

# Florida Department of Education

# School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

2012-2013

## 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

### PART I: SCHOOL INFORMATION

School Name: R.J. Murray Middle School	District Name: St. Johns County School District
Principal: Tom Schwarm	Superintendent: Dr. Joseph Joyner
SAC Chair: Shannon McCurdy, Herbert Beck	Date of School Board Approval: 11/13/2012

### Student Achievement Data:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

[School Grades Trend Data](#) (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

[Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test \(FCAT\)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data](#) (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

[High School Feedback Report](#)

[K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan](#)

### Highly Effective Administrators

April 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

## 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

List your school's highly effective administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment performance (Percentage data for Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
----------	------	--------------------------------	---	---	--

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Principal	Tom Schwarm	BA-English ME-Educational Leadership Florida Teacher Certification English 6-12	4	12	<p>Principal - R.J. Murray Middle School 2010-2012</p> <p>2009-2010 Grade: A 71% Reading 65% Math 91% Writing 52% Science 60% Reading Gains 71% Math Gains 59% Lowest 25% Reading 66% Lowest 25% Math</p> <p>Assistant Principal- R.B. Hunt 2002-2005</p> <p>2002-2003 Grade: A AYP:NO 77% Reading 67% Math</p> <p>2003-2004 Grade: A AYP:YES 77% Reading 72% Math 90% Writing</p> <p>2004-2005 Grade:A AYP:PRO 81% Reading 75% Math 89% Writing</p> <p>Assistant Principal Cunningham Creek Elementary 2001-2002 Grade:A 85% Reading 83% Math 89% Writing</p> <p>Assistant Principal 2000-2001 Switzerland Point Middle Grade:A 72% Reading 77% Math 97% Writing</p>
-----------	-------------	--	---	----	---

## 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Assistant Principal	Latasha Bowens	Florida Teacher Certification Exceptional Student Education (grades K-12)	1	1	
---------------------	----------------	---	---	---	--

### Highly Effective Instructional Coaches

List your school's highly effective instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment performance (Percentage data for Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Instructional Coach	Lynn Guinta	BS English Ed; Secondary English 6-12; Middle Grades, ESOL, Reading Endorsements	13	8	

### Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1. Utilize PATS system to recruit highly qualified teachers	Principal	Upon Posting	
2. SJCSO only hires highly qualified teachers.	Principal		
3.			

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

4.			
----	--	--	--

***Non-Highly Effective Instructors***

List all instructional staff and paraprofessionals who are teaching out-of-field and/or who are NOT highly effective.

Name	Certification	Teaching Assignment	Professional Development/Support to Become Highly Effective
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

***Staff Demographics***

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
43	0	20%	55%	25%	25%	100%	10%	5%	20%

***Teacher Mentoring Program***

Please describe the school’s teacher mentoring program by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
No data submitted			

**Additional Requirements**

***Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only***

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

<p>Title I, Part A                      Title I, Part A Services are provided to ensure students requiring additional remediation are assisted through after-school programs or summer school. The district coordinates with Title II and Title III in ensuring staff development needs are provided.</p>
<p>Title I, Part C- Migrant                      Migrant Liaison provides services and support to students and parents. The liaison coordinates with Title I and other programs to ensure student needs are met.</p>
<p>Title I, Part D                      District receives funds to support the Educational Alternative Outreach program. Services are coordinated with district Drop-out Prevention programs.</p>
<p>Title II                      District receives supplemental funds for improving basic education programs through the purchase of small equipment to supplement education programs. New technology in classrooms will increase the instructional strategies provided to students and new instructional software will enhance literacy and math skills of struggling students. Funds at Sunshine Middle are used to purchase SuccessMaker licenses and provide professional development for Success Maker.</p>
<p>Title III                      Title III Services are provided through the district for education materials and ELL district support services to improve the education of immigrant and English Language Learners.</p>
<p>Title X- Homeless                      District Homeless Social Worker provides resources (clothing, school supplies, social services referrals) for students identified as homeless under the McKinney-Vento Act to eliminate barriers for a free and appropriate education.</p>

**April 2012**

**Rule 6A-1.099811**

**Revised April 29, 2011**

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI) SAI funds will be coordinated with Title I funds to provide summer school for Level 1 readers. SAI funds will be used to expand the summer program to all Level 2 students.
Violence Prevention Programs The school offers a non-violence and anti-drug program to students that incorporate field trips, community service, drug tests, and counseling.
Nutrition Programs N/A
Housing Programs N/A
Head Start N/A
Adult Education N/A
Career and Technical Education Career and Technical Education Proposals are submitted annually to enhance selected Vocational Programs for regular, disadvantaged, and handicapped students in grades 7-12.
Job Training A partnership with the city will provide students with a job skills program that will allow students the opportunity to learn how to create a resume, dress for success, and perform well during a job interview.
Other N/A

***Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)***

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team
<p>Identify the school-based MTSS Leadership Team.                      Latasha Bowens-Assistant Principal                      Lynn Guinta-ILC                      Erica Jackson-Registrar                      Frances Kelley-Teacher (Core+)                      Millie Turrentine-Jenkins-School Psychologist</p>
<p>Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?                      The school-based MTSS Leadership Team meets every Tuesday and the Core+ Team meets the last Wednesday of the month. Lynn Guinta-facilitator: keeps agenda goal-oriented and clarifies and summarizes information; Erica Jackson-recorder: completes meeting minutes and keeps “hard copies” for the record; &amp; timekeeper: monitors time spent on issues. Team members contribute. The MTSS Leadership Team meets with the classroom teachers of identified students as needed to discuss individual plans, monitor progress, and evaluate the selected interventions.</p>



