy School Team (school administrator, physical education teacher, cafeteria manager, health teacher/elementary classroom teachers	A: Completion of 6 <sup>th</sup> Step of the Healthy School Program online (Celebrate Successes)	A: Healthy School Inventory (Evaluate Your School) online

June 2012  
Rule 6A-1.099811  
Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

	Matters/Fitnessgram Data by school will be inserted here.	Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgram  School will improve students' scores on one Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgram Assessment scores for selected by school.	B: Failure to assess students and upload Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgram data	B: Complete Pre and Post Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgram student assessments and upload data	B: physical education teachers	B: Compare Pre and Post Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgram student assessments results	B: Being Fit Matters Statistical Report (Portal)
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

**Additional Wellness Goals Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b>						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Wellness/All Areas of Safety	K-5	Lead PE teacher, Wellness Coordinator,	PLC	Weekly	PLC Protocol Worksheets	Principal

**Additional Wellness Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)**

June 2012  
 Rule 6A-1.099811  
 Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
			<b>Total:</b>

**Additional Goal II Bradley MOU (s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:					
<b>1. Additional Goal: Black Academic Achievement</b>	1.1. Lack of differentiation	1.1. Differentiate Instruction	1.1. AP who evaluates	1.1. Content materials are	1.1. Lesson Plans &

June 2012  
 Rule 6A-1.099811  
 Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Additional Goal #1: There will be an increase in black student achievement	2012 Current Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*	of instruction		teacher	differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	Walkthrough
	Reading level 3 and above:6% (9)	All black students to make learning gains in reading and math					
	MathLevel 3and above: 4% (5)						
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

**Additional MOU Goals Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Data PLCs	K-5	All teachers, SBLT, Principal	PLCs and professional development will be delivered in both school-wide trainings and grade specific trainings.	Weekly	Each team documents their grade level PLC on an electronic PLC protocol. It is sent to the principal each week. Response by the principal is sent to each team member regardless if principal was in attendance at the PLC. These protocols at times have events and upcoming information in which	Principal, Community/Parent Involvement Personnel, teachers

June 2012  
Rule 6A-1.099811  
Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

					volunteers would be necessary.	

**Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.

Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>

Technology

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>

Professional Development

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>

Other

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>

**Total:**

**Additional Goal III Bradley MOU (s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

<b>Additional Goal(s)</b>	<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>
---------------------------	--

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>1. Additional Goal: Student Engagement for Black Students</b>			1.1. Lack of Student Engagement	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan	1.1. SBLT	1.1. Determine: Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Data-based monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly conducted	1.1. Decrease in Number of In-School Suspension Number of Students suspended In-School Number of out-of-school suspensions Number of Students suspended out-of-school Number of alternative bell assignments Number of students assigned to alternative bell schedule
<u>Additional Goal #1:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level :*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level :*</u>					
There will be an increase in black student engagement	14% (3) of our black population received referrals	Decrease the percent of Black students receiving referrals, and					
	10% (2) received ISS	Receiving in school and out of school suspensions					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

**Additional MOU II Goals Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b>						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Data PLCs	K-5	All teachers, SBLT, Principal	PLCs and professional development will be delivered in both school-wide trainings and grade specific trainings.	Weekly	Each team documents their grade level PLC on an electronic PLC protocol. It is sent to the principal each week. Response by the principal is sent to each team member regardless if principal was in attendance at the PLC. These protocols at times have events and upcoming information in which volunteers would be necessary.	Principal, Community/Parent Involvement Personnel, teachers



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
			<b>Total:</b>

**Additional Goal IV Bradley MOU (s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:					
<b>1. Additional Goal: Black graduation rate</b>	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.

June 2012  
 Rule 6A-1.099811  
 Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>Additional Goal #1:</b> There will be an increase in black student graduation rate	2012 Current Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*	Lack of Student Engagement	Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan	SBLT	Determine: Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Data-based monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly conducted	Increase in black graduation rate
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

**Additional MOU Goals Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b> <small>Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.</small>						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
			<b>Total:</b>

**Additional Goal V Bradley MOU (s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>1. Additional Goal: Black advanced Coursework</b>			1.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	1.1. Differentiate Instruction	1.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	1.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough  Professional Development includes equity and cultural responsiveness
<b>Additional Goal #1:</b>  There will be an increase percent of black students enrolled in rigorous advanced coursework  There will be an increase in performance of black students in rigorous/advanced coursework	<u>2012 Current Level</u> :*	<u>2013 Expected Level</u> :*					
		Increase from prior year					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

**Additional MOU Goals Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b>						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

**Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)**

June 2012  
 Rule 6A-1.099811  
 Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			<b>Subtotal:</b>
			<b>Total:</b>

*End of Additional Goal(s)*

**Final Budget**(Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.	
<b>Reading Budget</b>	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Mathematics Budget</b>	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Science Budget</b>	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Writing Budget</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Attendance Budget</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Suspension Budget</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Dropout Prevention Budget</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Parent Involvement Budget</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Additional Goals</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
	<b>Grand Total:</b>

**Final Budget**(Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.

<b>Reading Budget</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
<b>CELLA Budget</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Mathematics Budget</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Science Budget</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Writing Budget</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Civics Budget</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
<b>U.S. History Budget</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Attendance Budget</b>	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Suspension Budget</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Dropout Prevention Budget</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Parent Involvement Budget</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
<b>STEM Budget</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
<b>CTE Budget</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Additional Goals</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
	<b>Grand Total:</b>

**Differentiated Accountability**

**School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance**

Please choose the school’s DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under “Default value” header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an “x” in the box.)

<b>School Differentiated Accountability Status</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> Priority	<input type="checkbox"/> Focus	<input type="checkbox"/> Prevent

- Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the *Upload* page

**School Advisory Council (SAC)**

*SAC Membership Compliance*

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting *Yes* or *No* below.

**June 2012**

**Rule 6A-1.099811**

**Revised April 29, 2011**

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Yes       No

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.

Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.
<p>August 2012 - SAC Expectations and responsibilities; General School Information                  September 2012 – Climate Survey review; enrollment information; Superintendent’s Update                  October 2012 – SIP Review                  November 2012 – Volunteer and Five Star information/data/explanation                  January 2013 – Local Legislators invited for a Q &amp; A on educational issues                  February 2013 - Specialist Updates: Art, Music, PE, Library/Technology                  March 2013 – Discipline/Behavior Update and data share; recruit and post SAC board interest for the 2013-14 school year                  April 2013 – Announce the new SAC Board and thank out-going SAC Board</p>

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
NA – There are no allocated state or district School Improvement Plan funds for the 2012-13 school year.	\$0.00