

Florida Department of Education



**DRAFT School Improvement Plan (SIP)
Form SIP-1**

Proposed for 2012-2013

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

School Information

School Name: Jacksonville Heights Elementary	District Name: Duval
Principal: Kimberly R. Harrison	Superintendent: Ed Pratt-Danals
SAC Chair: TBD	Date of School Board Approval:

Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

[School Grades Trend Data](#) (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

[Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test \(FCAT\)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data](#) (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

[High School Feedback Report](#)

[K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan](#)

Administrators

List your school’s administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
----------	------	--------------------------------	---	---	---

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Principal	Kimberly Harrison	<p>BA Elementary Education</p> <p>Master's Degree: Educational Leadership</p> <p>Gifted Endorsed</p> <p>Principal Level I Certification</p> <p>Florida Certification in Middle Grades Science 5th-9th and Educational Leadership</p>	1	12	<p><u>Timucuan Elementary</u></p> <p>2005-6 Grade B (403) AYP</p> <p>Reading Proficiency 80% Math Proficiency 64%</p> <p>Writing Proficiency 66% Gains Reading 65%</p> <p>Gains Math 67% Bottom Quartile Reading 61%</p> <p>2006-7 Grade C (437) AYP</p> <p>Reading Proficiency 69% Math Proficiency 62%</p> <p>Writing Proficiency 73% Science Proficiency 21%</p> <p>Gains Reading 65% Gains Math 57%</p> <p>Bottom Quartile Reading 48%</p> <p>Bottom Quartile Math 43%</p> <p>2007-8 Grade A (545) AYP 100%</p> <p>Reading Proficiency 77% Math Proficiency 63%</p> <p>Writing Proficiency 76% Science Proficiency 40%</p> <p>Gains Reading 71% Gains Math 66%</p> <p>Bottom Quartile Reading 67%</p> <p>Bottom Quartile Math 85%</p> <p>2008-9 Grade A (526) AYP 100%</p> <p>Reading Proficiency 76% Math Proficiency 74%</p> <p>Writing Proficiency 80% Science Proficiency 38%</p> <p>Gains Reading 70% Gains Math 65%</p>
-----------	-------------------	--	---	----	---

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

					<p>Bottom Quartile Reading 70% Bottom Quartile Math 53%</p> <p><u>Tolbert Elementary</u></p> <p>2009-10 Grade B (499) AYP 87%</p> <p>(AYP Criteria Not Met: African American/ SES)</p> <p>Reading Proficiency 69% Math Proficiency 67% Writing Proficiency 79% Science Proficiency 60% Gains Reading 61% Gains Math 57%</p> <p>Bottom Quartile Reading 50% Bottom Quartile Math 56%</p> <p>2010-11 Grade D (430) AYP 82%</p> <p>(AYP Criteria Not Met: African American/ SES)</p> <p>Reading Proficiency 65% Math Proficiency 68% Writing Proficiency 67% Science Proficiency 43 % Gains Reading 41% Gains Math 44%</p> <p>Bottom Quartile Reading 41% Bottom Quartile Math 44%</p> <p>2011-12 Grade C (475)</p> <p>Reading Proficiency 56% Math Proficiency 54% Writing Proficiency 74% Science Proficiency 50% Gains Reading 66% Gains Math 62%</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

					Bottom Quartile Reading 60%
					Bottom Quartile Math 53%
Assistant Principal	Jamie S. Johnson	M.A. Educational Leadership, State of Florida Certification : Principal K-12, Elementary Ed. 1-6, Varying Exceptionalities K-12. M.S. Special Education, University of South Florida. B.S. Elementary Ed. – USF.	1	14	<p>2011-2012 Principal, Crystal Springs Elementary- School Grade C</p> <p>2010-2011 Principal, Crystal Springs Elementary- School Grade C</p> <p>2009-2010 Principal. Crystal Springs Elementary – School Grade B</p> <p>2004-2009 Principal, Pride Elementary- Tampa, Fl. School Grade A for 5 consecutive years. AYP met for all 5 years.</p> <p>2000-2004 Assistant Principal- Pride Elementary-Tampa, Fl. School Grade A for 4 consecutive years. AYP met all 4 years.</p> <p>1999-2000 Hunter’s Green Elementary School- Tampa, Fl. School Grade A- AYP met in all areas.</p>

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Instructional Coaches

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
--------------	------	-----------------------------	-----------------------------------	---	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Reading	Kelli Bailey	BA Elementary Education Master's Degree Reading National Board Certified Teacher	0	2	<p><u>Tolbert Elementary</u></p> <p>2009-10 Grade B (499) AYP 87%</p> <p>(AYP Criteria Not Met: African American/ SES)</p> <p>Reading Proficiency 69% Math Proficiency 67%</p> <p>Writing Proficiency 79% Science Proficiency 60%</p> <p>Gains Reading 61% Gains Math 57%</p> <p>Bottom Quartile Reading 50%</p> <p>Bottom Quartile Math 56%</p> <p>2010-11 Grade D (430) AYP 82%</p> <p>(AYP Criteria Not Met: African American/ SES)</p> <p>Reading Proficiency 65% Math Proficiency 68%</p> <p>Writing Proficiency 67% Science Proficiency 43 %</p> <p>Gains Reading 41% Gains Math 44%</p> <p>Bottom Quartile Reading 41%</p> <p>Bottom Quartile Math 44%</p> <p>2011-12 Grade C (475)</p> <p>Reading Proficiency 56% Math Proficiency 54%</p> <p>Writing Proficiency 74% Science Proficiency 50%</p> <p>Gains Reading 66% Gains Math 62%</p> <p>Bottom Quartile Reading 60%</p> <p>Bottom Quartile Math 53%</p>
---------	--------------	--	---	---	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Math	Angie Reier	BA Elementary Education Master's Degree Educational Leadership National Board Certified Teacher	0	0	<p><u>Chaffee Trails Elementary</u></p> <p>2010-11 Grade (A/597)</p> <p>Reading Proficiency 85 % Math Proficiency 88 %</p> <p>Writing Proficiency 85% Science Proficiency 66%</p> <p>Gains Reading 69% Gains Math 60%</p> <p>Bottom Quartile Reading 68%</p> <p>Bottom Quartile Math 76%</p> <p>2011-12 Grade (B/495)</p> <p>Reading Proficiency 65% Math Proficiency 66%</p> <p>Writing Proficiency 81% Science Proficiency 54%</p> <p>Gains Reading 54% Gains Math 66 %</p> <p>Bottom Quartile Reading 76 %</p> <p>Bottom Quartile Math 68%</p>
------	-------------	---	---	---	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Reading	Eden Payton	BA Elementary Education Master’s Degree Reading National Board Certified Teacher	0	3	<p><u>Timucuan Elementary</u></p> <p>2009-10 Grade C (477) AYP 74%</p> <p>Reading Proficiency 61% Math Proficiency 74%</p> <p>Writing Proficiency 84% Science Proficiency 30 %</p> <p>Gains Reading 59% Gains Math 56%</p> <p>Bottom Quartile Reading 58 %</p> <p>Bottom Quartile Math 67%</p> <p>2010-11 Grade C (461) AYP 95%</p> <p>Reading Proficiency 63% Math Proficiency 62%</p> <p>Writing Proficiency 60% Science Proficiency 23%</p> <p>Gains Reading 53% Gains Math 72%</p> <p>Bottom Quartile Reading 42%</p> <p>Bottom Quartile Math 74%</p> <p><u>Tolbert Elementary</u></p> <p>2011-12 Grade C (475)</p> <p>Reading Proficiency 56% Math Proficiency 54%</p> <p>Writing Proficiency 74% Science Proficiency 50%</p> <p>Gains Reading 66% Gains Math 62%</p> <p>Bottom Quartile Reading 60%</p> <p>Bottom Quartile Math 53%</p>
---------	-------------	--	---	---	--

Effective and Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date
1. Establish interview teams to screen potential candidates	Kimberly Harrison	8/14/12
2. Identify quality applicants using HR computer system	Kimberly Harrison	8/14/12
3. Teacher Buddy/ Mentor System	Kimberly Harrison	6/1/13
4. Jacksonville Heights Induction Program Meetings	Kimberly Harrison	10/28/12
5. Professional Development Sessions in Reading, Writing, Math, Science, and CHAMPs	Kelli Bailey, Angie Reier,	6/1/13
6. Complete District Level MINT Training and Programs Example: Math 101, Literacy 101, Science 101	District Instructors/ Schultz Center Instructors	7/12-5/13

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).
 *When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
TBD	TBD

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Total number of Instructional Staff	% of first-year teachers	% of teachers with 1-5 years of experience	% of teachers with 6-14 years of experience	% of teachers with 15+ years of experience	% of teachers with Advanced Degrees	% of teachers with Effective Rating or higher	% of Reading Endorsed Teachers	% of National Board Certified Teachers	% of ESOL Endorsed Teachers
-------------------------------------	--------------------------	--	---	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------------	--	-----------------------------

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

						gh er			
84	4.7 6% (4)	23.8 1% (20)	38.1 0% (32)	33.3 3% (28)	34. 52 % (29)	TB D	1. 19 % (1)	3.5 % (3)	40. 4% (34)

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school’s teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Monifa Campbell	Hallie Tannous	New Teacher paired with an experienced 3 rd grade teacher. Mrs. Campbell has shown successful progress	Conferencing/ Modeling lessons in 3 rd grade literacy/ Elements of a Standards Based Classroom Discussions and Modeling / CET Observations w/ feedback/ Professional Literature Discussions

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Paula Crosby	Clinton Weinberg	New Teacher paired with experienced ESE teacher	Conferencing/ Lesson Planning/ Curriculum Support/ Behavior Tools Support/ Lesson Modeling/ CET Observations w/ feedback/ Professional Literature Discussions
Anna Phillips	Tanee' Cunningam	New teacher paired with and experienced literacy teacher with ESE experience for inclusion	Conferencing/ Lesson Planning/ Curriculum Support/ Behavior Tools Support/ Lesson Modeling/ CET Observations w/ feedback/ Professional Literature Discussions

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Cristina Van Gaasbeek	Darlene Seckman	New to teaching the 4 th /5 th grade STAR Program	Data review sessions/ STAR reading program implementation/ Conferencing/ Lesson Planning/ Curriculum Support/ Behavior Tools Support/ Lesson Modeling/ CET Observations w/ feedback/ Professional Literature Discussions/ CHAMPs implementation support
-----------------------	-----------------	---	---

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Additional Requirements

Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A
Title I funding has been used to purchase personnel for additional coaches in the area of reading and mathematics. Title I funds will also be utilized to purchase professional development materials and student resources, Technology for classroom use in the form of iPad carts will also be purchased. Parental Involvement funds will be utilized to purchase supplies, materials, and programs to enhance family education nights. Food items for parents may also be purchased to encourage and promote attendance.
Title I, Part C- Migrant
N/A
Title I, Part D
N/A
Title II
N/A
Title III
The district utilizes these funds to provide services to the ELL students and families. Transact program is available for use to translate written documents for parents/guardians. A paraprofessional is provided for Spanish speaking students, due to the higher enrollment.
Title X- Homeless
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)
The allocation of SAI funds to the school are used for after school tutoring programs for high needs students based on FCAT outcomes.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Violence Prevention Programs
The school's Foundations Team and faculty are implementing the C.H.A.M.P.s curriculum and the Second Step anti-bullying program. Students will also participate in the Stop Bullying Now program. Lesson plans are shared with all teachers which align with the Character Education curriculum. The Superintendent's Book of the Month and Project Wisdom are utilized at the school.
Nutrition Programs
Housing Programs
Head Start
Adult Education
Jacksonville Heights Elementary serves as an evening Community Education site.
Career and Technical Education
Job Training
Other
Funding is provided through the SELF (Social Emotional Learning Framework) grant to support an additional guidance counselor position and a part-time school social worker and psychologist.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Kimberly Harrison, Principal & Jamie Johnson, Assistant Principal

Provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision-making. Ensures the school-based team is implementing RtI, conducts assessment of RtI skills of school staff, ensures implementation of intervention support and documentation, ensures adequate professional development to support RtI implementation, and communicates with parents regarding school-based RtI plans and activities.

Kelli Bailey, Reading Coach/ Nationally Board Certified Teacher

Eden Payton, Reading Coach/ Nationally Board Certified Teacher

Assists the principal in providing a common vision for the use of data-based decision-making, ensures that the school-based team is implementing RtI, conducts assessment of RtI skills of school staff, ensures implementation of intervention support and documentation, ensures adequate professional development to support RtI implementation, and communicates with parents regarding school-based RtI plans and activities. Provides information about school wide and class wide behavior curriculum and instruction; participates in behavioral data collection; provides professional development principles of Foundations to faculty and staff; and collaborates with staff to implement behavior interventions.