## 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The MTSS Leadership team functions as a problem-solving team, identifying students at-risk, using data collection to make decisions for specific intervention plans and to evaluate and determine the success of the research-based interventions. The MTSS Leadership team and classroom teachers collaborate on the selection and implementation of interventions and monitor them through frequent progress monitoring. Progress monitoring occurs on a school-wide basis for reading, writing, math and science. Teams of teachers analyze this data and use it to inform instructional decisions. Students with a deficit in reading, as determined by state assessments, have been placed in Intensive Reading or “iii” reading classes using the Language! Curriculum (Tier II and III interventions). PBS, a school-wide behavior system and Tier I intervention, has been established, and all returning teachers have been trained in Capturing Kids’ Hearts. Murray has a designated district social worker who meets with both individual and groups of students upon the MTSS Team’s request.

### MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

eSchool Plus: District database used to track grades and attendance.

Snapshot: student performance data analysis program; extracts, manages, and organizes data. All teachers have access to make informed instructional decisions.

Behavior: School-Wide Information System (SWIS) a web-based information system provides referral data which gives personnel the opportunity to evaluate individual/group student behavior.

Reading: Florida Assessments for Instruction in Reading (FAIR) DOE web-based assessment system which provides screening, progress monitoring, and diagnostic information to guide instruction.

FAIR is used with all FCAT Reading Level 1’/2’s.

Math, Science, Reading: Discovery Education/Think Link web-based assessment system aligned to state benchmarks; provides progress monitoring, resources, and probes. Think Link is used by all students. Writing: Write Score progress monitoring writing assessment aligned to the state writing standards; provides validated prompts, hand-scores the essays, and provides the school and classroom teachers with data/trends to inform instruction.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

The staff will be trained in small groups (academic teams) by the members of the MTSS team and district support personnel. These trainings will be scheduled during early-release Wednesday sessions.

Describe plan to support MTSS.

The MTSS team is available to discuss concerns at any time.

### *Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)*

#### School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

April 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

## 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Amy Banton  
Shannon McCurdy  
Brenda Beason  
Nancy Passarelli  
Robert Pettit  
Lynn Guinta  
Tom Schwarm  
Constance Sowards  
Lorraine Stinson  
Mike Hubbard  
Rachael Wilson  
Justin Keating  
Latasha Bowens

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The LLT meets monthly with Murray's ILC as facilitator. Roles to be determined by the team. The LLT's function is to examine literacy needs and issues at the school and develop an action plan to meet these needs and assist staff with implementation.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

Major initiatives of the LLC will include a focus on reading in the content areas (bringing content-specific strategies and professional development to content teachers), promoting the use of Cornell note-taking across content classes, and developing programs or strategies to motivate and reward independent reading, such as the Breakfast with Champions and the Battle of the Bluford Books.

### ***Public School Choice***

- **Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification**

*Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.*

### ***\*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition***

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

### ***\*Grades 6-12 Only*** Sec. 1003.413 (b) F.S

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

The following measures will be utilized to ensure the use of literacy strategies: teacher attendance to workshops and professional development; documentation in lesson plans; administrative walk-throughs and observations; use of LLT members as resource staff; classroom modeling by ILC's.

April 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

***\*High Schools Only***

Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

N/A
-----

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

N/A
-----

***Postsecondary Transition***

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the [High School Feedback Report](#).

N/A
-----

**PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS**

**Reading Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Reading Goals	Problem-Solving						

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

	<b>Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading.</b>	1a.1. Data indicates that Level 1 and 2 students have difficulty in answering questions that require higher order thinking skills. Application, synthesis, and evaluation).	1a.1. Increase staff development to improve instructional strategies that incorporate the use of higher order questioning and understanding of text complexity.	1a.1. Tom Schwarm Latasha Bowens Lynn Guinta	1a.1. Continual implementation of Marzano Teacher Observations and Evaluations. Increased averages from developing stage to the application stage as defined by the Marzano rubrics associated with Domain 1 and Domain 2.	1a.1. Discovery Education End of Quarter Exams Marzano Reports		
<u>Reading Goal #1a:</u> <i>To increase the number of current level 2 students into level 3 or higher in Reading</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<b>23%</b> <b>(149)</b>	<b>26%</b>					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

