

# Florida Department of Education



**DRAFT School Improvement Plan (SIP)  
Form SIP-1**

Proposed for 2012-2013

**2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN**

**PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS**

**School Information**

School Name: Chester W. Taylor Elementary School	District Name: Pasco
Principal: Julie Marks	Superintendent: Heather Fiorentino
SAC Chair: TBD on 9/18/12	Date of School Board Approval:

**Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:**

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

[School Grades Trend Data](#) (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

[Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test \(FCAT\)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data](#) (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

[High School Feedback Report](#)

[K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan](#)

**Administrators**

List your school’s administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
----------	------	-----------------------------	-----------------------------------	-------------------------------------	---

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Principal	Julie Marks	BS Elementary Education Master of Education	2	6.5	<p>Chester W. Taylor Elementary School 2012 – School Grade D AYP – No</p> <p>Chester W. Taylor Elementary School 2011 – School Grade C AYP – No</p> <p>Quail Hollow Elementary School 2010 – School Grade A AYP – No</p> <p>Quail Hollow Elementary School 2009– School Grade A AYP – No</p> <p>Quail Hollow Elementary School 2008 – School Grade A AYP - No</p> <p>Quail Hollow Elementary School 2007– School Grade C AYP - No</p>
Assistant Principal	Kathy Kaburis	Education Leadership, Elementary Education, ESOL	1	18.5	<p>11-12- CWTES Grade-D, AYP- No</p> <p>10-11- MGES Grade – B, AYP- No</p> <p>09-10 – MGES Grade – B, AYP- No</p> <p>08-09 - MGES Grade – A, AYP - Yes</p> <p>07-08 - MGES Grade – C, AYP- No</p>

## 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

### Instructional Coaches

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Reading – Literacy Coach	Teresa Joiner	BA in Elem. Ed (1-6) MA in Special Education (k-12) Certification in Reading (k-12) Certification in Elem. Ed. (1-6)	2	4	Chester W. Taylor Elementary School 2012 – School Grade D AYP – No  Chester W. Taylor Elementary School 2011 – School Grade C AYP – No  Quail Hollow Elementary School 2010 – School Grade A AYP – No  Quail Hollow Elementary School 2009– School Grade A AYP – No  Quail Hollow Elementary School 2008 – School Grade A AYP - No  Quail Hollow Elementary School 2007– School Grade C AYP - No

### Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

June 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date
1. Only interview highly qualified teachers and once hired, assign mentors to assist throughout the year. Have monthly new teacher meetings as well as a separate beginning of the year training for all new staff to the school.	Administration	August 2012
2. Haberman Star Teacher Selection: identifies candidates who have the potential to become excellent teachers, especially educators who serve students at-risk and in poverty.	Administration	Ongoing

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

***Non-Highly Effective Instructors***

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who are NOT highly effective.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out-of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
N/A	

***Staff Demographics***

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
54	13	36	39	15	20	100	.08	.04	39

***Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan***

Please describe the school’s teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
We do not currently have any teachers that qualify for a full year mentor.			

June 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**



## 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

### Additional Requirements

#### **Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only**

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A Title I funds will be used to provide professional development opportunities to teachers and administrators to address the specific academic achievement needs of Chester W. Taylor Elementary. Title I funds will also provide extra support by offering parent education opportunities as well as parent involvement nights. Students will be given the opportunity to attend extended school day and extended school year opportunities.
Title I, Part C- Migrant N/A
Title I, Part D N/A
Title II Title II and Title I funding will be used to provide professional development opportunities to teachers and administrators to address the specific academic achievement needs of Chester W. Taylor Elementary. IDEA funding will be used in conjunction with Title II funds to train teachers in MTSS and positive behavior supports strategies that are proven to work with all students, including students with disabilities and students with behavior problems.
Title III N/A
Title X- Homeless Title X will coordinate Students in Transition to provide stability for homeless students.
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI) SAI funds will be coordinated with Title I funds to provide summer school for Level I readers and 2 <sup>nd</sup> grade struggling readers.
Violence Prevention Programs N/A
Nutrition Programs Chester W. Taylor provides free lunch to those that qualify and free breakfast to all students.
Housing Programs N/A
Head Start Head Start and Volunteer Pre-K services provide opportunities for early childhood learning, and FLDRS offers screening for at-risk 2-4 year olds.
Adult Education N/A
Career and Technical Education N/A

June 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Job Training N/A
Other N/A