Allison Ossorio, 1st Grade, General Education Teacher/ Bachelors in Communication/Elementary Education Certificate

Janet Weise, 3rd grade General Education Literacy Teacher/ Master's Degree In Reading /Nationally Board Certified Teacher

C. Searcy, 5th grade, General Education Literacy Teacher/ Bachelor's Degree in Elementary Education

Develops, leads, and evaluates school core content standards/ programs for literacy; identifies and analyzes existing literature on scientifically based curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention approaches for literacy.

Identifies systematic patterns of student need while working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies; assists with whole school screening programs that provide early intervening services for children to be considered "at risk;" assists in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; participates in the design and delivery of professional development; and provides support for assessment and implementation monitoring.

August 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Angie Reier, Math Coach/ Master's Degree in Educational Leadership/ Nationally Board Certified Teacher

Develop, lead, and evaluate school core content standards/ programs for mathematics; identifies and analyzes existing literature on scientifically based curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention approaches for math.

Identifies systematic patterns of student need while working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies; assists with whole school screening programs that provide early intervening services for children to be considered "at risk;" assists in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; participates in the design and delivery of professional development; and provides support for assessment and implementation monitoring.

Amy Martinenza, 1st Grade Teacher/ Bachelors Accounting/Elementary K-6 Certificate

Stacy Oglesby/ 4th Grade Math Teacher Bachelors Elementary Education/ESOL Certified

Dinnetra Lott, 5th Grade Math Teacher Bachelors Early Education & Elementary Education

Provides information about core instruction, participates in student data collection, delivers Tier 1 instruction/intervention, collaborates with other staff to implement Tier 2 interventions, and integrates Tier 1 materials/instruction with Tier 2/3 activities. These individuals will assist in the design and implementation of progress monitoring, collect and analyze data, contribute to the development of intervention plans.

Millie Sierra & Kristen Sands, Guidance Counselors

Provides quality services and expertise on issues ranging from program design to assessment and intervention with individual students; link community agencies to schools and families to support the child's academic, emotional, behavioral, and social success; provides consultation services to general and special education teachers, parents, and administrators; provides group and individual student interventions; and conducts direct observation of student behavior. Contacts the behavior interventionist, as needed, for additional support and plan development.

Gayle Murphy & Dennis McEntire, ESE teachers

Participates in student data collection, integrates core instructional activities/materials into Tier 2/3 instruction, and collaborates with general education teachers through such activities as co-teaching.

August 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Darlene Knight , Reading Interventionist/ Reading Recovery Teacher

Nancy Waller, Math Interventionist/ Bachelor's Degree in Elementary Education

Participates in student data collection, integrates core instructional activities/materials into Tier 2/3 instruction, and collaborates with general education teachers through such activities as co-teaching and modeling. Facilitates Tier III individual or small group instruction . implement Tier 3 and provides professional development and technical assistance

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The school-based MTSS Leadership Team will meet on designated dates, to review universal screening data, diagnostic data and progress monitoring data. Based on this information, the team will identify the professional development activities needed to create and enhance effective learning environments. Once effective core instruction (Tier 1) is in place then the team will identify students who are not meeting identified academic targets. The identified students will be referred to the Jacksonville Heights MTSS Team.

This team will focus on the following four questions:

1. What do we expect the students to learn?
2. How do we know they have or have not learned what was expected?
3. What will we do when they do or don't learn?
4. What evidence do we have to support our answers to the three previous questions?

The Jacksonville Heights MTSS Team will use the Florida Problem Solving Model to conduct all meetings. Based on data and discussion, the team will identify students who are in need of additional academic and/or behavioral support (supplemental or intensive). An intervention plan will be developed which identifies a student's specific areas of deficiencies and appropriate research-based interventions to address these deficiencies. The team will ensure the necessary resources are available and the intervention is implemented with fidelity. Each case will be assigned a case liaison to support the interventionist (e.g., teacher, Interventionist /Inclusion Facilitator, guidance counselor) and report back on all data collected for further discussion at future meetings. Appropriate external and internal interventions will be established to meet the needs of all identified students. Professional development for staff will be centered around best practices for implementing these interventions.

Problem Solving Model

The four steps of the Problem Solving Model are:

Problem Identification entails identifying the problem and the desired behavior for the student.

Problem Analysis involves analyzing why the problem is occurring by collecting data to determine possible causes of the identified problem.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Intervention Design & Implementation involves selecting or developing evidence-based interventions based upon data previously collected. These interventions are then implemented.

Evaluating is also termed Response-to-Intervention. In this step, the effectiveness of a student's or group of students' response to the implemented intervention is evaluated and measured.

The problem solving process is self-correcting, and, if necessary, recycles in order to achieve the best outcomes for all students. This process is strongly supported by IDEA. Specifically, both legislative actions support all students achieving benchmarks regardless of their status in general or special education.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The Jacksonville Heights MTSS team oversees the creation and implementation of the School Improvement Plan. They lead the faculty in reviewing data and work with the Leadership Team, Grade Level Teams, Foundations Team, and Shared Decision Making Team in drafting the SIP. The SIP is approved, regularly reviewed and updated by all teams and the School Advisory Council.

The Jacksonville Heights SIP is the guiding document for the work of the school. The plan will be regularly reviewed and updated as the school population of students change. This plan includes formal review procedures which demonstrates how Jacksonville Heights has utilized the MTSS process to analyze data and make necessary informed changes positively impact student achievement.

MTSS Implementation

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Baseline data:

- 2011-12 Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test Scores (FCAT)
- SDRT & SDMT , if applicable
- Florida Assessment for Instruction in Reading (FAIR)/ Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN)
- Duval County Reading, Math , & Science Benchmarks/ Progress Monitoring Assessments
- Duval County Prompt Writing Assessments (45 minutes)
- Duval County Math/Science Formatives
- District Math Pre-Test
- Calendar Math Assessments (4 per year)
- Diagnostic Reading Assessment (DRA2)/ Word Analysis, if needed
- Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA)
- Discipline Data/ SESIR data (Genesis Reports)
- Promotion/ Retention Rate/ Overage Student Report
- Absentee/ Tardy Data
- Florida Alternate Assessment, if applicable

Midyear data:

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

- Florida Assessment for Instruction in Reading (FAIR) / Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN) for all students in K-5th.
- Diagnostic Reading Assessment (DRA2) for students performing below grade level
- Duval County Reading, Math & Science Benchmarks/ Progress Monitoring Assessments
- Duval County Prompt Writing Assessments (45 Minutes)
- Duval County Core Curriculum Assessments
- Calendar Math (two mid-year assessments)

End of year data:

- Florida Assessment for Instruction in Reading (FAIR) / Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN)
- Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT) 2.0 in Reading, Math, & Science
- Florida Writes
- District Math Post- Test
- Diagnostic Reading Assessment (DRA2) Final Assessment
- Student Portfolios/ work collections for Reading and Math
- SAT 9 or SAT 10 (Only 3rd grade, if applicable)
- Florida Alternate Assessment, if applicable

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

The established MTSS team will continue to attend training provided by the district during the school year. The information will be shared with the faculty and staff through large group and small group sessions during faculty meetings, early dismissal trainings and team (i.e. grade level, content area, vertical teams) meetings. Training will include review of: Problem Solving Model – Consensus building – Positive Behavioral Interventions and Support. More in depth training will cover the following: Data-based planning and graphing data. The book, *What Really Matters in Response to Intervention*, will be used for a study on interventions for students.

RtI learning will also occur during the following:

- Professional Learning Communities
- Classroom Observations
- Collaborative Planning
- Analysis of Student Work
- Book Study

Individual professional development will be provided to classroom teachers, as needed

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

The math interventionist, reading interventionist, and guidance counselors will oversee the process and data collection. Meeting will be conducted monthly to analyze data and review student records to review support. Data collections will be reviewed by the RtI team and district personnel to determine effectiveness of instruction.

The principal and assistant principal will monitor and intervene in the process, as needed.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Kimberly Harrison – Principal

Jamie Johnson – Assistant Principal

Kelli Bailey- Reading Coach

Eden Payton- Reading Coach

Tracy Schans – Kindergarten

Aida Oliver– 1st Grade

Kimberly Booker- 2nd Grade

Jan Weise – 3rd Grade

Maria LeStrange – 4th Grade

Cynthia Searcy- 5th Grade

Gayle Murphy – ESE

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

Literacy Lead teachers will attend all district training with the expectation to bring the training to staff members. The Literacy Leadership Team (LLT) is participating in the School Team Leadership program at the Schultz Center. They will bring information and techniques back to the entire faculty for the Continuous Improvement Model. The LLT will meet monthly. They will identify strengths and needs on specified grade levels. They will assist with the planning and implementation of professional development. They will also formulate plans to address the implementation of targeted reading goals and address the instructional rigor in our reading curriculum.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

Promoting the Read it Forward Jax Initiative

Monitoring the One Million Word Campaign and providing student incentives for students meeting their reading goals each quarter

Analyzing the Complexity of Questioning in Classroom Instruction

Improving Classroom Libraries & Exploring texts to purchase for support with Common Core Instruction and Lesson Planning

Implementing the proper use of Text Complexity

In Depth Analysis of Data (Interpretation of FAIR, Benchmarks, DRA2)

Public School Choice

- **Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification**

Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

****Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition***

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

Students enrolling in kindergarten are assessed using a kindergarten entry assessment to determine students' prior knowledge and experience with letters, letter sounds, reading skills, colors, numbers, and counting.

Data is collected from the pre-kindergarten center each student attended upon enrollment.

All kindergarten students are administered FLKRS (Florida Kindergarten Readiness Screening) and the FAIR Assessment to identify the individual needs of each student and provides reliable data to support the efforts of the pre-kindergarten program.

Partnerships and communication plans are established with facilities supplying high kindergarten enrollment for Jacksonville Heights Elementary.

****Grades 6-12 Only*** Sec. 1003.413 (2)(b) F.S

For schools with grades 6-12, how does the school ensure that every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student?

N/A

****High Schools Only***

Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(2)(g), (2)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

N/A

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

August 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

N/A

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the [High School Feedback Report](#).

N/A

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Reading Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<p>1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading.</p>	<p>1.1 Many teachers are new to their grade level and unfamiliar with standards and Common Core Standards.</p>	<p>1.1 Provide professional development on standards and best practices. Professional Development will be delivered on Early Dismissal Days and specific training days incorporated in our School wide Professional Development Plan. Allow novice teachers the opportunity to observe master teachers on their grade level. Attend professional development training offered by the district.</p>	<p>1.1 Reading Coach Principal Assistant Principal Lead Literacy Teachers Leadership Team</p>	<p>1.1 Observe and provide feedback on reading. instruction and best practices Feedback from teachers on professional development Monitor instructional effectiveness through teacher observations. (CAST)</p>	<p>1.1 Observation Instruments Running Records DRA2 Professional Development Surveys Monitoring of Lesson Plans Reading Benchmark Assessments Progress Monitoring Assessments FAIR Data</p>		
---	---	---	--	---	---	--	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<u>Reading Goal #1A:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
<p>In grades 3rd-5th, 24% of students achieved a Level 3 on the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Reading Assessment.</p> <p>On the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Reading Assessment 47% of students will score a Level 3.</p>							
	<p>37%</p> <p>(161)</p>	<p>47%</p> <p>(170)</p>					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		1.2 Implementation with fidelity of the Reader's Workshop Model	1.2 Clearly define "core" expectations through development of a "non-negotiables" for literacy through the school based design team. (the Design Team is comprised of a representative from every grade level) Strengthen core reading instruction through the use of reading data, Houghton Mifflin core reading series, Common Core Standards, and district learning schedules. Implement Reader's Workshop, Quality, Authentic Learning Centers, and Guided Reading Instruction. Set the standard for students to read one million words and establish the Superintendent's and Principal's Book of the Month program to encourage students to develop a love of reading.	1.2 Principal Assistant Principal Design Team Members Reading Coaches Leadership Team Classroom Teachers	1.2 Classroom observations by coaches and administration Modeled lessons and feedback sessions Student data assessment reviews Celebrate students meeting their reading goals each quarter.	1.2 Reading Lesson Plans Observation Instruments FAIR Data Reading Benchmark Data Progress Monitoring Assessments One Million Word Data Counts each quarter Guided Reading data/ Lesson Plans	
--	--	--	---	--	---	--	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		<p>I.3</p> <p>More professional development is needed on how to analyze data and use data to effectively plan instruction.</p>	<p>I.3</p> <p>Review FAIR data reports and DRA2 data with teachers by grade levels/ teams.</p> <p>Use data from assessments , including district benchmarks to determine students' needs and differentiate instructional practices.</p> <p>Implement guided reading instruction and literature circles.</p> <p>Identify level 2 students (bubble) students & students in RtI for tutoring sessions.</p>	<p>I.3</p> <p>Principal</p> <p>Assistant Principal</p> <p>Reading Coaches</p> <p>Reading Interventionist</p> <p>Leadership Team</p> <p>Technology Lead Teachers</p> <p>RtI Team</p>	<p>I.3</p> <p>Review teachers' data notebooks</p> <p>Review FAIR data reports with teachers and grade levels</p> <p>Monitor student growth and progress on winter and spring FAIR assessments as compared to the Fall Assessment</p> <p>Analyze Tier I (Core Instruction) for fidelity</p> <p>Conduct data meetings with the Principal/ Assistant Principal/ Reading Coach</p> <p>Train teachers to utilize Pearson Inform & Insight to analyze data and design focus groups</p>	<p>I.3</p> <p>FAIR Data Reports</p> <p>Reading Benchmark Data</p> <p>FCAT Data</p> <p>FAIR Data Reports</p> <p>DRA2</p> <p>Lesson Plans</p> <p>Guided Reading Plans</p> <p>Data Assessment Notebooks</p> <p>Classroom Observation tools / CAST Domains</p> <p>Quarterly Data Meetings with teachers</p>	
<p>1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.</p>	<p>1B.1.</p>	<p>1B.1.</p>	<p>1B.1.</p>	<p>1B.1.</p>	<p>1B.1.</p>		

