

Florida Department of Education



School Improvement Plan (SIP)

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

for Juvenile Justice Education Programs

2012–2013

2012 – 2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: SCHOOL INFORMATION

School Name: St. Johns Juvenile Residential	District Name: St. Johns County
Principal: Kyeesha Cannon	Superintendent: Dr. Joseph Joyner
SAC Chair: Carol Fugate	Date of School Board Approval: 11/13/2012

Student Achievement Data:

Use data from the Common Assessment to complete reading and mathematics goals. Programs may include math data from the math assessment used in 2011–2012.

Administrators

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

List your school's on-site administrators who are responsible for educational services (e.g., principal, lead educator) and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include the history of common assessment data learning gains. Programs may include math data from the math assessment used in 2011–2012. The school may include the history of Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior common assessment data learning gains). The school may include AMO progress along with the associated school year.
Principal	Dr. Timothy Vivian	Ed.D Educational Leadership	4	30	Dr. Vivian has been in school administration for nearly 30 years and has served at all administrative levels from principal to superintendent as well as experience at the higher education level.
Lead Educator	Kyeesha Cannon	MA Counseling/School Guidance	2	3	

Instructional Coaches

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include the history of common assessment data learning gains. Programs may include math data from the math assessment used in 2011–2012. The school may include the history of AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior common assessment data learning gains). The school may include AMO progress along with the associated school year.
	NA				

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

Effective and Highly Effective Teachers

List your school's highly effective teachers and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as a teacher, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include the history of common assessment data learning gains. Programs may include math data from the math assessment used in 2011–2012. The school may include the history of AMO progress. *Highly effective teachers refers to teachers who provide instruction in core academic subjects, hold an acceptable bachelor's degree or higher, have a valid temporary or professional certificate, and whose students demonstrate learning gains via the common assessment, end of course exams, or any supplemental assessment the school uses.*

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Teacher	Prior Performance Record (include prior common assessment data learning gains). The school may include AMO progress along with the associated school year.
Science	Carol Fugate	BS Natural Sciences / Biology	1	4	
Language Arts	Huyen Le	BA English Literature / English 6-12	2	2	
Math	Jarimy Passmore	BS Mechanical engineering / Math 6-12	2	2	
Social Studies	Anthony Robinson	BA Sociology / Social Science 6-12	2	2	

Effective and Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1. Utilization of district PACTS program	Lead Educator	Upon posting	
2. Regular Staff Development for teachers	Lead Educator	On-going	
3.			
4.			

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and who are NOT highly effective.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
1 (16%)	Regular Staff Development and enrollment in an EPI program and testing in subject areas required

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school who are teaching at least one academic course.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Certification	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teacher

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

nal Staff		erience	Exp erience	erience	De gre es	s		ac her s	s
6	16 % (1)	83 % (5)				83 % (5)	33 % (2)		16 % (1)

Teacher Mentoring Program

Please describe the school’s teacher mentoring program by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
NA			

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

***Grades 6-12 Only-** Sec. 1003.413 (2)(b) F.S

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

Student course selection encompasses all core courses that integrate reading (Common Core Standards) and real life application and relevance of each other. Teachers also teach subject area vocabulary with each lesson.

***High Schools Only**

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1003.413 (2)(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

Student course selection encompasses all core courses that integrate reading and real life application and relevance of each other. The school also offers students career courses in business technology

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful to their future?

The school offers students career courses in business technology. This course focuses on job skills and students have the opportunity to complete work experience courses in culinary arts and wireless technology.

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School Feedback Report.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

NA

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

Please refer to questions below to guide your responses when completing the goal chart. Specific responses are not required for each question on the template.

Guiding Questions to Inform the Problem-Solving Process
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Based on a comparison of 2010-2011 common assessment data and 2011-2012 common assessment data, what was the percent increase or decrease of students maintaining learning gains? ■ What percentage of students made learning gains? ■ What was the percent increase or decrease of students making learning gains? ■ What are the anticipated barriers to increasing the percentage of students making learning gains? ■ What strategies will be implemented to increase and maintain proficiency for these students? ■ What additional supplemental interventions/remediation will be provided for students not achieving learning gains?