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

***Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)***

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team
Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team. Dawn Rice-K Danielle Whitehouse-1st Ashley Evans-2nd Marabeth Ward-3rd Amanda Plaisted-4th Lynne Harrison-5th Janice Koestler-Guidance Counselor Daniel Bowman-Intervention Teacher Elaina O'Brien-Behavior Specialist Kelly Harlow-ESE Support Facilitator Susan Draves-Reading Intervention Rachell Brown-Math Intervention Teresa Joiner-Literacy Coach Julie Marks-Principal Kathy Kaburis-Assistant Principal
Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts? The team meets bi-weekly to engage in the following activities: Review universal screening data of both academic and behavior and link to instructional decisions; review progress-monitoring data at the grade levels and classroom levels to identify students who are meeting/exceeding benchmarks. Based on the above information, the team will identify the Tier of support as indicated by the data and recommend professional development, available resources and/or interventions. The team will also collaborate regularly, problem solve, share effective practices, evaluate implementation, make decisions, and practice new processes and skills. The team will also facilitate the process of building consensus, increasing infrastructure, and making decisions about implementation.
Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP? The MTSS Leadership Team analyzed data, helped determine focus, and decided on teaching and learning strategies/processes and procedures necessary to provide optimum learning opportunities for all students. Once input was given to the administration regarding the SIP, the MTSS team shared the year's focus with the rest of the staff.
MTSS Implementation

## 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<p>Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.</p> <p>Baseline Data: FAIR, FCAT Results from prior year, Core K12 Math/Science, BOY Writing Prompt, Pasco Star Behavior Data from prior year</p> <p>Progress Monitoring: FAIR, CORE K12 Math/Science, Math Pre/Post Tests, Weekly and Unit Reading Assessments, Running Records, Unit Writing Prompt, On going Behavior Data from Pasco Star</p> <p>End Of Year: FAIR, FCAT, Core K12 Math/Science</p>
<p>Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.</p> <p>All staff has been trained in MTSS on an awareness level, with kindergarten and first grade trained at a higher level from district support staff as well as SBLT. SBLT have been trained by district office staff and we are entering our fourth year of implementation. We will continue to build capacity at all grade levels with the assistance of our data coach, school psychologist and district supports.</p>
<p>Describe the plan to support MTSS.</p> <p>Master schedule created so grade level planning times are the same in order to have team based problem solving weekly. SBLT team meets bi-weekly to problem solve school-wide. Intervention teachers support students that need Tier III reading and track student response to intervention with the classroom teachers weekly through data meetings and binders.</p>

### *Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)*

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team
<p>Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).</p> <p>Dawn Rice-K Jessica Heck-1<sup>st</sup> Eileen Flanary-1<sup>st</sup> Lisa Killeen-2<sup>nd</sup> Maria Steinhoff-3<sup>rd</sup> Jean Mora-4<sup>th</sup> John Jacobs-5<sup>th</sup> Kelly Harlow-ESE Support Facilitator Teresa Joiner-Literacy Coach Kathleen McDermott-Reading Intervention Susan Draves-Reading Intervention Julie Marks-Principal</p>
<p>Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).</p> <p>LLT meets monthly, during this time, they look at school-wide data to determine the prioritized literacy needs of the school, gather staff input to develop school action plans for building awareness and implementation of current literacy initiatives, and give feedback to the literacy coach regarding literacy needs at their respective grade levels.</p>

June 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

## 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?  
Build awareness of the Common Core State Standards in the areas of Literacy.  
Examine current writing practices and propose opportunities for meaningful research and writing, which requires students to make claims and support with evidence from the text.

### *Public School Choice*

- **Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification**

*Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.*

## 2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

### ***\*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition***

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

At Chester W. Taylor Elementary School, all incoming Kindergarten students are assessed upon entering Kindergarten in order to determine individual and group needs and to assist in the development of instructional/intervention programs. All students are assessed in academic readiness. If they attended PreK at Chester Taylor, the PreK teachers and kindergarten teachers meet to determine placement of each student on an individual basis. All other students are placed by administration during the summer according to feedback parents give during registration.

### ***\*Grades 6-12 Only*** Sec. 1003.413 (2)(b) F.S

For schools with grades 6-12, how does the school ensure that every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student?

### ***\*High Schools Only***

Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(2)(g), (2)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

### ***Postsecondary Transition***

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the [High School Feedback Report](#).

