

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION



Boca Ciega High School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

2012-2013

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

School Information

School Name: 431 Boca Ciega High School	District Name: Pinellas County Schools
Principal: Michael P. Vigue	Superintendent: John A. Stewart, Ed.D.
SAC Chair: Ann Sherman-White	Date of School Board Approval: October 9, 2012

Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

[School Grades Trend Data](#) (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

[Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test \(FCAT\)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data](#) (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

[High School Feedback Report](#)

[K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan](#)

Administrators

List your school’s administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
Principal	Michael P. Vigue	<p>Degrees: M.Ed. Educational Leadership B.S. Mathematics Education</p> <p>Certification: School Principal (K – 12) Mathematics (grades 5-9)</p>	2	10	<p>2011-2012 BCBS: A 2010-2011 BCBS: C 2009-2010 PPHS: C 2008-2009 PPHS: D</p>
Assistant Principal	Felicia Davis	<p>Degrees: M. Ed. Educational Leadership</p>	2	1	<p>2011-2012 BCBS: A 2010-2011 BCBS: C</p>

**January 2013
Rule 6A-1.099811**

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		B.S. English Education Certification: Educational Leadership (K-12) English (grades 6-12)			2009-2010 Tyrone MS: B (teacher) 2008-2009 Tyrone MS: C (teacher)
Assistant Principal	Robert Florio	Degrees: M. Ed. Educational Leadership B.S. Social Studies Education Certification: Educational Leadership (K-12) Social Studies (grades 6-12)	1	1	2011-2012 BCHS: A 2010-2011 BCHS: C 2009-2010 Tarpon Springs HS: A (teacher) 2008-2009 Tarpon Springs HS: D (teacher)
Assistant Principal	James Joyer	Degrees: M. Ed. Educational Leadership B.S. Mathematics Education Certification: Educational Leadership (K-12) Mathematics Education (6-12)	6	21	2011-2012 BCHS: A 2010-2011 BCHS: C 2009-2010 BCHS: D 2008-2009 BCHS: D
Assistant Principal	Kathleen Van Dora	Degrees: M. Ed. Educational Leadership B.S. English Education Certification: Educational Leadership (K-12) English Education (6-12)	2	3	2011-2012 BCHS: A 2010-2011 BCHS: C 2009-2010 Northeast HS: A 2008-2009 Lakewood HS: D (teacher)

Instructional Staff Developers

List your school's instructional staff developers and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional staff developer, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Mathematics	Staci Gillen	Degrees: B.S. Mathematics Education Certification: Mathematics Education (6-12)	0	0	2011-2012 Dunedin HS: B (teacher) 2010-2011 Dunedin HS: B (teacher) 2009-2010 Dunedin HS: B (teacher) 2008-2009 Dunedin HS: D (teacher)
Literacy	Janet Harris	Degrees: B.S. Business Administration Certification:	4	6	2011-2012 BCHS: A 2010-2011 BCHS: C 2009-2010 BCHS: D

January 2013
Rule 6A-1.099811

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		Reading Endorsement (K-12) English Education (6-12)			2008-2009 BCBS: D
Science	Selena Johnson	Degrees: B.S. Science Education Certification: Science Education (6-12)	0	3	N/A – provided professional development for Pinellas County Schools, not previously assigned to one school site
RtI (MTSS)	Scott Mason	Degrees: B.S. Sports Science Certification: Science Education (5-9)	14	2	2011-2012 BCBS: A 2010-2011 BCBS: C 2009-2010 BCBS: D (teacher) 2008-2009 BCBS: D (teacher)

Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date
1. New teacher site based professional development	Leadership Team	Completed on August 1, 2012
2. Site based ongoing mentorship	Leadership Team, Instructional Staff Developers, and assigned Teacher Mentor	Ongoing
3. District mentorship program	District level assigned mentors	Ongoing

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who are NOT highly effective.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out-of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
2% (2)	The ESE teacher is preparing to take a subject area Praxis Exam during the 2012-2013 school year. The other teacher is a science teacher who is scheduled to take a subject area Praxis Exam in October of 2012.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	%ESOL Endorsed Teachers
98	5% (5)	30% (29)	31% (31)	34% (33)	36% (35)	Pending	12% (12)	2% (2)	11% (11)

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Laura Sullivan and Christine Brown	John Branch	Year 1 of Transition to Teaching	Observation of mentee's instruction and providing feedback; Planning lessons with mentee; Connecting lesson activities to content standards; Discussing student progress and analyzing student work; Modeling or co-teaching lessons
Laura Sullivan and Christine Brown	Janet Hilder	Year 2 of Transition to Teaching	
Laura Sullivan and Christine Brown	Cristin Connery Teal Seltz Daphne Sullivan Lennon Conson	All are beginning their 1 st year of teaching	
Laura Sullivan and Christine Brown	Tobey Alvarez Rachel Godfrey Orlando Martinez Michelle Walker	All are veteran Pinellas County School teachers but are new to Boca Ciega HS for the 2012-2013 school year	
Laura Sullivan and Christine Brown	Carlos Franco Wendy De Gottal Ariel Robello James Council	All are veteran teachers who are new to Pinellas County Schools for the 2012-2013 school year	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team. Mike Vigue, Robert Florio, Jim Joyer, Felicia Davis, Kathy Van Dora, Scott Mason, Leslie Cole, Tamara Beard, and Tom Seriani

Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts? The team is facilitated by Scott Mason and Mike Vigue and meets weekly on Monday mornings at 9:00am. A rotation of data discussions occurs throughout the year in an effort to systematically improve our school wide and individual student performance.