		1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	
		1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	
<b>1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.</b>	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.		
<b>Reading Goal #1b:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>N/A</i>	<i>N/A</i>					
		1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	
		1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<p><b>2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in reading.</b></p>	<p>2a.1. Data indicates that Level 3 students are not scoring well on questions that require higher order thinking skills required to score in levels 4 and 5 on the FCAT</p>	<p>2a.1 Increase staff development to improve instructional strategies that incorporate the use of higher order questioning and understanding of text complexity.</p>	<p>2a.1. Tom Schwarm Latasha Bowens Lynn Guinta</p>	<p>2a.1. Continual implementation of Marzano Teacher Observations and Evaluations. Increased averages from developing stage to the application stage as defined by the Marzano rubrics associated with Domain 1 and Domain 2.</p>	<p>2a.1. Discovery Education End of Quarter Exams Marzano Reports</p>		
<p>Reading Goal #2a: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:</u>*</p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:</u>*</p>					
	<p><b>31% (198)</b></p>	<p><b>34%</b></p>					
		<p>2a.2.</p>	<p>2a.2.</p>	<p>2a.2.</p>	<p>2a.2.</p>	<p>2a.2.</p>	
		<p>2a.3</p>	<p>2a.3</p>	<p>2a.3</p>	<p>2a.3</p>	<p>2a.3</p>	
<p><b>2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in reading.</b></p>	<p>2b.1.</p>	<p>2b.1.</p>	<p>2b.1.</p>	<p>2b.1.</p>	<p>2b.1.</p>		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<p><b>Reading Goal #2b:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></p>					
	<p><i>N/A</i></p>	<p><i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i></p>					
		<p>2b.2.</p>	<p>2b.2.</p>	<p>2b.2.</p>	<p>2b.2.</p>	<p>2b.2.</p>	
		<p>2b.3</p>	<p>2b.3</p>	<p>2b.3</p>	<p>2b.3</p>	<p>2b.3</p>	
<p>Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:</p>	<p>Anticipated Barrier</p>	<p>Strategy</p>	<p>Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring</p>	<p>Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy</p>	<p>Evaluation Tool</p>		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<p><b>3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.</b></p>	<p>3a.1. Current data and test analysis indicates that students are not performing well on benchmarks and standards that require higher order thinking and information processing skills associated with application, evaluation, and synthesis of content area text.</p>	<p>3a.1. Continue staff development to improve teaching strategies that include higher order questioning as related to all core content courses.</p>	<p>3a.1. Tom Schwarm Latasha Bowens Lynn Guinta</p>	<p>3a.1. Continual implementation of Marzano Teacher Observations and Evaluations. Increased averages from developing stage to the application stage as defined by the Marzano rubrics associated with Domain 1 and Domain</p>	<p>3a.1. Discovery Education Assessments End of Quarter Exams Marzano Reports</p>		
<p><b>Reading Goal #3a:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></p>					
	<p><b>61%</b></p>	<p><b>65%</b></p>					
		<p>3a.2. Vocabulary; test taking strategies, motivation</p>	<p>3a.2. Individualized Instruction in CT; Vocabulary strategies; 12 Powerful Words; UNRAAVEL</p>	<p>3a.2. Tom Schwarm Latasha Bowens Lynn Guinta Instructional Leadership Team</p>	<p>3a.2. Marzano Observations</p>	<p>3a.2. Discovery Education Assessments SWIS Data</p>	
		<p>3a.3.</p>	<p>3a.3.</p>	<p>3a.3.</p>	<p>3a.3.</p>	<p>3a.3.</p>	



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<p><b>3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.</b></p>	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.		
<p><b>Reading Goal #3b:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></p>					
	<p><i>N/A</i></p>	<p><i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i></p>					
		3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	
		3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	
<p>Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:</p>	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<p><b>4a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.</b></p>	<p>4a.1. How to successfully meet the needs of low performing students while meeting the proficiency standards and benchmarks at students' current grade level.</p>	<p>4a.1. Create new support model for ESE and Tier II RtI students in the core content areas including social studies and science.</p>	<p>4a.1. Tom Schwarm Latasha Bowens</p>	<p>4a.1. Bi-weekly grade level team meetings with ESE and Reading teachers to review academic performance of the low 25% cohort.</p>	<p>4a.1. Discovery Education End of Quarter Exams Course grade review Marzano Observation Results</p>		
<p><b>Reading Goal #4a:</b>  <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></p>					
	<p><b>51%</b></p>	<p><b>60%</b></p>					
		<p>4a.2.</p>	<p>4a.2.</p>	<p>4a.2.</p>	<p>4a.2.</p>	<p>4a.2.</p>	
		<p>4a.3</p>	<p>4a.3.</p>	<p>4a.3.</p>	<p>4a.3.</p>	<p>4a.3.</p>	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<p><b>4b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.</b></p>	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.		
<p>Reading Goal #4b: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></p>	<p>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</p>	<p>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</p>					
	<p><i>N/A</i></p>	<p><i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i></p>					
		4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	
		4b.3	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	
<p>Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target</p>	<p><b>2011-2012</b></p>	<p><b>2012-2013</b></p>	<p><b>2013-2014</b></p>	<p><b>2014-2015</b></p>	<p><b>2015-2016</b></p>	<p><b>2016-2017</b></p>	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<p><b>5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.</b></p>	<p>Baseline data 2010-2011 <u>42%</u></p>						<p><u>17%</u></p>
<p><u>Reading Goal #5A:</u>  <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></p>							
<p>Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:</p>	<p>Anticipated Barrier</p>	<p>Strategy</p>	<p>Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring</p>	<p>Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy</p>	<p>Evaluation Tool</p>		
<p><b>5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading.</b></p>	<p>5B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:</p>	<p>5B.1.</p>	<p>5B.1.</p>	<p>5B.1.</p>	<p>5B.1.</p>		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Reading Goal #5B:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>							
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i> White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i> White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading.</b>	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Reading Goal #5C:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>							
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading.</b>	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Reading Goal #5D:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>							
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.</b>	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<u>Reading Goal</u> #5E:	<u>2012 Current</u> Level of Performance:*	<u>2013 Expected</u> Level of Performance:*					
<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>							
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		5E.2.	5E.2	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
		5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	

**Reading Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b> Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
	PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Reading Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
FAIR	Progress monitoring tool used to assess reading instruction	State Funded	
Discovery Education	Progress monitoring tool used to assess student progress in reading by strand	District Funded	
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Comprehensive School Action Plan	District and School Staff	Title II and SAI Funds	
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
<b>Total:</b>			