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS**

**Reading Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

<b>Reading Goals</b>	<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading.</b>	1A.1. Students do not have a variety of quality text to choose from in order to independently read in class.	1A.1. Provide guidance and pictures of quality classroom libraries and how to implement them in their classrooms.  Provide money to purchase quality texts as well as classroom library supplies.	1A.1. Administration Classroom Teachers	1A.1. Classroom Walkthroughs Gallery Walks	1A.1. Self Created Rubric FAIR Unit/Weekly Reading Assessments		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<u>Reading Goal #1A:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
By June 2013, students in grades 3-5 achieving a Level 3 for reading on the 2013 FCAT 2.0 reading test will increase from 25% (75) to at least 35% (92).							
	25% (75)	35% (92)					
	-	1A.2. Students are not doing enough daily independent reading while in class, nor are they being held accountable for what they are reading due to schedule restraints.	1A.2. Implement school-wide intervention time utilizing the master schedule so students that are on-level are reading and responding to what they are reading for a total of thirty minutes a day.	1A.2. Administration Classroom Teachers	1A.2. Classroom Walkthroughs Student Work	1A.2. Self Created Rubric FAIR Unit/Weekly Reading Assessments	
		1A.3. Students are not writing about what they are reading due to a lack of understanding the CCSS of ELA.	1A.3. Train teachers in understanding the CCSS of ELA at Weekly Professional Development in Literacy.  Incorporate the CCSS of ELA across both reading and writing.	1A.3. Administrations Classroom Teachers Literacy Coach	1A.3. Self Checks during WPDL Classroom Walkthroughs	1A.3. Self Created Rubric FAIR Unit/Weekly Reading Assessments	



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.</b>	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
<b>Reading Goal #1B:</b>  <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>2A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 in reading.</b>	2A.1. Students do not have a variety of quality text to choose from in order to independently read in class.	2A.1. Provide guidance and pictures of quality classroom libraries and how to implement them in their classrooms.  Provide money to purchase quality texts as well as classroom library supplies.	2A.1. Administration Classroom Teachers	2A.1. Classroom Walkthroughs Gallery Walks	2A.1. Self Created Rubric FAIR Unit/Weekly Reading Assessments		
<u>Reading Goal #2A:</u>  By June 2013, students in grades 3-5 achieving a Level 4 or 5 for reading on the 2013 FCAT 2.0 reading test will increase from 23% (70) to at least 35% (90).	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

	23% (70)	35% (90)					
		2A.2. Students are not writing about what they are reading due to a lack of understanding the CCSS of ELA.	2A.2. Begin to train teachers in understanding the CCSS of ELA at Weekly Professional Development in Literacy.  Begin to incorporate the CCSS of ELA across both reading and writing.	2A.2. Administrations Classroom Teachers Literacy Coach	2A.2. Classroom Walkthroughs Student Work	2A.2. Self Created Rubric FAIR Unit/Weekly Reading Assessments	
		2A.3. Schedule did not call for a time for students to get enrichment in the area of reading.	2A.3. Develop the master schedule so that students will have time for reading enrichment at least three times a week.	2A.3. Administration	2A.3. Self Checks during WPDL Classroom Walkthroughs	2A.3. Self Created Rubric FAIR Unit/Weekly Reading Assessments	
<b>2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in reading.</b>	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		
<b>Reading Goal #2B:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	
--	--	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	--

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>3A. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading.</b>	3A.1. Students do not have a variety of quality text to choose from in order to independently read in class.	3A.1. Provide guidance and pictures of quality classroom libraries and how to implement them in their classrooms.  Provide money to purchase quality texts as well as classroom library supplies.	3A.1. Administration Classroom Teachers	3A.1. Classroom Walkthroughs Gallery Walks	3A.1. Self Created Rubric FAIR Unit/Weekly Reading Assessments		
<u>Reading Goal #3A:</u>  By June 2013, students in grades 4 & 5 making a learning gain in reading on the 2013 FCAT 2.0 reading test will increase from 57% to at least 65%.	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	Grades 4 & 5: 57%	Grades 4 & 5: 65%					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

