FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

DRAFT School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

School Information

School Name:2431Mildred Helms Elementary School	District Name: Pinellas County Schools
Principal: Sandra R. Cowley	Superintendent: John A. Stewart, Ed.D.
SAC Chair: Deborah Harris	Date of School Board Approval: Pending: October 9, 2012

Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.
School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)
Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data(Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)
High School Feedback Report
K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

Administrators

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
Principal	Sandra R. Cowley	B.S. Elem Ed/Masters Instr. Tech USF/Ed Leadership USF	Three Years	Five Years	2011-2012 Grade "C". Reading Mastery 53%, Math 48%, Writing 71%, Science 43%. 2010 -2011 Grade "C". Reading Mastery 66%, Math 63% Writing 89% Science 32%. 2009 – 2010 Grade "A". Reading Mastery 77%, Math 68% Writing 79%.
Assistant Principal	Shannon Brennan	B.S. Elem Ed. Masters of Ed. Leadership USF	Two	Three	2011-2012 Grade "C". Reading Mastery 53%, Math 48%, Writing 71%, Science 43%. 2010 -2011 Grade "C". Reading Mastery 66%, Math 63% Writing 89% Science 32%. 2009 – 2010 Grade "A". Reading Mastery 77%, Math 68% Writing 79%.

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Instructional Coaches

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)

Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date
1. Interview and select best candidates.	Principal/Assistant Principal	Year by year – on-going
2. EDGE – Mentor/coaches assigned to new teachers by grade level	Principal/assigned Mentors	On-going
3. Partnering new teachers with veteran teacher by grade level	Principal/assigned veteran teacher	On-going – one school year
4. Provide site based professional development	Principal/Assistant Principal	Ongoing never completed.

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who are NOT highly effective.
*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out-of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
None at this time. All teachers are in field. Number of teachers that meet highly qualified status can't be determined until school achievement data is determined.	Observations/feedback/ mentors and staff development are some of the strategies being implemented to support teachers.

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	%ESOL Endorsed Teachers
44	2.27	22.73	38.64	36.36	29.55	100%	9.09	4.55	43.18

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Bullock, Jessica	Kristen Hesse	New to reading	Observation of mentee's instruction and providing feedback; Planning lessons with mentee; Connecting lesson activities to content standards; Discussing student progress and analyzing student work; Modeling or co-teaching lessons
Pottinger, Erin	Emilie Huenke	New teacher	
Riser, Mary	Julie Montana	New to gen education first grade	
Riser, Mary	Karen Law	New teacher (2nd year)	

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Pearson, Leesa	Marcia Sutton	New to reading teacher	
Pearson, Leesa	Erin Gleeson	New to 4th grade reading	
Robidoux, Barbara	Kate Hart	New to Pinellas County EBD	
District Wide Coaches	All instructional staff		

Additional Requirements

*Coordination and Integration-*Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A
Title I, Part A funds are utilized, in conjunction with district operating funds and other federal resources, to support teaching and learning, parental engagement, and professional development. Title I services are coordinated and integrated with other resources through the Division of Teaching and Learning, Student Assignment, and Research and Accountability.

Title I, Part C- Migrant
NA in Pinellas

Title I, Part D
The district receives Title I, Part D funds which provide transition services from alternative education programs to zoned schools. In addition, a portion of Title I, Part A funds is reserved for services to neglected and delinquent students. Funds are targeted to support continuous education services to students in neglected and delinquent facilities through tutoring, instructional materials and resources, and technology.

Title II
The district receives funds to increase student achievement through professional development for teachers and administrators. Title II funds provide math and science coaches, as required by Differentiated Accountability, in some of the district's lowest performing schools. A portion of Title I, Part A funds is used to provide additional reading and math coaches in targeted schools based on FCAT results.

Title III
Title III funds provide educational materials, bilingual translators, summer programs, and other support services to improve the education of immigrant and English Language Learners. Bilingual translators provide assistance with parent workshops and dissemination of information in various languages for Title I schools.