*End of Reading Goals*

April 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

**Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals**

<b>CELLA Goals</b>	<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition</b>					
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
<b>1. Students scoring proficient in Listening/Speaking.</b>	1.1. Limited exposure to English Listening and Speaking skills in a school setting.	1.1. Immersion into a print rich classroom environment	1.1. Guidance Counselor Instructional Literacy Coach	1.1. District EOQ Exams Formative Assessments	1.1. CELLA	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<p><b>CELLA Goal #1:</b> <i>Two out of three students scored proficient this area.</i></p>	<p><u>2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking:</u></p>					
	<p><b>67%</b></p>					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
<p>Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.</p>	<p>Anticipated Barrier</p>	<p>Strategy</p>	<p>Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring</p>	<p>Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy</p>	<p>Evaluation Tool</p>	
<p><b>2. Students scoring proficient in Reading.</b></p>	<p>1.1. Limited exposure to English Reading skills in a school setting.</p>	<p>1.1. Immersion into a print rich classroom environment</p>	<p>1.1. Guidance Counselor Instructional Literacy Coach</p>	<p>1.1. District EOQ Exams Formative Assessments</p>	<p>1.1. CELLA</p>	
<p><b>CELLA Goal #2:</b> <i>One out of three students scored at proficiency in Reading</i></p>	<p><u>2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading :</u></p>					
	<p><b>33%</b></p>					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3
Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
<b>3. Students scoring proficient in Writing.</b>	1.1. Limited exposure to English Writing skills in a school setting.	1.1. Immersion into a print rich classroom environment	1.1. Guidance Counselor Instructional Literacy Coach	1.1. District EOQ Exams Formative Assessments	1.1. CELLA	
<u>CELLA Goal #3:</u>  <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing :</u>					
	<b>33%</b>					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**CELLA Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>Subtotal:</b>			
<b>Total:</b>			

*End of CELLA Goals*

**Elementary School Mathematics Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

<b>Elementary Mathematics Goals</b>	<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<p><b>1a. FCAT 2.0:</b> Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.</p>	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.		
<p><u>Mathematics Goal #1a:</u>  <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance.*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance.*</u></p>					
	<p><i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i></p>	<p><i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i></p>					
		1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	
		1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	
<p><b>1b. Florida Alternate Assessment:</b> Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.</p>	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>Mathematics Goal #1b:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<b>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</b>	<b>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</b>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	
		1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in mathematics.</b>	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.		



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<u>Mathematics Goal #2a:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>							
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.
		2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3
<b>2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.</b>	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.		
<u>Mathematics Goal #2b:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>							

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	
		2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.</b>	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.		
<b>Mathematics Goal #3a:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	
		3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	
<b>3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.</b>	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.		
<u>Mathematics Goal #3b:</u> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance.*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance.*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

		3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>4a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.</b>	4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.		
<b>Mathematics Goal #4a:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	
		4a.3	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<p><b>4b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.</b></p>	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.		
<p><u>Mathematics Goal #4b:</u>  <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></p>					
	<p><i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i></p>	<p><i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i></p>					
		4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	
		4b.3	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	
<p>Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target</p>	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<p><b>5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.</b></p>	<p><b>Baseline data 2010-2011</b> <u>37%</u></p>						<p><u>37%</u></p>
<p><u>Mathematics Goal #5A:</u>  <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></p>							
<p>Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:</p>	<p>Anticipated Barrier</p>	<p>Strategy</p>	<p>Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring</p>	<p>Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy</p>	<p>Evaluation Tool</p>		
<p><b>5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.</b></p>	<p>5B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:</p>	<p>5B.1.</p>	<p>5B.1.</p>	<p>5B.1.</p>	<p>5B.1.</p>		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<u>Mathematics Goal #5B:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>							
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i> White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i> White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.</b>	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Mathematics Goal #5C:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>							
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.</b>	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>Mathematics Goal #5D:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<b>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</b>	<b>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</b>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.</b>	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<u>Mathematics Goal #5E:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance*</u>					
<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>							
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		5E.2.	5E.2	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
		5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	

*End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals*

**Middle School Mathematics Goals**

April 2012  
 Rule 6A-1.099811  
 Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

<b>Middle School Math ematics Goals</b>	<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.</b>	1a.1. Data indicates that Level 1 and 2 students have difficulty in answering questions that require higher order and multi-stepped thinking skills. Application, synthesis, and evaluation).	1a.1. Increase staff development to improve instructional strategies that incorporate the use of higher order questioning and understanding of text complexity.	1a.1. Tom Schwarm Latasha Bowens Lynn Guinta	1a.1. Continual implementation of Marzano Teacher Observations and Evaluations. Increased averages from developing stage to the application stage as defined by the Marzano rubrics associated with Domain 1 and Domain 2.	1a.1. Discovery Education/Discovery Education End of Quarter Exams Marzano Reports		
<u>Mathematics Goal #1a:</u> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<b>27% (171)</b>	<b>30%</b>					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