		3A.2. Students are not writing about what they are reading due to a lack of understanding the CCSS of ELA.	3A.2. Begin to train teachers in understanding the CCSS of ELA at Weekly Professional Development in Literacy.  Begin to incorporate the CCSS of ELA across both reading and writing.	3A.2. Administrations Classroom Teachers Literacy Coach	3A.2. Classroom Walkthroughs Student Work	3A.2. Self Created Rubric FAIR Unit/Weekly Reading Assessments	
		3A.3. Schedule did not call for a time for students to get intervention in the area of reading at a consistent time across the school.	3A.3. Develop the master schedule so that students will have time for reading intervention at least four times a week for thirty minutes.	3A.3. Administration	3A.3. Self Checks during WPDL Classroom Walkthroughs	3A.3. Self Created Rubric FAIR Unit/Weekly Reading Assessments	
<b>3B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading.</b>	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
<b>Reading Goal #3B:</b>  <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:</u> *	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:</u> *					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<p><b>4A. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.</b></p>	<p>4A.1. Students do not have a variety of quality text to choose from in order to independently read in class.</p>	<p>4A.1. Provide guidance and pictures of quality classroom libraries and how to implement them in their classrooms.  Provide money to purchase quality texts as well as classroom library supplies.</p>	<p>4A.1. Administration Classroom Teachers</p>	<p>4A.1. Classroom Walkthroughs Gallery Walks</p>	<p>4A.1. Self Created Rubric FAIR Unit/Weekly Reading Assessments</p>		
<p><u>Reading Goal #4A:</u>  By June 2013, students in the lowest 25% in grades 4 &amp; 5 making a learning gain in reading on the 2013 FCAT 2.0 reading test will increase from 64% to at least 70%.</p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></p>					
	<p>Grades 4 &amp; 5: 64%</p>	<p>Grades 4 &amp; 5: 70%</p>					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

		4A.2. Students are not writing about what they are reading due to a lack of understanding the CCSS of ELA.	4A.2. Begin to train teachers in understanding the CCSS of ELA at Weekly Professional Development in Literacy.  Begin to incorporate the CCSS of ELA across both reading and writing.	4A.2. Administrations Classroom Teachers Literacy Coach	4A.2. Classroom Walkthroughs Student Work	4A.2. Self Created Rubric FAIR Unit/Weekly Reading Assessments	
		4A.3. Schedule did not call for a time for students to get intervention in the area of reading at a consistent time across the school.	4A.3. Develop the master schedule so that students will have time for reading intervention at least four times a week for thirty minutes.	4A.3. Administration	4A.3. Self Checks during WPDL Classroom Walkthroughs	4A.3. Self Created Rubric FAIR Unit/Weekly Reading Assessments	
<b>4B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.</b>	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.		
<b>Reading Goal #4B:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

		4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	
		4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
<b>5A. In six years school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.</b>	<b>Baseline data 2010-2011</b> 50%	49%	55%	60%	65%	70%	75%
<u>Reading Goal #5A:</u>  By June 2017, 75% of the CWTES students will be proficient in reading.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to “Guiding Questions,” identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading.</b>	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.		
<u>Reading Goal #5B:</u>  We do not have current data for our subgroups.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading.</b>	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		
<u>Reading Goal #5C:</u> N/A	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading.</b>	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Reading Goal #5D:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
We do not have current data for our subgroups.							
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.</b>	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		
<u>Reading Goal #5E:</u> We do not have current data for our subgroups.	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
		5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
		5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	

**Reading Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning</b>							
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>Community (PLC) or PD Activities</b> Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Building Understanding of the CCSS for ELA	K-5	Literacy Coach/ Administration	School-wide	Weekly	*Structured Coaching/mentoring *Walkthroughs *Collaborative Planning related to pd	Literacy Coach/ Administration

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Reading Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school funded activities/ materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Classroom Library Books	Various levels of all types of books including E-Books for classroom libraries.	Title One	\$2,000.00
Reading Counts	Reading Incentive Program to promote reading and responding to reading.	Title One	\$1,350.00
<b>Subtotal: \$3,350.00</b>			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
BookFlix/TrueFlix	Fiction and Non-Fiction Technology Program to enhance reading of different types of text.	Title One	\$1649.00
<b>Subtotal: \$1,649.00</b>			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
<b>Total: \$4,999.00</b>			