Title X- Homeless
The district receives funds to provide resources for students for students identified as homeless under the McKinney-Vento Act to eliminate barriers for a free and appropriate education. A portion of Title I, Part A funds is also reserved to provide services to homeless students (social workers, a resource teacher, tutoring, and technology).

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)
SAI funds are coordinated with Title I, Part A funds to provide extended learning opportunities for students before/during/after school and during the summer.
Violence Prevention Programs
Bully Prevention Program
Nutrition Programs
Title I coordinates with district food services to provide breakfast and lunch to students in Title I summer extended learning camps.
Housing Programs
N/A
Head Start
Title I, Part A funds are used to provide Pre-Kindergarten to Kindergarten transition services. Title I schools coordinate with staff from public and private preschool programs, including Head Start, to prepare students for a successful start to school. A portion of Title I, Part A funds is used to provide classes for 3 year olds at targeted elementary schools to support early literacy.
Adult Education
N/A
Career and Technical Education
N/A
Job Training
N/A
Other

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)School-Based MTSS/RtI Team
Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team. Sandra R. Cowley, Principal; Shannon Brennan, Assistant Principal; Leesa Pearson, Intermediate Intervention Teacher; Brenda Mills, Guidance Counselor; Liz Scherer, Behavior Specialist; Robert Kelly, Psychologist; Michele Glenn, Social Worker;
Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts? -Facilitator – generates agenda and leads team discussions (Shannon Brennan) -Data Manager(s)/Data Coach(es)–assist team in accessing and interpreting (aggregating/disaggregating)the data (Kelly/Pearson) -Technology Specialist – brokers technology necessary to manage and display data -Recorder/Note Taker – documents meeting content and disseminates to team members in a timely manner as well as storing a hard copy in a binder for all teachers to access (Cowley) -Time Keeper –helps team begin on time and ensures adherence to agreed upon agenda   (Brenda Mills) Meeting time: 2nd and 4th Monday
Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP? All members of the RTI Leadership team have provided their professional input regarding their area of expertise and how it relates to our Level 1 & 2 students, attendance/behavior issues and other areas of concern to our school.
MTSS Implementation
Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior. Three times a year FAIR data is collected K – 5. Ongoing progress monitoring is collected weekly for Tier 2 and 3 students by the intervention teachers working with these students. Data is collected and entered into spreadsheets which are reviewed every four to six weeks to determine if intervention is working or needs to be changed.
Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS. Classroom teachers and intervention teachers have worked together to assess and determine starting levels for students. Data from last year is also used. Students were placed in classes in clusters based on academic needs, so that intervention teachers can push in to work with students. All instructional staff and intervention teachers are informed of this method of work. Teachers and intervention staff will meet every six weeks to determine if interventions are working. Updating this information occurs during monthly staff meetings.
Describe the plan to support MTSS. All the events – county and state level testing – on-going progress monitoring and data meetings are calendared.

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)
School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT). Sandra R. Cowley, Principal; Shannon Brennan, Assistant Principal; Leesa Pearson, Intermediate Intervention Teacher; Cathy Torres, ESOL teacher; Michelle Gallagher, Intermediate Reading Coach; Sharon Earle, Primary Reading Coach; Bonnie Kay, Jessica Bullock, and Mary Riser (Reading Trainer teachers).

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).
Literacy Leadership Teams create capacity of reading knowledge within the school by focusing on the following areas of literacy concern:
• Support for text complexity
• Support for instructional skills to improve reading comprehension
    o Ensuring that text complexity, along with close reading and rereading of texts, is central to lessons
    o Providing scaffolding that does not preempt or replace text reading by students
    o Developing and asking text dependent questions from a range of question types
    o Emphasizing students supporting their answers based upon evidence from the text
    o Providing extensive research and writing opportunities (claims and evidence)
• Support for implementation of Common Core State Standards for Literacy in Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects (a focus on text, task, and instruction).