		1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	
		1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	
<b>1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.</b>							
<b>Mathematics Goal #1b:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>N/A</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	
		1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<p><b>2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in mathematics.</b></p>	<p>2a.1. Data indicates that Level 3 students have difficulty in answering questions that require higher order thinking skills. Application, synthesis, and evaluation).</p>	<p>2a.1. Increase staff development to improve instructional strategies that incorporate the use of higher order questioning and understanding of text complexity.</p>	<p>2a.1. Tom Schwarm Latasha Bowens Lynn Guinta</p>	<p>2a.1. Continual implementation of Marzano Teacher Observations and Evaluations. Increased averages from developing stage to the application stage as defined by the Marzano rubrics associated with Domain 1 and Domain 2.</p>	<p>2a.1. Discovery Education/Discovery Education End of Quarter Exams Marzano Reports</p>		
<p><b>Mathematics Goal #2a:</b>  <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></p>					
	<p><b>27%</b></p>	<p><b>33%</b></p>					
		<p>2a.2.</p>	<p>2a.2.</p>	<p>2a.2.</p>	<p>2a.2.</p>	<p>2a.2.</p>	
		<p>2a.3</p>	<p>2a.3</p>	<p>2a.3</p>	<p>2a.3</p>	<p>2a.3</p>	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<p><b>2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.</b></p>	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.		
<p><u>Mathematics Goal #2b:</u> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance.*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance.*</u></p>					
	<p><i>N/A</i></p>	<p><i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i></p>					
		2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	
		2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	
<p>Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:</p>	<p>Anticipated Barrier</p>	<p>Strategy</p>	<p>Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring</p>	<p>Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy</p>	<p>Evaluation Tool</p>		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<p><b>3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.</b></p>	<p>3a.1. Data indicates that students have difficulty in answering questions that require higher order thinking skills. Application, synthesis, and evaluation).</p>	<p>3a.1. Increase staff development to improve instructional strategies that incorporate the use of higher order questioning and understanding of text complexity.</p>	<p>3a.1. Tom Schwarm Latasha Bowens Lynn Guinta</p>	<p>3a.1. Continual implementation of Marzano Teacher Observations and Evaluations. Increased averages from developing stage to the application stage as defined by the Marzano rubrics associated with Domain 1 and Domain 2.</p>	<p>3a.1. Discovery Education/Discovery Education End of Quarter Exams Marzano Reports</p>		
<p><u>Mathematics Goal #3a:</u>  <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance.*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance.*</u></p>					
	<p><b>66%</b></p>	<p><b>70%</b></p>					
		<p>3a.2.</p>	<p>3a.2.</p>	<p>3a.2.</p>	<p>3a.2.</p>	<p>3a.2.</p>	
		<p>3a.3.</p>	<p>3a.3.</p>	<p>3a.3.</p>	<p>3a.3.</p>	<p>3a.3.</p>	
<p><b>3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.</b></p>	<p>3b.1.</p>	<p>3b.1.</p>	<p>3b.1.</p>	<p>3b.1.</p>	<p>3b.1.</p>		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>Mathematics Goal #3b:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<b>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</b>	<b>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</b>					
	<i>N/A</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	
		3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>4a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.</b>	4a.1. How to successfully meet the needs of low performing students while meeting the proficiency standards and benchmarks at students’ current grade level.	4a.1. Create new support model for ESE and Tier II Rtl students in the core content areas including social studies and science.	4a.1. Tom Schwarm Latasha Bowens	4a.1. Bi-weekly grade level team meetings with ESE and Reading teachers to review academic performance of low 25% cohort.	4a.1. Discovery Education End of Quarter Exams Course grade review Marzano Observation Results		



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Mathematics Goal #4a:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>							
	<b>57%</b>	<b>62%</b>					
		4a.2. Students entering middle school still lack fundamental basic math skills and math fluency.	4a.2. Create student and parent contracts that require students to master basic addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division math facts to increase fluency and automaticity	4a.2. Math Teachers	4a.2. Timed tests for basic math facts	4a.2. Quarterly reviews.	
		4a.3	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	
<b>4b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.</b>	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<u>Mathematics Goal #4b:</u> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>N/A</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	
		4b.3	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target	<b>2011-2012</b>	<b>2012-2013</b>	<b>2013-2014</b>	<b>2014-2015</b>	<b>2015-2016</b>	<b>2016-2017</b>	
<b>5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.</b>	<b>Baseline data 2010-2011</b> <u>37%</u>						
<u>Mathematics Goal #5A:</u> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>							

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.</b>	5B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.		
<u>Mathematics Goal #5B:</u>  <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i> White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i> White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.</b>	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		
<b>Mathematics Goal #5C:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.</b>	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		
<u>Mathematics Goal #5D:</u> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		5D.3	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.</b>	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		
<b>Mathematics Goal #5E:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance.*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance.*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		5E.2.	5E.2	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
		5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	

*End of Middle School Mathematics Goals*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

High School Mathematics	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<p><b>1. Florida Alternate Assessment:</b>  <b>Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.</b></p>	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
<p><b>Mathematics Goal #1:</b>  <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></p>					
	<p><i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i></p>	<p><i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i></p>					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
<p>Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:</p>	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<p><b>2. Florida Alternate Assessment:</b> Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.</p>	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
<p><b>Mathematics Goal #2:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></p>					
	<p><i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i></p>	<p><i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i></p>					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
<p>Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:</p>	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<p><b>3. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.</b></p>	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.		
<p><b>Mathematics Goal #3:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:</u>*</p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:</u>*</p>					
	<p><i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i></p>	<p><i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i></p>					
		3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	
		3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	
<p>Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:</p>	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>4. Florida Alternate Assessment:</b> Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.	4.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.		
	Mathematics Goal #4:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:</u> *	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:</u> *				
	<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>						
		<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>				
			4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	4.2.
		4.3.	4.3.	4.3.	4.3.	4.3.	