*End of Reading Goals*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

<b>CELLA Goals</b>	<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition</b>					
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
<b>1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking.</b>	1.1. Language	1.1. Access to additional language development resources (books, dictionaries, instructional assistant, etc.)  Highly Qualified Teachers in ESOL strategies	1.1. Administration Classroom Teachers ESOL Resource Teacher	1.1. Walkthroughs Lesson Plans Addressing ESOL Strategies	1.1. CELLA FAIR FCAT	
<b>CELLA Goal #1:</b> By June 2013, students in grades K-5 scoring proficient in listening/speaking on the 2013 CELLA test will increase from 70% to at least 80%.	<b>2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking:</b>					
	70%					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Students read grade-level text in English in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
<b>2. Students scoring proficient in reading.</b>	2.1. Language	2.1. Access to additional language development resources (books, dictionaries, instructional assistant, etc.)  Highly Qualified Teachers in ESOL strategies	2.1. Administration Classroom Teachers ESOL Resource Teacher	2.1. Walkthroughs Lesson Plans Addressing ESOL Strategies	2.1. CELLA FAIR FCAT	
<u>CELLA Goal #2:</u> By June 2013, students in grades K-5 scoring proficient in reading on the 2013 CELLA test will increase from 39% to at least 50%.	<u>2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading:</u>					
	39%					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
<b>3. Students scoring proficient in writing.</b>	2.1. Language	2.1. Access to additional language development resources (books, dictionaries, instructional assistant, etc.)  Highly Qualified Teachers in ESOL strategies	2.1. Administration Classroom Teachers ESOL Resource Teacher	2.1. Walkthroughs Lesson Plans Addressing ESOL Strategies	2.1. CELLA FAIR FCAT BOY/MOY/EOY Writings Samples	
<b>CELLA Goal #3:</b>  By June 2013, students in grades K-5 scoring proficient in writing on the 2013 CELLA test will increase from 39% to at least 50%.	<u>2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing :</u>					
	39%					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**CELLA Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
<b>Total:</b>			

*End of CELLA Goals*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Elementary School Mathematics Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

<b>Elementary Mathematics Goals</b>	<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.</b>	1A.1. Unable to adhere to the curriculum maps due to students not mastering what is being taught.	1A.1. Use school year calendar to plan out per/post tests so that all teachers stay together with the maps.	1A.1. Grade Level Teams Administrators	1A.1. Curriculum Calendar Lesson Plans	1A.1. Core K12 Pre/Post Test		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<p><u>Mathematics Goal #1A:</u> By June 2013, students in grades 3-5 achieving a Level 3 for math on the 2013 FCAT math test will increase from 23% (69) to at least 35% (90).</p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></p>					
	<p>23% (69)</p>	<p>35% (90)</p>					
		<p>1A.2. Planning in Isolation due to scheduling restraints.</p>	<p>1A.2. Collaborative planning with grade level teams using pre/post tests.</p>	<p>1A.2. Grade Level Teams Administrators</p>	<p>1A.2. Curriculum Calendar Lesson Plans</p>	<p>1A.2. Core K12 Pre/Post Test</p>	
<p><b>1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.</b></p>	<p>1B.1.</p>	<p>1B.1.</p>	<p>1B.1.</p>	<p>1B.1.</p>	<p>1B.1.</p>		
<p><u>Mathematics Goal #1B:</u> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></p>					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Elementary School Mathematics Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

<b>Elementary Mathematics Goals</b>	<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.</b>	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.		
<u>Mathematics Goal</u> #1A:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
		1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<p><b>1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.</b></p>	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
<p><u>Mathematics Goal #1B:</u>  <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></p>					
	<p><i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i></p>	<p><i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i></p>					
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>2A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in mathematics.</b>	2A.1. Unable to adhere to the curriculum maps due to students not mastering what is being taught.	2A.1. Use school year calendar to plan out per/post tests so that all teachers stay together with the maps.	2A.1. Grade Level Teams Administrators	2A.1. Curriculum Calendar Lesson Plans	2A.1. Core K12 Pre/Post Test		
<u>Mathematics Goal #2A:</u> By June 2013, students in grades 4 & 5 achieving a Level 4 or 5 for math on the 2013 FCAT math test will increase from 12% (36) to at least 25% (66).	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	12% (36)	25% (66)					
		2A.2. Planning in Isolation	1A.2. Collaborative planning with grade level teams using pre/post tests.	1A.2. Grade Level Teams Administrators	2A.2. Curriculum Calendar Lesson Plans	2A.2. Core K12 Pre/Post Test	
<b>2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.</b>	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		