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

READING GOALS	Problem-Solving					
----------------------	------------------------	--	--	--	--	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

	Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<p>1. Percentage of students making learning gains in reading.</p> <p><u>Reading Goal #1:</u></p>	<p>1.1. The influx of students in and out of the program that make it difficult to take full advantage of the reading program.</p>	<p>1.1 Implementation of 20 minute silent reading, the use of Ramp Up curriculum, and high interest level reading integrated in all subjects</p>	<p>1.1. Reading Teachers</p>	<p>1. Tracking of assessment results.</p>	<p>1.1. FAIR, FCAT, pre- and post test gains on New Century</p>		

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

<i>To increase the percentage of students making learning gains in reading.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	50%	100%					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
2. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Baseline data 2010-2011						

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

<u>Reading Goal #2:</u>							
NA							

Reading Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Reading	6-12	PLC Leader District PLC meetings	Language Arts/Reading Teachers	Monthly	Feedback	Lead Educator

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
NA			
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
NA			
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Grand Total:			

End of Reading Goals

-

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

Mathematics Goals

Please refer to questions below to guide your responses when completing the goal chart. Specific responses are not required for each question on the template.

Guiding Questions to Inform the Problem-Solving Process

- Based on a comparison of 2010-2011 common assessment data and 2011-2012 common assessment data, what was the percent increase or decrease of students maintaining learning gains? Programs may include math data from the math assessment used in 2011–2012.
- What percentage of students made learning gains?
- What was the percent increase or decrease of students making learning gains?
- What are the anticipated barriers to increasing the percentage of students making learning gains?
- What strategies will be implemented to increase and maintain proficiency for these students?
- What additional supplemental interventions/remediation will be provided for students not achieving learning gains?

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g. 70% (35)).

MATHEMATICS GOALS	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
--------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<p>1. Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.</p> <p><u>Mathematics Goal #1:</u></p>	<p>1.1. The length of stay and the constant entering and exit provides a barrier.</p>	<p>1.1. The school will use various media tools to enhance math learning and tactile instruments to increase real life connections. Along with New Century Technology to monitor student progress</p>	<p>1.1 Math Instructor.</p>	<p>1.1 Tracking of assessment results.</p>	<p>1.1. FCAT and pre-post test results from New Century</p>		

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

<i>To increase the percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	60%	75 %					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
--	------------------	------------------	------------------	------------------	------------------	------------------	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

<p>2. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.</p>	<p>Baseline data 2010-2011</p>						
<p>Mathematics Goal #2: NA</p>							

Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

<p>Algebra EOC Goals</p>	<p>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</p>						
<p>Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:</p>	<p>Anticipated Barrier</p>	<p>Strategy</p>	<p>Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring</p>	<p>Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy</p>	<p>Evaluation Tool</p>		

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

<p>1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra.</p>	<p>1.1 The students who are eligible and required to take the EOC Algebra I exam typically have been out of school and not familiar with some of the rigorous content on the exam.</p> <p>We expect that we may have 5-10 students required to take the exam each year.</p>	<p>1.1 The mathematics teacher will focus lessons on Algebra I topics on which students may not be familiar. The teacher will draw from content on the district website related to the exam. Innovative lessons will be developed for students who will be required to take the EOC Algebra I exam.</p>	<p>1.1 Math Teacher, Lead Educator</p>	<p>1.1 Evaluation of students EOC Algebra I exam results.</p>	<p>1.1 Algebra I EOC exams.</p>		
<p><u>Algebra Goal #1:</u></p> <p>70 % of Achievement Level 3 students taking the Algebra EOC will pass. Students must pass the Algebra EOC in order to earn a credit in Algebra. .</p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></p>					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