*End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals*

**Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

<b>Algebra EOC Goals</b>	<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>						

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra.</b>	1.1. Increase number of students enrolled in Algebra.	1.1. Identify students who scored a level 3 or higher on 7 <sup>th</sup> grade FCAT.	1.1. Math Dept. Chair Assistant Principal	1.1. Review test scores	1.1. FCAT		
<b>Algebra Goal #1:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance.*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance.*</u>					
	<b>51% (33)</b>	<b>48%</b>					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<p><b>2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Algebra.</b></p>	<p>2.1. Test data indicates students experience difficulty in answering questions that require high order thinking skills to solve complex word problems.</p>	<p>2.1. Provide staff development to teachers to improve teaching strategies to address specific benchmarks and standards that require students to apply higher order thinking skills to multi stepped word problems especially when solving for two variables.</p>	<p>2.1. Math Chair Person District Math Coordinator Principal</p>	<p>2.1. Classroom Formative Assessments Classroom observations</p>	<p>2.1. End of Quarter Exams</p>		
<p><u>Algebra Goal #2:</u>  <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></p>					
	<p><b>46% (30)</b></p>	<p><b>52%</b></p>					
		<p>2.2.</p>	<p>2.2.</p>	<p>2.2.</p>	<p>2.2.</p>	<p>2.2.</p>	
		<p>2.3</p>	<p>2.3</p>	<p>2.3</p>	<p>2.3</p>	<p>2.3</p>	
<p>Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target</p>	<p><b>2011-2012</b></p>	<p><b>2012-2013</b></p>	<p><b>2013-2014</b></p>	<p><b>2014-2015</b></p>	<p><b>2015-2016</b></p>	<p><b>2016-2017</b></p>	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.</b>	<b>Baseline data 2010-2011</b>						
<u>Algebra Goal #3A:</u>  <i>N/A.</i>							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.</b>	3B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<p><b>Algebra Goal #3B:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></p>	<p><b>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</b></p>	<p><b>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</b></p>					
	<p><i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i> White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:</p>	<p><i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i> White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:</p>					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	
<p>Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:</p>	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<p><b>3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.</b></p>	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.		
<p><b>Algebra Goal #3C:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></p>					
	<p><i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i></p>	<p><i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i></p>					
		3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
<p>Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:</p>	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.</b>	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
<b>Algebra Goal #3D:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<p><b>3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.</b></p>	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.		
<p><b>Algebra Goal #3E:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></p>					
	<p><i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i></p>	<p><i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i></p>					
		3E.2.	3E.2	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	
		3E.3	3E.3	3E.3	3E.3	3E.3	

*End of Algebra EOC Goals*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Geometry End-of-Course Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

<b>Geometry EOC Goals</b>	<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry.</b>	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>Geometry Goal #1:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<b>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</b>	<b>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</b>					
	N/A	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Geometry.</b>	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
<b>Geometry Goal #2:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<b>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</b>	<b>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</b>					
	N/A	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target	<b>2011-2012</b>	<b>2012-2013</b>	<b>2013-2014</b>	<b>2014-2015</b>	<b>2015-2016</b>	<b>2016-2017</b>	
<b>3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.</b>	<b>Baseline data 2010-2011</b> <i>N/A</i>						
<u>Geometry Goal #3A:</u>  <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<p><b>3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.</b></p>	<p>3B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:</p>	<p>3B.1.</p>	<p>3B.1.</p>	<p>3B.1.</p>	<p>3B.1.</p>		
<p><u>Geometry Goal #3B:</u> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></p>					
	<p><i>N/A</i>  White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:</p>	<p><i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i> White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:</p>					
		<p>3B.2.</p>	<p>3B.2.</p>	<p>3B.2.</p>	<p>3B.2.</p>	<p>3B.2.</p>	
		<p>3B.3.</p>	<p>3B.3.</p>	<p>3B.3.</p>	<p>3B.3.</p>	<p>3B.3.</p>	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.</b>	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.		
<b>Geometry Goal #3C:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance.*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance.*</u>					
	N/A	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.</b>	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
<b>Geometry Goal #3D:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance.*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance.*</u>					
	N/A	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.</b>	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.		
<b>Geometry Goal #3E:</b>  <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance.*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance.*</u>					
	N/A	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		3E.2.	3E.2	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	
		3E.3	3E.3	3E.3	3E.3	3E.3	

*End of Geometry EOC Goals*

**Mathematics Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b>  Please note that each						
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

**Mathematics Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Professional Development			

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
<b>Total:</b>			

*End of Mathematics Goals*

**Elementary and Middle School Science Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

<b>Elementary and Middle Science Goals</b>	<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>						
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science.</b>	1a.1. Recent FCAT data analysis indicates that nearly 63% of students enrolled in 8 <sup>th</sup> Grade Science below grade level reading proficiency.	1a.1. Redesign and implement new ESE and RtI Support Services for students who are not a grade level proficiency in reading.	1a.1. Principal Assistant Principal Instructional Literacy Coach	1a.1. Frequent monitoring of classroom support services provided by core teacher and ESE teacher Analysis of formative assessments	1a.1 Discovery Education Data FAIR Marzano Reports		
<b>Science Goal #1a:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<b>32%</b> <b>(53)</b>	<b>36%</b>					
		1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	
		1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<p><b>1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Level 4, 5, and 6 in science.</b></p>	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.		
<p>Science Goal #1b: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></p>					
	<p><i>N/A</i></p>	<p><i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i></p>					
		1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	
		1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	
<p>Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:</p>	<p>Anticipated Barrier</p>	<p>Strategy</p>	<p>Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring</p>	<p>Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy</p>	<p>Evaluation Tool</p>		