June 2012  
 Rule 6A-1.099811  
 Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<u>Mathematics Goal #2B:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>							
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>3A. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.</b>	3A.1. Unable to adhere to the curriculum maps due to students not mastering what is being taught.	3A.1. Use school year calendar to plan out per/post tests so that all teachers stay together with the maps.	3A.1. Grade Level Teams Administrators	3A.1. Curriculum Calendar Lesson Plans	3A.1. Core K12 Pre/Post Test		
<u>Mathematics Goal #3A:</u> By June 2013, students in grades 4 & 5 making a learning gain for math on the 2013 FCAT math test will increase from 64% to at least 70%.	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	Grades 4 & 5: 64%	Grades 4 & 5: 70%					
		3A.2. Planning in Isolation	1A.2. Collaborative planning with grade level teams using pre/post tests.	1A.2. Grade Level Teams Administrators	3A.2. Curriculum Calendar Lesson Plans	3A.2. Core K12 Pre/Post Test	
<b>3B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.</b>	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<u>Mathematics Goal #3B:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>							
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>4A. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.</b>	4A.1. Unable to adhere to the curriculum maps due to students not mastering what is being taught.	4A.1. Use school year calendar to plan out per/post tests so that all teachers stay together with the maps.	4A.1. Grade Level Teams Administrators	4A.1. Curriculum Calendar Lesson Plans	4A.1. Core K12 Pre/Post Test		
<u>Mathematics Goal #4A:</u> By June 2013, students in the lowest 25% in grades 4 & 5 making a learning gain for math on the 2013 FCAT math test will increase from 72% to at least 75%.	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	Grades 4 & 5: 72%	Grades 4 & 5: 75%					
		4A.2. Planning in Isolation	1A.2. Collaborative planning with grade level teams using pre/post tests.	1A.2. Grade Level Teams Administrators	4A.2. Curriculum Calendar Lesson Plans	4A.2. Core K12 Pre/Post Test	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<p><b>4B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.</b></p>	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.		
<p><u>Mathematics Goal #4B:</u>  <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance.*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance.*</u></p>					
	<p><i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i></p>	<p><i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i></p>					
		4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	
		4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
<b>5A. In six years school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.</b>	<b>Baseline data 2010-2011</b> 35%	35%	41%	47%	54%	61%	68%
<u>Mathematics Goal #5A:</u>  By June 2017, 68% of our students will be proficient in math.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to “Guiding Questions,” identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.</b>	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<u>Mathematics Goal</u> <u>#5B:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
We do not have current data for our subgroups.							
	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
		5B.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.</b>	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		
<u>Mathematics Goal #5C:</u> N/A	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.</b>	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<u>Mathematics Goal</u> <u>#5D:</u>	<u>2012 Current</u> <u>Level of</u> <u>Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected</u> <u>Level of</u> <u>Performance:*</u>					
We do not have current data for our subgroups.							
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		5D.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.</b>	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		
<u>Mathematics Goal #5E:</u> We do not have current data for our subgroups.	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		5E.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	

*End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals*



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Middle School Mathematics Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Middle School Mathematics	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.</b>	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.		
<b>Mathematics Goal #1A:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.</b>	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
<u>Mathematics Goal #1B:</u>  <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>2A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in mathematics.</b>	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.		
<b>Mathematics Goal #2A:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<b>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</b>	<b>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</b>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	
		2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	
<b>2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.</b>	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<u>Mathematics Goal #2B:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>							
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>3A. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.</b>	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.		
<b>Mathematics Goal #3A:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	
		3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	
<b>3B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.</b>	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<u>Mathematics Goal #3B:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>							
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>4A. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.</b>	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.		
<b>Mathematics Goal #4A:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	
		4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	
<b>4B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.</b>	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<u>Mathematics Goal #4B:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>							
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	
		4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
<b>5A. In six years, school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.</b>	<b>Baseline data 2010-2011</b>						
<u>Mathematics Goal #5A:</u>  <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to “Guiding Questions,” identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.</b>	5B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<u>Mathematics Goal #5B:</u>  <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i> White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i> White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.</b>	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		
<b>Mathematics Goal #5C:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.</b>	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		
<b>Mathematics Goal #5D:</b>  <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.</b>	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		
<u>Mathematics Goal #5E:</u> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
		5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	

*End of Middle School Mathematics Goals*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

High School	Mathemat	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	<b>1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.</b>	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
	<b>Mathematics Goal #1:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
		<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.</b>	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
<b>Mathematics Goal #2:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>3. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.</b>	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.		
<b>Mathematics Goal #3:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	
		3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<p><b>4. Florida Alternate Assessment:</b>  <b>Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.</b></p>	4.1.	4.1.	4.1.	4.1.	4.1.		
<p><b>Mathematics Goal #4:</b>  <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></p>					
	<p><i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i></p>	<p><i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i></p>					
		4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	
		4.3.	4.3.	4.3.	4.3.	4.3.	