	Grading Term Week 1	Grading Term Week 2	Grading Term Week 3	Grading Term Week 4	Grading Term Week 5	Grading Term Week 6
Data Review:	Interventions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fidelity/use • Specific students • Trends 	Classroom Visitations: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Teachers • Subject areas • Frequency • Trends 	Academics: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Teacher grade distribution • Students < 2.0 • Trends 	Discipline: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Location • Students 5+ • Teacher • Trends 	Attendance: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Portal accuracy • Students 10%+ • Trends 	Safety Net and CC Grant: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Teachers • Mentors • Fidelity/use • Trends
Meeting dates:	8/27/12 10/9/12 11/26/12 1/28/13 3/11/13 4/30/13	9/4/12 10/16/12 12/3/12 2/4/13 3/18/13 5/6/13	9/10/12 10/23/12 12/10/12 2/11/13 4/1/13 5/13/13	9/17/12 10/30/12 12/17/12 2/19/13 4/8/13 5/20/13	9/24/12 11/5/12 1/7/13 2/25/13 4/15/13 5/28/13	10/1/12 11/12/12 1/14/13 3/4/13 4/22/13 6/3/13

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP? The role is one of obstacle identification, data collection, hypothesis, action implementation, outcome review, and revision.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior. Refer to the table of data review inserted above.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS. Professional development session on August 14, 2012 for all MTSS members and ongoing weekly training throughout the year.

Describe the plan to support MTSS. Our MTSS will be supported by the building principal as a co-facilitator of the team.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

January 2013
Rule 6A-1.099811

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT). Literacy Instructional Staff Developer, one assistant principal, language arts department head, and several teachers from various core subject areas.

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

Literacy Leadership Teams create capacity of reading knowledge within the school by focusing on the following areas of literacy concern:

- Support for text complexity
- Support for instructional skills to improve reading comprehension
 - Ensuring that text complexity, along with close reading and rereading of texts, is central to lessons
 - Providing scaffolding that does not preempt or replace text reading by students
 - Developing and asking text dependent questions from a range of question types
 - Emphasizing students supporting their answers based upon evidence from the text
 - Providing extensive research and writing opportunities (claims and evidence)
- Support for implementation of Common Core State Standards for Literacy in Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects (a focus on text, task, and instruction).

The district will provide training and tools for Literacy Leadership Teams.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

Support for text complexity

- Support for instructional skills to improve reading comprehension
- Support for implementation of Common Core State Standards for Literacy in Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects

Public School Choice

- **Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification**

Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

***Grades 6-12 Only** Sec. 1003.413 (2)(b) F.S

For schools with grades 6-12, how does the school ensure that every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student?

- The school Literacy Leadership Team is established to grow the use of literacy strategies in all disciplines. The Team is composed of a cross section of the faculty that act as liaisons to help grow department wide literacy strategies in all classrooms
- The school has a Student Literacy Team that assists in the development and implementation of classroom literacy strategies.
- Teacher evaluations include a provision for teaching reading strategies to students. The teacher summative evaluation, in most cases, uses reading data as a portion of teacher performance.

***High Schools Only**

Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(2)(g), (2)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

- Students are provided with an array of course offerings that have applicable skills directly relating to various post-secondary training.
- Applied and integrated courses are found extensively in our schools' Center of Excellence program. Within the Center of Excellence, students are required to take multiple integrated courses each year.
- Teachers use a daily common board configuration to identify learning targets for students and how it is relevant in their daily lives.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

Guidance counselors meet with students in individual, small group and large group settings to provide service for academic and social needs. Academic advisement leading into career choice awareness, exploration and planning occurs each year.

- Students meet with guidance counselors individually each year to identify and request courses for the upcoming school year. Counselors also conduct credit checks each year, with multiple meetings each year as students progress through high school.

- Students have the ability to take a transitions course into and out of high school where transition skills are developed and career planning takes place.

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the [High School Feedback Report](#).