The district will provide training and tools for Literacy Leadership Teams.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?
Support for text complexity
• Support for instructional skills to improve reading comprehension – complexity – small group guided reading.
• Support for implementation of Common Core State Standards for Literacy in Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects

Public School Choice
- **Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification**
  Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition
Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

Kindergarten Teachers will hold an orientation for incoming students and their parents prior to the beginning of the school year. Readiness skills will be emphasized and good choices for academic and social characteristics will be presented. Materials will be available, as well as pamphlets covering a variety of helpful parenting subjects ranging from parenting skills, helping with homework, students with disabilities and what to expect at a parent teacher conference.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Reading Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement			
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1a.FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading.			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
Reading Goal #1a: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* (24%) (70)	2013Expected Level of Performance:* Decrease level 1&2 from 49% To 39%					
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. Administrator who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background	1a.2. Walkthrough

				knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
		1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. Adminisrator who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading. Reading Goal #1b: N/A	2012 Current Level of Performance:* #N/A / 2013Expected Level of Performance:*	1b.2. N/A	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.
		1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.
		1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2a.FCAT 2.0:Students scoring at or above AchievementLevels 4 and 5 in reading.		2a.1. Lack of differentiation of	2a.1. Provide formative assessments to	2a.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	2a.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess	2a.1. Walkthrough and lesson plans

June 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Reading Goal #2a: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*	instruction	inform differentiation in instruction		students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students	
	27% (77)	Increase level 4 and 5 by 5%					
			2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.
				2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in reading.			2b.1. N/A	2b.1. N/A	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b1.
Reading Goal #2b: N/A	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
	#N/A	Increase level 7 by 5%					
			2b.2.	2b2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.
			2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.			3a.1. Lack of student engagement	3a.1. Differentiate Instruction	3a.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	3a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	3a.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results IPI data
Reading Goal #3a: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
	60%	100%					
			3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.
			3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a..3.	3a.3.
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.			3b.1. N/A	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.
Reading Goal #3b: N/A	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
		100%					
			3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.

June 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
4a.FCAT 2.0:Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4a: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 66%	2013Expected Level of Performance:* 100%	4a.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	4a.1. Differentiate Instruction	4a.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	4a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	4a.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
		4a.2. Insufficient intervention supports exist to address the varying needs of students across academic and engagement areas	4a.2. Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives	4a.2. MTSS/SBLT	4a.2. *SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses *Intervention and core teachers communicate and plan together regularly *Intervention curriculum is aligned with core instructional goals/objectives *Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within intervention courses *Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes *Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers *Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing	4a.2. Evidence of core teachers and intervention teachers communicating and planning; Lesson Plans & Walkthroughs Progress Monitoring

June 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

					student success in core courses	
		4a.3	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.

4b. Florida Alternate Assessment: **Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.**		4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.

Reading Goal #4b: N/A	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:* 100%

		4b.2.	4b.2.	4ab.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.
		4b.3	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target		2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Baseline data 2010-2011 63	69	75	82	88	94	100

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Reading Goal #5A: **Each year the achievement gap will be reduced by 6% so that 100% of students will meet expectations by the year 2016 – 2017.**						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) **not making satisfactory progress in reading.**			5b.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	5b.1.	5b.1.	5b.1.	5b.1.
Reading Goal #5B: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* White:98 67% Black: 12 8% Hispanic: 26 18% Asian: 2 1% American Indian: 2 1%	2013Expected Level of Performance:* 100% of all subgroups to make a learning gain Increase proficiency of all subgroups by 10%	Lack of differentiation of instruction	Differentiate Instruction	Administrator who evaluates teacher	Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
			5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading.			5c.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5c.1. Differentiate Instruction	5c.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	5c.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5c.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Reading Goal #5C: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
	pending	100% of ELL students to make a learning gain An increase in proficiency by 10%					
			5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.
			5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD)not making satisfactory progress in reading.			5d.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5d.1. Differentiate Instruction	5d.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	5d.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express	5d.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Reading Goal #5D: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	15% 4	100% of all SWD students to make a learning gain An increase in proficiency by 10%					

