

# Florida Department of Education



## School Improvement Plan (SIP)

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs**

**for Juvenile Justice Education Programs**

2012–2013

**2012 – 2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN**

**PART I: SCHOOL INFORMATION**

School Name: MIAMI SUBSTANCE ABUSE TREATMENT CENTER	District Name: MIAMI DADE
Principal: CLAIRE WARREN	Superintendent: ALBERTO CARVALHO
SAC Chair: LEONARDO CANCIO	Date of School Board Approval: Pending

**Student Achievement Data:**

Use data from the Common Assessment to complete reading and mathematics goals. Programs may include math data from the math assessment used in 2011–2012.

**Administrators**

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs**

List your school’s administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT (High Standards, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AYP information along with the associated school year) (press ctrl+tab to tab within a cell)																																																
Principal	Claire C. Warren	Degrees: BS, Industrial Arts; Florida State Univ. MS, Industrial Arts; Florida International Univ. EdS, Computer Science; Barry Univ.  Certification: Technology Ed Voc Ed Dir School Principal Ed Leadership	1	19	<table border="0"> <tr> <td></td> <td>'12</td> <td>'11</td> <td>'10</td> <td>'09</td> <td>'08</td> </tr> <tr> <td>School Grade</td> <td>NG</td> <td>NG</td> <td>NG</td> <td>NG</td> <td>NG</td> </tr> <tr> <td>AYP</td> <td>NG</td> <td>NA</td> <td>N</td> <td>N</td> <td>N</td> </tr> <tr> <td>High Standards Rdg.</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> </tr> <tr> <td>High Standards Math</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Lrng Gains-Rdg.</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Lrng Gains-Math</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Gains-Rdg-25%</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> </tr> </table>		'12	'11	'10	'09	'08	School Grade	NG	NG	NG	NG	NG	AYP	NG	NA	N	N	N	High Standards Rdg.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	High Standards Math	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Lrng Gains-Rdg.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Lrng Gains-Math	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Gains-Rdg-25%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	'12	'11	'10	'09	'08																																																
School Grade	NG	NG	NG	NG	NG																																																
AYP	NG	NA	N	N	N																																																
High Standards Rdg.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA																																																
High Standards Math	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA																																																
Lrng Gains-Rdg.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA																																																
Lrng Gains-Math	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA																																																
Gains-Rdg-25%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA																																																

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs**

Assistant Principal	Tabitha E. Young	Degrees:	2	6													
		BS, Elem Ed: Barry Univ			<table border="0"> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">'12</td> <td style="text-align: center;">'11</td> <td style="text-align: center;">'10</td> <td style="text-align: center;">'09</td> <td style="text-align: center;">'08</td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>School Grade</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;">NG</td> <td style="text-align: center;">NG</td> <td style="text-align: center;">NG</td> <td style="text-align: center;">NG</td> <td style="text-align: center;">P</td> </tr> </table>		'12	'11	'10	'09	'08	<u>School Grade</u>	NG	NG	NG	NG	P
	'12	'11	'10	'09	'08												
<u>School Grade</u>	NG	NG	NG	NG	P												
		MS, Urban Ed; Florida International Univ.			<table border="0"> <tr> <td><u>AYP</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;">NG</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Y</td> </tr> </table>	<u>AYP</u>	NG	N	N	N	Y						
<u>AYP</u>	NG	N	N	N	Y												
					<table border="0"> <tr> <td><u>High Standards Rdg.</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;">NA</td> <td style="text-align: center;">NA</td> <td style="text-align: center;">NA</td> <td style="text-align: center;">NA</td> <td style="text-align: center;">34</td> </tr> </table>	<u>High Standards Rdg.</u>	NA	NA	NA	NA	34						
<u>High Standards Rdg.</u>	NA	NA	NA	NA	34												
					<table border="0"> <tr> <td><u>High Standards Math</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;">NA</td> <td style="text-align: center;">NA</td> <td style="text-align: center;">NA</td> <td style="text-align: center;">NA</td> <td style="text-align: center;">24</td> </tr> </table>	<u>High Standards Math</u>	NA	NA	NA	NA	24						
<u>High Standards Math</u>	NA	NA	NA	NA	24												
		Certification:															
		Elem Ed			<table border="0"> <tr> <td><u>Lrng Gains-Rdg.</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;">NA</td> <td style="text-align: center;">NA</td> <td style="text-align: center;">NA</td> <td style="text-align: center;">NA</td> <td style="text-align: center;">66</td> </tr> </table>	<u>Lrng Gains-Rdg.</u>	NA	NA	NA	NA	66						
<u>Lrng Gains-Rdg.</u>	NA	NA	NA	NA	66												
		ESOL Endorsement			<table border="0"> <tr> <td><u>Lrng Gains-Math</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;">NA</td> <td style="text-align: center;">NA</td> <td style="text-align: center;">NA</td> <td style="text-align: center;">NA</td> <td style="text-align: center;">64</td> </tr> </table>	<u>Lrng Gains-Math</u>	NA	NA	NA	NA	64						
<u>Lrng Gains-Math</u>	NA	NA	NA	NA	64												
		Ed Leadership			<table border="0"> <tr> <td><u>Gains-Rdg-25%</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;">NA</td> <td style="text-align: center;">NA</td> <td style="text-align: center;">NA</td> <td style="text-align: center;">NA</td> <td style="text-align: center;">73</td> </tr> </table>	<u>Gains-Rdg-25%</u>	NA	NA	NA	NA	73						
<u>Gains-Rdg-25%</u>	NA	NA	NA	NA	73												
					<table border="0"> <tr> <td><u>Gains-Math-25%</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;">NA</td> <td style="text-align: center;">NA</td> <td style="text-align: center;">NA</td> <td style="text-align: center;">NA</td> <td style="text-align: center;">70</td> </tr> </table>	<u>Gains-Math-25%</u>	NA	NA	NA	NA	70						
<u>Gains-Math-25%</u>	NA	NA	NA	NA	70												

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs**  
**Instructional Coaches**

List your school’s instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)																																																						
Reading	Nadeshka Alonso	Certified in Reading and Emotional Behavioral Disorders; ESOL endorsed	11	2.0	<table border="0"> <tr> <td></td> <td>12</td> <td>11</td> <td>10</td> <td>09</td> <td>08</td> </tr> <tr> <td>School Grade</td> <td>NG</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> <td>I</td> <td>P</td> </tr> <tr> <td>AYP</td> <td>NG</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>High Standards Rdg.</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> <td>7%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>High Standards Math</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> <td>12%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Lrng Gains-Rdg.</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> <td>39%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Lrng Gains-Math</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> <td>46%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Gains-Rdg-25%</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Gains-Math-25%</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> </tr> </table>		12	11	10	09	08	School Grade	NG	NA	NA	I	P	AYP	NG	NA	NA	NA	NO	High Standards Rdg.	NA	NA	NA	NA	7%	High Standards Math	NA	NA	NA	NA	12%	Lrng Gains-Rdg.	NA	NA	NA	NA	39%	Lrng Gains-Math	NA	NA	NA	NA	46%	Gains-Rdg-25%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Gains-Math-25%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	12	11	10	09	08																																																						
School Grade	NG	NA	NA	I	P																																																						
AYP	NG	NA	NA	NA	NO																																																						
High Standards Rdg.	NA	NA	NA	NA	7%																																																						
High Standards Math	NA	NA	NA	NA	12%																																																						
Lrng Gains-Rdg.	NA	NA	NA	NA	39%																																																						
Lrng Gains-Math	NA	NA	NA	NA	46%																																																						
Gains-Rdg-25%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA																																																						
Gains-Math-25%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA																																																						

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs**

Math/ Science	Mike Brennan	Professional certificate: Physics, Chemistry, Biology 6-12; Administration 7-12	6	4		12 11 10 09 08
					School Grade	NG NA NA I P
					AYP	NG NA NA NA NO
					High Standards Rdg.	NA NA NA NA 7%
					High Standards Math	NA NA NA NA 12%
					Lrng Gains-Rdg.	NA NA NA NA 39%
					Lrng Gains-Math	NA NA NA NA 46%
					Gains-Rdg-25%	NA NA NA NA NA
Gains-Math-25%	NA NA NA NA NA					

**Effective and Highly Effective Teachers**

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date
1. Conduct regular meetings for new teachers with Principal/ Department Chairpersons.	Principal, Assistant Principal, Department Chairpersons	Ongoing
2. Partner new teachers with veteran staff/nationally board certified teachers.	Principal, Assistant Principal	Ongoing
3. Provide professional development opportunities for teachers to increase teacher effectiveness.	Principal, Assistant Principal, Professional Development Liaison	Ongoing
4.		

***Non-Highly Effective Instructors***

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only). \*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs**

Number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
2	<p>Check for missing coursework</p> <p>Take subject area exam</p> <p>Enter HOUSSE website</p> <p>Update qualifications</p>

***Staff Demographics***

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Total number of Instructional Staff	% of first-year teachers	% of teachers with 1-5 years of experience	% of teachers with 6-14 years of experience	% of teachers with 15+ years of experience	% of teachers with Advanced Degrees	% of teachers with an Effective Rating or higher	% of Reading Endorsed Teachers	% of National Board Certified Teachers	% of ESOL Endorsed Teachers
-------------------------------------	--------------------------	--	---	--	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------------	--	-----------------------------

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs**

3	0	0%	33	67	10	10	33	0%	0%
	%	(0)	%	%	0%	0%	%	(0)	(0)
	(0)		(1)	(2)	(3)	(3)	(1)		

***Teacher Mentoring Program***

Please describe the school’s teacher mentoring program by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities

***\*Grades 6-12 Only*** Sec. 1003.413 (b) F.S

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

Internal workshops and Collegial Learning Communities have been implemented to provide uniform use of reading strategies across the curriculum. A calendar for reading endorsement training sessions is made available to all teachers, and CRISS workshops are being scheduled for any teacher not yet trained. The reading coach visits centers and provides model lessons for teaching reading across the curriculum.

***\*High Schools Only***

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S., Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

EAOP offers Personal, Career, and School Development courses at all centers. Other vocational courses are offered on a shared time basis for four S3C Centers. Many supplementary materials, including online resources, have been provided to all locations.

How does the school incorporate students’ academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students’ course of study is personally meaningful?

Each 8<sup>th</sup> grade student completes an ePersonal Education Plan (ePEP) outlining the course breadth and expectations during high school years. Additionally, guidance counselors meet with students on a regular basis to discuss academic and vocational choices as they move through the pupil progression plan sequence. Many students complete Individual Academic Plans, which incorporate reading goals, writing goals, math goals, and career goals.



## 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

### *Postsecondary Transition*

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

**Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the [High School Feedback Report](#).**

Information is provided to students regarding all available educational options, both private and public. Students are not limited to information related to public education only. Additionally, information on financial assistance and community support groups is also provided. This is made possible by the transition coordinators and guidance counselors as a result of frequent visits and tours to educational, vocational, and work programs throughout the county.

The guidance counselors provide SAT and ACT fee waivers. They also coordinate GED testing, including a payment voucher program for currently enrolled students.

## **PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS**

### **Reading Goals**

Please refer to questions below to guide your responses when completing the goal chart. Specific responses are not required for each question on the template.

#### **Guiding Questions to Inform the Problem-Solving Process**

- Based on a comparison of 2010-2011 common assessment data and 2011-2012 common assessment data, what was the percent increase or decrease of students maintaining learning gains?
- What percentage of students made learning gains?
- What was the percent increase or decrease of students making learning gains?
- What are the anticipated barriers to increasing the percentage of students making learning gains?
- What strategies will be implemented to increase and maintain proficiency for these students?
- What additional supplemental interventions/remediation will be provided for students not achieving learning gains?

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs**

<b>READING GOALS</b>	<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<p><b>1. Percentage of students making learning gains in reading.</b></p> <p>—</p> <p><u>Reading Goal #1:</u></p>	<p>1.1. The area of deficiency as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT Reading Test was Reporting Category 1, Vocabulary.</p>	<p>1.1. Provide instruction in which students will utilize affix and root word activities to provide context when presented with unfamiliar vocabulary. Students will use context clues and relate new vocabulary to familiar words</p>	<p>1.1. MTSS Team Members  Test Chairperson</p>	<p>1.1. Disaggregate data according to student deficiencies and reteach or enrich, as needed.</p>	<p>1.1. Formative: Interim assessments  Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Assessment</p>		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs**

<p><i>Based on 2011-12 assessment data 11% of students with available assessment results are performing at proficiency level in reading.</i></p> <p><i>The percentage of students who increase their reading post-test scores on the CA Reading test will be 50% by May 2013</i></p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></p>					
	<p>11% (1)</p>	<p>50% (5)</p>					
		<p>1.2.</p>	<p>1.2.</p>	<p>1.2.</p>	<p>1.2.</p>	<p>1.2.</p>	
		<p>1.3.</p>	<p>1.3.</p>	<p>1.3.</p>	<p>1.3.</p>	<p>1.3.</p>	

<p>Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target</p>	<p>2011-2012</p>	<p>2012-2013</p>	<p>2013-2014</p>	<p>2014-2015</p>	<p>2015-2016</p>	<p>2016-2017</p>	
<p><b>2. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.</b></p>	<p><b>Baseline data 2010-2011</b></p>						

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs**

<u>Reading Goal #2:</u>							
<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>							

**Reading Professional Development**

**Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity**

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic  and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator  and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants  (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules  (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
FAIR Assessment Training	6-12	Reading Coach	Reading Coach	September 2012	Implementation of FAIR assessment	Department Chair, Reading Coach Assistant Principal, Principal
Common Core State Standards (CCSS)	6-12	Reading Coach, Assistant Principal	Reading/Language Arts teachers	October 2012/Ongoing	Implementation of rotations in classroom instruction	Department Chair, Reading Coach, Assistant Principal, Principal

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs**

Reading Across the Curriculum

6-12

Reading Coach Content Area Teachers

December 2012

Implementation of rotations in classroom instruction

Department Chair, Reading Coach, Assistant Principal, Principal

**Reading Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school funded activities/ materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Provide instruction in which students will utilize affix and root word activities to provide context when presented with unfamiliar vocabulary. Students will use context clues and relate new vocabulary to familiar words	Purchase class sets of novels and high interest reading materials	Title I/DJJ Supplemental Funds	\$1,000.00
<b>Subtotal:\$1,000</b>			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Provide instruction utilizing graphic organizers and summarization activities to improve understanding of main idea of grade level text.	Promethean Boards, related materials	Title I/DJJ Supplemental Funds	\$4,000.00
<b>Subtotal: \$4,000</b>			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Utilize concept maps and graphic organizers to help build a stronger understanding of descriptive language and how it informs an understanding of a text.	Substitute Funding and stipends for workshops	Title I/ DJJ Supplemental Funds	\$400.00
	CRISS Trainer	Title I	\$100.00
<b>Subtotal:\$500</b>			
Other			

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs**

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
<b>Total: \$5,500</b>			

*End of Reading Goals*

-

**Mathematics Goals**

Please refer to questions below to guide your responses when completing the goal chart. Specific responses are not required for each question on the template.

<p><b>Guiding Questions to Inform the Problem-Solving Process</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Based on a comparison of 2010-2011 common assessment data and 2011-2012 common assessment data, what was the percent increase or decrease of students maintaining learning gains? Programs may include math data from the math assessment used in 2011–2012.</li> <li>■ What percentage of students made learning gains?</li> <li>■ What was the percent increase or decrease of students making learning gains?</li> <li>■ What are the anticipated barriers to increasing the percentage of students making learning gains?</li> <li>■ What strategies will be implemented to increase and maintain proficiency for these students?</li> <li>■ What additional supplemental interventions/remediation will be provided for students not achieving learning gains?</li> </ul>
---

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g. 70% (35)).

	<b>Problem-</b>					
--	-----------------	--	--	--	--	--

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs**

<b>MATHEMATICS GOALS</b>	<b>Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<p><b>1. Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.</b></p> <p>—</p> <p><u>Mathematics Goal #1:</u></p>	<p>1.1. The area of deficiency as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Test was Geometry and Measurement .</p>	<p>1.1. Provide manipulatives and measuring tools and training in their use to all centers, specifically addressing measuring tools as a means of representing abstract concepts.</p>	<p>1.1. MTSS Team</p>	<p>1.1. Ongoing classroom assessments focusing on basic mathematics skills</p>	<p>1.1. Formative: Interim assessments Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Assessment</p>		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs**

<p><i>Based on 2011-12 assessment data 10% of students with available assessment results are performing at proficiency level in reading.</i></p> <p><i>The percentage of students who increase their reading post-test scores on the CA Reading test will be 50% by May 2013</i></p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></p>					
	<p>10% (1)</p>	<p>50% (5)</p>					
		<p>1.2.</p>	<p>1.2.</p>	<p>1.2.</p>	<p>1.2.</p>	<p>1.2.</p>	
		<p>1.3.</p>	<p>1.3.</p>	<p>1.3.</p>	<p>1.3.</p>	<p>1.3.</p>	

<p>Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target</p>	<p>2011-2012</p>	<p>2012-2013</p>	<p>2013-2014</p>	<p>2014-2015</p>	<p>2015-2016</p>	<p>2016-2017</p>	
---	------------------	------------------	------------------	------------------	------------------	------------------	--



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs**

<p><b>2. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.</b></p>	<p><b>Baseline data 2010-2011</b></p>						
<p><u>Mathematics Goal #2:</u></p> <p><i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></p>							

**Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

<p><b>Algebra EOC Goals</b></p>	<p><b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b></p>						
<p>Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:</p>	<p>Anticipated Barrier</p>	<p>Strategy</p>	<p>Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring</p>	<p>Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy</p>	<p>Evaluation Tool</p>		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs**

<p><b>1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra.</b></p>	<p>1.1. The area of deficiency as noted on the 2012 administration of the Algebra EOC Test was Rationals, Radicals, Quadratics, and Discrete Mathematics.</p>	<p>1.1. Provide inductive reasoning strategies that include discovery learning activities</p>	<p>1.1. MTSS Team</p>	<p>1.1. Ongoing classroom assessments focusing on basic mathematics skills.</p>	<p>1.1. Formative: Interim assessments Summative: 2013 Algebra EOC Assessment</p>		
<p><u>Algebra Goal #1:</u></p> <p><i>Based on 2011-12 assessment data 0% of students with available assessment results are performing at proficiency level in Algebra.</i></p> <p><i>33% of students will perform at level 3 in Algebra by the May 2013 assessments.</i></p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></p>					
	<p>0% (0)</p>	<p>33% (1)</p>					
		<p>1.2.</p>	<p>1.2.</p>	<p>1.2.</p>	<p>1.2.</p>	<p>1.2.</p>	
		<p>1.3.</p>	<p>1.3.</p>	<p>1.3.</p>	<p>1.3.</p>	<p>1.3.</p>	
<p>Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:</p>	<p>Anticipated Barrier</p>	<p>Strategy</p>	<p>Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring</p>	<p>Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy</p>	<p>Evaluation Tool</p>		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs**

<p><b>2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Algebra.</b></p>	<p>2.1. The area of deficiency as noted on the 2012 administration of the Algebra EOC Test was Rationals, Radicals, Quadratics, and Discrete Mathematics.</p>	<p>2.1. Provide all students with practice in identifying relationships and patterns</p>	<p>2.1. MTSS Team</p>	<p>2.1. Ongoing classroom assessments focusing on advanced Algebra skills.</p>	<p>2.1. Formative: Interim assessments Summative: 2013 Algebra EOC Assessment</p>		
<p><u>Algebra Goal #2:</u></p> <p><i>Based on 2011-12 assessment data 0% of students with available assessment results are performing at proficiency level in Algebra.</i></p> <p><i>33% of students will perform at level 4-5 in Algebra by the May 2013 assessments.</i></p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></p>					
	<p>0% (0)</p>	<p>33% (1)</p>					
		<p>2.2.</p>	<p>2.2.</p>	<p>2.2.</p>	<p>2.2.</p>	<p>2.2.</p>	
		<p>2.3</p>	<p>2.3</p>	<p>2.3</p>	<p>2.3</p>	<p>2.3</p>	
<p>Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target</p>	<p><b>2011-2012</b></p>	<p><b>2012-2013</b></p>	<p><b>2013-2014</b></p>	<p><b>2014-2015</b></p>	<p><b>2015-2016</b></p>	<p><b>2016-2017</b></p>	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs**

<p><b>3. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.</b></p>	<p><b>Baseline data 2010-2011</b></p>						
<p><u>Algebra Goal #3:</u>  <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></p>							

*End of Algebra EOC Goals*

**Geometry End-of-Course Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

<p><b>Geometry EOC Goals</b></p>	<p><b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b></p>						
----------------------------------	---	--	--	--	--	--	--

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry.</b>	1.1. The area which showed minimal growth as noted on the 2012 administration of the Geometry EOC Test was Three-Dimensional Geometry.	1.1. Provide inductive reasoning strategies that include discovery learning activities	1.1. MTSS Team	1.1. Ongoing classroom assessments focusing on dimensional geometry.	1.1. Formative: Interim assessments Summative: 2013 Geometry EOC assessment		
<u>Geometry Goal #1:</u>  <i>Based on 2011-12 assessment data 0% of students with available assessment results are performing at proficiency level in Geometry.</i>  <i>5% of students will perform at level 3 in Geometry by the May 2013 assessments.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	0% (0)	5% (1)					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Geometry.</b>	2.1. Students entering the EAOP program display a variety of emotional, behavioral, and academic deficiencies.	2.1. Update and ensure compliance with guidelines for students with IEP’s.	2.1. School administration, school psychologists, counselors, SPED and classroom teachers.	2.1. Ongoing classroom assessments with an emphasis on Math gains	2.1. Interim Assessments and Geometry EOC assessments.		
<b>Geometry Goal #2:</b>  <i>Based on 2011-12 assessment data 0% of students with available assessment results are performing at proficiency level in Geometry.</i>  <i>5% of students will perform at level 4-5 in Geometry by the May 2013 assessments.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	0% (0)	5% (1)					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target	<b>2011-2012</b>	<b>2012-2013</b>	<b>2013-2014</b>	<b>2014-2015</b>	<b>2015-2016</b>	<b>2016-2017</b>	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs**

<p><b>3. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.</b></p>	<p><b>Baseline data 2010-2011</b></p>						
<p><u>Geometry Goal #3:</u>  <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></p>							

**Mathematics Professional Development**

**Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity**

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

## 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader District Personnel	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) Middle School Math Teachers	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) September 2012 and on- going	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Students' work Classroom walk-through	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Mathematics Teachers, administrators and curriculum support personnel
Middle Grades Math Leader Learning Community	6-8 Mathematics	Common Core Standards Team Members	Math Teachers	October 2012 and on- going	Lesson Study	Mathematics Teachers, administrators and curriculum support personnel
Senior High School Math Leader Learning Community	9-12 Mathematics	District Personnel	Senior High School Math teachers	September 2012 and on- going	Students' work Classroom walk-through	Mathematics Teachers, administrators and curriculum support personnel

### *End of Geometry EOC Goals*

## Mathematics Budget

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs**

Provide students with opportunities to learn concepts using manipulatives, visuals and assistive technology	Promethean Board	Title I	\$1,000
<b>Subtotal:\$1,000</b>			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Provide inductive reasoning strategies that include discovery learning activities	Substitute Funds	Title I/DJJ Supplemental Funds	\$400
<b>Subtotal:\$400</b>			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
<b>Total:\$1,400</b>			

*End of Mathematics Goals*

**Biology End-of-Course (EOC) Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

<b>Biology EOC Goals</b>	<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>						
--------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<p><b>1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Biology.</b></p>	<p>1.1. The area of deficiency as noted on the 2012 administration of the Biology EOC was Molecular and Cellular Biology</p>	<p>1.1. Provide inquiry-based laboratory activities of life and environmental science systems, for students to make connections to real-life experiences, and explain and write about their results and their experiences.</p>	<p>1.1. MTSS Team</p>	<p>1.1. Ongoing classroom assessments focusing on scientific thinking.</p>	<p>1.1. Formative: Interim assessments Summative: 2013 Biology EOC Assessment</p>		
<p><u>Biology Goal #1:</u></p> <p><i>Based on Baseline assessment data 0% of students with available assessment results are performing at proficiency level in Biology.</i></p> <p><i>14% of students will perform at level 3 in Biology by the May 2013 assessments.</i></p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></p>					
	<p>0% (0)</p>	<p>14% (1)</p>					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs**

		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology.</b>	2.1. The area of deficiency as noted on the 2012 administration of the Biology EOC was Molecular and Cellular Biology	2.1. Incorporate computer-based virtual simulations of science concepts that are not easily replicable in the classroom	2.1. MTSS Team	2.1. Completing GIZMOs activities and related curriculum-based assessments.	2.1. Formative: Interim assessments Summative: 2013 Biology EOC Assessment		
<b>Biology Goal #2:</b>  <i>Based on Baseline assessment data 0% of students with available assessment results are performing at proficiency level in Biology.</i>  <i>14% of students will perform at level 4-5 in Biology by the May 2013 assessments.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	0% (0)	14% (1)					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs**

		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
--	--	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	--

**Science Professional Development**

**Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity**

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic  and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator  and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants  (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules  (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Strategies and techniques to successfully conduct labs	Biology	Science Coach	Science Teachers and curriculum support personnel	September 2012 and on-going	Students' lab reports Classroom walk-through	Science Teachers, administrators and curriculum support personnel
Biology Content and Pacing II	Biology	Science Coach	Science Teachers, curriculum support personnel, and District Science personnel	November 2012 and ongoing	Students' work Classroom walk-through	Science Teachers, administrators and curriculum support personnel

**Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs**

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Provide inquiry-based laboratory activities of life and environmental science systems, for students to make connections to real-life experiences, and explain and write about their results and their experiences.	Prepared slides for basic cellular biology	School funds	\$200.00
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
<b>Total:</b>			

*End of Science Goals*

**Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals *(required in year 2014-2015)***

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

<b>Civics EOC Goals</b>	<b>Problem-</b>						
-------------------------	-----------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs**

	<b>Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Civics.</b>	1.1. The area of deficiency on the 2012 administration of the Civics Baseline Test was Organization and Function of Government.	1.1. Utilize District-published lesson plans with assessments aligned to tested End of Course Exam Benchmarks to maximize opportunities for students to master tested content.	1.1. Social sciences coach and Assistant principal, MTSS Team members	1.1. Disaggregate data according to student deficiencies and reteach or enrich, as needed.	1.1. Performance on spring interim assessment in Civics		
<u>Civics_Goal #1:</u>  <i>Based on Baseline assessment data 0% of students with available assessment results are performing at proficiency level in civics.</i>  <i>5% of students will perform at level 3 in civics by the May 2013 assessments.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	0% (0)	5% (1)					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs**

		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Civics.</b>	Organization and Function of Government.	7/Civics	Social Sciences Chair	Social science teachers	Early release dates		
<u>Civics Goal #2:</u>  <i>Based on Baseline assessment data 0% of students with available assessment results are performing at proficiency level in civics.</i>  <i>5% of students will perform at level 4-5 in civics by the May 2013 assessments.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	0% (0)	5% (1)					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs**

**Civics Professional Development**

**Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity**

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Organization and Function of Government.	7/Civics	Social Sciences Chair	Social science teachers	Early release dates	Utilize District-published lesson plans with assessments aligned to tested End of Course Exam Benchmarks to maximize opportunities for students to master tested content.	Assistant principal

**Civics Budget (Insert rows as needed)**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs**

<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Utilize District-published lesson plans with assessments aligned to tested End of Course Exam Benchmarks to maximize opportunities for students to master tested content.	Training packet developed by PD instructor	School funds	\$100.00
<b>Subtotal: \$100</b>			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
<b>Total:\$100</b>			

*End of Civics Goals*

**U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals *(required in year 2013-2014)***

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

<b>U.S. History EOC Goals</b>	<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>						
-------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<p><b>1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History.</b></p>	<p>1.1. The area of deficiency on the 2012 administration of the US History Baseline Test was the US and the Defense of the International Peace, 1940 to present.</p>	<p>1.1. Utilize District-published lesson plans with assessments aligned to tested End of Course Exam Benchmarks to maximize opportunities for students to master tested content.</p>	<p>1.1. Social sciences coach and assistant principal</p>	<p>1.1. Disaggregate data according to student deficiencies and reteach or enrich, as needed.</p>	<p>1. Performance on US History EOC assessment</p>		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs**

<u>U.S. History Goal #1:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
<p><i>Based on Baseline assessment data 0% of students with available assessment results are performing at proficiency level in US History.</i></p> <p><i>5% of students will perform at level 4-5 in US History by the May 2013EOC Assessment</i></p>	0% (0)	5% (1)					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
<p>Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:</p>	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs**

<p><b>2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in U.S. History.</b></p>	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
<p><u>US History Goal #2:</u>  <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></p>					
	<p><i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i></p>	<p><i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i></p>					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs**

		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
--	--	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	--

**U.S. History Professional Development**

**Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity**

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic  and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator  and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants  (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules  (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
---	-------------------------	--	---	--	-----------------------------------	---

**U.S. History Budget (Insert rows as needed)**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs**

<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
<b>Total:</b>			

*End of U.S. History Goals*

**Career Education Goals**

Please refer to questions below to guide your responses when completing the goal chart. Specific responses are not required for each question on the template.

**Guiding Questions to Inform the Problem-Solving Process**

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs**

- What career type does the program offer?
- How does the program provide career exploration for all students?
- What hands-on technical training does the program provide (type 3 programs)?
- For type 3 programs what industry certifications are offered?
- How many students earned industry certifications?
- Is the program a Career and Professional Education (CAPE) Academy?

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

<b>CAREER EDUCATION GOAL(S)</b>	<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>						
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs**

<p><b>1. Career Education Goal</b></p>	<p>1.1 Many students have not attended school on a regular basis prior to court-ordered residential placement and are therefore significantly below grade level in reading, math, science and social studies.</p>	<p>1.1. Monitor students in middle school on track to reach the senior high level in order to become eligible to take dual enrollment courses.</p>	<p>1.1. Principal, Assistant Principal,</p>	<p>1.1. Disaggregate data according to student deficiencies and reteach or enrich, as needed.</p>	<p>1. E2020 Progress Report</p>		
--	---	--	---	---	---------------------------------	--	--



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs**

	2012 Current Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*					
<p><i>The percentage of students who perform according to minimal standards on Work Place Readiness assessments will increase from <u>75% to 80%</u>.</i></p>	75% (18)	80% (20)					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

**Career Education Professional Development**

**Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity**

May 2012  
 Rule 6A-1.099811  
 Revised May 25, 2012

## 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader District Staff	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) Teachers	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) December 2012	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring E2020 reports	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Program Lead Teacher, Assistant Principal
E2020	6-12	District Staff	Teachers	December 2012	E2020 reports	Program Lead Teacher, Assistant Principal

### Career Education Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)	
Strategy	Descr
<b>Subtotal:</b>	
Technology	
Strategy	Descr
<b>Subtotal:</b>	
Professional Development	
Strategy	Descr
<b>Subtotal:</b>	
Other	
Strategy	Descr

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs**

	<b>Grand Total:</b>
--	---------------------

*End of Career Education Goal(s)*

**Transition Goal(s)**

Please refer to questions below to guide your responses when completing the goal chart. Specific responses are not required for each question on the template.

<b>Guiding Questions to Inform the Problem-Solving Process</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• How does the program deal with transition planning (entry and exit transition)?</li> <li>• How many students successfully transition (e.g., return to school, find employment)?</li> </ul>

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

<b>TRANSITION GOAL(S)</b>	<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>						
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs**

<p><b>1. Transition Goal</b></p>	<p>1.1. Students traditionally come to our centers with prior grade retention(s) and low academic performance. Students need opportunities to strengthen foundational skills and recover failed courses or earn equivalency diplomas.</p>	<p>1.1. Increase graduation rate by increasing student credit completions through credit recovery and equivalency diploma preparation.</p>	<p>1.1. Principal Assistant Principal Reading Coach</p>	<p>1. Course completions in e2020 and equivalency diploma preparation materials</p>	<p>1.1. e2020 reports and equivalency diploma pre-test results</p>		
<p>During 2011 year 80% (28) of students transitioned successfully and were not recommitted or incarcerated.</p> <p>During the 2012-13 school year, 85% (30)</p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level :*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level :*</u></p>					
	<p><i>80% (28)</i></p>	<p><i>85% (30)</i></p>					
		<p>1.2.</p>	<p>1.2.</p>	<p>1.2.</p>	<p>1.2.</p>	<p>1.2.</p>	
		<p>1.3.</p>	<p>1.3.</p>	<p>1.3.</p>	<p>1.3.</p>	<p>1.3.</p>	

**Transition Professional Development**

**Professional Development**

May 2012  
 Rule 6A-1.099811  
 Revised May 25, 2012

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs  
(PD) aligned with  
Strategies through  
Professional  
Learning  
Community (PLC)  
or PD Activity**

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates and Schedules	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
and/or PLC Focus		and/or PLC Leader	(e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	(e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		

**Transition Budget (Insert rows as needed)**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Offer Credit Recovery Programs using E2020.	E 2020 Hardware	DJJ Supplemental Funds	\$1000.00

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs**

<b>Subtotal:\$1,000</b>			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
<b>Grand Total:</b>			

*End of Transition Goal(s)*

**Attendance Goal(s) (For Day Treatment Programs Only)**

Please refer to questions below to guide your responses when completing the goal chart. Specific responses are not required for each question on the template.

<b>Guiding Questions to Inform the Problem-Solving Process</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ What was the attendance rate for 2011-2012?</li> <li>■ How many students had excessive absences (10 or more) during the 2011-2012 school year?</li> <li>■ What are the anticipated barriers to decreasing the number of students with excessive absences?</li> <li>■ What strategies and interventions will be utilized to decrease the number of students with excessive absences for 2012-2013?</li> <li>■ How many students had excessive tardies (10 or more) during the 2011-2012 school year?</li> <li>■ What are the anticipated barriers to decreasing the number of students with excessive tardies?</li> <li>■ What strategies and interventions will be utilized to decrease the number students with excessive tardies for 2012-2013?</li> </ul>

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

	<b>Problem-solving</b>						
--	------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs**

ATTENDANCE GOAL(S)	Process to Increase Attendance						
Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>1. Attendance Goal # 1</b>	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Attendance Rate:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Attendance Rate:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current attendance rate in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected attendance rate in this box.</i>					
	<u>2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences</u>  <u>(10 or more)</u>	<u>2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences</u>  <u>(10 or more)</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current number of absences in this box</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected number of absences in this box.</i>					
	<u>2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies</u>  <u>(10 or more)</u>	<u>2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies</u>  <u>(10 or more)</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current number of students tardy in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected number of students tardy in this box.</i>					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs**

		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
--	--	------	------	------	------	------	--

**Attendance Professional Development**

**Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity**

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic  and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator  and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants  (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules  (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
---	-------------------------	--	---	--	-----------------------------------	---

**Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs**

<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
<b>Grand Total:</b>			

*End of Attendance Goals*

**Final Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.	
<b>Reading Budget</b>	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Mathematics Budget</b>	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Science Budget</b>	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Civics Budget</b>	<b>Total:</b>
<b>U.S. History Budget</b>	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Career Budget</b>	<b>Total:</b>

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs**

	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Transition Budget</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Attendance Budget</b>	
	<b>Total:</b>
	<b>Grand Total:</b>

**School Advisory Council**

*School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance*

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting “Yes” or “No” below.

Yes

No

If No, describe measures being taken to comply with SAC requirement.

Describe projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
--------------------------------------	--------

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs**


Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year.