The Leadership Team analyzes the High School Feedback Report each year to determine strengths, weaknesses and problem solving gaps in data. Since the data is lagging by two years, it is sometimes difficult to quickly affect change. Some current and previous strategies used to increase postsecondary readiness include: implementation and increased use of the AVID program; increased participation in advanced coursework; establishment and expansion of Centers of Excellence; increased participation in ACT, PERT, and SAT exams; improved articulation with local colleges and postsecondary institutions; and participation in partnerships with St. Petersburg College.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Reading Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1a.FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading.			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
Reading Goal #1a:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
Improve current level of performance	23% (164)	Decrease level 1&2 from 56% to 46%	1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional	1a.2. Walkthrough

January 2013
Rule 6A-1.099811

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

					objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur		
			1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: *Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks *The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks *Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.			1b.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1b.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1b.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1b.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes aligned to access points when appropriate *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	1b.2. Walkthrough
<u>Reading Goal #1b:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Improve current level of performance	43%	Decrease level 1,2,3					
			1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.
			1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2a.FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in reading.			2a.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2a.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	2a.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students	2a.1. Walkthrough
<u>Reading Goal #2a:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Improve current level of performance	19% (136)	Increase level 4 and 5 by 5%					
			2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in reading.			2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	2b.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points	2b.1. Walkthrough
<u>Reading Goal #2b:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Improve current level of performance	57%	Increase level 7 by 5%					
			2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.
			2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.			3a.1. Lack of student engagement	3a.1. Differentiate Instruction	3a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	3a.1. *Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways which includes varying degrees of difficulty	3a.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results IPI data when available State instructional walkthrough when applicable
<u>Reading Goal #3a:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Improve current level of performance	60%	100%					
			3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.
			3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.			3b.1. Lack of student engagement	3b.1. Differentiate Instruction	3b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	3b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments	3b.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results IPI data when available State instructional walkthrough when applicable
<u>Reading Goal #3b:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Improve current level of performance	55%	100%					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

						*Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways; including varying difficulty degrees.	
			3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.
			3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
4a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.			4a.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	4a.1. Differentiate Instruction	4a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	4a.1. *Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty	4a.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Reading Goal #4a:	2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:					
Improve current level of performance	68%	100%					
			4a.2. Insufficient intervention supports exist to address the varying needs of students across academic and engagement areas	4a.2. Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives	4a.2. SBLT	4a.2. *SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses *Intervention and core teachers communicate and plan together regularly *Intervention curriculum is aligned with core instructional goals/objectives *Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within intervention courses *Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes *Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers *Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses	4a.2. Evidence of core teachers and intervention teachers communicating and planning; Lesson Plans & Walkthroughs
			4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.
4b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.			4b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	4b.1. Differentiate Instruction	4b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	4b.1. *Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments	4b.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Reading Goal #4b:	2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:					
Improve current level of performance	55%	100%					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

						*Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways; including varying difficulty degrees		
			4b.2. Insufficient intervention supports exist to address the varying needs of students across academic and engagement areas	4b.2. Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives	4ab.2. SBLT	4b.2. *SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses *Intervention and core teachers communicate and plan together regularly *Intervention curriculum is aligned with core instructional goals/objectives *Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within intervention courses *Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes *Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers *Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses	4b.2. Evidence of core teachers and intervention teachers communicating and planning; Lesson Plans & Walkthroughs	
			4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target			2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Baseline data 2010-2011		43	48	54	59	64	69
	38							
<u>Reading Goal #5A:</u> Ensure rigorous literacy practices are implemented with fidelity in all classes.								
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading.			5b.1. White: Black:	5b.1. Differentiate Instruction	5b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5b.1. *Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the	5b.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough	
<u>Reading Goal #5B:</u> Improve current			Hispanic: Asian: American:					
	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:</u> *	<u>2013Expected Level of Performance:</u> *						

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

level of performance	White: 50% (151)	100% of all subgroups to make a learning gain	Indian: Lack of differentiation of instruction			needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty	
	Black: 31% (93.00)	Increase proficiency of all subgroups by 10%		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.
	Hispanic: 10% (31.00)			5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) making satisfactory progress in reading.			5c.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5c.1. Differentiate Instruction	5c.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5c.1. *Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty	5c.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Reading Goal #5C:	2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Improve current level of performance	59%	100% of ELL students to make a learning gain An increase in proficiency by 10%					
			5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.
			5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) making satisfactory progress in reading.			5d.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5d.1. Differentiate Instruction	5d.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5d.1. *Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to	5d.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Reading Goal #5D:	2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Improve current level of performance							

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	16%	100% of all SWD students to make a learning gain An increase in proficiency by 10%				target specific learning needs *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty	
			5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.
			5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students making satisfactory progress in reading.			5e.1.	5e.1.	5e.1.	5e.1.	5e.1.
Reading Goal #5E:			Lack of differentiation of instruction	Differentiate Instruction	AP who evaluates teacher	*Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty	Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:					
	34%	100% of economically disadvantaged students will make a learning gain. An increase in proficiency by 10%					
			5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.
			5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.

Reading Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities

Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Literacy/WICOR	9-12	Literacy Staff Developer	All Language Arts and Reading Teachers	Weekly	Ongoing review of student performance	Literacy Staff Developer and AP who evaluates Language Arts and Reading Teachers

Reading Budget

Include only school funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.

Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subject area instructional supplies, technology, materials, and textbooks	Items needed to enhance classroom instruction	SIG	\$2,475.99
			Subtotal: \$2,475.99
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total: \$2,475.99

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CELLA Goals		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition				
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring proficient in Listening/Speaking. CELLA Goal #1: Improve current level of performance Number of students tested on CELLA: 9	<u>2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking:</u> 67%	1.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	1.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	1.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students	1.1. Walkthrough
		2.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2.1. Differentiate Instruction	2.1. AP who evaluates teacher	2.1. *Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse	2.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

January 2013
Rule 6A-1.099811

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

					<p>learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.</p>	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring proficient in Reading.		2.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	2.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	2.2. AP who evaluates teacher	2.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	2.2. Walkthrough
CELLA Goal #2: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading : 44%					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3
Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3. Students scoring proficient in Writing.		3.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	3.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	3.1. AP who evaluates teacher	3.1. Determine: *Lesson is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	3.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
CELLA Goal #3: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing : 44%					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3

CELLA Budget

January 2013
 Rule 6A-1.099811

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total: \$0.00

End of CELLA Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

High School Mathematics Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine: *Lesson is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
Mathematics Goal #1:	2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:					
Improve current level of performance	71%	Decrease level 1,2,3					

January 2013
Rule 6A-1.099811

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	1a.2. Walkthrough
		1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: *Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks *The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks *Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.		2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	2b.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points	2b.1. Walkthrough
Mathematics Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
	Level of Performance:	Level of Performance:				
Improve current level of performance	29%	Increase level 7 by 5%				
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
			2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.		3a.1. Lack of student	3a.1. Differentiate	3a.1. AP who	3a.1. *Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background,	3a.1. School Summary of

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Mathematics Goal #3:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	engagement	Instruction	evaluates teacher	prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty	observation section of teacher appraisal results IPI data when available State instructional walkthrough when applicable
Improve current level of performance	59%	100% of students will make learning gains					
			3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.
			3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
4. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.			4a.1. Lack of student engagement	4a.1. Differentiate Instruction	4a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	4a.1. *Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty	4a.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results IPI data when available State instructional walkthrough when applicable
Mathematics Goal #4:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Improve current level of performance	80%	100% of students will make learning gains					
			4.2. Insufficient intervention supports exist to address the varying needs of students across academic and engagement areas	4.2. Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives	4.2. SBLT	4.2. *SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses *Intervention and core teachers communicate and plan together regularly *Intervention curriculum is aligned with core instructional goals/objectives *Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within intervention courses *Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes *Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers *Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses	4.2. Evidence of core teachers and intervention teachers communicating and planning; Lesson Plans & Walkthroughs
			4.3	4.3.	4.3.	4.3.	4.3.

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Algebra 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Algebra EOC Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra.			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
<u>Algebra Goal #1:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:</u> *	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:</u> *					
Improve current level of performance	22% (51)	Decrease level 1 and 2 by 10%					
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students’ background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	1a.2. Walkthrough
			1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: *Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks *The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks *Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Algebra.			2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	2b.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points	2b1. Walkthrough	
Algebra Goal #2: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance: 3%	2013 Expected Level of Performance: Increase level 4 and 5 by 5%						
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.		2.2.
			2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3		2.3
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target			2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.			48%	53%	58%	63%	67%	72%
Baseline data 2011-2012 44								
Algebra Goal #3A: Improve current level of performance								
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.			5b.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian: Lack of differentiation of instruction	5b.1. Differentiate Instruction	5b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5b.1. *Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty	5b.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough	
Algebra Goal #3B: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance: White: 53% (31) Black: 36% (21) Hispanic: 3% Asian: 2% American	2013 Expected Level of Performance: 100% of all students subgroups by ethnicity to make a learning gain Increase proficiency of all student subgroups by ethnicity by						

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	Indian: 0%	10%						
								3B.2.
			5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.		3B.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy		Evaluation Tool
3C. English Language Learners (ELL) making satisfactory progress in Algebra.			5c.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5c.1. Differentiate Instruction	5c.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5c.1. *Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty		5c.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Algebra Goal #3C:	2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:						
Improve current level of performance	63%	100% of ELL students to make a learning gain Increase proficiency of ELL students by 10%						
			5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.		3C.2.
			5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.		3C.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy		Evaluation Tool
3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) making satisfactory progress in Algebra.			5d.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5d.1. Differentiate Instruction	5d.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5d.1. *Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty		5d.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Algebra Goal #3D:	2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:						
Improve current level of performance	24%	100% of all SWD students to make a learning gain Increase proficiency of SWD students by 10%						
			5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.		3D.2.
			5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.		3D.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3E. Economically Disadvantaged students making satisfactory progress in Algebra.			5e.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5e.1. Differentiate Instruction	5e.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5e.1. *Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty	5e.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Algebra Goal #3E:	2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013Expected Level of Performance:					
Improve current level of performance	37%	100% of Economically Disadvantaged students to make a learning gain Increase proficiency of Economically Disadvantaged students by 10%					
			5E.2	5E.2	5E.2	5E.2	3E.2.
			5E.3	5E.3	5E.3		3E.3