June 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

			5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty. 5D.2.	5D.2.
			5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5E: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performanc e:* *pending*	2013Expected Level of Performance:* 100% of economically disadvantage d students will learning gain An increase in proficiency by 10%	5e.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5e.1. Differentiate Instruction	5e.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	5e.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5e.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
			5E.2.	5E.2	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.
			5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3

Reading Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities
Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Jan Richardson Guided Reading Routine	Grades 3 -5	Leesa Pearson/Michelle Gallagher	Teachers/hourly teachers 3rd – 5th	Early release days and pro-ed days at the beginning of the year	Fidelity checks and walkthrough	Principal/Assistant Principal
Small group guided reading primary grades	K - 2	Sharon Earle	Teachers/Hourly Teachers	Early release days and pro-ed days at the beginning of the year	Fidelity checks and walkthrough	Principal/Assistant Principal
Common Core standards	K - 5	Literacy Team	School – wide Instructional staff	Monthly curriculum meetings	Monthly PLC meetings/Walkthrough	Principal/Assistant Principal
Raising the Rigor Training	Grades 3 -5	Sarah Finnemore	Teachers in Grades 3 - 5	Three Thursdays 4- 6pm	Fidelity checks/PLC/Walkthrough	Principal/Assistant Principal

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Implement Core Instruction	Classroom Libraries	Title I Funds	$1000.00
Formative Assessment	Formative Assessment Materials	Title I	$1257.76
Implement Core Instruction	Classroom Libraries/FCIM/Running Records	Reading Referendum funds	$3,000.00
			Subtotal: $5257.76
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Implementing Common Core Standards	Professional Literature	Title I	$ 250.00
Core/Intervention Training	Stipends/TDE	Title I	$1,000.00
			Subtotal: $1,250.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Provide Differentiate Instruction	Teaching partners /Int. Interventionist	Title I	$232,857.78
			Subtotal: $ 232,857.78
			Total:$ 239,365.54

End of Reading Goals

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<u>Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals</u>

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CELLA Goals		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition				
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring proficient in Listening/Speaking. <u>CELLA Goal #1:</u> Improve current level of performance Number CELLA tested: 92	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking: 45% 41	1.1. Insufficient amount of differentiation of instruction	1.1. Use of formative assessments in order to differentiation instruction	1.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	1.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students	1.1. Walkthrough and lesson Plans Progress monitoring
		2.1. Insufficient amount of differentiation instruction	2.1. Differentiate Instruction	2.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	2.1. Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *Small group explicit instruction which includes modeling, guided practice with teacher support and feedback during independent practice. *Small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments. *Students are provided	2.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

June 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

				opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring proficient in Reading. CELLA Goal #2: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading : 28% 26	2.2. Insufficient amount of differentiated instruction.	2.2. Use of formative assessments in order to Differentiate instruction.	2.2. Administrator who evaluates teacher	2.2. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students	2.2. Walkthrough and lesson plans
		2.2. Insufficient amount of differentiated instruction	2.2. Differentiated instruction.	2.2. Administrator who evaluates teacher	2.2.Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle	2.2.