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<u>Reading Goal #1B:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Currently, no students are administered the FAA. The FAA was not administered during the 2011-12 school year.							
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<p>2A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 in reading.</p>	<p>2.1 Lack of knowledge and professional development for correct implementation of Guided Reading and Literature Circles, as well as best practices, to meet the instructional needs of higher performing readers.</p>	<p>2.1 Teachers plan quality Guided Reading Instruction using appropriate resources and texts in order to challenge higher-level readers. Teachers are provided with professional development opportunities to broaden pedagogy, increase rigor of learning tasks, and higher order questioning techniques.</p>	<p>2.1 Principal Assistant Principal Reading Coaches Leadership Team Classroom Teachers</p>	<p>2.1 Observe instruction and provide teachers with feedback. Analyze data from benchmark assessments Utilize the Cluster Literacy Coach as a resource to determine materials and resources needed to meet students' needs.</p>	<p>2.1 Classroom Observations CAST Domain Observation Tools Professional Development Surveys FAIR data 2013 FCAT scores</p>		

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<u>Reading Goal #2A:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
<p>In grades 3rd-5th, 13% of students achieved at or above a Level 4 on the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Reading Assessment.</p> <p>On the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Reading Assessment 20% of students will score at or above a Level 4.</p>							
	<p>13%</p> <p>(57/ 438)</p>	<p>20%</p> <p>(72/362)</p>					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		<p>2.2 Teachers need additional professional development on differentiating instruction to meet the needs of high performing students.</p>	<p>2.2 Provide professional development on how to differentiate instruction based on data and on increasing rigor and improving questioning to promote more critical thinking. Review and analyze FCAT 2.0 data and data from district Reading Benchmark to determine students' needs and to plan for quality instruction. Increase classroom libraries for text support on appropriate reading levels and provide various genres to support comparative text for Common Core Standards instruction. Provide professional development for teachers on text complexity. Increase availability of non-fiction text.</p>	<p>2.2 Reading Coach Classroom Teacher Principal Assistant Principal</p>	<p>2.2 Review data and compare benchmark results for Fall/ Winter/ Spring. Determine individual student needs and appropriate core instructional vs. enrichment and extension activities and tasks. Implement literature circles, author studies, and genre studies.</p>	<p>2.2 Reading Benchmark Formal/ Informal Assessment Data Inform data Observations/ CAST FAIR Data/ Comparison Reports for Assessments DRA2 Data/ Evidence FCAT Gains</p>	
--	--	--	---	---	--	---	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		<p>2.3 Teachers need additional professional development on implementing independent reading, guided reading and providing authentic and appropriate reading centers.</p> <p>Classroom libraries need to be updated to include a larger quantity of texts that appeal to the interests of students. The classroom library should contain texts meeting the range of independent reading levels represented in the classroom. Non-fiction texts should represent a significant portion of the texts in the</p>	<p>2.3 Form Literature Circles to engage students in critical thinking, inferring and the inquiry process.</p> <p>Provide professional development on implementing quality literacy centers.</p> <p>Take inventory and determine what texts are needed to enrich and expand classroom libraries</p> <p>Provide professional development for teachers and families on how to select a “just right book.”</p>	<p>2.3 Principal</p> <p>Assistant Principal</p> <p>Reading Coaches</p> <p>Leadership Team</p> <p>Classroom Teachers</p>	<p>2.3 Document student growth with high level reading comprehension skills.</p>	<p>2.3 Reading Assessment Data</p> <p>Professional Development Surveys</p>	
--	--	---	---	---	--	--	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		classroom library.					
2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in reading.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		
Reading Goal #2B:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Currently, no students are administered the FAA. The FAA was not administered during the 2011-12 school year.							
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
---	---------------------	----------	--	--	-----------------	--	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<p>3A. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading.</p>	<p>3.1. Teachers lack skill to differentiate instruction to meet every child's learning needs.</p>	<p>3.1. Identify reporting categories and benchmarks with low performance and create instructional focus calendars.</p> <p>Utilize the Florida Achieves website to provide assessments for identified reporting categories and benchmarks.</p> <p>Design PMA's, scrimmages, and mini-assessments to monitor student progress.</p> <p>Provide Professional Development in the scaffolding</p>	<p>3.1. Standards Coach</p> <p>Design & Leadership Team</p>	<p>3.1. Utilize the FCIM process and Florida Achieves Assessments .</p> <p>Create and monitor Instructional Focus Calendars through the leadership team, content, and grade levels.</p> <p>Document and evaluate student growth and performance.</p> <p>Teacher observations conducted by administration.</p>	<p>3.1. Classroom Observations</p> <p>Data review</p> <p>Student assessment data</p> <p>Bi-monthly Data meetings with Principal/ Coaches</p> <p>FCAT Data</p> <p>Florida Achieves Data Results</p>		
--	---	---	--	--	---	--	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		instruction to allow students to comprehend grade level text.					
<u>Reading Goal #3A:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Increase learning gains by students in 4 th & 5 th grade from 67% in 2011-12 to 70% in 2012-13 on the FCAT Reading Assessment.							
	67% (207/ 309)	70% (169/241)					
		*Please note there has been a decrease in enrollment					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		<p>3.2.</p> <p>Lack of access to various author study texts</p>	<p>3.2.</p> <p>Implement Author Studies to engage students in authentic literacy tasks.</p>	<p>3.2.</p> <p>Classroom Teacher/ Leadership Team/ Principal</p>	<p>3.2.</p> <p>Documentation of students' growth and progress on authentic literacy tasks.</p>	<p>3.2.</p> <p>Reading Benchmark Assessment</p>	
		<p>3A.3.</p> <p>Lack of implementation of guided reading daily with fidelity.</p>	<p>3A.3.</p> <p>Provide professional development for teachers ,model lessons, and review student data frequently.</p> <p>Monitor the use of running records and Word Analysis to assess success of guided reading instruction.</p>	<p>3A.3.</p> <p>Reading coaches District Support Staff School Administration</p>	<p>3A.3.</p> <p>Evidence of guided reading lesson plans Teacher anecdotal and observation notes. Student progress noted through running records.</p>	<p>3A.3.</p> <p>Guided Reading Lesson Plan Review Data Meetings Reading Assessments (formal & informal)</p>	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
---	---------------------	----------	--	---	-----------------	--	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<p>4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.</p>	<p>4.1 Lack of student motivation for reading, resources, and parental support to encourage reading at home.</p>	<p>4.1 Identify students in 1st quartile and positively encourage reading. Parent training sessions during Family Nights designed to assist parents with at-home reading activities. Expose students' to a variety of genres, authors and topics to appeal to their interests. Encourage a love of reading by allowing student choice in selecting texts. Provide quarterly incentives for students meeting their reading goals and an end of the year celebration for students to celebrate</p>	<p>4.1 Classroom teachers Leadership Team Family Night Presentations by Teachers/ Facilitators LLT team for planning student incentives for reading Administration</p>	<p>4.1 Chart student goals and progress Monitor student and classroom progress each quarter. Number of students meeting their reading goal each week should increase each quarter.</p>	<p>4.1 Classroom Observations (CAST) Analyze the number of students meeting their reading goals each quarter.</p>		
---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		<p>meeting their reading goal.</p> <p>Establish Reading Goals for students.</p> <p>Provide “ Lunch and Learn” book Clubs during student lunch times to support students with text and progress.</p> <p>Students participate in goal setting to improve reading and chart their progress.</p>					
<p><u>Reading Goal #4:</u></p> <p><i>In the 2011-12 school year, 66% of the first quartile students made learning gains. For the 2012-13 school year, 70% of the 1st quartile students will make learning gains.</i></p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></p>					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	66% (203/309)	70% (169/241)					
		4.2 1 st quartile students lack the foundation necessary for reading success. The students need additional support and interventions to alleviate their deficiencies.	4.2. Utilize Word Analysis (DRA2) Assessment to identify student Establish and monitor fluency and reading stamina goals for each 1 st quartile student. Provide RTI Tier 2 interventions and tutoring.	4.2. Principal Assistant Principal Reading Coach MTSS team Reading Interventionist	4.2. Identify students in the 25 th ile and below in reading. Administer DRA2 and assess students' using Word Analysis tasks, as appropriate. Develop a plan to provide appropriate interventions based on each student's needs. Utilize the FCIM model to identify student needs. Utilize data from Florida Achieves to monitor student progress.	4.2. DRA2 Data Word Analysis Assessments FAIR Data	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		4.3 Lack of time to implement RtI support for Tier II.	4.3 Assist teachers with creating an RtI schedule by teacher and/ or grade level Provide support with lessons, resources, and activities to implement Tier II. Provide support with data collection for RtI.	4.3 Reading interventionist MTSS team Reading Coaches LLT Design Team/ Leadership Team Administration	4.3 Monitor the implementation of Tier II RtI through classroom observations and data.	4.3 RtI Data collection Student Assessments for Reading, FAIR, DRA2, Word Analysis, Reading Benchmarks, informal assessments, and RtI intervention data.	
--	--	---	---	---	---	---	--

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
--	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<p>5A. In six years school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.</p>	<p>Baseline data 2010-2011</p> <p>62%</p>	<p>57%</p>	<p>52%</p>	<p>46%</p>	<p>41%</p>	<p>36%</p>	<p>31%</p>
---	---	-------------------	-------------------	-------------------	-------------------	-------------------	-------------------