End of Algebra EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Geometry EOC Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry.			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
Geometry Goal #1:	2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013Expected Level of Performance:					
Improve current level of performance	NA	Decrease level 1 and 2 students					

January 2013
Rule 6A-1.099811

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

						*Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine lesson: *Focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	1a.2. Walkthrough
			1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: *Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks *The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks *Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Geometry.			2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	2b.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points	2b.1. Walkthrough
Geometry Goal #2:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:</u>					
Improve current level of performance.	NA	Increase level 4 and 5 by 5%					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
			2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target			2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016 2016-2017

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Baseline data 2010-2011 NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Geometry Goal #3A: Improve current level of performance							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy		Evaluation Tool
3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.		5b.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian: Lack of differentiation of instruction	5b.1. Differentiate Instruction	5b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5b.1. *Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty		5b.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Geometry Goal #3B: Improve current level of performance	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:</u> NA	<u>2013Expected Level of Performance:</u> 100% of all student subgroups to make a learning gain Increase proficiency of all student subgroups by 10%					
							3B.2.
			5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	3B.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy		Evaluation Tool
3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.		5c.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5c.1. Differentiate Instruction	5c.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5c.1. *Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments		5c.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Geometry Goal #3C: Improve current	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:</u>	<u>2013Expected Level of Performance:</u>					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

level of performance	NA	100% of ELL students to make a learning gain Increase proficiency of ELL students by 10%				*Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty	
			5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	3C.2.
			5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	3C.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.			5d.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5d.1. Differentiate Instruction	5d.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5d.1. *Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty	5d.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Geometry Goal #3D:	2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:					
Improve current level of performance	NA	100% of SWD students to make a learning gain Increase proficiency of SWD students by 10%					
			5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	3D.2.
			5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	3D.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.			5e.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5e.1. Differentiate Instruction	5e.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5e.1. *Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and	5e.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Geometry Goal #3E:	2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:					
Improve current level of performance	NA	100% of Economically Disadvantaged students to make a learning gain					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		Increase proficiency of Economically Disadvantaged students by 10%				understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty	
			5E.2	5E.2	5E.2	5E.2	3E.2.
			5E.3	5E.3	5E.3		3E.3

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Mathematics Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities						
Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Literacy/WICOR	9-12	Mathematics Staff Developer	All Mathematics Teachers	Weekly	Ongoing review of student performance	Mathematics Staff Developer and AP who evaluates Mathematics Teachers

Mathematics Budget

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total: \$0.00

End of Mathematics Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

High School Science Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Level 4, 5, and 6 in science.			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
Science Goal #1:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Improve current level of performance	67%	Decrease level 1,2, and 3					
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students’ background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	1a.2. Walkthrough
			1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: *Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks *The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks *Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.			2.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2.1. AP who evaluates teacher	2.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points	2.1. Walkthrough
Science Goal #2:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Improve current level of performance	33%	Increase the level 7 by 5%					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
			2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

Biology 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Biology EOC Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Biology.			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
Biology Goal #1:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Improve current level of performance	NA	Decrease the level 1 and 2					
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	1a.2. Walkthrough

January 2013
Rule 6A-1.099811

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: *Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks *The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks *Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher-order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology.		2.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2.1. AP who evaluates teacher	2.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students’ readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students’ learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points	2.1. Walkthrough
Biology Goal #2:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
Improve current level of performance	NA	Increase level 4 and 5 by 5%				
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
			2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3

End of Biology EOC Goals

Science Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Literacy/WICOR	9-12	Science Staff Developer	All Science Teachers	Weekly	Ongoing review of student performance	Science Staff Developer and AP who evaluates Science Teachers

Science Budget

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Total: \$0.00

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Writing Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1a. FCAT: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing.			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
Writing Goal #1a:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Improve current level of performance	Level 3: 84% (260) Level 4: 29% (89)	Decrease level 1,2 and 3 students	1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students’ background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and	1a.2. Walkthrough

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

					Independent Practice occur		
			1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: *Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks *The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks *Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.			1b.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1b.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1b.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1b.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
Writing Goal #1b:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
Improve current level of performance	Level 4,5,6 17% Level 7,8,9 83%	Decrease level 1,2 and 3 students					
			1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.
			1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.