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

					*Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3
Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3. Students scoring proficient in Writing. CELLA Goal #3: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing : 29% 27	3.1. Insufficient amount of differentiated instruction	3.1. Use of formative assessments in order to Differentiate instruction	3.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	3.1. Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *Small group explicit instruction which includes modeling, guided practice with teacher support and feedback during independent practice. *Small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments. *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	3.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
		3.2. Insufficient amount of differentiated instruction	3.2. Differentiate Instruction	3.2. Administrator who evaluates teacher	3.2 Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *Small group explicit instruction which includes modeling, guided practice with teacher support and feedback during independent practice. *Small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments. *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	3.2. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans

June 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

22

		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

CELLA Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
		Subtotal:	
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
		Subtotal:	
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
		Subtotal:	
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
		Subtotal:	
		Total:	

End of CELLA Goals

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<u>Elementary School Mathematics Goals</u>

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary Mathematics Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1a.FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at AchievementLevel 3 in mathematics. <u>Mathematics Goal #1a:</u> Improve current level of performance	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u> 84 29%	<u>2013Expected Level of Performance:*</u> Decrease in level 1 and 2 from 55% To 45%	1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. Administrator who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc.	1a.2. Walkthrough and lesson plans

						*Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
			1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. Administrator who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.			1b.2. N/A	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.
Mathematics Goal #1b:	2012 Current Level of Performance:* #N/A	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
			1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.
			1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2a.FCAT 2.0:Students scoring at or above AchievementLevels 4 and 5 in mathematics.			2a.1. Lack of differentiation of	2a.1. Provide formative assessments to inform	2a.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	2a.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess	2a.1. Walkthrough/lesson plans

Mathematics Goal #2a: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 16% 45	2013Expected Level of Performance:* Increase in level 4 and 5 by 5%	instruction	differentiation in instruction		students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students	
			2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.
			2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.			2b.1. N/A	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b1.
Mathematics Goal #2b:	2012 Current Level of Performance:* N/A	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
			2b2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.
			2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

3a. **FCAT 2.0:** Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.			3a.1. Lack of student engagement	3a.1. Differentiate Instruction	3a.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	3a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	3a.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results IPI data when available
Mathematics Goal #3a: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* **65%**	2013Expected Level of Performance:* 100% of students will make a learning gain					
			3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.
			3a.3.	3a.3.	3a..3.	3a.3.	3a.3.
3b. **Florida Alternate Assessment:** Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.			3b.1. N/A	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.
Mathematics Goal #3b: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* **N/A**	2013Expected Level of Performance:* 100% of students will make					

			learning gains					
			3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	
			3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
4a.FCAT 2.0:Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.			4a.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	4a.1. Differentiate Instruction	4a.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	4a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	4a.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough	
Mathematics Goal #4a: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* **59%**	2013Expected Level of Performance:* 100% of students will make a learning gain						
			4a.2. Insufficient intervention supports exist to address the varying needs of students across academic and	4a.2. Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives	4a.2. SBLT	4a.2. *SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses *Intervention and core teachers communicate and plan together regularly	4a.2. Evidence of core teachers and intervention teachers communicating and planning; Lesson Plans & Walkthroughs	

		engagement areas			*Intervention curriculum is aligned with core instructional goals/objectives *Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within intervention courses *Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes *Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers *Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses	
		4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3
4b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.		4b.1. N/A	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.
Mathematics Goal #4b: N/A	2012 Current Level of Performance:*					
	2013Expected Level of Performance:* 100% of students will make a learning gain					
		4b.2.	4b.2.	4ab.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.
		4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target	**2011-2012**	**2012-2013**	**2013-2014**	**2014-2015**	**2015-2016**	**2016-2017**

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	61	64	68	71	74	77	81
Mathematics Goal #5A: Each year the achievement gap will be reduced by 3% so that 81% of students will meet expectations by 2016 – 2017.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) **not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.**	5b.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian: Lack of differentiation of instruction	5b.1. Differentiate Instruction	5b.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	5b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and	5b.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

Mathematics Goal #5B:

Improve current level of performance

	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*
	White: 69% 89	100% of student subgroups will make learning gains An increase in proficiency by 10%
	Black: 6% 8	
	Hispanic: 18% 23	
	Asian: 2% 1	

						understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
	American Indian: 1% 1						
			5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.			5c.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5c.1. Differentiate Instruction	5c.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	5c.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5c.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Mathematics Goal #5C: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* pending	2013Expected Level of Performance:* 100% of ELL students will make learning gains An increase in proficiency by 10%					
			5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.
			5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD)not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.			5d.1. Lack of differentiation	5d.1. Differentiate Instruction	5d.1. Administrator who	5d.1. Content materials are	5d.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Mathematics Goal #5D:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	of instruction		evaluates teacher	differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
Improve current level of performance	pending	100% of SWD students will make learning gains An increase in proficiency by 10%					
			5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.
			5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5E:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*	5e.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5e.1. Differentiate Instruction	5e.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	5e.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs)	5e.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Improve current level of performance	pending	100% of Economically Disadvanta					

	ged students will make learning gains An increase in proficiency by 10%				*Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
		5E.2	5E.2	5E.2	5E.2	5E.2
		5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	

Math Budget Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Extended Learning Program	Hourly Teachers, Instructional Materials	Extended Learning Funds	
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

June 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary and Middle Science Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1a.FCAT 2.0:Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science. Science Goal #1a: The percentage of students Attaining proficiency in science will increase from 42% to 52%	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 42% 38	2013Expected Level of Performance:* Decrease the number of level 1 and 2 from 58% to 48%	1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. Administrator who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for	1a.2. Walkthrough and lesson plans

				learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
	1a.3. Lack of differentiation of instruction	1a.3. Formative assessments Will be used to determine Gaps in Scientific know-Ledge for planning SEAMS Lessons.	1a.3. Principal	1a.3. Science lab is being established for intermediate grades to teach SEAMS lessons on the nature of science using data from formative assessments – an hourly teacher will provide lessons based on formative assessment data.	1a.3. Common Assessments FCAT data
1b.Florida Alternate Assessment:Students scoring at Level 4, 5, and 6 in science.	1b.1. N/A	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.
Science Goal #1b: / 2012 Current Level of Performance:* / 2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
N/A					
	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.
	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2a. FCAT 2.0:Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in science.	2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	2b.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills	2b1. Walkthrough and lesson plans
Science Goal #2a: / 2012 Current Level of Performance:* / 2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
Improve the number of students					

scoring 4 or 5 in science from 11% to 16%	11% 10	Increase the level 4 and 5 students by 5%				during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points	
			2a.2. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2a.2. Formative assessments Will be used to determine Gaps in Scientific know-Ledge for planning SEAMS Lessons.	2a.2. Principal	2a.2. Science lab is being established for intermediate grades to teach SEAMS lessons on the nature of science using data from formative assessments – an hourly teacher will provide lessons based on formative assessment data.	2a.2. Common assessments and FCAT DATA
			2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment:Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.							

Science Goal #2b: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
	#N/A	N/A					
			2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.
			2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Science Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
SEAMS Training	3/4/5	Principal, AP	Grades 3-5	10/17/2012	PLC notes	Principal, Assistant Principal

Science Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Instructional materials	Instructional materials / science lab	District funded	
		Subtotal:	
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
		Subtotal:	
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
SEAMS Training	SEAMS materials/training	District support	$0.00
		Subtotal:$0.00	
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
SEAMS Instructional Support/Science Lab	Hourly Teacher	Title I	$10,315.50
		Subtotal:$10,315.50	
		Total:$10,315.50	

End of Science Goals

June 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Writing Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1a. FCAT:Students scoring at Achievement Level3.0 and higher in writing.			1a.1. Insufficient standards based instruction	1a.1. Increase instructional rigor Implement workshop model with fidelity Increase stamina over time Use Mentor/anchor text when conferring	1a.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher Teachers/Grade Level Team Members	1a.1. Teachers provide instruction which is aligned to the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks. Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to understand writing process and rubric standards. Students collaboratively score using rubrics	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans Anchor charts of teaching points. Samples of Rubrics and anchor papers.
Writing Goal #1a: The percentage of students proficient in writing will increase from 68% to 78%.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 68% 61 Level 4 and above 14% 13	2013Expected Level of Performance:* Decrease number of level 1,2 and 3 students					
			1a.2. Insufficient integration of writing in other content areas	1a.2. Plan writing in response to reading, math, science, and social studies Collaborative planning on grade level teach to focus on common core standards Unit Celebrations Daily Writer's Workshop	1a.2. Administrator in charge of evaluation and lesson plan review Literacy coaches for writing in response to reading. Teachers	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and	1a.2. Walkthrough – lesson plans – PLC planning meetings