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<p><b>2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in science.</b></p>	<p>2a.1. FCAT data analysis indicates that students reading at or above grade level have difficulty correctly answering questions that require high orders thinking skills involving analysis, synthesis , and evaluation of data and text.</p>	<p>2a.1. Continue to provide staff development in order for teachers to understand the importance of text complexity and incorporation high order questioning strategies in the classroom.</p>	<p>2a.1. Principal Assistant Principal Instructional Literacy Coach</p>	<p>2a.1. Classroom observations</p>	<p>2a.1. Discovery Education Fair Marzano Observation Results Analysis</p>		
<p>Science Goal #2a: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></p>	<p><u>2013Expected Level of Performance:*</u></p>					
	<p><b>14% (23)</b></p>	<p><b>18%.</b></p>					
		<p>2a.2.</p>	<p>2a.2.</p>	<p>2a.2.</p>	<p>2a.2.</p>	<p>2a.2.</p>	
		<p>2a.3</p>	<p>2a.3</p>	<p>2a.3</p>	<p>2a.3</p>	<p>2a.3</p>	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.</b>	2b.1.	2b.1.	2.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.		
<u>Science Goal #2b:</u>  <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	N/A	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	
		2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	

*End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals*

**Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

<b>High School Science Goals</b>	<b>Problem-Solving Process to</b>						
----------------------------------	-----------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

	<b>Increase Student Achievement</b>						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Level 4, 5, and 6 in science.</b>	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
<b>Science Goal #1:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>N/A</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<p><b>2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.</b></p>	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
<p><u>Science Goal #2:</u> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></p>					
	N/A	<p><i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i></p>					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	

*End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals*

**Biology End-of-Course (EOC) Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

<p><b>Biology EOC Goals</b></p>	<p><b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b></p>						
---------------------------------	---	--	--	--	--	--	--

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Biology.</b>	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
<b>Biology Goal #1:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>N/A</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<p><b>2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology.</b></p>	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
<p><b>Biology Goal #2:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></p>					
	N/A	<p><i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i></p>					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	

*End of Biology EOC Goals*

**Science Professional Development**

<p><b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b></p>						
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content / Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

**Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>Subtotal:</b>			
<b>Total:</b>			

*End of Science Goals*

**Writing Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

<b>Writing Goals</b>	<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>1a. FCAT: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing.</b>	1a.1. With new test specifications that require students to edit and proof their timed writing piece, students will need necessary grammar and spelling skills to meet the new expectations to meet proficiency criteria.	1a.1. Staff Development for entire staff regarding writing and reading in the content areas. Special focused staff development for Language Arts teachers regarding timed writing with editing demands.	1a.1. Tom Schwarm Latasha Bowens Lynn Guinta	1a.1. Classroom observations Analysis of timed writing	1a.1. School Wide analysis of time writing exercises.		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<p><b>Writing Goal #1a:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></p>					
	<p><b>70% (127)</b></p>	<p><b>80%</b></p>					
		<p>1a.2.</p>	<p>1a.2.</p>	<p>1a.2.</p>	<p>1a.2.</p>	<p>1a.2.</p>	
		<p>1a.3.</p>	<p>1a.3.</p>	<p>1a.3.</p>	<p>1a.3.</p>	<p>1a.3.</p>	
<p><b>1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.</b></p>	<p>1b.1.</p>	<p>1b.1.</p>	<p>1b.1.</p>	<p>1b.1.</p>	<p>1b.1.</p>		
<p><b>Writing Goal #1b:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></p>					
	<p><b>N/A</b></p>	<p><i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i></p>					
		<p>1b.2.</p>	<p>1b.2.</p>	<p>1b.2.</p>	<p>1b.2.</p>	<p>1b.2.</p>	
		<p>1b.3.</p>	<p>1b.3.</p>	<p>1b.3.</p>	<p>1b.3.</p>	<p>1b.3.</p>	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

**Writing Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b> Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

**Writing Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
<b>Total:</b>			

*End of Writing Goals*

**Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

<b>Civics EOC Goals</b>	<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Civics.</b>	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
<b>Civics_Goal #1:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>N/A</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<p><b>2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Civics.</b></p>	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
<p><b>Civics Goal #2:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></p>					
	N/A	<p><i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i></p>					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	

**Civics Professional Development**

<p><b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b> Please note that each Strategy does not require a</p>						
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

**Civics Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>Total:</b>			
---------------	--	--	--

*End of Civics Goals*

**U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

<b>U.S. History EOC Goals</b>	<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History.</b>	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
<b>U.S. History_Goal #1:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

	<i>N/A</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in U.S. History.</b>	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
<u>U.S. History Goal #2:</u> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>N/A</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	

**U.S. History Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development</b>						
---------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<p><b>(PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b> Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.</p>							
	PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

**U.S. History Budget (Insert rows as needed)**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Professional Development			