End of Writing Goals

U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals*(required in year 2013-2014)*

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

U.S. History EOC Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History.			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
U.S. History Goal #1:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
Establish baseline level of performance	Not available	Improved from baseline					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

						goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	1a.2. Walkthrough
			1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: *Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks *The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks *Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in U.S. History.			2.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	2.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	2.1. AP who evaluates teacher	2.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	2.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
U.S. History Goal #2:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Establish baseline level of performance	Not available	Improved from baseline					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
			2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

U.S. History Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Literacy/WICOR	11	AP for Instruction	All US History Teachers	Weekly	Ongoing review of student performance	AP for Instruction who evaluates US History Teachers

U.S. History Budget

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total: \$0.00

End of U.S. History Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Attendance Goal(s)			Problem-solving Process to Increase Attendance				
Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Attendance			1.1. Lack of student engagement	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan	1.1. SBLT	1.1. Determine: *Expectations are clearly and positively defined *Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff *Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged *Behavioral errors are proactively corrected *A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Data-based monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly conducted	1.1. Decrease in Number of In-School Suspension Number of Students suspended In-School Number of out-of-school suspensions Number of Students suspended out-of-school Number of alternative bell assignments Number of students assigned to alternative bell schedule
Attendance Goal #1:	2012 Current Attendance Rate:*	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:*					
Improve current level of performance	90%	Greater than prior year					
	2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)					
	802	10% decrease from prior year					
	2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)					
24,927 total tardies for 1,729 students (avg. of 14.4 tardies per student for the year).		10% decrease from prior year					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
RtI/MTSS	9-12	RtI Staff Developer	All RtI/MTSS Members	Every Six Week Grading Term	Ongoing review of student performance	RtI Staff Developer

Attendance Budget

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.

Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
----------	--------------------------	----------------	--------

January 2013
Rule 6A-1.099811

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total: \$0.00			

End of Attendance Goals

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Suspension Goal(s)			Problem-solving Process to Decrease Suspension				
Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Suspension			1.1. Lack of Student Engagement	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan	1.1. SBLT	1.1. Determine: *Expectations are clearly and positively defined *Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff *Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged *Behavioral errors are proactively corrected *A database for keeping records and making decisions is established *Data-based monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly conducted	1.1. Decrease in Number of In-School Suspension Number of Students suspended In-School Number of out-of-school suspensions Number of Students suspended out-of-school Number of alternative bell assignments Number of students assigned to alternative bell schedule
Suspension Goal #1:	<u>2012 Total Number of In – School Suspensions</u>	<u>2013 Expected Number of In- School Suspensions</u>					
Improve current level of performance	2898	10% decrease from prior year					
	<u>2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School</u>	<u>2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In -School</u>					
	645	10% decrease from prior year					
	<u>2012 Number of Out-of-School Suspensions</u>	<u>2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions</u>					
	644	10% decrease from prior year					
	<u>2012 Total Number of Students Suspended Out- of- School</u>	<u>2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School</u>					
	109	10% decrease from prior year					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
--	--	------	------	------	------	------

Suspension Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Direct behavior and engagement classroom interventions	All	RtI Staff Developer	All teachers (as needed)	Ongoing (as needed)	RtI Team monthly reviews of student suspension data and Safety Net data.	RtI Instructional Coach

Suspension Budget

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Alternative Bell Schedule (ABS)	Supplemental funding for two teachers each day	SIG	\$28,800.00
Campus monitor assistance with reduction of discipline referrals	Supplemental funding for extra hours	SIG	\$5,000.00
			Subtotal: \$33,800.00
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total: \$33,800.00

End of Suspension Goals

January 2013
Rule 6A-1.099811

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Dropout Prevention Goal(s) Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)		Problem-solving Process to Dropout Prevention					
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Dropout Prevention Dropout Prevention Goal #1: <i>*Please refer to the percentage of students who dropped out during the 2011-2012 school year.</i>		1.1. Students lack skills to plan for future aspirations and create educational goals	1.1. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1.1. Principal	1.1.	1.1. Walkthrough and teacher appraisal	
Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Dropout Rate:*						2013 Expected Dropout Rate:*
	25% (projected)						10% decrease from prior year
	2012 Current Graduation Rate:*						2013 Expected Graduation Rate:*
	75% (projected)						Improve rate from prior year
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Dropout Prevention Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Direct academic and engagement interventions	All	RtI Staff Developer	All teachers (as needed)	Ongoing (as needed)	RtI Team monthly reviews of student academic and interventions.	RtI Instructional Coach

Dropout Prevention Budget

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.

Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Administer the ACT to all current 11th grade students in March 2013	ACT cost for each student	SIG	\$16,575.00
Administer the PERT to qualifying 10th graders in February 2013	PERT cost for each student	SIG	\$2,000.00
After school tutoring (ELP, AP, FCAT, EOC, ACT, and extra help)	Supplemental funding for participating teachers	SIG	\$27,200.00
Safety Net Program	Supplemental funding for participating teachers	SIG	\$79,200.00

January 2013
Rule 6A-1.099811

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Subtotal: \$124,975.00			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Student academic resources (student planners, notebooks, other materials)	Supplies for students in need	SIG	\$4,000.00
Four additional core subject area teaching units	Intended to reduce teacher-to-student ratio and to accommodate an increased enrollment in elective courses due to 8 period schedule	SIG	\$169,546.85
Assistant Principal for Instruction position	Needed to build capacity of instructional staff to better support the academic and other needs of our students	SIG	\$63,067.53
Gizmos remediation software	Used in mathematics and science EOC courses for remediation	SIG	\$14,000.00
Summer home visits	Supplement for participating teachers to work with incoming 9 th grade students with dropout at-risk factors (based on student data from middle school).	SIG	\$3,840.00
Subtotal: \$254,454.38			
Total: \$379,429.38			

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involvement Goal(s)	Problem-solving Process to Parent Involvement
-----------------------------------	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Parent Involvement <u>Parent Involvement Goal #1:</u> <i>*Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.</i>			1.1. Lack of frequent home-school communication in a variety of formats, and allows for families to support and supervise their child’s educational progress	1.1. Provide frequent home-school communication in a variety of formats, and allows for families to support and supervise their child’s educational progress	1.1. SBLT	1.1.	1.1.
Improve current level of performance	<u>2012 Current level of Parent Involvement:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected level of Parent Involvement:*</u>					
Focus logins by parents	492 parents used Focus logins	Increased from prior year					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Parent Involvement Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Parent Engagement Summit	9-10	Principal	Parents, Community Advocates, and Community Compact Mentors	August 9, 2012	Communication on login process and another Parent session in January, 2013	Community and Volunteer Coordinator

Parent Involvement Budget

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:

January 2013
Rule 6A-1.099811

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total: \$0.00

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:					
STEM Goal #1: Increase student enrollment in STEM elective courses	1.1. Student prerequisite skills in math	1.1. Proper instructional support for students in math and science	1.1. AP	1.1. Student sustainment in IED and CIM courses	1.1. Walkthrough and teacher appraisal
	1.2. Student lack of knowledge of these course offerings	1.2. Middle school recruitment in the fall of 2012	1.2. AP	1.2. Comparison of yearly enrollment numbers in IED	1.2. Course requests
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

STEM Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
PLC	9-12	Assistant Principal	STEM teachers	Weekly	Ongoing review of student performance	Assistant Principal

STEM Budget

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total: \$0.00

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:					
CTE Goal #1: Literacy/WICOR strategies implemented with fidelity in all CTE courses with regularity	1.1. Diversity of curriculum requirements	1.1. Weekly PLC for all CTE teachers	1.1. AP	1.1. Evidence of: *Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks *The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks *Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1.1. Walkthrough and teacher appraisal
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

CTE Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
PLC	9-12	Assistant Principal	CTE teachers	Weekly	Ongoing review of student performance	Assistant Principal

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

CTE Budget

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total: \$0.00

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal I Wellness (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Additional Goal: Wellness			I.1.A: Failure to form a Healthy School Team.	I.1.A: Complete Healthy Schools Program 6 Step Process online https://schools.healthiergeneration.org/	I.1.A: Healthy School Team (school administrator, physical education teacher, cafeteria manager, health teacher/elementary classroom teachers (optional members – students, parents, school nurse)	I.1.A: Completion of 6 th Step of the Healthy School Program online (Celebrate Successes)	I.1.A: Healthy School Inventory (Evaluate Your School) online
Additional Goal #1:	2012 Current Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*					
Improve current level of performance	A Data (Options): Not yet meeting Bronze Level on Healthy Schools Inventory Meeting Bronze Level on Healthy Schools Inventory Meeting Silver Level on	Options Set A: Not yet meeting Bronze Level on Healthy Schools Inventory Meeting Bronze Level on Healthy Schools Inventory Meeting Silver Level on Healthy Schools Inventory					

January 2013
Rule 6A-1.099811

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Healthy Schools Inventory Meeting Gold Level on Healthy Schools Inventory B Data: Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgram Data by school will be inserted here.	Meeting Gold Level on Healthy Schools Inventory B Data: Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgram School will improve students' scores on one Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgram Assessment scores for selected by school.					
		1.2.B. Failure to assess students and upload Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgram data	1.2. B: Complete Pre and Post Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgram student assessments and upload data	1.2. B: physical education teachers	1.2. B: Compare Pre and Post Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgram student assessments results	1.2. B: Being Fit Matters Statistical Report (Portal)
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional Wellness Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
PLC	9-12	Assistant Principal	PE/Health teachers	Weekly	Ongoing review of student performance	Assistant Principal

Additional Wellness Goal(s) Budget

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total: \$0.00

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Additional Goal II Bradley MOU (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Additional Goal: Black Academic Achievement			1.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	1.1. Differentiate Instruction	1.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1.1. *Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty	1.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Additional Goal #1:	2012 Current Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*					
There will be an increase in black student achievement	Reading: 31% (93.00)	All black students to make learning gains in reading and math					
	Math: (algebra) 36% (21)						
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional MOU Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
PLC	9-12	Assistant Principal	All teachers	Weekly	Ongoing review of student performance	Assistant Principal

Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

January 2013
Rule 6A-1.099811

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total: \$0.00			

Additional Goal III Bradley MOU (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Additional Goal: Student Engagement for Black Students			1.1. Lack of Student Engagement	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan	1.1. SBLT	1.1. Determine: *Expectations are clearly and positively defined *Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff *Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged *Behavioral errors are proactively corrected *A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Data-based monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly conducted	1.1. Decrease in Number of In-School Suspension Number of Students suspended In-School Number of out-of-school suspensions Number of Students suspended out-of-school Number of alternative bell assignments Number of students assigned to alternative bell schedule
Additional Goal #1:	2012 Current Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*					
There will be an increase in black student engagement	School data for % of black students receiving referrals found on EDS: School Wide Behavior Plan report	Decrease the percent of Black students receiving referrals, and Receiving in school and out of school suspensions					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional MOU II Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

January 2013
Rule 6A-1.099811

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

PLC	9-12	Assistant Principal	All teachers	Weekly	Ongoing review of student performance	Assistant Principal
National Trust Mentoring	9-10	Mr. Shabazz	9-10 grade AA male students	Weekly	Ongoing review of student performance	Assistant Principal
Born Eagles Mentoring	9-12	Assistant Principal	9-12 grade AA male students	Ongoing	Ongoing review of student performance	Assistant Principal
5000 Role Models and Girlfriends of Pinellas	9-12	Staff Sponsors	Voluntarily participating students	Monthly	Ongoing review of student performance	Assistant Principal

Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.

Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total: \$0.00

Additional Goal IV Bradley MOU (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:					
1. Additional Goal: Black graduation rate	1.1. Lack of Student Engagement	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan	1.1. SBLT	1.1. Determine: *Expectations are clearly and positively defined *Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff *Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged *Behavioral errors are proactively corrected *A database for keeping records and making decisions is	1.1. Increase in black graduation rate
There will be an increase in black student graduation rate	2012 Current Level :*	2013 Expected Level			

January 2013
Rule 6A-1.099811

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		.*			established Data-based monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly conducted	
	1.2.		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional MOU Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
PLC	9-12	Assistant Principal	All teachers	Weekly	Ongoing review of student performance	Assistant Principal
National Trust Mentoring	9-10	Mr. Shabazz	9-10 grade AA male students	Weekly	Ongoing review of student performance	Assistant Principal
Born Eagles Mentoring	9-12	Assistant Principal	9-12 grade AA male students	Ongoing	Ongoing review of student performance	Assistant Principal
5000 Role Models and Girlfriends of Pinellas	9-12	Staff Sponsors	Voluntarily participating students	Monthly	Ongoing review of student performance	Assistant Principal

Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total: \$0.00

Additional Goal V Bradley MOU (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

**January 2013
Rule 6A-1.099811**

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Additional Goal(s)		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Additional Goal: Black advanced Coursework		1.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	1.1. Differentiate Instruction	1.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1.1. *Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty	1.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough Professional Development includes equity and cultural responsiveness
Additional Goal #1: There will be an increase percent of black students enrolled in rigorous advanced coursework There will be an increase in performance of black students in rigorous advanced coursework	2012 Current Level :*					
	2013 Expected Level :*					
	Honors: 40% (372) DE: 27% (25) AP: 32% (128)					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional MOU Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
PLC	9-12	Assistant Principal	All teachers	Weekly	Ongoing review of student performance	Assistant Principal

Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total: \$0.00

End of Additional Goal(s)

Final Budget

Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	Total: \$2,475.99
CELLA Budget	Total: \$0.00
Mathematics Budget	Total: \$0.00
Science Budget	Total: \$0.00
Writing Budget	Total: \$0.00
Civics Budget	Total: \$0.00
U.S. History Budget	Total: \$0.00
Attendance Budget	Total: \$0.00
Suspension Budget	Total: \$33,800.00
Dropout Prevention Budget	Total: \$379,429.38
Parent Involvement Budget	Total: \$0.00
STEM Budget	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	Total: \$0.00
CTE Budget	
	Total: \$0.00
Additional Goals	
	Total: \$0.00
	Grand Total: \$415,705.37

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school’s DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under “Default value” header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an “x” in the box.)

School Differentiated Accountability Status		
<input type="checkbox"/> Priority	<input type="checkbox"/> Focus	<input type="checkbox"/> Prevent

2011-2012 Boca Ciega High School grade was an “A”; therefore, school-level differentiated accountability compliance is not required.

- Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the *Upload* page

School Advisory Council (SAC)

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting *Yes* or *No* below.

X Yes No

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.

Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.
SAC meeting dates for 2012-2013 at 6:00pm in the CWMP Auditorium (Thursdays): September 13 th , October 11 th , November 15 th , December 13 th , 2012, January 17 th , February 14 th , March 14 th , April 11 th , and May 16 th , 2013

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
To support highest student achievement when requested by the school and presented/approved by SAC	