	<i>Not applicable since no students took the Algebra EOC in 2011-2012. Most students seek a GED or Exit Option diplomas Roughly 10% of students seek a standard diploma.</i>	<i>70% of students taking the Algebra EOC will pass</i>					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

<p>2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Algebra.</p>	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
<p><u>Algebra Goal #2:</u> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></p>					
	<p><i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i></p>	<p><i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i></p>					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
3. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Baseline data 2010-2011						
Algebra Goal #3: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>							

End of Algebra EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Geometry EOC Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
---------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
<p>Geometry Goal #1:</p> <p><i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></p>					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

	<i>No data at this time</i>	<i>We anticipate that 50 percent of students who enrolls in Geometry will pass the EOC exam.</i>					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Geometry.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

<u>Geometry Goal #2:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>							
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
3. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Baseline data 2010-2011						

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

<u>Geometry Goal #3:</u>							
NA							

Mathematics Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates and Schedules	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
and/or PLC Focus		and/or PLC Leader	(e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	(e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Mathematics Budget

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Subtotal:			

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Grand Total:			

End of Mathematics Goals

Biology End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Biology EOC Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

<p>1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Biology.</p>	<p>1. Most students arrive at SJRF with few science credits. Many have been out of school for extended periods. They are not accustomed to the rigorous study that the Biology EOC exam requires.</p>	<p>1.1. Assure that teachers are following all state and district guidelines for Biology and are given the needed preparation to pass the EOC exam.</p>	<p>1.1. Lead Educator Science Teacher</p>	<p>1.1. Assuring all Biology EOC testers have needed study materials and instruction needed to pass the exam.</p>	<p>1.1. Biology EOC exam</p>		
<p><u>Biology Goal #1:</u> 70 % of students taking the Biology EOC will pass in order to earn a science credit in Biology. We do not anticipate a large number of Achievement 3 students will take the exam</p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></p>					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

	No Data Available	70 percent of students will pass the Biology EOC exam					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

<p>2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology.</p>	<p>2.1. Students in a DJJ program are often distracted from meeting their goals in education due to the fact that they are here not by choice but by court order. This can happen particularly to otherwise high achieving science students. These students need the proper attention and learning environment which will enable them to meet high standards.</p>	<p>2.1. These students will have access to Virtual School Biology courses, if available, so that they can be in smaller classes with an instructor focused on them learning important Biology concepts and scientific thinking skills. Since we anticipate a very small number of students in this program we believe that we can accommodate them on Virtual School.</p>	<p>2.1. Lead Educator, Science Teacher</p>	<p>2.1. Access to Virtual School and all course materials</p>	<p>2.1. Biology EOC exam</p>		
--	---	---	--	---	------------------------------	--	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

<u>Biology Goal #2:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
100 % of students taking the Biology EOC will pass in order to earn a science credit in Biology. We do not anticipate a large number of Achievement 4 and 5 students will take the exam (perhaps 1-2 students, if any)							
	No Data Available	100% of students will pass the Biology EOC exam.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	

Science Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with

May 2012
 Rule 6A-1.099811
 Revised May 25, 2012

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs
Strategies through
Professional
Learning
Community (PLC)
or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
---	-------------------------	--	---	--	-----------------------------------	---

Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Science Goals

Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals *(required in year 2014-2015)*

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Civics EOC Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

<p>1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Civics.</p>	<p>1.1. Students are overage for middle school and typically have not been attending school regularly. Therefore, they are unprepared for the rigorous content associated with the course and exam.</p>	<p>1.1. Provide instructional materials and support needed to assist students to pass the course and the exam.</p>	<p>1.1. Social Studies teacher</p>	<p>1.1. Successful completion of the Civics course</p>	<p>1.1. Civics EOC</p>		
---	---	--	------------------------------------	--	------------------------	--	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