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<p><u>Reading Goal #5A:</u></p> <p>Students not achieving in reading will decrease from 62% to 57% for the 2012-13 school year.</p> <p>Annual measurable objectives will be set for each year to improve student reading proficiency</p>						
<p>Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to “Guiding Questions,” identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:</p>	<p>Anticipated Barrier</p>	<p>Strategy</p>	<p>Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring</p>	<p>Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy</p>	<p>Evaluation Tool</p>	
<p>5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading.</p>	<p>5B.1. White: 91 Black: 237 Hispanic: 27 Asian: 6 American Indian: 1</p> <p>Students’ experiences and background knowledge are different for each ethnicity group.</p>	<p>5B.1. Scaffold literacy instruction to successful move student to higher reading achievement levels.</p> <p>Implement a gradual release model in instruction for Reader’s workshop and guided reading.</p> <p>Utilize the Florida Continuous Improvement Model to problem solve and identify students.</p>	<p>5B.1. Administration Reading Coaches Literacy Leadership Team Design/ Leadership Team</p>	<p>5B.1. Monitor classroom instruction during Reader’s Workshop.</p> <p>Review student achievement data quarterly with teachers.</p> <p>Schedule author visits throughout the school year.</p>	<p>5B.1. Classroom Observations (CAST) Reading Benchmark Data FAIR Data 2013 FCAT Reading Data Florida Achieves Data</p>	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<u>Reading Goal #5B:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<p>White: 50% (64/113)</p> <p>Black: 64.47% (170/251)</p> <p>Hispanic: 86 % (24/28)</p>	<p>White 40% (55/ 91)</p> <p>Black: 50% (120/237)</p> <p>Hispanic: 75% (20/27)</p>					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		<p>5B.2.</p> <p>Cultural differences exist within the population.</p>	<p>5B.2.</p> <p>Provide background knowledge and cultural experiences.</p> <p>Provide background knowledge and various experiences through author visits and assemblies.</p> <p>Provide training to faculty and staff on cultural differences.</p>	<p>5B.2.</p> <p>Field Trip Coordinators</p> <p>Activities coordinator</p> <p>Administration</p>	<p>5B.2.</p> <p>Observe classroom instruction for scaffolding instruction and building background knowledge in reading instruction.</p> <p>Schedule cultural sensitivity training during pre-planning for all faculty and staff.</p> <p>Guidance counselors will provide support to teachers through activities and classroom support.</p> <p>Select Principal's Books of the Month that address topics and provoke thought.</p>	<p>5B.2</p> <p>Classroom Observations (CAST)/ Data from</p> <p>Domain 2 & 3</p> <p>Guided Reading Lesson Plans</p> <p>Data from Foundations survey completed by 5th grade students</p> <p>School Climate Surveys completed by parents and students</p>	
		<p>5B.3.</p> <p>Students' may not have access to reading tutoring services or study groups.</p>	<p>5B.3.</p> <p>Communicate information to families on SES tutoring opportunities and school-based tutoring.</p> <p>Offer "Lunch and Learn reading focus groups throughout the school year to conduct book talks,</p>	<p>5B.3.</p> <p>SES coordinator</p> <p>Administration</p> <p>Guidance Counselors</p> <p>Various administrators/ teachers/ staff members to conduct lunch and learn groups.</p>	<p>5B.3.</p> <p>Monitor enrollment of SES tutoring and individual student progress.</p> <p>Data collection of pre- and post test for SES tutoring to measure student gains in reading.</p>	<p>5B.3.</p>	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<p>5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading.</p>	<p>5C.1. Language barriers for students</p>	<p>5C.1. Utilize the ESOL paraprofessional to support students during instruction. Provide dual language dictionaries to students, as needed Provide professional development on ESOL strategies for students</p>	<p>5C.1. Classroom Teachers Administration</p>	<p>5C.1. Classroom Observations Monitor student performance</p>	<p>5C.1. CELLA results (yearly) Benchmark Assessments Formal/ Informal Assessments</p>		
<p><u>Reading Goal #5C:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> There are less than 15 students in the sub-group. 	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></p>					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	100% (8/ 8)	80% (6/8)					
		5C.2. Lack of bilingual reading materials.	5C.2. Purchase reading texts to support ELL learners.	5C.2. Administration	5C.2. Survey Classroom Library Materials Monitor ELL students' book logs	5C.2. Book Logs Inventory of materials to ensure the increase of bilingual texts	
		5C.3. Students lack background knowledge and experience.	5C.3. Provide teachers professional development for cultural sensitivity and exposure to various reading texts and genres.	5C.3. Classroom Teachers Reading Coaches	5C.3. Classroom Observations	5C.3. CAST Observations	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading.	5.1 Collaboration between regular education and ESE teacher to address students' individual needs.	5.1 Planning time to provide opportunity to discuss effective instruction, techniques and specific accommodations needed for students.	5.1 Classroom Teacher ESE Teacher	5.1 Regular team planning and monitoring of student IEP's and tracking students progress	5.1 Common planning time meeting notes Students' IEP goal achievement		

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<u>Reading Goal #5D:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	91% (29/32)	75% (26/35)					
	—	5.1 Mobility of students and insufficient time to meet the individual needs of each child.	5.2 Small group instruction using remedial materials	5.2 Principal Assistant Principal ESE Coach ESE Teachers Leadership Team	5.2 Monitor daily schedules for the ESE teacher Check new students for ESE programs at enrollment	5.2 ESE teacher log	
		5.3 Analyzing data effectively	5.3 Analyze data to create a plan of instruction and a plan for next steps	5.3 Principal Assistant Principal ESE Coach ESE Teachers Leadership Team	5.3 Observations Data Meetings	5.3 Classroom Observations Data Notebooks Anecdotal Records	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to “Guiding Questions,” identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
--	---------------------	----------	--	---	-----------------	--	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<p>5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.</p>	<p>5D.1 Common Planning time for RtI and clear program protocols when a student need is identified.</p>	<p>5D.1. Utilize an early dismissal day per month to plan, organize RtI, and monitor the ED sub-group of students. Identify students in AYP subgroup and monitor their progress on the F.A.I.R. Assessment, DRA2, academic grades, and Duval County Benchmarks. Monitor students enrolling in the school and who withdraw and monitor new students.</p>	<p>5D.1. Principal/ Leadership Team</p>	<p>5D.1. Student data summaries Charting student growth Monitoring of the data team</p>	<p>5D.1. Student data and progress compared to previous benchmarks</p>		
--	---	---	---	--	--	--	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Reading Goal #5E:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
In 3 rd – 5 th grade, Economically disadvantaged students will increase proficiency by 10% or more on the 2013 administration of the FCAT 2.0	64% (206)	54% (161/298)					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		<p>5.D.2</p> <p>Time constraints for completing individual reading conferences and RtI sessions.</p>	<p>5.D.2</p> <p>Incorporate 30 minutes of RtI time into the daily schedule on non-resource days (3x's per week)</p> <p>Prioritize</p> <p>Teacher-Student conferencing time, which is designed to coach students on reading strategies and goals. Goals are based on the data received from DRA2, F.A.I.R. and Benchmark data.</p>	<p>5.D.2</p> <p>Classroom Teacher/ Leadership Team/ Principal</p>	<p>5.D.2</p> <p>Documentation of students growth and progress on assessments/ informal observations/ Benchmark skills and prerequisites</p>	<p>5.D.2</p> <p>Reading Benchmark Assessment</p> <p>Anecdotal notes, Running records, Conferencing notes, student goal sheets</p> <p>Data Assessment Notebook</p>	
		<p>5D.3.</p> <p>Teachers are not familiar with utilizing the students tracking system in inform for target groups and need more training.</p>	<p>5D.3.</p> <p>Provide professional development on utilizing the Inform system to enter and monitor student data for identified groups.</p> <p>Monitor use of the system and student groups.</p>	<p>5D.3.</p> <p>Principal Standards Coach STC's District Trainer</p>	<p>5D.3.</p> <p>Documentation and student data from Inform</p>	<p>5D.3.</p> <p>Student data reports from Inform. (Charts & graph forms)</p>	

Reading Professional Development

August 2012
 Rule 6A-1.099811
 Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities

Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) Identified Teachers	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
ESOL Reading Strategies	K-5 th	PLC Leader Reading Coaches	Identified Teachers	Early Dismissal/ Scheduled	Lesson plan reviews for strategies/ Classroom observations	ESOL District Personnel/ Guidance Counselors
Guided Reading Instruction	K-5 th	Reading Coaches	School-wide & Identified Teachers	ESOL Training PLC's	Conduct Classroom observations	Reading Coaches/ Administration
Comprehension Strategies	K-5 th	Reading Coaches	School-wide	Early Dismissal	Review: Guided Reading Lesson Plans/ Data notebooks/ Individual student data Conduct Classroom observations/ Analyze student reading data for FAIR, DRA2, Word Analysis, Reading Benchmarks and FCAT 2.0 Reading	Reading Coaches/ Administration
DRA2 Administration/ Word Analysis	K-5 th	Reading Coaches	Identified Teachers	PLC's	Monitor DRA2 Assessments	Reading Coaches/ Administration
Features of Non-Fiction Text	K-5 th	Reading Coaches	School-wide	PLC's	Review Classroom Observations/ Lesson Plans/ Student Work Samples	Reading Coaches/ Administration
Literature Circles	K-5 th	Reading Coaches	(including Content Teachers) Identified Teachers	Early Dismissal	Classroom	Reading Coaches/ Administration

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Author/ Genre Studies	K-5 th	Reading Coaches	Grade Level Specific	PLC's	Student portfolios	Reading Coaches/ Administration
Common Core Reading Implementation	K-2 nd	K. Bailey	K-2 nd grade teachers of Literacy	PLC's	Classroom Observations/ Review Lesson Plans	District Cluster Coach/ Reading Coaches/ Administration
Implementation of Rtl Tier II & Tier III	K-5 th	Reading Interventionist	Identified Teachers	Early Dismissal/ Faculty Meetings	Data collection reviewed from Tier I & Tier II	Reading Coaches/ Administration
Selecting "Just Right Texts"	K-5 th	Reading Coaches	Identified Teachers	Early Dismissal	Classroom Observations	Reading Coaches/ Administration
Comprehension Tool Kits	3 rd -5 th	E. Payton	3 rd , 4 th & 5 th grade Literacy teachers	Early Dismissal	Monitor Implementation of the tools/ resource kit	District Cluster Coach/ Reading Coaches/ Administration
FAIR Data Review	Varies, As needed	Reading Coaches/ Model Reading Teachers	School-wide for Literacy Teachers	Independent sessions	Monitor how FAIR data is used in classroom instruction	Reading Coaches/ Administration/ Lead Literacy Teachers
Literacy Centers	K-5 th	Reading Coaches	Identified Teachers	PLC's	Monitor and observe literacy centers	Reading Coaches/ Administration/ Lead Literacy Teachers
Unpacking the Reading Benchmarks	3 rd -5 th	Reading Coaches	School-wide	PLC's	Notes from PD Sessions Documents created from unpacking standards	Reading Coaches/ Administration/ Lead Literacy Teachers
Responding and Comparing Texts/ Reader's Response	K-5 th	Reading Coaches	School-wide	PLC's	Observe classroom instruction Student work	Reading Coaches/ Administration/ Lead Literacy Teachers
Webb's Depth of Knowledge/ Questioning Techniques	K-5 th	Reading Coaches	School-wide	Early Dismissal	Observe instruction Observe Reader's Workshop and questioning	Reading Coaches/ Administration/ Lead Literacy Teachers

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school funded activities/ materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Provide Access to Quality Children’s Literature	Classroom Library Books	Title I	\$4,000.00
Subtotal: \$4000.00			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Professional Literature	Texts to support the implementation of Common Core Instruction for Literacy	Title I	\$2,500.00
Professional Literature	Comprehension Tool Kits		
Subtotal: \$2500.00			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Student Incentives	Reading Incentives for meeting quarterly goals	Student Incentives / School-wide Fundraiser	\$2000.00
Subtotal:\$2000.00			
Total:\$8500.00			

End of Reading Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CELLA Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition					
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<p>1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking.</p>	<p>1.1. Recently the population of non- English speaking families has increased at Jacksonville Heights., this may influence effective communication with families. (Currently there are 32 families with the primary language of Spanish, as compared to 27 families last school year.)</p>	<p>1.1.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Speak at a slightly slower pace •Use repetition •Clarify and rephrase instructions frequently •Recap important ideas •Ask students to summarize passages that have been read aloud •Control the vocabulary used •Use simpler verb tenses such as present, simple past or simple future •Accept words and phrases initially and build towards the use of longer sentences •Use variety of technology, media, drama 	<p>1.1.</p> <p>Ms. S. Delacruz-ESOL Para-Professional</p> <p>General Education Teacher</p>	<p>1.1.</p> <p>The student is evaluated by their teacher/ESOL instructor on how well they understand instructions from their teacher and communication with their peers.</p>	<p>1.1.</p> <p>CELLA FCAT (3rd-5th) Quarterly grades</p>	
---	---	--	--	--	--	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<p><u>CELLA Goal #1:</u></p> <p><i>Increase the percentage of students scoring proficient in listening/speaking by 10%.to 53% for the 2012-13 school year.</i></p>	<p><u>2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking:</u></p>					
	<p><i>43% of students scored proficient in listening and speaking on CELLA in the 2011-2012 school year.</i></p>					
		<p>1.2. I General Education teachers lack effective strategies for teaching ESOL students.</p>	<p>1.2. Provide resources on appropriate pedagogy and strategies for ESOL students.</p>	<p>1.2. Coaches/ District Support Personnel</p>	<p>1.2. Monitor ESOL students' progress. Observations of classroom instruction</p>	<p>1.2. Observation instruments Instructional planning for ESOL students ESOL student data for formal and informal assessments</p>
		<p>1.3. Difficulty with communication to families on students' reading progress.</p>	<p>1.3. Utilize student planners as a communication tool Utilize Trans-Act as a communication tool to discuss student reading, strategies, and selection of texts. Train teachers on using Trans-Act resource</p>	<p>1.3. Provide translation services through Trans-Act, if needed Assist families with monitoring student planners & student reading logs</p>	<p>1.3. General Education Teachers ESOL paraprofessional Guidance Counselors</p>	<p>1.3. CELLA One Million Word Goal Progress Evidence of Strategies Parent Communication Log</p>