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
<b>Total:</b>			

*End of U.S. History Goals*

**Attendance Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

<b>Attendance Goal(s)</b>	<b>Problem-solving Process to Increase Attendance</b>						
Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>1. Attendance</b>	1.1. Getting parents to understand the importance of good attendance and punctuality	1.1. Increase phone contact from teachers and staff.	1.1. Latasha Bowers	1.1. Weekly review of attendance rates.	1.1. Quarterly Attendance Reports		
<b>Attendance Goal #1:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Attendance Rate:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Attendance Rate:*</u>					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

	<b>94</b>	<b>96%</b>					
	<u>2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)</u>	<u>2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)</u>					
	<b>290</b>	<b>230</b>					
	<u>2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)</u>	<u>2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)</u>					
	<b>180</b>	<b>144</b>					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

<p><b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b> Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.</p>						
--	--	--	--	--	--	--



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

**Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
<b>Total:</b>			

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

*End of Attendance Goals*

**Suspension Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

<b>Suspension Goal(s)</b>	<b>Problem-solving Process to Decrease Suspension</b>						
Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>1. Suspension</b>	1.1. Consistent Classroom Management Plans	1.1. Positive Behavior System Capturing Kids' Hearts Mentoring RtI Meetings Social Contracts Increase Parent Contact	1.1. Tom Schwarm Latasha Bowen Diane Wheeler Millie-Turrinthe Jenkins	1.1. SWISS Data Review Classroom Observations	1.1. Quarterly Suspension Reports		
Suspension Goal #1: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Total Number of In-School Suspensions</u>	<u>2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions</u>					
	<b>366</b>	<b>275</b>					
	<u>2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School</u>	<u>2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In-School</u>					
	<b>163</b>	<b>123</b>					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

	<u>2012 Number of Out-of-School Suspensions</u>	<u>2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions</u>					
	217	<i>Enter numerical data for expected number of students suspended out-of-school</i>					
	<u>2012 Total Number of Students Suspended Out-of-School</u>	<u>2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out-of-School</u>					
	103	<i>Enter numerical data for expected number of students suspended out-of-school</i>					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

**Suspension Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b> Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
	PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

--	--	--	--	--	--	--

**Suspension Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
<b>Total:</b>			

*End of Suspension Goals*

**Dropout Prevention Goal(s)**

Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

April 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)	Problem-solving Process to Dropout Prevention						
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>1. Dropout Prevention</b> <u>Dropout Prevention Goal #1:</u> <i>*Please refer to the percentage of students who dropped out during the 2011-2012 school year.</i>	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Dropout Rate:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Dropout Rate:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for dropout rate in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected dropout rate in this box.</i>					
	<u>2012 Current Graduation Rate:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Graduation Rate:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for graduation rate in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected graduation rate in this box.</i>					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Dropout Prevention Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b> Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

**Dropout Prevention Budget (Insert rows as needed)**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
<b>Total:</b>			

*End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)*

**Parent Involvement Goal(s)**

**Upload Option-**For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section.

**Online Template-** For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

<b>Parent Involvement Goal(s)</b>	<b>Problem-solving Process to Parent</b>						
-----------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

	<b>Involvement</b>						
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>1. Parent Involvement</b> <u>Parent Involvement Goal #1:</u> <i>*Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.</i>	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current level of Parent Involvement:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected level of Parent Involvement:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of parent involvement in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of parent involvement in this box.</i>					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

**Parent Involvement Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional</b>						



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b> Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content / Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

**Parent Involvement Budget**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
<b>Total:</b>			

*End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)*

**Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

<b>STEM Goal(s)</b>	<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<p><b>STEM Goal #1:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></p>	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

**STEM Professional Development**

<p><b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b> Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.</p>						
<p>PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus</p>	<p>Grade Level/ Subject</p>	<p>PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader</p>	<p>PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)</p>	<p>Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)</p>	<p>Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring</p>	<p>Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring</p>

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**STEM Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
<b>Total:</b>			

*End of STEM Goal(s)*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

<b>CTE Goal(s)</b>	<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>CTE Goal #1:</b>  <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

**CTE Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with</b>						
---	--	--	--	--	--	--

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b> Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
	PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

**CTE Budget (Insert rows as needed)**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
<b>Total:</b>			

*End of CTE Goal(s)*

**Additional Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

<b>Additional Goal(s)</b>	<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>						
---------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>1. Additional Goal</b>	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
<b>Additional Goal #1:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level :*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level :*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current goal in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected goal in this box.</i>					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

**Additional Goals Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b> <small>Please note that each</small>							
---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

**Additional Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
<b>Total:</b>			

*End of Additional Goal(s)*

**Final Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.	
<b>Reading Budget</b>	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Mathematics Budget</b>	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Science Budget</b>	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Writing Budget</b>	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Attendance Budget</b>	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Suspension Budget</b>	<b>Total:</b>

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>Dropout Prevention Budget</b>	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Parent Involvement Budget</b>	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Additional Goals</b>	<b>Total:</b>
	<b>Grand Total:</b>

**Differentiated Accountability**

**School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance**

Please choose the school’s DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. double click the desired box; 2.when the menu pops up, select “checked” under “Default Value” header; 3. Select “OK”, this will place an “x” in the box.)

<b>School Differentiated Accountability Status</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> Priority	<input type="checkbox"/> Focus	<input type="checkbox"/> Prevent

- *Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the “Upload” page*

**School Advisory Council (SAC)**

*SAC Membership Compliance*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting “Yes” or “No” below.

- Yes       No

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.
Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.
The School Advisory Council will support the school by involving community resources to be present on campus. The SAC will also promote continuous education and learning opportunities for faculty and staff. This will include the areas of literacy instruction as well as others as voted on by the SAC.

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount