

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION



DRAFT School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: SCHOOL INFORMATION

School Name: Florida School for the Deaf and Blind-Blind Middle School	District Name: FSDB
Principal: Mary Lou Hofmann-Sitten	Superintendent: Jeanne Prickett
SAC Chair: Scott Trejbal	Date of School Board Approval: 28 September 2012

Student Achievement Data:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

[School Grades Trend Data](#) (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

[Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test \(FCAT\)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data](#) (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

[High School Feedback Report](#)

[K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan](#)

Highly Effective Administrators

List your school's highly effective administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment performance (Percentage data for Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Principal	Mary Lou Hofmann-Sitten	<u>Degrees:</u> B.A.– Elementary/ Special Education; M.Ed. – Deaf/Blind and Multi-Handicapped Education; M.Ed. – Educational Leadership <u>Certifications:</u>	31	11	Percent of Blind Middle School Students Showing an Increase in FCAT Reading Developmental Scale Scores 2011/2012: 77% 2010/2011: 90% 2009/2010: 68% 2008-2009: 92% 2007-2008: 74% 2006-2007: 92% AYP:

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		Visually Impaired (Grades K-12); Hearing Impaired (Grades K-12); Elementary Education (Grades K-6); Educational Leadership (All Levels); ESOL Endorsement			2011/2012: TBA 2010/2011: No 2009/2010: No Reading Proficiency: 42 %
Assistant Principal	Justin Cosgrove	Bachelors-Flagler College Masters-University of North Florida Certifications: English 6-12, Visual Impairments, Hearing Impairments, Educational Leadership, ESOL-Endorsed, Reading-Endorsed	12	3	Percent of Blind Middle School Students Showing an Increase in FCAT Reading Developmental Scale Scores 2011/2012: 77% 2010/2011: 90% 2009/2010: 68% Percent of Blind Middle School Students Showing an Increase in FCAT Math Developmental Scale Scores 2011/2012: 78% 2010/2011: 73% 2009/2010: 68% Reading Proficiency: 42%

Highly Effective Instructional Coaches

List your school's highly effective instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment performance (Percentage data for Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Reading	Elisha Zuaro	<u>Degrees:</u> B.A. - Elementary	11	11	Percent of Blind Elementary School Students Showing an Increase in FCAT Reading Developmental Scale Scores

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		Education; M.Ed. ñ Special Education Visually Impaired <u>Certifications:</u> VI K-12; Elementary Ed 1- 6; Reading Endorsement; National Board Certification			2011/2012: 60% 2010/2011: 80% 2009/2010: 68%
Math	Mark Largent	<u>Degree:</u> B.A. Secondary Math Education <u>Certifications:</u> Math 6 -12; VI K - 12	16	1	2011/2012: 46%

Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1. The type of instruction at FSDB is specialized to meet the needs of students with visual impairments. College students from TVI programs, as well as ESE teachers may feel FSDB's teaching environment (small classes, available PD, technology) would meet their professional needs	Principal, AP, Human Resources	Ongoing	
2. Continued Professional Development Opportunities. The school offers a variety of professional development. Examples include: off-campus workshops, out of state workshops, webinars, professional learning communities, on-campus training, affiliation with NEFEC.	Principal, AP, Director of Curriculum and Professional Development	Ongoing	
3. Continue to use available resources and planning time. Teachers have contracted 100 minutes to use for planning. This time is used to collaborate with other professionals to build on best practices and implementation of curriculum. In addition, FSDB has an abundance of resources. Technology, curriculum materials, availability of specialists are available to the best of the school's ability.	School-wide effort	Ongoing	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

4.			
----	--	--	--

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out-of-field and/or who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
Number of instructional staff (teachers) who are NOT highly qualified and teaching out of field: 12.5%(1)	Teachers will take courses in areas of certification need PLC and Professional Development
Number of instructional staff (teachers) who are NOT highly effective : 62.5% (5)	Strategy instruction assistant from instructional specialists
FSDB's paraprofessionals are evaluated according to Rule 6D-16.002, Florida Administrative Code, which does not include an highly effective rating.	

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
8	0	0	37.5 (3)	63.5% (5)	37.5% (3)		63% (5/8)	0%	100%

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Teacher Mentoring Program

Please describe the school’s teacher mentoring program by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities

Additional Requirements

Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

<p>Title I, Part A</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Quarterly meetings of the Title I Parent Advisory Team, consisting of 3 parents of students in the department * Parent activities and trainings sponsored by the Parent Information Office. * Funding for transportation to parent training activities and events. * Availability of materials in parent native languages and Braille versions for students and their families. * FRI (Florida Reading Initiative) Training for new teachers. * Student and staff educational materials and resources.
<p>Title I, Part C- Migrant BMS does not have migrant students</p>
<p>Title I, Part D The Blind Middle School does not receive these funds.</p>
<p>Title II Title II funds are used for staff development:</p>

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

- Funds for teachers working towards Reading Endorsement to participate in online courses.
- Funds for teachers to attend conferences.
- Funds for Para-Professionals to participate in coursework and exams to earn Highly Qualified status.

Title III
The Blind Middle School ESOL population was too small to merit this funding.

Title X- Homeless

BMS does not have any homeless students

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)
Supplemental Academic Instruction is provided through tutoring, addressed in other areas of this School Improvement Plan.

Violence Prevention Programs
The school has a staff of police officers, as well as behavior specialists.

The following programs are available campus-wide at FSDB:

Anti-Drug Concepts Taught:

- Food and Nutrition Classes
 - Personal Fitness Classes
- Positive Behavior Programs
School Level “RESPECT” Plans (Anti-Bullying)
Second Step Violence Prevention Counseling
Social Skills Counseling
Talk About Touch

Nutrition Programs

Reported percentage was 82% were Free/Reduced.

Wellness Policy: The Child Nutrition and WIC Reauthorization Act of 2004 mandates that schools participating in the National School Lunch and Breakfast Program develop School Wellness Policies. The FSDB Wellness Committee developed a policy that addresses food service, physical fitness, nutrition education, as well as other food related activities such as vending machines, fund raising efforts, classroom rewards, and celebrations.

The district employs a nutritionist to ensure students are receiving proper diet and information on maintaining a healthy diet.

The district will implement breakfast options for all students

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Housing Programs-Blind middle school does not have this program
Head Start-Blind middles school is fed by the elementary school, which is fed by the FSDB Early Learning center
Adult Education-NA
<p>Career and Technical Education The school has Director of Career Education and classes are required to add an element of career education into the lessons.</p> <p>Florida School for the Deaf and the Blind has a campus-wide Career Development Program. The Blind Middle School Program focuses on Career Awareness. New teachers are provided inservice on how to incorporate career awareness into their academic lessons whenever possible. All teachers report their career education activities to the Director of Workforce Development quarterly.</p>
<p>Job Training</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Work Internship Supervisor; • Speaking to classes about their career; • Offering tours of their businesses; • Making an in-kind or financial donation to one of the career/technical education programs; or • Being a business advisor to one of the career/technical education programs.
Other

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team
<p>Identify the school-based MTSS Leadership Team.</p> <p>Carol Crozier, Social Worker Linda Meehan, Educational Diagnostician Paree Stivers, School Psychologist Danny Guidi, Boarding Program Classroom Teachers/O&M Joan Knorr, Guidance Counselor HCC rep when requested Wendy Williams, Mental Health</p>

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Stephanie Hardee, Behavioral Specialist
Justin Cosgrove, Assistant Principal
Mary Lou Hofmann-Sitten-Principal

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

Weekly meetings to discuss students who are exhibiting difficulty in either the academic/behavioral setting. The MTSS team interfaces with the Related Services, Health Care Center, (CQI) Curriculum and Quality and Instruction team, (PAT) President's Advisory Team.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP? Student is referred to the MTSS team when they are not performing to academic or behavioral standards within the classroom. This directly impacts the goal setting in the School Improvement plan. The team reviews potential interventions and suggests ways to implement intervention strategies.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior. Classroom performance, standardized assessments, on-going progress monitoring, behavioral data compiled by the behavior specialist and any additional academic or psychological testing as needed.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS. Individual Professional Development Plan, staff development based on the needs assessment results from the Director of Curriculum and Staff Development Office, weekly teacher meetings with the Assistant Principal.

Describe plan to support MTSS. Ongoing assessment of the effectiveness of the student intervention strategies.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT). Justin Cosgrove, Elisha Zuaro, James Crozier, Mary Bilancio, Ashley Dalia, Kristen Perry

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). The team will meet quarterly to discuss data findings from on-going progress monitoring as well as barriers and solutions to reading/literacy challenges.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year? Continues implementation of data into instructional planning

Public School Choice

April 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

- **Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification**

Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

****Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition***

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

****Grades 6-12 Only*** Sec. 1003.413 (b) F.S

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

Teachers will include reading strategies in their provided lesson plans. Content area teachers (science and social studies) must implement language arts common core standards.

****High Schools Only***

Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the [High School Feedback Report](#).

--

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Reading Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading.			1a.1. Time to provide additional interventions beyond the 100 minute reading block	1a.1. Implement reading and thinking strategies across the content areas	1a.1. Teachers, Reading Specialist, Assistant Principal	1a.1. Lesson Planning, PLC	1a.1. FCAT Scores
Reading Goal #1a:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
60% of students tested in Blind Middle School will score level 3 or above.	19% of students scored level 3 (7)	23%					
			1a.2. The need for an improved core reading curriculum for 7 th and 8 th grade	1a.2. This is an adoption year, and members of the literacy team will be tasked to ensure a reading program to	1a.2. Literacy Leadership Team, Language Arts Team representative, classroom teacher, reading specialist,	1a.2. Lesson plans, meeting notes, adoption criteria	1a.2. Adoption of curricula

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			meet the needs of the students is found. Also, continued use of Shared Inquiry	assistant principal			
			1a.3. Access to Achieve 3000 for all students	1a.3. Students with visual impairments must learn how to navigate the program using text to speech software	1a.3. Classroom teacher	1a.3. Students attempts increase	1a.3. Achieve 3000 and FCAT Scores
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.							
Reading Goal #1b: <i>NA due to sample size taking test (less than 15)</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.
			1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.
			1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in reading.							
Reading Goal #2a: 33% of students taking FCAT Reading will score level 4 or above.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	2a.1. Ensuring students at or above grade level are receiving adequate enrichment	2a.1. Use Share Inquiry for all students to focus on higher level questioning.	2a.1. Classroom Teacher	2a.1. Lesson Plans	2a.1. FCAT Scores
	22% (8)	25%					
			2a.2. Differentiating	2a.2. Continue training teachers	2a.2. Teachers, Assistant Principal,	2a.2. PLC Meetings held monthly to work on	2a.2. FCAT Scores

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			Instruction for high performing students	on best practices in DI.	PLC Leader	DI best practices	
			2a.3 Students struggle to use new vocabulary and implement it across the curriculum	2a.3 Plan cross-curricular lessons	2a.3 Teachers	2a.3 Lesson Plans	2a.3 Test Scores (progress monitoring, FCAT)
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in reading.			2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.
Reading Goal #2b:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
<i>NA Due to Sample Size (Less than 15)</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.
			2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.			3a.1. Time to provide additional interventions beyond the 100 minute reading block	3a.1. Implement reading and thinking strategies across the content areas	3a.1. Teachers, Reading Specialist, Assistant Principal	3a.1. Lesson Planning, PLC	3a.1. FCAT Scores
Reading Goal #3a:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
<i>87% of students tested will make learning gains on the FCAT Reading.</i>	<i>77% (23/30 measurable)</i>	<i>87% (26)</i>					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			3a.2. Some students are struggling with Braille, and need continued practice	3a.2. Tier students and use RTI to ensure students needing Braille intervention are seen	3a.2. Classroom Teacher, Braille Specialist	3a.2. Intervention notes, lesson planning	3a.2. FCAT Scores, increased fluency (progress monitoring)
			3a.3 Students struggle to use new vocabulary and implement it across the curriculum	3a.3 Plan cross-curricular lessons	3a.3 Teachers	3a.3 Lesson Plans	3a.3 Test Scores (progress monitoring, FCAT)
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.			3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.
Reading Goal #3b:							
<i>NA Due to Sample Size (less than 15)</i>							
<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>							
<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>							
<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>							
<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>							
			3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.
			3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
4a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.			4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.
Reading Goal #4a:							
<i>NA due to sample size</i>							
<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>							
<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>							

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

(Less Than 15)	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.						
			4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.
			4a.3	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.
4b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.			4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.
Reading Goal #4b:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*						
NA Due to Sample Size (Less than 15)	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.						
			4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.
			4b.3	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target			2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six years, school will reduce their achievement gap	Baseline data 2010-2011		77%	87%	90%	92%	94%	96%

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

by 50%.							
<u>Reading Goal #5A:</u> By 2016/2017, 95% of students tested will make learning gains							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading.			5B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.
<u>Reading Goal #5B:</u> NA Due to Sample Size (Less Than 15)	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
			5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.
			5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading.			5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.
<u>Reading Goal #5C:</u>	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

NA Due to Sample Size (Less Than 15)	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.
			5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading.			5D.1. Time to provide additional interventions beyond the 100 minute reading block	5D.1. Implement reading and thinking strategies across the content areas	5D.1. Teachers, Reading Specialist, Assistant Principal	5D.1. Lesson Planning, PLC	5D.1. FCAT Scores
Reading Goal #5D: All students tested are students with disabilities. 77% Made Learning gains and in 2012/2013, 87% of students will make learning gains	2012 Current Level of Performance: 77%	2013 Expected Level of Performance: 87%					
			5D.2. Some students are struggling with Braille, and need continued practice	5D.2. Tier students and use RTI to ensure students needing Braille intervention are seen	5D.2. Classroom Teacher, Braille Specialist	5D.2. Intervention notes, lesson planning	5D.2. FCAT Scores, increased fluency (progress monitoring)
			5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.			5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.
Reading Goal #5E: NA Due to Sample Size	2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	Performance*						
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			5E.2.	5E.2	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.
			5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3

Reading Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Differentiated Instruction/Universal Design for Learning	K-8	TBA	Teachers	One Friday per month	Lesson Plans, IEP Goals	PLC Leader
Common Core Implementation	K-8	TBA	Teachers	One Friday Per Month	Lesson Plans	PLC Leader

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

April 2012
 Rule 6A-1.099811
 Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

CELLA Goals		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition				
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring proficient in Listening/Speaking.		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
CELLA Goal #1: <i>NA due to sample size (less than 15)</i>	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking: <i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring proficient in Reading.		2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.
CELLA Goal #2: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading: <i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.
Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

3. Students scoring proficient in Writing.		2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.
CELLA Goal #3: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing :					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3

CELLA Budget (Insert rows as needed)

April 2012
 Rule 6A-1.099811
 Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of CELLA Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Elementary Mathematics Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.			1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.
<u>Mathematics Goal #1a:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.
			1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.			1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.
<u>Mathematics Goal #1b:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.
			1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in mathematics.			2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.
<u>Mathematics Goal #2a:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.
			2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.			2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.
<u>Mathematics Goal #2b:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.
			2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.			3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.
<u>Mathematics Goal #3a:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.
			3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.			3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.
<u>Mathematics Goal #3b:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.
			3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
4a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.			4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.
Mathematics Goal #4a: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.
			4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.
4b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.			4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.
Mathematics Goal #4b: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.
			4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target							
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Baseline data 2010-2011							
	<u>Mathematics Goal #5A:</u> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. <u>Mathematics Goal #5B:</u> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u> <i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i> White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u> <i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i> White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	
			5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
			5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5C: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u> <i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u> <i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.
			5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.
			5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5D: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u> <i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u> <i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.
			5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.
			5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.			5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.
Mathematics Goal #5E: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			5E.2.	5E.2	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.
			5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Middle School Mathematics Goals

April 2012
 Rule 6A-1.099811
 Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Middle School Mathematics Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Ia. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.			Ia.1. The visual nature of math coupled with students needing additional help with Nemeth code	Ia.1. Continue working with students on tactile graphics and Nemeth code	Ia.1. Math Teacher, Braille Specialist	Ia.1. Records of interventions	Ia.1. FCAT Math
<u>Mathematics Goal #1a:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
25% of students tested on FCAT Math will score level 3 or better.	22%	25%					
			Ia.2. Geometric concepts	Ia.2. Target students struggling with geographic concepts and offer interventions (use ongoing progress monitoring to target students)	Ia.2. Math Teacher, Assistant Principal, Math Specialist	Ia.2. Lesson Plans, Interventions	Ia.2. FCAT Scores
			Ia.3. Ensuring students with varying needs are able to keep pace with the curriculum	Ia.3. Continued professional development relating to differentiated instruction	Ia.3. Assistant Principal, Math Specialist	Ia.3. PLC/PD Participation	Ia.3. FCAT Scores and ongoing progress monitoring
Ib. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.			Ib.1.	Ib.1.	Ib.1.	Ib.1.	Ib.1.
<u>Mathematics Goal #1b:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
NA due to Sample Size (Less than 15)	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			Ib.2.	Ib.2.	Ib.2.	Ib.2.	Ib.2.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in mathematics.			2a.1. Ensuring students are gaining enrichment in math	2a.1. Work with students and staff to differentiate up to the students above proficient levels	2a.1. Math teacher, Math Specialist	2a.1. PLC Attendance	2a.1. FCAT Scores
Mathematics Goal #2a: <i>21% of students taking FCAT Math will score a level 4 or above.</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:* <i>11% (4 out of 37).</i>	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* <i>21% (6 out of 29 anticipated)</i>					
			2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.
			2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.			2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.
Mathematics Goal #2b: <i>NA Due to Sample Size (less than 15 tested)</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.		3a.1. The visual nature of math coupled with students needing additional help with Nemeth code	3a.1. Continue working with students on tactile graphics and Nemeth code	3a.1. Math Teacher, Braille Specialist	3a.1. Records of interventions	3a.1. FCAT Math
<u>Mathematics Goal #3a:</u> <i>65% of students tested on FCAT Math will show learning gains.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u> 57%	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u> 65%				
		3a.2. Geometric concepts	3a.2. Target students struggling with geographic concepts and offer interventions (use ongoing progress monitoring to target students)	3a.2. Math Teacher, Assistant Principal, Math Specialist	3a.2. Lesson Plans, Interventions	3a.2. FCAT Scores
		3a.3. Ensuring students with varying needs are able to keep pace with the curriculum	3a.3. Continued professional development relating to differentiated instruction	3a.3. Assistant Principal, Math Specialist	3a.3. PLC/PD Participation	3a.3. FCAT Scores and ongoing progress monitoring
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.		3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.
<u>Mathematics Goal #3b:</u> <i>NA Due to Sample Size (Less Than 15 tested)</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u> <i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in</i>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u> <i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in</i>				

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	<i>this box.</i>	<i>this box.</i>					
			3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.
			3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
4a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.			4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.
<u>Mathematics Goal #4a:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
<i>NA Due to Sample Size (Less Than 15)</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.
			4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.
4b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.			4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.
<u>Mathematics Goal #4b:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
<i>NA Due to Sample Size (Less Than 15 tested)</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in</i>					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	<i>this box.</i>	<i>this box.</i>						
			4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.
			4b.3	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target			2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Baseline data 2010-2011	78.5%	80%	83%	86%	89%	92%	
<p><u>Mathematics Goal #5A:</u></p> <p><i>By 2016/2017, 93% of students will make learning gains on FCAT Math</i></p>								
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.			5B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	
<u>Mathematics Goal #5B:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>						
NA Due to Sample Size (Less than 15)	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in</i>						

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
			5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.
			5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.			5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.
<u>Mathematics Goal</u> #5C: NA Due to Sample Size (Less Than 15)	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u> Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u> Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.
			5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.			5D.1. The visual nature of math coupled with students needing additional help with Nemeth code	5D.1. Continue working with students on tactile graphics and Nemeth code	5D.1. Math Teacher, Braille Specialist	5D.1. Records of interventions	5D.1. FCAT Math
<u>Mathematics Goal</u> #5D: 80% of students tested will make learning gains. All students tested in this school fall under the SWD subcategory.	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u> 78.5% (22)	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u> 80% (24)					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			5D.2. Geometric concepts	5D.2. Target students struggling with geographic concepts and offer interventions (use ongoing progress monitoring to target students)	5D.2. Math Teacher, Assistant Principal, Math Specialist	5D.2. Lesson Plans, Interventions	5D.2. FCAT Scores
			5D.3. Ensuring students with varying needs are able to keep pace with the curriculum	5D.3. Continued professional development relating to differentiated instruction	5D.3. Assistant Principal, Math Specialist	5D.3. PLC/PD Participation	5D.3. FCAT Scores and ongoing progress monitoring
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.			5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.
Mathematics Goal #5E: NA Due to Sample Size (Less Than 15)			2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*			
			<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>			
			5E.2.	5E.2	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.
			5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

High School Mathematics Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Mathematics Goal #1: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>						
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.			2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.
Mathematics Goal #2: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u> <i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u> <i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
			2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.			3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.
Mathematics Goal #3: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u> <i>Enter numerical data for current level of</i>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u> <i>Enter numerical data for expected level of</i>					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	<i>performance in this box.</i>	<i>performance in this box.</i>					
			3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.
			3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
4. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.			4.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.
<u>Mathematics Goal #4:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance.*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance.*</u>					
<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	4.2.
			4.3.	4.3.	4.3.	4.3.	4.3.

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Algebra EOC Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Algebra Goal #1: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Algebra.			2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.
Algebra Goal #2: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
			2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target								
3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Algebra Goal #3A: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	Baseline data 2010-2011							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra. Algebra Goal #3B: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>			3B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	
	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u> <i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i> White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u> <i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i> White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
			3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.			3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.
Algebra Goal #3C: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u> <i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u> <i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.
			3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.			3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.
Algebra Goal #3D: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u> <i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u> <i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.
			3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.			3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.
Algebra Goal #3E: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u> <i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u> <i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			3E.2.	3E.2	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.
			3E.3	3E.3	3E.3	3E.3	3E.3

End of Algebra EOC Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Geometry End-of-Course Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Geometry EOC Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Geometry Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u> Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u> Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Geometry.			2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.
Geometry Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u> Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u> Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
			2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target								
3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. <u>Geometry Goal #3A:</u> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	Baseline data 2010-2011							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.			3B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	
<u>Geometry Goal #3B:</u> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u> <i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u> <i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>						

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
			3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.
			3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.			3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.
Geometry Goal #3C: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.
			3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.			3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Geometry Goal #3D: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.
			3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.			3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.
Geometry Goal #3E: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		3E.3	3E.3	3E.3	3E.3	3E.3
--	--	------	------	------	------	------

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Mathematics Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Abacus Training	K-8	TBA	Teachers	Monthly	Implementation in classes	PLC Leader
Differentiated Instruction/Universal Design for Learning	K-8	TBA	Teachers	Monthly	Lesson Plans	PLC Leader

Mathematics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Elementary and Middle Science Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science.	1a.1. Integrating science, writing, and language arts into the	1a.1. Use of common core strategies in lesson plans	1a.1. Classroom teacher, Assistant Principal	1a.1. Lesson Plans	1a.1. FCAT Scores

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Science Goal #1a: <i>33% of students will achieve level 3 or better on FCAT Science</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u> <i>13% (2)</i>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u> <i>33% (5)</i>	curriculum				
			1a.2. Students struggle with cause and effect	1a.2. Use of more hands-on activities.	1a.2. Classroom teacher	1a.2. Lesson Plan	1a.2. FCAT and progress monitoring
			1a.3. The visual concepts needed for some parts of science may be difficult	1a.3. Adaptive materials	1a.3. Classroom teacher	1a.3. Lesson Plans	1a.3. Progress Monitoring
Ib. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Level 4, 5, and 6 in science.			1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.
Science Goal #1b: NA Due to Sample Size (Less than 15)	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u> <i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u> <i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.
			1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in science.			2a.1. Same as Cell 1.A.1	2a.1. See Cell 1.A.1	2a.1. See Cell 1A.1	2a.1. See Cell 1A.1	2a.1. See Cell 1A.1
Science Goal #2a: 20% of students tested will score level 4 or better	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u> <i>0%</i>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u> <i>20% (3)</i>					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			2a.2. See Cell 1a.2	2a.2. See Cell 1a.2	2a.2. See Cell 1a.2	2a.2 See Cell 1a.2.	2a.2. See Cell 1a.2
			2a.3 See Cell 1a.3	2a.3 See Cell 1a.3	2a.3 See Cell 1a.3	2a.3 See Cell 1a.3	2a.3 See Cell 1a.3
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.			2b.1.	2b.1.	2.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.
Science Goal #2b: <i>NA Due to sample size (less than 15)</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.
			2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

High School Science Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Level 4, 5, and 6 in science.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Science Goal #1: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.			2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.
Science Goal #2: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
			2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

Biology End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Biology EOC Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Biology.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Biology Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology.			2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.
Biology Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
			2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

End of Biology EOC Goals

Science Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

April 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Differentiated Instruction/Universal Design for Learning PLC	K-8	TBA	Teachers	One Friday per month	To be determined by the PLC Leader	PLC Leader

Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

April 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Writing Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1a. FCAT: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing.			1a.1. Students lacking the conventions needed for writing	1a.1. Continue to implement writing instruction across the curriculum	1a.1. Classroom Teachers, Assistant Principal	1a.1. Implementation in lesson plans	1a.1. FCAT Writes and ongoing progress monitoring
Writing Goal #1a: NA (Less than 15 anticipated to be tested in 2012/2013)	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 40% (6/15)	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* NA Due to anticipated sample size					
			1a.2. Students struggling with higher level thinking skills and being	1a.2. Use of shared inquiry and modeling in the classroom	1a.2. Classroom teachers	1a.2. Ensure students are given opportunities to participate in higher level thinking via lessons planning	1a.2. FCAT and Ongoing progress monitoring .
			1a.3. Students lacking experience in notetaking, research, paraphrasing and summarizing in writing	1a.3. Daily writing and explicit writing instruction	1a.3. Classroom Teachers	1a.3. Lesson Planning	1a.3. FCAT, Ongoing progress monitoring, classroom assessments
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.			1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.
Writing Goal #1b: NA Due to Sample Size (Less than 15)	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.
			1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Writing Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Differentiated Instruction/Universal Design for Learning	K-8	TBA	Teachers	Friday, once per month	TBA By PLC Leader	PLC Leader
Common Core Training	K-8	TBA	Teachers	Friday, once per month	TBA By PLC Leader	PLC Leader

Writing Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Writing Goals

Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Civics EOC Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Civics.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Civics Goal #1: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Civics.			2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.
Civics Goal #2:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
			2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3

Civics Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Civics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Civics Goals

U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

U.S. History EOC Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
U.S. History Goal #1: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in U.S. History.			2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

U.S. History Goal #2: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
			2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3

U.S. History Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity <small>Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.</small>						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

U.S. History Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of U.S. History Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Attendance Goal(s)		Problem-solving Process to Increase Attendance				
Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Attendance		1.1. Students with medical issues may be out for extended periods of time	1.1. Coordinate with parents if students may be out for long term medical reasons	1.1. Assistant Principal, Teachers	1.1. Finished Assignments, Parental Contact	1.1. Completed assignments and parental feedback
Attendance Goal #1:	2012 Current Attendance Rate:*	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:*				
With an anticipated student population of 39, students will attend 95% of school days.	94.3% (7641/8100days attended total for 45 students)	95%				
	2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)				
	22% (10)	15%				
	2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)				

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	NA due to sample size	0					
			1.2. Students who board at FSDB may miss up to 5 days in a school week if they are out	1.2. Attempt to contact the school in advance if student is intending to be out	1.2. Assistant Principal, Teachers	1.2. Parent Feedback	1.2. Parent Feedback
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Attendance Goals

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Suspension Goal(s)			Problem-solving Process to Decrease Suspension				
Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Suspension			1.1. Unanticipated behavior which may lead to a suspension	1.1. Continue to use PBS within the Blind Middle School	1.1. Assistant Principal, all staff	1.1. Positive interventions, MTSS Team	1.1. Suspension Rate
Suspension Goal #1:	2012 Total Number of In-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions					
0% of students in Blind Middle School will be suspended	/	/					
	2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In-School					
	/	/					
	2012 Number of Out-of-School	2013 Expected Number of					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	Suspensions	Out-of-School Suspensions					
	∅	∅					
	2012 Total Number of Students Suspended Out-of-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out-of-School					
	∅	∅					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Suspension Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
PBS Training	K-8	PBS Leader	All Staff	TBA	TBA By PBS Coordinator	PBS Leader

Suspension Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of Suspension Goals

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)		Problem-solving Process to Dropout Prevention				
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Dropout Prevention		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
<p><u>Dropout Prevention Goal #1:</u> <i>*Please refer to the percentage of students who dropped out during the 2011-2012 school year.</i></p>						
<p><i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></p>	2012 Current Dropout Rate:*	2013 Expected Dropout Rate:*				
	<i>Enter numerical data for dropout rate in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected dropout rate in this box.</i>				
	2012 Current Graduation Rate:*	2013 Expected Graduation Rate:*				
	<i>Enter numerical data for graduation rate in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected graduation rate in this box.</i>				
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Dropout Prevention Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Dropout Prevention Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Subtotal:
Total:

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section.

Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involvement Goal(s)		Problem-solving Process to Parent Involvement								
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool				
1. Parent Involvement Parent Involvement Goal #1: <i>*Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.</i>		1.1. Parents live all over the state of Florida, and it may hinder their visitation for events/	1.1. Allow for streaming, Skype, and phone calls if parents are unable to attend events.	1.1. Teachers, Assistant Principal, Staff	1.1. Sign in forms, feedback	1.1. Sign-in forms, feedback				
80% of parents in the program will participate in a school based activity (eg: IEP, open house, classroom based event)	<table border="1"> <tr> <th>2012 Current level of Parent Involvement:*</th> <th>2013 Expected level of Parent Involvement:*</th> </tr> <tr> <td>NA at the school level, data will be collected for the 2012/2013 school year</td> <td>80% (37) of parents in the program will participate in a school based activity (IEP, open house, classroom events)</td> </tr> </table>	2012 Current level of Parent Involvement:*	2013 Expected level of Parent Involvement:*	NA at the school level, data will be collected for the 2012/2013 school year	80% (37) of parents in the program will participate in a school based activity (IEP, open house, classroom events)					
2012 Current level of Parent Involvement:*	2013 Expected level of Parent Involvement:*									
NA at the school level, data will be collected for the 2012/2013 school year	80% (37) of parents in the program will participate in a school based activity (IEP, open house, classroom events)									

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		1.2. Events may occur during times parents are unable to participate	1.2. Look at best times for families to attend (after-school, evenings, day of big events)	1.2. Assistant Principal, Teachers/Staff	1.2. Feedback from parents/staff	1.2. Feedback from parents/staff
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Parent Involvement Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Parent Involvement Budget

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
STEM Goal #1: <i>100% of students in Blind Middle School will participate in a computer class.</i>	1.1. Students with visual disabilities may have trouble accessing various computer programs	1.1. Ensure staff and students have a working knowledge of adaptive technology so they are able to access the computer	1.1. Computer teacher	1.1. Report cards and lesson plans	1.1. Report cards

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

STEM Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

CTE Goal #1: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

CTE Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity <small>Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.</small>						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

CTE Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement
--	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Additional Goal(s)			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:							
1. Additional Goal			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Additional Goal #1: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	2012 Current Level :* <i>Enter numerical data for current goal in this box.</i>	2013 Expected Level :* <i>Enter numerical data for expected goal in this box.</i>					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Additional Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of Additional Goal(s)

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Final Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	Total:
Mathematics Budget	Total:
Science Budget	Total:
Writing Budget	Total:
Attendance Budget	Total:
Suspension Budget	Total:
Dropout Prevention Budget	Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	Total:
Additional Goals	Total:
	Grand Total:

eva

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school’s DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. double click the desired box; 2. when the menu pops up, select “checked” under “Default Value” header; 3. Select “OK”, this will place an “x” in the box.)

School Differentiated Accountability Status		
<input type="checkbox"/> Priority	<input type="checkbox"/> Focus	<input type="checkbox"/> Prevent

- *Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the “Upload” page*

School Advisory Council (SAC)

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting “Yes” or “No” below.

Yes No

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.

The school has a sub-SAC committee consisting of teachers, specialists, administrators, parents and students. The team meets quarterly.

Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.

The team will meet quarterly to discuss data received from assessments, needs within the department, and progress toward SIP goals.

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
FSDB does not receive funds for ths	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1