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Students read grade-level text in English in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
--	---------------------	----------	--	--	-----------------	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<p>2. Students scoring proficient in reading.</p>	<p>2.1. Lack of background knowledge and experiences for ESOL students.</p>	<p>2.1. •Analyze text in order to anticipate comprehension problems</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Activate prior knowledge students have about a topic •Provide opportunities for pre-reading activities such as brainstorming •Identify and teach essential vocabulary or sight words •Limit vocabulary and spelling lists and build up •Use visuals, demonstrations, manipulative, and gestures to increase comprehension •Encourage use of bilingual dictionaries •Help students to guess word meanings for clarification by using context clues, cognates, and knowledge transferred from home language •Model comprehensive strategies with students 	<p>2.1.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ms. S. Delacruz-ESOL Paraprofessional • General Education Teacher 	<p>2.1.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The student is evaluated by their teacher/ESOL instructor according to their appropriate grade level reading and writing skills. The student is assisted and provided support in the areas they are not proficient. 	<p>2.1.</p> <p>CELLA FCAT (3rd-5th) Quarterly grades FAIR DRA2/ Word Analysis Student Assessment Data (Formal& Informal)</p>	
--	---	---	--	---	--	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		•Divide reading passages into chunks for questions, predictions, and summaries				
<u>CELLA Goal #2:</u>	<u>2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading:</u>					
<i>Increase the percentage of students scoring proficient in Reading by 15%.to achieve 44% proficiency on reading for the 2012-13 school year.</i>						
	<i>29% of students scored at proficient level in reading on CELLA during the 2011-2012 school year.</i>					
		2.2.. Lack of professional development for ESOL reading strategies.	2.2. Provide professional development for teachers on effective use of ESOL strategies. Document strategies in lesson planning. Provide resources and guides on effective ESOL strategies.	2.2. Reading Coaches District ESOL Personnel ESOL para-professional General Education Teachers	2.2. Monitor lesson plans Monitor student data and progress. Establish student learning goals.	2.2. Observation data for implemented ESOL strategies Administration to monitor strategies in lesson plans

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		<p>2.3.</p> <p>Lack of reading resources for students on students reading performance level.</p>	<p>2.3.</p> <p>Purchase bi-lingual texts.</p> <p>Provide bi-lingual dictionaries to assist students</p> <p>Provide quality visuals to aide in student understanding and to build schema and background knowledge.</p>	<p>2.3.</p> <p>Reading Coaches</p> <p>ESOL para-professional</p> <p>District ESOL staff for resources</p>	<p>2.3.</p> <p>Devise a system to monitor accessibility and the check-out of bilingual texts</p>	<p>2.3.</p> <p>Reading levels</p> <p>Reading data from FAIR and DRA2</p>
--	--	--	---	---	--	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
3. Students scoring proficient in writing.	2.1. Not all teachers have the background in implementing instructional ESOL strategies for writing as general education teachers.	2.1. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Instructor models writing/ responses •Give students opportunities to use diagrams, charts and graphic organizers •Have students keep a personal vocabulary book or glossary that could also include home language translations •Ask students to retell/restate orally then in writing 	2.1. Ms. S. Delacruz- ESOL Para-professional General Education Teacher	2.1. Classroom Observations	2.1. CELLA FCAT (4 th Writign) District Writing Prompt Performance Quarterly grades	
<u>CELLA Goal #3:</u> <i>Increase the percentage of students scoring proficient in Writing by 15% to achieve 36% for the 2012-13 school year.</i>	<u>2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing :</u>					
	<i>21% of students scored in the proficient level for writing on CELLA in the 2011-2012 school year.</i>					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		<p>2.2.</p> <p>Lack of visual resources to support ESOL Learners.</p>	<p>2.2.</p> <p>Provide visuals for labeling items and picture clue cards to enhance vocabulary development.</p> <p>Provide resources to support language development and build background knowledge.</p>	<p>2.2.</p> <p>General Education Teachers</p> <p>Reading Interventionist</p> <p>Reading Coaches</p> <p>ESOL para-professionals</p>	<p>2.2.</p> <p>Review writing progress</p> <p>Analyze student writing samples to monitor progress using the State Writing Rubric for scoring.</p>	<p>2.2.</p> <p>Writing Prompt Data</p> <p>Student Writing Portfolios</p>
		<p>2.3.</p> <p>Lack of support for students at home with language acquisition and skills for writing.</p>	<p>2.3.</p> <p>Focus on strategies for language developments and transferring to print and the written word.</p> <p>Provide support with grammar, conventions, and writing skills through modeling.</p> <p>Provide training and tools for parents to assist their children with writing.</p>	<p>2.3.</p> <p>General Education Teachers</p> <p>Reading Interventionist</p> <p>Reading Coaches</p> <p>ESOL para-professionals</p>	<p>2.3.</p> <p>Student work samples</p> <p>Feedback on classroom observations</p>	<p>2.3.</p> <p>Student use of strategies in the writing process</p> <p>Writing Prompt Data</p>

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

CELLA Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Reading Materials	Bi-Lingual Classroom Library Books	Title I	\$600.00
Subtotal: \$600.00			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Professional Literature	ESOL Strategies Resources	Title I	\$200.00
Subtotal: \$ 200.00			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Family Engagement	Family Night Workshops	Parent Involvement Title I	\$450.00
Subtotal: \$450.00			
Total: \$1250.00			

End of CELLA Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary Mathematics Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<p>1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.</p>	<p>IA.1. -Matching blended curriculum, Investigations and Envisions, to standards. -Assessments do not match curriculum. -Fidelity to curriculum due to time constraints</p>	<p>IA.1. Implement Core Instruction with fidelity</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Calendar Math • Envisions • Math Investigations <p>Teachers will participate in training with the Math Coach..</p>	<p>IA.1. Classroom teacher/ Principal /Leadership & Design Team/ Math Coach / District Support Personnel on Using the Pearson Systems/Cluster Math Coach</p>	<p>IA.1. Review lesson plans during classroom walk-throughs, discuss in training/meetings with Math Coach/ Leadership team members.</p>	<p>IA.1. Classroom observation, lesson plans, Data Notebooks, and Learning Schedule Assessments.</p>		

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<u>Mathematics Goal</u> <u>#1A:</u>	<u>2012 Current</u> <u>Level of</u> <u>Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected</u> <u>Level of</u> <u>Performance:*</u>					
In 3 rd grade, 40%of students will achieve Level 3 on the 2013 administration of the FCAT Math Test.	26% (112)	40% (145/ 362)					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		<p>1A.2.</p> <p>Benchmark data are posted in Inform and teachers need to become more familiar with manipulating the data and using the student data for instruction</p> <p>Teachers are new to using the Pearson Insight program to create assessments and enter student data, so professional development is needed to positively impact teacher planning for student achievement.</p>	<p>1A.2.</p> <p>Pearson Insight and Inform training during Early Release.</p> <p>Include higher order questions for inquiry-based instruction by preplanning questions.</p> <p>Teachers will use the Learning Schedule as a guide for planning.</p>	<p>1A.2.</p> <p>Classroom teacher/ Principal /Leadership Team/ Math Coach / District Support Personnel on Using the Pearson Systems/ Cluster Math Coach</p>	<p>1A.2.</p> <p>Review lesson plans during classroom walk-throughs, discuss in training/meetings with Math Coach/Leadership team members.</p> <p>Observe level of student engagement.</p>	<p>1A.2.</p> <p>Data Review Meetings</p> <p>Inform / Insight Data</p> <p>Student Assessments</p> <p>Math Benchmark Scores</p> <p>RtI data</p>	
		<p>1A.3.</p> <p>Many teachers new to grade level curriculum and standards.</p>	<p>1A.3.</p> <p>Time will be provided to grade level teachers for training on Early dismissal and faculty meeting days. Professional development time is built into weekly resource schedule.</p> <p>Novice teachers will observe master teachers.</p> <p>Attend professional development opportunities provided by the District.</p>	<p>1A.3.</p> <p>Classroom teacher/ Principal /Leadership Team/ Math Coach</p>	<p>1A.3.</p> <p>Observe classroom instruction and provide feedback. (CAST)</p>	<p>1A.3.</p> <p>Participation in training</p> <p>Monitor observations for implementation of training.</p> <p>Observations</p>	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<p>1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.</p>	<p>1B.1.</p>	<p>1B.1.</p>	<p>1B.1.</p>	<p>1B.1.</p>	<p>1B.1.</p>		
<p><u>Mathematics Goal #1B:</u> <i>Currently, no student data exists on FAA from 2011-12.</i> <i>No students are scheduled to be administered the FAA for the 2012-13 school year.</i></p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></p>					
		<p><i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i></p>					
		<p>1B.2.</p>	<p>1B.2.</p>	<p>1B.2.</p>	<p>1B.2.</p>	<p>1B.2.</p>	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		IB.3.	IB.3.	IB.3.	IB.3.	IB.3.	
--	--	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
---	---------------------	----------	--	--	-----------------	--	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<p>2A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in mathematics.</p>	<p>2A.1. Questioning strategies are lower level complexity Classroom structure for differentiated grouping</p>	<p>2A.1. Include higher cognitive complexity questions for inquiry-based instruction. Scaffold and differentiate instruction through the use of flexible grouping and conferencing Incorporate literature that enhances the learning of math concepts. Provide professional development on infusing higher order questioning during math instruction using Webb's Depth of Knowledge (DOK) and cognitive complexity.</p>	<p>2A.1. Classroom teacher/ Principal /Leadership Team/ Math Coach /Cluster Math Coach</p>	<p>2A.1. Review lesson plans during classroom walk-throughs, discuss in training/meetings with Math Coach/ Leadership team members.</p>	<p>2A.1. Classroom observation, lesson plans, Data Notebooks, and Learning Schedule Assessments</p>		
---	---	---	--	--	--	--	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<u>Mathematics Goal #2A:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance*</u>					
In 3rd- 5th grade, at least 18% of the students will achieve a level 4 or 5 on the 2013 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Math Test.	11% (48)	18 % (65/362)					
		2A.2. Classroom structure for differentiated grouping.	2A.2 Scaffold and differentiate instruction through the use of flexible grouping and conferencing.	2A.2 Classroom/teacher/Principal/ Leadership team/Math Coach.	2A.2\ Review lesson plans during classroom walk-throughs, discuss in training/meetings with Math Coach/Leadership Team members	2A.2. Results of classroom observation, lesson plans, Data Notebooks, and Learning Schedule Assessments FCAT	
		2A.3. Professional Development	2A.3. Provide professional development on infusing higher order questioning during math instruction using Webb's Depth of Knowledge (DOK) and cognitive complexity	2A.3. Classroom/teacher/Principal/ Leadership team/Math Coach	2A.3. Review lesson plans during classroom walk-throughs, discuss in training/meetings with Math Coach/Leadership Team members	2A.3. Results of classroom observation, lesson plans, Data Notebooks, and Learning Schedule Assessments FCAT	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<p>2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.</p>	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		
<p><u>Mathematics Goal #2B:</u> <i>Currently, no student data exists on FAA from 2011-12.</i> <i>No students are scheduled to be administered the FAA for the 2012-13 school year.</i></p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></p>					
	<p><i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i></p>	<p><i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i></p>					
		2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<p>3A. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.</p>	<p>3A.1. Student math data needs to be the determining factor in impacting instruction. Teachers cannot rely solely on the district learning schedule to prepare students for gains on the FCAT assessment. Teacher reliance on envisions for math instruction</p>	<p>3A.1. All students in grades 3rd-5th will participate in Math Investigations lessons using hands on math activities and using math tools to actively engage in problem solving daily. Lesson planning and instruction will be delivered using Math Workshop Model and instruction will be differentiated based on students' needs. Implement calendar math to build number sense.</p>	<p>3A.1. Leadership Team (Principal, Assistant Principal, Math Coach)</p>	<p>3A.1. Focus Walks Math Investigations Observations Conduct grade level observations, reviews, and meetings to ensure all requirements are being met.</p>	<p>3A.1. CAST Observation instruments Data Assessment Notebooks/ Anecdotal Notes Review Calendar Math Test Data review of Benchmark Tests during Fall, Winter, Spring, and End of Year.</p>		