June 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

					Independent Practice occur	
		1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment:Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.		1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.
Writing Goal #1b:	2012 Current Level of Performance:* / 2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
		1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.
		1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.

End of Writing Goal

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Writing Training	4	M. Osborne	Gr. 4 training	Oct. 24, 2012	PLC w/ District Literacy Coach	District Literacy Coach

Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Attendance Goal(s)			Problem-solving Process to Increase Attendance				
Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Attendance			1.1. Parental and student beliefs about the importance of school attendance which causes lack of desire to attend regularly and on time.	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan. Child study team develops plan to communicate with parents and students regarding attendance. Attendance policy clearly defined to students, parents, and staff.	1.1. MTSS Child Study Team and Teachers	1.1. Determine: Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Data-based monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly conducted	1.1. MtSS minutes, attendance records Child Study Team Minutes Parent conferences
Attendance Goal #1: Decrease number of students with 10 or more absences by 10%	2012 Current Attendance Rate:* 95% 2012 Current Number of Studentswith Excessive Absences (10 or more) 257 2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) 142	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:* Greater than prior year 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) 10% decrease from prior year 2013Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) 10% decrease from prior year					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

June 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		PLC Leader	school-wide)	Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		
School Wide Attendance Plan	K- 5	Child Study Team	Total Staff	Monthly	Monthly CST Meetings	Assistant Principal

Attendance Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of Attendance Goals

Suspension Goal(s)

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Suspension Goal(s)			Problem-solving Process to Decrease Suspension				
Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Suspension Suspension Goal #1: The number of in school and out of school suspensions will be reduced by 10%.	2012Total Number of In –School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of In- School Suspensions	1.1. Inconsistent implementation of school wide behavior plan	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan	1.1. MTSS Team Guidance Counselor Behavior Specialist	1.1. Determine: Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Data-based monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly conducted	1.1. Decrease in Number of In-School Suspension Number of Students suspended In-School Number of out-of-school suspensions Number of Students suspended out-of-school Number of alternative bell assignments Number of students assigned to alternative bell schedule
	18	10% decrease from prior year					
	2012Total Number of Students Suspended In-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In -School					
	13	10% decrease from prior year					
	2012Number of Out- of-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions					
	77	10% decrease from prior year					
	2012Total Number of Students Suspended Out- of- School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School					
	31	10% decrease from prior year					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Suspension Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

June 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

				meetings)		
CHAMPS/PBS training	K -5	Susan Schilt/ Behavior Team	School-wide staff	Pre-school 8/14/12 – ongoing	Lesson plans for expectations written. Posters placed in common areas and classrooms	Behavior Committee

Suspension Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of Suspension Goals

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)			Problem-solving Process to Dropout Prevention				
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Dropout Prevention N/A			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
	2012 Current Dropout Rate:*	2013 Expected Dropout Rate:*					
	2012 Current Graduation Rate:*	2013 Expected Graduation Rate:*					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Dropout Prevention Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Dropout Prevention Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:

June 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
		Subtotal:	
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
		Subtotal:	
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
		Subtotal:	
		Total:	

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section.
Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involvement Goal(s)		Problem-solving Process to Parent Involvement				
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Parent Involvement PIP will be uploaded on website once the template has been released and written. The Parents Involvement committee will be responsible for its design and implementation.		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
	2012 Current level of Parent Involvement:* / 2013 Expected level of Parent Involvement:*					

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Parent Involvement Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Parent Involvement Budget

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Agenda Books	Parent/School Communication Tools	Title I	$2,000.00
Parent/Teacher/Student Compacts	Achievement Pledge	Title I	$ 250.00
			Subtotal:$2,250.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Parent Involvement Workshops	Parent Training	Title I	$250.00

			Subtotal:$250.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Parent/Community Liaison	Personnel	Title I	$7,796.63
			Subtotal:$7,796.63
			Total:$10,296.63

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
STEM Goal #1: Increase technology skills and usage of software applications.	1.1. Insufficient technical instruction	1.1. Monthly professional development in software and technical use.	1.1 Melissa Bellinger. Susan Russell Sandra Cowley	1.1. Staff technology survey - Monthly training to include application set up and maintenance of lesson plans and assignments.	1.1. Teacher survey results Increased usage reports on Destination and FCAT Explorer
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

STEM Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity					
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.					
PD Content /Topic	Grade	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates and Schedules	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

and/or PLC Focus	Level/Subject	and/or PLC Leader	(e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	(e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Monitoring
Technology Integrations	PreK-5	M. Bellinger	Schoolwide	Early release monthly training	Staff survey	Melissa Bellinger, Sandra Cowley

STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
N/A	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

CTE Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

CTE Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal I Wellness (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Additional Goal: Wellness	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Additional Goal #1: This goal is optional	2012 Current Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*				
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional Wellness Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Additional Wellness Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

June 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

Additional Goal II Bradley MOU (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Additional Goal: Black Academic Achievement		1.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	1.1. Differentiate Instruction	1.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior	1.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Additional Goal #1: There will be an increase in black	2012 Current Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*				

student achievement	Reading level 3 and above:8% (12) MathLevel 3and above: 6% (8)	All black students to make learning gains in reading and math				knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional MOU Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

Additional Goal III Bradley MOU (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement			
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Additional Goal: Student Engagement for Black Students Additional Goal #1: There will be an increase in black	2012 Current Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*	1.1. Lack of Student Engagement	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan	1.1. SBLT	1.1. Determine: Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all	1.1. Decrease in Number of In-School Suspension Number of Students suspended In-School

June 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

student engagement	**School data for % of black students receiving referrals found on EDS: School Wide Behavior Plan report**	Decrease the percent of Black students receiving referrals, and Receiving in school and out of school suspensions				students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Data-based monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly conducted	Number of out-of-school suspensions Number of Students suspended out-of-school Number of alternative bell assignments Number of students assigned to alternative bell schedule
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional MOU II Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

June 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

58

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

Additional Goal IV Bradley MOU (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Additional Goal: Black graduation rate		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Additional Goal #1: N/A	2012 Current Level :* 2013 Expected Level :*					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional MOU Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						

Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

June 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Additional Goal V Bradley MOU (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Additional Goal: Black advanced Coursework			1.3.			.	
Additional Goal #1:		1.2.					
		1.3.					

Additional MOU Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of Additional Goal(s)

Final Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.
Reading Budget
Total: $ 239,365.54
Mathematics Budget
Total:
Science Budget
Total: $10,315.50
Writing Budget
Total:
Attendance Budget

June 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	Total: $0.00
Suspension Budget	
	Total: $0.00
Dropout Prevention Budget	
	Total: $0.00
Parent Involvement Budget	
	Total: $10,296.63
Additional Goals	
	Total:$0.00
	Grand Total: $259,977.67

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance
Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2.When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under "Default value" header; 3. Select *OK,*this will place an "x" in the box.)

School Differentiated Accountability Status		
☐Priority	☐Focus	XPrevent

- Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the *Upload* page

School Advisory Council (SAC)
The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting *Yes* or *No* below.

X Yes   ☐ No

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.

Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.
We will be meeting to determine what can be done without SAC funds to increase parent involvement.

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
There are none.	