<p><u>Civics Goal #1:</u></p> <p>SJRF does not often enroll middle school students since the program is designed for students 16-18 year olds. In the event that the school enrolls any Achievement level 3 middle school students, he will be required to take the Civics course and exam. Our goal is that 100% of students who take the exam pass it.</p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></p>					
	<p>No data available</p>	<p>100 % of students who are required to take the Civics EOC will pass</p>					
		<p>1.2.</p>	<p>1.2.</p>	<p>1.2.</p>	<p>1.2.</p>	<p>1.2.</p>	
		<p>1.3.</p>	<p>1.3.</p>	<p>1.3.</p>	<p>1.3.</p>	<p>1.3.</p>	
<p>Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:</p>	<p>Anticipated Barrier</p>	<p>Strategy</p>	<p>Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring</p>	<p>Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy</p>	<p>Evaluation Tool</p>		

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

<p>2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Civics.</p>	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
<p><u>Civics Goal #2:</u> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></p>					
	<p><i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i></p>	<p><i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i></p>					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
--	--	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	--

Civics Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
---	-------------------------	--	---	--	-----------------------------------	---

Civics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Civics Goals

U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals *(required in year 2013-2014)*

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

U.S. History EOC Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
-------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<p>1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History.</p>	<p>2. Most students arrive at SJRF having been out of school for extended periods. They are not accustomed to the rigorous study that the U.S. History EOC exam requires.</p>	<p>1.1. Assure that teachers are following all state and district guidelines for U.S History course and are given the needed preparation to pass the EOC exam.</p>	<p>1.1. Principal, Social Studies Teacher</p>	<p>1.1. Assuring all U.S History EOC testers have needed study materials and instruction needed to pass the exam.</p>	<p>1.1. U.S. History EOC exam</p>		
<p><u>U.S. History Goal #1:</u> 70 % of Achievement Level 3 students taking the U.S. History EOC.</p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></p>					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

	No data available	70%					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to “Guiding Questions”, identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in U.S. History.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

<u>Civics Goal #2:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
100% of Achievement Levels 4 & 5 students taking the U.S. History EOC. We anticipate having only 1 or 2 students in this category, if that many.							
	No data available	100 % of Achievement 4 & 5 students will pass the U.S. History EOC exam					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	

U.S. History Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional

May 2012
 Rule 6A-1.099811
 Revised May 25, 2012

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

**Learning
Community (PLC)
or PD Activity**

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
---	-------------------------	--	---	--	-----------------------------------	---

U.S. History Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of U.S. History Goals

Career Education Goals

Please refer to questions below to guide your responses when completing the goal chart. Specific responses are not required for each question on the template.

<p>Guiding Questions to Inform the Problem-Solving Process</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● What career type does the program offer? ● How does the program provide career exploration for all students? ● What hands-on technical training does the program provide (type 3 programs)? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ For type 3 programs what industry certifications are offered? ■ How many students earned industry certifications? ■ Is the program a Career and Professional Education (CAPE) Academy?

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

CAREER EDUCATION GOAL(S)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student						
---------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

	Achievement						
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Career Education Goal	1.1. Current schedule does not allow for students to spend sufficient time on an individual basis, daily to maneuver through courseware for additional practice.	1.1. To incorporate group strategies and study skills during free time	1.1 Lead Educator.	1.1. Passing scores on assessments	1.1. Certification pre-test and earning of industrial certification		

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

	2012 Current Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*					
<i>To increase the number of students earning industrial certifications in Wireless Cabling</i>	0%	50%					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Career Education Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC)

May 2012
 Rule 6A-1.099811
 Revised May 25, 2012

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs
or PD Activity**

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
---	-------------------------	--	--	--	-----------------------------------	--

Career Education Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)	
Strategy	Descr
Subtotal:	
Technology	
Strategy	Descr
Subtotal:	
Professional Development	
Strategy	Descr
Subtotal:	
Other	
Strategy	Descr

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

	Grand Total:
--	---------------------

End of Career Education Goal(s)

Transition Goal(s)

Please refer to questions below to guide your responses when completing the goal chart. Specific responses are not required for each question on the template.