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<u>Mathematics Goal #3A:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance*</u>					
In 4 th & 5 th grade, 70 % of students will make learning gains on the 2013 administration of the FCAT Math Test.							
	67% (294)	70% (169/241)					
		3A.2. Appropriate instructional level and teacher strategies	3A.2. Well planned explicit instruction and student activities coupled with conferencing	3A.2. Classroom teacher / Leadership Team (Principal, Assistant Principal, Math Coach)	3A.2. Faculty Trainings and Lesson Studies Classroom Observations	3A.2. Lesson plans Classroom Observations	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		3A.3. Implementation of workshop model	3A.3. Lesson planning and instruction will be delivered using Math Workshop Model and instruction will be differentiated based on students needs	3A.3. Classroom teacher/Leadership Team (Principal, Assistant Principal, Math Coach)	3A.3. Faculty Trainings and Lesson Studies Classroom Observation	3A.3. Lesson Plans Results from Classroom Observations Artifacts from math workshop FCAT Benchmarks	
3B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
<u>Mathematics Goal #3B:</u> <i>Currently, no student data exists on FAA from 2011-12.</i> <i>No students are scheduled to be administered the FAA for the 2012-13 school year.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.	4A.1. There are time constraints for the implementation of RtI for mathematics.	4A.1. School wide RtI block	4A.1. Leadership Team (Principal, Assistant Principal, Math Coach), Guidance Counselors, Math Interventionist	4A.1. Observations conducted during the scheduled RtI block.	4A.1. Observations and data collected during RtI. FCAT results		
Mathematics Goal #4: In 3 rd -5 th grade, 80% of lowest 25% students will achieve learning gains on the 2013 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Math Assessment.	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance.*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance.*</u>					
	76% (333)	80% (210/232)					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		4A.2. Vocabulary and background knowledge.	4A.2. Use of Calendar Math Creating and planning for a problem of the day based on data reflecting student needs.	4A.2. Classroom teacher, Leadership Team (Principal, Assistant Principal, Math Coach)	4A.2. Review lesson plans during classroom walk-throughs, discuss in training/meetings with Math Coach/Leadership team members.	4A.2 Classroom artifacts associated with Calendar Math Calendar Math materials / lesson plans & Review Calendar Math Test data review during Fall, Winter, Spring, and End of Year	
		4A.3 Parental participation in the PMP plan	4A.3. Maintain a Progress Monitoring Plan (PMP) for students in lowest quartile	4A.3. Classroom teacher, parent, Leadership Team (Principal, Assistant Principal, Math Coach)	4A.3. Periodic review of PMP.	4A.3. Monitoring of PMP. FCAT results Benchmark results	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
5A. In six years school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Baseline data 2010-2011 64%	63%	53%	48%	43%	63%	32%
<u>Mathematics Goal #5A:</u> Students not achieving in math will decrease from 63% to 53% for the 2012-13 school year. Annual measurable objectives will be set for each year to improve student math proficiency.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<p>5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.</p>	<p>5B.1. White: 91 Black:237 Hispanic:27 Asian: 6 American Indian:1</p> <p>Cultural differences exist in regards to the importance of conceptually understanding Mathematics and application of mathematical concepts.</p>	<p>5B.1. Selecting and analyzing assessment data in a timely fashion to plan for next steps</p> <p>Small group setting using Envision remediation materials. Implementing exit slips for group time</p> <p>Authentic assessments</p> <p>Calendar math utilization and remediation piece in Envisions</p> <p>Assist parents with understanding the importance of the Math investigations curriculum.</p>	<p>5B.1. Coaches/ Classroom Teacher/ Administration</p> <p>Classroom Teacher</p>	<p>5B.1 Data Assessment Notebooks.</p>	<p>5B.1. Data Chat Meetings</p> <p>Performance Data on math Benchmarks, PMA's and various assessments</p> <p>FCAT Results</p>		
--	--	---	--	--	---	--	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<u>Mathematics Goal #5B:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>				
<p>In 3rd-5th grade subgroups by ethnicity will increase proficiency by at least 10% on 2013 administration of the FCAT Math Test</p>						
	<p>White: 56%</p> <p>Black: 72%</p> <p>Hispanic: 45%</p> <p>Asian: 33%</p> <p>American Indian: N/A</p>	<p>White: 45%</p> <p>Black: 60%</p> <p>Hispanic:35%</p> <p>Asian: 23%</p> <p>American Indian: N/A</p>				
		<p>5B.2. Opportunities/exposure-prior knowledge to build upon</p>	<p>5B.2.</p> <p>Make connections to real-world experiences/familiar activities/ events</p> <p>Small group instruction in basic skill concepts with use of manipulatives.</p>	<p>5B.2.</p> <p>Classroom teacher, Leadership Team (Principal, Assistant Principal, Math Coach), Math Interventionist</p>	<p>5B.2.</p> <p>Observe level of student engagement</p>	<p>5B.2.</p> <p>Math assessment results:</p> <p>Benchmark Assessments, FCAT data, PMA's</p>

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		5B.3. Attendance	5B.3. Conferences and contracts with students. Parent conferences School Social Worker to visit homes as needed	5B.3. Classroom Teacher, Guidance Counselor, Social Worker	5B.3 Keeping accurate attendance records. Parental contact Home visits Attendance meetings Compare data to chart progress from baseline year (2011)	5B.3. Attendance data	
--	--	---------------------	--	---	--	--------------------------	--

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.	5C.1. Language acquisition reading below grade level and lack of academic language to perform at proficiency level on the assessments.	5C.1. Utilize the ESOL curriculum in small group settings for language development and acquisition written at a lower level to bridge with the CORE	5C.1. Classroom Teacher Instructional Coach VT Teacher Bilingual Paraprofessionals	5C.1. Ongoing assessments utilized throughout the quarter from Reading Series Ongoing Observation of students performance in guided reading groups and independent reading times.	5C.1. DRA 2's FAIR Benchmark Tests Core selected math assessments		

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<u>Mathematics Goal</u> <u>#5C:</u>	<u>2012 Current</u> <u>Level of</u> <u>Performance*</u>	<u>2013 Expected</u> <u>Level of</u> <u>Performance*</u>					
In 3 rd -5 th grade ELL Students will increase proficiency by 10% on 2013 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Math Assessment.							
	75% (6/8)	65% (5/8)					
		5C.2. Lack of motivation and confidence	5C.2 Students will set goals for themselves in math, based upon their needed areas of improvement	5C.2. Math teachers, students	5C.2 Teachers and students will meet on ongoing basis to determine if students are meeting their goals. They will look at both tracking and goal sheets to determine student achievement data to determine student performance and growth.	5C.2 Student tracking sheets, Goal sheets, FCAT results	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		5C.3. Multiple levels of instruction	5C.3. Teachers will differentiate instruction by using small groups, conferencing, Instructional Focus Calendar, and Focus Lessons	5C.3. Math Teachers Instructional Coach Principal	5C.3. Instructional Coach and Principal will conduct Classroom Walk-Throughs to look at conference logs and small group notes. Math Teachers will look at student achievement data to note students performance and growth	5C.3. Progress Monitoring Assessments, Classroom Walk-Through forms, Conference Logs, Small Group notes, FCAT results.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<p>5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.</p>	<p>5D.1. Effective collaboration between regular ed. and ESE teacher</p>	<p>5D.1. Create planning time to develop effective accommodations needed for students</p>	<p>5D.1. Classroom teacher, ESE teacher Principal</p>	<p>5D.1. Consistent team planning and monitoring of the IEP Collaborative lesson plans</p>	<p>5D.1. FCAT Alternate Assessment where applicable</p>		
<p><u>Mathematics Goal #5D:</u> In 3rd-5th grade Students with Disabilities will increase proficiency by 20% administration of the FCAT Math Test</p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></p>					
	<p>100% (32/32)</p>	<p>80% (26/33)</p>					
	<p>—</p>	<p>5D.2. Selecting and analyzing assessment data in a timely fashion to plan for next steps</p>	<p>5D.2 Disaggregate data to review and plan next steps with Math assessments..</p>	<p>5D.2 Leadership Team (Principal, Assistant Principal, Math Coach, Math Teacher)</p>	<p>5D.2. Observation and participation in analyzing data Next Steps in Lesson Plans</p>	<p>5D.2. Benchmark Assessments, Data Notebooks</p>	
		<p>5D.3. Lack of common planning time for the ESE and general education teachers.</p>	<p>5D.3. Small group setting using Envision remediation materials implementing exit tickets for group time</p>	<p>5D.3 Math Coach ESE Teachers Leadership Team</p>	<p>5D.3. Observation of small group time and data logs</p>	<p>5D.3. Exit tickets Benchmarks</p>	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<p>5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.</p>	<p>5E.1. Students attending after school tutoring may have transportation conflicts or obligations to walk their siblings home after school.</p>	<p>5E.1. Transportation options and the importance of after school tutoring will be carefully reviewed with the parents. Recommend students to attend safety nets through after school tutoring and Saturday Academy</p>	<p>5E.1. Leadership Team Principal/ Assistant Principal Math Coach, Math Teachers</p>	<p>5E.1. Data Assessment Notebook/ Conferencing notes Monitor students in safety nets through pre& post assessments for safety nets</p>	<p>5E.1. Inform data / Leadership Data Assessment notebooks Data review meetings Student Math data Benchmark results</p>		

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<u>Mathematics Goal</u> <u>#5E:</u>	<u>2012 Current</u> <u>Level of</u> <u>Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected</u> <u>Level of</u> <u>Performance:*</u>					
Economically Disadvantaged students will increase proficiency by 10% for the 2013 administration of the FCAT Math 2.0.	47% (206)	37% (110/298)					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		5E.2. Monitoring student population for the AYP subgroup Economically Disadvantaged can be difficult as current students withdraw and new students enter. Student status can also change at the beginning of the school year.	5E.2 Clearly define the population for the AYP subgroup in Economically Disadvantaged during the October FTE and continue to monitor the population and note changes.	5E.2. Principal/ CRT	5E.2. Compare benchmark progress of ED students and non-ED students.	5E.2. Inform/ Genesis Reports	
		5E.3. Resources at home	5E.3. Provide math resource kits of extension learning activities to utilize at home	5E.3. Principal/ Math Leads/ Math Coach/ Math Teachers	5E.3. Conferences with parents and students	5E.3. Monitor homework progress of targeted students.	

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Mathematics Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities

Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Analysis of Data	K-5	PLC Leader School Data Contact and Math Coach	School-Wide	Early Dismissal September 2012- May 2013	Data notebooks will be maintained by classroom teachers and reviewed.	Principal and Assistant Principal; Classroom teacher
				Grade Level meetings built into the weekly schedule (M,W,F)	Administrators will review data through data notebooks and/or class profiles.	
Math Strategies	Pre K – 5	School Math Coach District Math Department	School-Wide	Early Dismissal Professional Learning September 2012 – May 2013	Data will be reviewed during observations. Classroom teachers will observe strategy lessons in Math Model Lessons Academy of Math representative will collaborate monthly with teachers to share information.	Classroom Teacher
Differentiated Instructional Groups.	3-5	School Math Coach	School-Wide	Early Dismissal Professional Learning September 2012 – May 2013	Administrators will observe classroom instruction. Lesson plans will be monitored to determine need for follow up for grade levels and/or individual teachers.	Principal and Assistant Principal

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Common Core Math Instruction	K-5	Math Cluster Coach School Math Coach	School- Wide	Early Dismissal Professional Learning	Administrators will observe classroom instruction. Lesson plans will be monitored to determine need for follow up for grade levels and/or individual teachers.	Principal, Assistant Principal, and math Coach in Conferences
Quality questioning in mathematics	K-5	Math Coach	School-Wide	September 2012 – May 2013 Early Dismissal Professional Learning	Classroom modeling and observation sessions. Review of student math journals and responses.	Principal/ Assistant Principal observations (CAST)
Math Investigations	K-5	Math Coach	School- Wide	September 2012 – May 2013 Early Dismissal Professional Learning	Observe teachers using manipulatives and varied math strategies to build conceptual understanding. Provide feedback to teachers.	Principal/ Assistant Principal/ Math Coach
Academy of Math	K-5	District Level	Selected participants (one primary & one intermediate teacher)	September 2012 – May 2013 Selected dates established by the district	Math Academy observations/ Samples of Student Work	District Math Personnel

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Mathematics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Math Manipulatives	Hands- on- materials	Title I	\$550.00
Math Literature	Children’s Literature Books (Math)	Title I	\$600.00
Subtotal:\$1150.00			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Professional Literature	Math Resources for Common Core, questioning, and pedagogy	Title I., Professional Development	\$1, 000.00
Subtotal: \$1000.00			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total: \$2, 150.00			

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Elementary and Middle Science Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<p>1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring Achievement Level 3 in science.</p>	<p>1A.1. Reading ability of students</p>	<p>1A.1 Improve classroom libraries and expose students to science based nonfiction texts during science and literacy instruction. Incorporate leveled science readers into daily science and reading instruction Use of Weekly Reader Science Spin</p>	<p>1A.1. Science Lead teachers School Administration Cluster Science Coach</p>	<p>1A.1. Teachers and the leadership team will monitor students' reading levels as compared to Science Benchmark data results</p>	<p>1A.1. Science Benchmark Data Teacher Made Assessments</p>		
--	---	--	--	--	---	--	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<u>Science Goal #1A:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
<p>For the 2011-12 school year 27% of the 5th grade students scored a level 3 on the FCAT Science Assessment. For the 2012-13 school year the goal is for 40% of the 5th grade students to score a level 3 on the FCAT Science Assessment.</p>							
	<p>27%</p> <p>(43)</p>	<p>40%</p> <p>(48/121)</p>					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		<p>1A.2.</p> <p>Students' prior knowledge and skill in scientific thinking</p>	<p>1A.2.</p> <p>Expose students to more science programs and hands on experiments</p> <p>Link science to common applications</p> <p>Implement project based learning activities, such as science fair.</p> <p>Teachers will provide more science experiences and connection both at school and home</p> <p>Each 4th & 5th grade students will participate in the science fair with individual projects.</p> <p>Students in Kindergarten thru 3rd grade will participate in the science fair by completing class science fair projects</p>	<p>1A.2.</p> <p>Science Lead Teachers</p> <p>Cluster Science Coach</p>	<p>1A.2.</p> <p>Observation of science experiments/ hands- on learning activities</p> <p>Scoring Science Fair Projects</p>	<p>1A.2.</p> <p>Formative assessments</p> <p>Students scores on Science Fair Projects and School-wide Science Fair</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		1A.3. Student lack background knowledge and hands-on exposure to scientific concepts and real-world experiences.	1A.3. Provide quality field trips with scientific value. Examples: Star Base program, MOSH, Jacksonville Zoo, & Marine Science Center	1A.3. Field Trip Coordinators at each grade level	1A.3. Feedback from field trips	1A.3. Informal Assessments Formal Assessments	
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
<u>Science Goal #1B:</u> <i>There were zero students performing at this level</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
---	---------------------	----------	--	--	-----------------	--	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<p>2A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in science.</p>	<p>2A.1. Limited access to science materials and lack of professional development for implementing use of 5E's</p>	<p>2A.1. Instructional Delivery of the 5 Es (Engage, Explore, Explain, Evaluate, and Extend) A heavier focus on the teaching and learning through exploring and explaining (in writing) Instructional delivery will include specific Science vocabulary and will encourage students' scientific thinking abilities Teachers will use Science Centers to provide more hands on experiences</p>	<p>2A.1. Cluster Science Coach Science Lead Teachers School Coaches School Administration</p>	<p>2A.1. Science Journaling Focused walk-through observations Lesson plans reflecting introduction of vocabulary and including use of non-fiction children's literature</p>	<p>2A.1. Performance Tasks Science notebooks or portfolios with increased vocabulary evident in students' writing Progress of students on district benchmark assessments 100% of 4th and 5th grade students completing a Science Fair Project</p>		
---	---	---	--	--	--	--	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<u>Science Goal #2A:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
10% (12) of the students in 5 th grade will score a Level 4 or 5 on the FCAT Science Assessment.							
	3% (5 students)	10% (12 students)					
		2A.2. Technology Barriers	2A.2. Update current technology to make sure it is in working order. Purchase additional technology (IPAD Carts/ Apps) to support science instruction	2A.2. Administration School Technology Contact Technology Team Bookkeeper	2A.2. Lesson plans including technology	2A.2. Observations Assessments Benchmark Data	
		2A.3. Use of Gizmos for Science and additional science technology resources.	2A.3. Provide professional Development for teachers on effectively implementing Gizmos in 5 E's Science Planning.	2A.3. Science Lead Teachers Administration Jane Owen(Gizmos)	2A.3. Collect data from Gizmo activities Monitor Benchmark data for Science to determine areas for improvement in science reporting categories	2A.3. Gizmo use and participation data. FCAT data(grade 5)	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<p>2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.</p>	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		
<p><u>Science Goal #2B:</u> <i>There were zero students performing at this level.</i></p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></p>					
	<p><i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i></p>	<p><i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i></p>					
		2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Science Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
5 E's Science Instruction	K -5 th Science Teachers	Principal Coaches	K- 5 th grade Teachers	10/25/12	Classroom Observations of science instruction	Coaches/ Administration
Literacy support for Non-fiction Text features	K-5 th Literacy/ Science Teachers	Reading Coaches	K-5 th grade Teachers	12/5/12	Peer observations of model lessons Observation of lessons for non-fiction text	Coaches/ Administration/ Lead Teachers
Gizmos Training	Science Teachers 3 rd -5 th	STC/ Technology Lead Teachers	Varies, based on individual professional development needs of the teacher	10/25/12	Data review of benchmarks from science and non-fiction text Gizmo completion data Gizmo assessments/ result	STC/ Coaches/ Principal

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Technology Training K-5 th for use of iPads and App Use	STC Lead Technology Teachers	Varies, based on individual professional development needs of the teacher	12/5/12	Observations of lessons using technology	Coaches/ Administration/ Lead Technology Teachers
				Surveys on technology use for teachers and students	
				Results of assessments (informal/ formal)	

Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Reading Texts	Nonfiction Classroom Library Books	Title I	\$3000.00
Resources for hands on investigations	Lab Materials	Instructional Fund	\$ 500.00
Subtotal: \$3500.00			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
iPad Carts	30 iPad's per Cart	Title I Funding	\$64,929.00
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Subtotal:			
Total: \$ 68,429.00			

End of Science Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Writing Professional Development

Writing Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<p>1a. FCAT: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing.</p>	<p>Ia.1. Prior Knowledge of students writing abilities</p>	<p>Ia.1.Specialization instructional model in grades 3-5. Tailored academic writing grouping across each grade level. Students will utilize the writer’s workshop model daily.</p> <p>Focus, Organization, Support and Conventions will be key elements within daily instruction. Revision & Editing process will be explicitly guided within core instructional blocks as demonstrated in student writing drafts & weekly craft lessons.</p> <p>Writer’s Workshop framework will be utilized daily in all grades. Student writing conferencing will be evident in tailored small group instruction.</p> <p>Writing Journals incorporated within daily instruction across all content areas.</p>	<p>Ia.1. Principal Assistant Principal Instructional Coaches, Classroom teachers, Leadership Team, RTI Team and Instructional Interventionist</p>	<p>Ia.1. Principal/Assistant Principal/ Instructional Coaches will meet weekly with grade levels, one-on-one with teachers and during PLC meetings to discuss the student assessment results which align with academic grouping of students. During those meetings lesson plans, differentiated instructional approaches, data notebooks & student writing portfolios will be utilized to provide evidence of quality instruction, assessment and differentiation to address individual student needs. Writing teachers will meet monthly within each grade level to coordinate the scope and sequence of skill/standard acquisition.</p> <p>Quality of student writing pieces/Calibration of teacher scoring method</p> <p>Review standards based bulletin boards- growth evident within student written assignments</p>	<p>Ia.1. Classroom Observations/CAST</p> <p>Pearson District Writing Score Data, Class/Grade Level Data Spreadsheets updated each nine weeks by individual teachers. Nine Week Student Progress Meetings with Principal/Assistant Principal.</p> <p>Monitoring progress through data notebooks, student writing portfolios & journals. Writing conferencing evident by viewing anecdotal notes that each teacher composes while conferencing weekly with individual students. The integrity of Writer’s Workshop Model visibly evident during classroom walk-through visitations.</p>		
--	--	--	---	---	---	--	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		Individualized Student Writing Portfolios utilized K-5.					
<u>Writing Goal #1a:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
<i>75% of 4th grade students (86 students out of 115) will score 4.0 or higher on the 2013 FCAT Writing Assessment</i>							

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	<p>52% of 4th grade students scored 3.5 or higher on the 2012 FCAT Writing Assessment. August 2012 DCPS District Writing Assessment results reflect the following scores for 4th grade students:</p> <p>28% Level 1 40 students</p> <p>33% Level 2 47 students</p> <p>23% Level 3 32 students</p> <p>14% Level 4 20 students</p> <p>2% Level 5 2 students</p>	<p>75% of 4th grade students (86) will score 4.0 or higher on the 2013 FCAT Writing Assessment.</p>					
		<p>1a.2. Time Constraints</p>	<p>1a.2. Minimize transition time among specialization teams when changing classes. Maximize instructional time through uninterrupted academic block timeframes.</p>	<p>1a.2. Classroom Teachers</p>	<p>1a.2. Tailored specialization team schedule created for content blocks. Curriculum timeframes established K-5. Utilization of organized routines and procedures for every class. (CHAMPS)</p>	<p>1a.2. Classroom observations & evidence of CHAMPS procedures posted/utilized in every classroom. (CAST)</p>	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		<p>Ia.3. Fidelity of Writer’s Workshop Model of Instruction</p>	<p>Ia.3. Differentiated instructional writing lesson planning. Academic focus/goals or standards posted daily on the whiteboard. Embed higher order/cognitively complex questioning strategies within instruction.</p> <p>Integrate touchtone texts/ quality trade books within instruction that correlate to the writing craft focus for each lesson.</p> <p>Infuse divergent vocabulary approaches within daily instruction</p> <p>Calibrate writing scoring process among each grade level of educators using Anchor papers to guide evaluation of student writing.</p> <p>Provide professional development to educators including: Writer’s Workshop Model, Lucy Calkins Writing Strategies, Building Writing Stamina within Students, Ralph Fletcher & Lester Laminack Instructional Approaches in Writing & Varied Vocabulary Strategies to embed within instruction.</p>	<p>Ia.3. Classroom Teachers, Instructional Coaches, Principal, Assistant Principal, Instructional Interventionist, Leadership Team, RTI Team</p>	<p>Ia.3. Writing lesson plans organized by craft/ writing focal point.</p> <p>Review conference documentation from teachers and peers.</p> <p>Follow DCPS and JHE Instructional Focus Calendars. (Professional Development Guide: Curriculum Maps for the Common Core)</p> <p>Identify appropriate reading texts/trade books/touchtone texts that align with and model effective writing strategies.</p> <p>Review of all data, writing portfolios. Discuss with educators design of effective, tailored craft lessons that will refine skills & deliver targeted instruction that will demonstrate clear growth & maturity in student writing.</p> <p>Review scoring process within grade level calibration meetings to ensure that anchor papers are appropriately</p>	<p>Ia.3.</p> <p>Teacher observations/Classroom Observations/CAST</p> <p>State of Florida Six Point Rubric Scoring Tool</p>	
--	--	---	---	--	--	--	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

					utilized to score formal student writing pieces.		
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.							

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<u>Writing Goal #1b:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
N/A- There are no students taking FAA within grade 4.							
Within Grade 4- Currently there are:							
ESE Grade 4= 7 students							
LEP Grade 4= 3 students							
	<i>There are no students taking FAA within grade 4.</i>	<i>There are no students taking FAA within grade 4.</i>					

Writing Professional Development

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Common Core Training	K-5	Principal, Assistant Principal, Instructional Coaches	School-wide K-5, ESE	Monthly	Review implementation of Common Core within lesson planning and instructional approaches.	Principal, Assistant Principal, Instructional Coaches, Leadership Team
Teach Like A Champion- Doug Lemov	K-5	Principal, Assistant Principal, Instructional Coaches	School-wide K-5, ESE	Monthly	Review implementation of instructional strategies through classroom observations.	Principal, Assistant Principal, Instructional Coaches, Leadership Team
Writer's Workshop Training	K-5	Instructional Coaches	Sept./Oct. 2012	Monthly	Classroom modeling/ observations/review lesson plans	Instructional Coaches
Interactive Writing	K-1	Instructional Coaches District Reading Coach	Sept./Oct.2012	Monthly	Classroom modeling / observations/ review lesson plans	Instructional Coaches

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

The Writing Process K-5

Coaches

Sept.- Nov. 2012

Monthly

Classroom modeling /
observations/ review lesson
plans/ Student Writing Samples

Instructional Coaches/
Administration

Writing Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Professional Literature	Achieving Excellence Through 50 Target Skills by Melissa Forney	Title I / Professional Development	\$100.00
Professional Literature	Lester Laminack- Cracking Open the Author's Craft	Title I / Professional Development	\$100.00
Professional Literature	Ralph Fletcher- Non-Fiction Craft Lessons	Title I / Professional Development	\$100.00
Coaching Conference	Reading and Writing Project Coaching Conference with Lucy Calkins	Title I/ Professional Development	\$6,500.00
Subtotal: \$6, 800.00	Curriculum Maps for the Common Core		
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Total: 1000.00			
-----------------------	--	--	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Attendance Goal(s)	Problem-solving Process to Increase Attendance						
Based on the analysis of attendance data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<p>1. Attendance</p>	<p>1.1. Family circumstances arise resulting in parents not sending the child/children to school regularly.</p>	<p>1.1. Monthly Attendance Intervention Team with parents/guardians of students with 5 or more unexcused absences. Attendance warning letters sent home by student's teacher after 3 absences. Attendance Policy brochure given to parents. Home visits by school social worker and attendance social worker. Full-Service School referrals</p>	<p>1.1. M. Sierra, School Counselor N. Savage-Attendance Social Worker General Education Teacher M. Sierra, School Counselor General Education Teacher M. King, Social Worker N. Savage, Attendance Social Worker M. Sierra, School Counselor K. Sands, School Counselor</p>	<p>1.1. Parent Attendance at meetings Number of students referred to the Attendance Intervention Team.</p>	<p>1.1. Attendance report from school information system. Agendas and notes from Attendance meetings. Log of social worker home visits</p>		
-----------------------------	---	---	--	--	---	--	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<p><u>Attendance Goal #1:</u></p> <p>To reduce the number of students with 10 or more absences by 10% as compared to 2011-2012 school year.</p>	<p><u>2012 Current Attendance Rate:*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Attendance Rate:*</u></p>					
	<p>47% of students had 10 or more absences.</p>	<p>37% of students will have 10 or more absences.</p>					
	<p><u>2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences</u> <u>(10 or more)</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences</u> <u>(10 or more)</u></p>					
	<p>396</p>	<p>356</p>					
	<p><u>2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)</u></p>					
	<p>Enter numerical data for current number of students tardy in this box.</p>	<p>Enter numerical data for expected number of students tardy in this box.</p>					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		1.2. Cultural differences impede parental understanding of the importance of regular attendance.	1.2.School Based Workshops Home visits	1.2 M. Sierra, School Counselor K. Sands, School Counselor M. King, Social Worker	1.2. Parent Attendance at meetings	1.2. Attendance Records Chart by individual student for students with high absentees Log- number of home visits	
		1.3. Students don't see value in attending school regularly	1.3.Perfect/good attendance awards Awards Ceremonies/Ribbons Assign NAS mentors for students with high absentee rates.	1.3. M. Sierra, School Counselor K. Sands, School Counselor M. King, Social Worker General Education Teacher	1.3. Attendance records	1.3. Attendance records NAS mentoring logs	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Attendance Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Review of attendance policies	All	Attendance Worker, School Counselors	School-Wide	Faculty Meeting-Oct/ November	Number of attendance referrals	School Administration School Counselor
Importance of regular attendance	All	Attendance Worker, School Counselors, School Psychologist	Parents	Parent Night	Number of attendance referrals	School Social Worker School Administration School Counselor School Social Worker School Psychologist

Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district			
--	--	--	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Attendance Awards	Ribbons, pins	Student Incentive Funds	500.00
Subtotal: \$500.00			
Total: \$500.00			

End of Attendance Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Suspension Goal(s)	Problem-solving Process to Decrease Suspension						
Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<p>1. Suspension</p>	<p>I.1. Need for more use of positive discipline strategies in the classroom.</p>	<p>I.1. Implement School wide CHAMPS / Card System Implement Behavior Tools and modified behavior systems, as needed Review staff duty support schedule Success Store (rewards) using Eagle dollars. Daily planner signature checks with incentives (Morning Show). Implement new arrival & dismissal procedures school wide. Implement new lunch room procedures. School wide discipline plan.</p>	<p>I.1 Faculty and Staff Principal Assistant Principal Faculty & Staff Principal & Assistant Principal Faculty & Staff Foundations Team Guidance Counselors</p>	<p>I.1. Discipline data from Genesis and DCPS IM Data Foundations Surveys</p>	<p>I.1. School suspension data</p>		
-----------------------------	---	--	---	--	--	--	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		<p>Foundations team will present behavior strategies at monthly Faculty meetings.</p> <p>Classroom guidance, 2nd Step</p> <p>K-5 (bullying)</p> <p>Host quarterly assemblies to reward positive behavior</p>					
<p><u>Suspension Goal #1:</u></p> <p>By the end of the 2012-2013 school year our school will decrease the number of students in school suspensions by 15% and out of school suspensions by 15%.</p>	<p><u>2012 Total Number of In-School Suspensions</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions</u></p>					
	44	35					
	<p><u>2012 Total Number of Students Suspended</u></p> <p><u>In-School</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended</u></p> <p><u>In-School</u></p>					
	31	25					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	<u>2012 Number of Out-of-School Suspensions</u>	<u>2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions</u>					
	122	104					
	<u>2012 Total Number of Students Suspended</u>	<u>2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended</u>					
	<u>Out-of-School</u>	<u>Out-of-School</u>					
	64	57					
		1.2.Level of effective communication with parents.	1.2. Frequent and proactive communication with parents through the school-wide phone contact system, calendars, student planners, school sign, and flyers and notices.	1.2 Full Service Support School Staff	1.2. Log of behavior referrals School climate survey-parents	1.2. Student agenda/planners (used as a home school connection correspondence tool) School suspension data	
		1.3.Parent not responding and following through with in-take meeting.	1.3. Recommendations by guidance counselors for student to use Full Service behavior modification resources (i.e. certified therapists)	1.3. Guidance Counselors School Social Worker School Administration	1.3. Log of parent conferences	School archive of referrals. School archive of Full-Service resource usage. Log of school Social Worker home visits.	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

**Suspension Professional Development
Professional
Development
(PD) aligned with
Strategies through
Professional
Learning
Community (PLC)
or PD Activity**

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Behavior interventions	K-5	Foundations	School Wide	Monthly /staff training/ grade levels.	Classroom visits/Foundations Survey	Administration
Use of Full Service Resources	K-5	Full Service School Counselors	School Wide	Pre-planning	Log of referrals to Full Service	School Counselors Administration
Use of Guidance Counselors, School Social Worker, School Psychologist as a resource	K-5	Guidance Counselors Social Work Psychologist	School Wide	Pre-planning Early Release Days Professional Planning Day	Weekly log of counselors visits Guidance counselors, social worker, psychologist logs/ schedules	Guidance Counselors Administration

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Behavior Tools Training	EBD Teachers/ ESE Teachers	District ESE Office	EBD Teachers/ ESE Staff	Pre-planning/ Ongoing throughout the school year	Site visits by the district Observations	District ESE personnel Administration
					Implementations of BIP and development of FBA	

Suspension Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Behavior Management	CHAMPs Books/ Resource Books	Title I/ Professional Development	\$500.00
Card System	The colored index cards	General Fund	\$200.00
Student Planners	Planners	General Fund	\$6,000.00
Subtotal:\$6,700			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Behavior Modification Training	Professional resources	Behavior – Title 1	\$200.00
Subtotal: \$200.00			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Incentives	Success Store Prizes	Student Incentives fund/ School-wide Fundraiser	\$2500.00
Subtotal: \$2,500.00			
Total: \$9,400.00			

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

End of Suspension Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section.

Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involvement Goal(s)	Problem-solving Process to Parent Involvement						
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions,” identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<p>1. Parent Involvement</p>	<p>1. Families may view after school activities after school hours as unimportant, trivial, or have work conflicts.</p>	<p>1.1. Positively communicate Family Nights and Events in advance via the school newsletter, Parent Link invites, school website and flyers.</p> <p>Provide detailed information on the benefits of family connection nights and the focus on academic achievement and instruction.</p> <p>Partner with the PTA to designate liaisons invite parents and encourage their attendance</p> <p>Utilizing Title I funding to provide food and incentives for Family Nights</p> <p>Hold activities at different times to accommodate work schedules.</p>	<p>1.1. Teachers</p> <p>Administrators</p> <p>School Counselors</p> <p>Social Worker</p> <p>School Psychologist</p>	<p>1. Parent surveys administered during family nights/events</p>	<p>1. Participation logs/sign-in sheets for Family nights and evening events</p> <p>School Climate Surveys</p>		
-------------------------------------	---	---	---	---	--	--	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<u>Parent Involvement Goal #1:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:*</u>					
<p><i>To increase parent involvement in after school family events and activities by 8%</i></p> <p><i>We will also increase the number of parent volunteers and opportunities for parents to assist within the school.</i></p> <p><i>*Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.</i></p>							
	<p><i>7% of parents attended family nights/events after school hours</i></p>	<p><i>15% of parents will attend family nights/events after school hours</i></p>					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		<p>2. Communicating effectively with families due to language barriers, access to technology, etc.</p>	<p>3. Provide language translators as needed to ESOL families</p> <p>Provide information to parents regarding family nights/ events, conferences, information pertaining to their student's education in multiple formats of communication.</p>	<p>1.2. Teachers</p> <p>Administrators</p> <p>School Counselors</p> <p>Social Worker</p> <p>School Psychologist</p>	<p>2. Parent surveys administered during family nights/ events</p> <p>School Climate Surveys</p>	<p>1.2. School Climate Surveys</p>	
--	--	--	---	---	--	------------------------------------	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		<p>4. Parents need books, resources and materials to assist with student learning at home.</p>	<p>3. Identify students in various sub-groups and provide special invites with training sessions for parents . After these sessions reading support will continue with follow-up sessions.</p> <p>Supply parents with strategies and resources to supplement instruction at home</p> <p>Educate parents on the importance of students reading on grade level in elementary school.</p> <p>Purchase appropriate materials and provide activities and resource kits for families to check out and work with their children.</p>	<p>4. Administration Teachers</p>	<p>1.3. Parent Surveys</p> <p>Monitor targeted student progress and chart gains</p> <p>Provide parent feedback forms in take-home kits</p>	<p>1.4 Results of parent surveys from feedback forms</p> <p>Targeted student data</p> <p>Review School Climate data from parents</p>	
--	--	--	---	---------------------------------------	--	--	--

Parent Involvement Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC)

August 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Effective Communication with Families	K-5	Kristen Sands	School Wide	Early Release	Pre Post Tests	Kristen Sands
		Millie Sierra			Climate Survey	Millie Sierra
					Teacher feedback and communication	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Parent Involvement Budget

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Increase parent knowledge of Instructional Practices	Parent Pamphlets/take home materials	Parental Involvement Title 1 money	500.00
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<p><u>STEM Goal #1:</u></p> <p><i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></p>	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
--	------	------	------	------	------

STEM Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
---------------------------------------	-------------------------	--	---	---	-----------------------------------	---

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of STEM Goal(s)

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<p><u>CTE Goal #1:</u></p> <p><i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></p>	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
--	------	------	------	------	------

CTE Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
---------------------------------------	-------------------------	--	---	---	-----------------------------------	---

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

CTE Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of CTE Goal(s)

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Additional Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Additional Goal	1.1. Time constraints for mentors	1.1. Create schedules for mentors with the best times to meet with students. Monitor mentor visits and address any concerns with scheduling.	1.1. Volunteer Liaison Administration Volunteer Coordinator	1.1. Monitor volunteer hours monthly Meet with the NAS commanding officer and facilitator for Big Brother/ Big Sister Program, as needed	1.1. Volunteer/ Mentor Logs		

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<u>Additional Goal #1:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level :*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level :*</u>					
Establish a mentoring program for students at Jacksonville Heights , involving thirty mentors to service at least 30 students. Mentors will be selected in October 2012 and will meet with students weekly.							
	12 mentors (2011-12)	30 mentors .					
		1.2. Locating at least 30 mentors to serve at JHE	1.2.Establish partnerships with community resources (NAS *& Big Brothers/ Big Sisters Program) Devise a plan for mentors to service students Select students for the mentoring program to motivate students for academics and behavior.	1.2. Volunteer Liaison Administration Volunteer Coordinator	1.2. Utilize partnership with NAS and Big Brothers/ Big Sisters Program to identify quality mentors	1.2. Data received from surveys and training sessions.	
		1.3. Mentors are not all properly trained to work with children	1.3. Provide professional development for mentors	1.3. Volunteer Liaison Administration Volunteer Coordinator	1.3. Observe mentors with students. Monitor the number of hours spent with a mentee monthly.	1.3. Volunteer/ Mentor Logs	

Additional Goals Professional Development

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Mentor Training	Mentors	Volunteer Coordinator Volunteer Liaison, & Administration	Mentors / Selected Staff Members	October/ November 2012	Follow up training sessions with mentors Observations of interactions with students	Classroom Teachers/ Staff Members Volunteer Coordinator Volunteer Liaison, & Administration

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Additional Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Additional Goal(s)

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Final Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	Total:
CELLA Budget	Total:
Mathematics Budget	Total:
Science Budget	Total:
Writing Budget	Total:
Civics Budget	Total:
U.S. History Budget	Total:
Attendance Budget	Total:
Suspension Budget	Total:
Dropout Prevention Budget	Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	Total:
STEM Budget	Total:
CTE Budget	Total:
Additional Goals	Total:
Grand Total:	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under "Default value" header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an "x" in the box.)

School Differentiated Accountability Status		
<input type="checkbox"/> Priority	<input type="checkbox"/> Focus	<input type="checkbox"/> Prevent

Are you reward school? Yes No

(A reward school is any school that has improved their letter grade from the previous year or any A graded school.)

- Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the *Upload* page

School Advisory Council (SAC)

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting *Yes* or *No* below.

Yes No

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.
<i>The first SAC meeting will be held on Thursday, September 20, 2012 at 8:00 A.M. This meeting will allow the school the opportunity to work through the process of identifying SAC members and electing a SAC board.</i>
Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

The School Advisory Council (SAC) has an important function for the success of Jacksonville Heights Elementary. Listed below are some of the functions of the SAC.

- Participating in planning and monitoring of the school building and grounds
- Initiating activities or programs that generate greater cooperation between the community and the school
- Recommending various support services for the school.
- Assisting in the preparation and evaluation of the School Improvement Plan required by Florida Statutes, and annually reviewing, amending or continuing such school improvement plan.
- Assisting in the development of educational goals and objectives.
- Review and give input in the preparation of the school budget.
- Performing other functions as requested by the principal.

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
The purchase of Weekly Reader and Weekly Reader Science Spin for all students	\$1500.00