<p>Guiding Questions to Inform the Problem-Solving Process</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How does the program deal with transition planning (entry and exit transition)? • How many students successfully transition (e.g., return to school, find employment)?

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

TRANSITION GOAL(S)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

<p>1. Transition Goal</p>	<p>1.1. While students exiting the program have always had a transition plan with identified educational plans and goals, we have tried to organize more detailed plans that will be more useful to assist students to have a successful transition. We continue to focus on improving our transition procedures so that students are more likely to succeed once they return to their home community.</p>	<p>1.1. Continue with a more systematic transition plan that includes a detailed school reentry plan, employment plan, continuing goals, and community support information.</p>	<p>1.1. Transition Specialist and Lead Educator</p>	<p>1.1.</p>	<p>1.1. Observation of completed transition plans</p>		
---------------------------	--	---	---	-------------	---	--	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

	<u>2012 Current Level :*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level :*</u>					
<p>All students are expected to have a transition plan in place prior to exiting the program that identifies next school place and/or recommended places of employment and long term educational goals.</p>							
	<p>100% of students completing the program have a transition plan identifying education and employment procedures and goals.</p>	<p>100% of students completing the program will have a transition plan identifying education and employment procedures and goals.</p>					
		<p>1.2.</p>	<p>1.2.</p>	<p>1.2.</p>	<p>1.2.</p>	<p>1.2.</p>	
		<p>1.3.</p>	<p>1.3.</p>	<p>1.3.</p>	<p>1.3.</p>	<p>1.3.</p>	

Transition Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with

May 2012
 Rule 6A-1.099811
 Revised May 25, 2012

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs
Strategies through
Professional
Learning
Community (PLC)
or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
---	-------------------------	--	---	--	-----------------------------------	---

Transition Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)	
Strategy	Descr
Subtotal:	
Technology	
Strategy	Descr
Subtotal:	
Professional Development	
Strategy	Descr

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

	Subtotal:
Other	
Strategy	Descr
	Grand Total:

End of Transition Goal(s)

Attendance Goal(s) (For Day Treatment Programs Only)

Please refer to questions below to guide your responses when completing the goal chart. Specific responses are not required for each question on the template.

Guiding Questions to Inform the Problem-Solving Process
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ What was the attendance rate for 2011-2012? ■ How many students had excessive absences (10 or more) during the 2011-2012 school year? ■ What are the anticipated barriers to decreasing the number of students with excessive absences? ■ What strategies and interventions will be utilized to decrease the number of students with excessive absences for 2012-2013? ■ How many students had excessive tardies (10 or more) during the 2011-2012 school year? ■ What are the anticipated barriers to decreasing the number of students with excessive tardies? ■ What strategies and interventions will be utilized to decrease the number students with excessive tardies for 2012-2013?

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

ATTENDANCE GOAL(S)	Problem- solving Process to						
-------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

	Increase Attendance						
Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Attendance Goal # 1	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Attendance Rate:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Attendance Rate:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current attendance rate in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected attendance rate in this box.</i>					
	<u>2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)</u>	<u>2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current number of absences in this box</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected number of absences in this box.</i>					
	<u>2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)</u>	<u>2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current number of students tardy in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected number of students tardy in this box.</i>					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
--	--	------	------	------	------	------	--

Attendance Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
---	-------------------------	--	---	--	-----------------------------------	---

Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Grand Total:			

End of Attendance Goals

Final Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	Total:
Mathematics Budget	Total:
Science Budget	Total:
Civics Budget	Total:
U.S. History Budget	Total:
Career Budget	Total:

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

	Total:
Transition Budget	
	Total:
Attendance Budget	
	Total:
	Grand Total:

School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting “Yes” or “No” below.

Yes

No

If No, describe measures being taken to comply with SAC requirement.

Describe projected use of SAC funds.	Amount

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan Juvenile Justice Education Programs

The council will meet monthly to review the progress of the students and will obtain parent feedback as well as teacher feedback on how well the goals are being implemented.