*End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Algebra 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals** *(this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Algebra I EOC)*

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

<b>Algebra 1 EOC Goals</b>	<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra 1.</b>	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
<b>Algebra 1 Goal #1:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Algebra 1.</b>	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
<u>Algebra Goal #2:</u> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
<b>3A. In six years, school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.</b>	<b>Baseline data 2010-2011</b>						
<u>Algebra 1 Goal #3A:</u>  <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.</b>	3B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<p><b>Algebra 1 Goal #3B:</b>  <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i></p>	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></p>				
	<p><i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i> White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:</p>	<p><i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i> White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:</p>				
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.</b>	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.		
<u>Algebra 1 Goal #3C:</u> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.</b>	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
<u>Algebra 1 Goal #3D:</u> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.</b>	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.		
<u>Algebra 1 Goal #3E:</u> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	
		3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	

*End of Algebra 1 EOC Goals*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Geometry End-of-Course Goals** *(this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Geometry EOC)*

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

<b>Geometry EOC Goals</b>	<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry.</b>	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
<b>Geometry Goal #1:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Geometry.</b>	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
<b>Geometry Goal #2:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017		
<b>3A. In six years, school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.</b>	<b>Baseline data 2011-2012</b>						
<u>Geometry Goal #3A:</u> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.</b>	3B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Geometry Goal #3B:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>							
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i> White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i> White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**June 2012  
Rule 6A-1.099811  
Revised April 29, 2011**

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.</b>	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.		
<b>Geometry Goal #3C:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.</b>	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
<u>Geometry Goal #3D:</u> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**June 2012  
Rule 6A-1.099811  
Revised April 29, 2011**

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.</b>	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.		
<b>Geometry Goal #3E:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<b>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</b>	<b>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</b>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	
		3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	

*End of Geometry EOC Goals*

**Mathematics Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional</b>							
---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities</b> Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Using Pre/Post Tests in Math to Plan for Instruction	K-5	Math Coach/Team Leaders	Grade Level Teams	Every three weeks	Lesson Plans/Walkthroughs	Administration

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Mathematics Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
<b>Total:</b>			

*End of Mathematics Goals*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Elementary and Middle School Science Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

<b>Elementary and Middle Science Goals</b>	<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science.</b>	1A.1. Teachers unfamiliar on how to teach the hands-on inquiry projects in science.	1A.1. Provide coaching/ modeling and PD in delivering hands-on science lessons through the use of the science lab.	1A.1. Administration Science Coach Teachers	1A.1. Evidence of Coaching Cycles Lesson Plans Walkthroughs	1A.1. Core K12 Unit Science Tests		
<b>Science Goal #1A:</b> By June 2013, there will be a 10% increase in the percent of students scoring a level 3 on the Science FCAT.	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	26%	36%					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

		1A.2. Lack of collaborative/ purposeful planning	1A.2. Provide PD and assistance in lesson planning in the area of science.	1A.2. Administration Science Coach Teachers	1A.2. Evidence of Coaching Cycles Lesson Plans Walkthroughs	1A.2. Core K12 Unit Science Tests	
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	
<b>1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.</b>	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
<b>Science Goal #1B:</b>  <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>2A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in science.</b>	2A.1. Teachers unfamiliar on how to teach the hands-on inquiry projects in science.	2A.1. Provide coaching/ modeling and PD in delivering hands-on science lessons through the use of the science lab.	2A.1. Administration Science Coach	2A.1. Evidence of Coaching Cycles Lesson Plans Walkthroughs	2A.1. Core K12 Unit Science Tests		
<u>Science Goal #2A:</u> By June 2013, there will be a 7% increase in the percent of students scoring a level 4 or 5 on the Science FCAT.	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	8%	15%					
		2A.2. Lack of collaborative/ purposeful planning	2A.2. Provide PD and assistance in lesson planning in the area of science.	2A.2. Administration Science Coach Teachers	2A.2. Evidence of Coaching Cycles Lesson Plans Walkthroughs	2A.2. Core K12 Unit Science Tests	
		2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>2B. Florida Alternate Assessment:</b> <b>Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.</b>	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		
<b>Science Goal #2B:</b>  <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

*End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

<b>High School Science Goals</b>	<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.</b>	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
<b>Science Goal #1:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>2. Florida Alternate Assessment:</b> <b>Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.</b>	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
<b>Science Goal #2:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

*End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals*

**Biology 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals** *(this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Biology I EOC)*

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

<b>Biology 1 EOC Goals</b>	<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem</b>						



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

	<b>ent</b>						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Biology 1.</b>	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
<b>Biology 1 Goal #1:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology 1.</b>	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Biology 1 Goal #2:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>							
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

*End of Biology 1 EOC Goals*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Science Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b> Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Unpacking the Standards	K-5	Science Coach	School Wide	Monthly	Lesson Plans/Walkthroughs	Administration/Science Coach

**Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
<b>Total:</b>			

*End of Science Goals*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Writing Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

<b>Writing Goals</b>	<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>1A. FCAT: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing.</b>	1A.1. Teachers not knowing the standards.	1A.1. Use Common Core to Unpack the Standards across all grade levels.	1A.1. Literacy Coach Grade Level Teachers	1A.1. Lesson Plans	1A.1. MMH Unit Writing Samples BOY/MOY/EOY Writing Assessments		
<b>Writing Goal #1A:</b> By June 2013, students in fourth grade will increase writing proficiency from 45% to 65% as measured by the FCAT Writing test.	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	45%	65%					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

		1A.2. Teachers not writing across the content areas	1A.2. Professional Development and support in math and science notebooking across all grade levels.	1A.2. Math Coach Science Coach Grade Level Teachers	1A.2. Student Writing samples Lesson Plans Observations Math/Science Notebooks	1A.2. MMH Unit Writing Samples BOY/MOY/EOY Writing Assessments	
<b>1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.</b>	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
<b>Writing Goal #1B:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Writing Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b> Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
	PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring
Weekly Professional Development in Literacy	All Instructional	Literacy Coach	School-Wide	Weekly Wednesday Morning	*Structured Coaching/mentoring *Walkthroughs *Collaborative Planning related to pd	Administration/Literacy Coach

**Writing Budget (Insert rows as needed)**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

June 2012  
 Rule 6A-1.099811  
 Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
<b>Total:</b>			

*End of Writing Goals*



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals *(required in year 2014-2015)***

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

<b>Civics EOC Goals</b>	<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Civics.</b>	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
<b>Civics Goal #1:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Civics.</b>	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
<b>Civics Goal #2:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Civics Professional Development**

<p><b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b></p> <p>Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.</p>							
	PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

**Civics Budget (Insert rows as needed)**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
<b>Total:</b>			

*End of Civics Goals*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals *(required in year 2013-2014)***

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

<b>U.S. History EOC Goals</b>	<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History.</b>	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
<b>U.S. History Goal #1:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in U.S. History.</b>	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
<b>U.S. History Goal #2:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**U.S. History Professional Development**

<p><b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b></p> <p>Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.</p>						
<p>PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus</p>	<p>Grade Level/ Subject</p>	<p>PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader</p>	<p>PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)</p>	<p>Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)</p>	<p>Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring</p>	<p>Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring</p>

**U.S. History Budget (Insert rows as needed)**

<p>Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.</p>			
<p>Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)</p>			
<p>Strategy</p>	<p>Description of Resources</p>	<p>Funding Source</p>	<p>Amount</p>
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
<p>Technology</p>			
<p>Strategy</p>	<p>Description of Resources</p>	<p>Funding Source</p>	<p>Amount</p>

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
<b>Total:</b>			

*End of U.S. History Goals*



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Attendance Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

<b>Attendance Goal(s)</b>	<b>Problem-solving Process to Increase Attendance</b>						
Based on the analysis of attendance data and reference to “Guiding Questions,” identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<p><b>1. Attendance</b></p>	<p>1.1. Students and their families living in poverty may not value regular school attendance or education in general terms. Their priorities are about daily survival. Also, many parents' work schedule interferes with the school schedule. Many work nights and/or they are at work at the time students are to get up and go to school. Their work takes precedence over school simply due to the desire to provide for the basic needs of the family. In addition, these parents often times did not have positive school experiences themselves. Also, if our students are experiencing behavior difficulties, it is easier for the parent to keep the child at</p>	<p>1.1. An Attendance Improvement Plan was developed over the summer. This plan includes attendance incentives and attendance interventions. A letter was mailed from the principal to all students with 10% or more absences. This letter informed these parents of our commitment to their child(ren) and offered levels of support we have available to help improve their child's attendance and overall education.</p>	<p>1.1. Guidance Counselor</p>	<p>1.1. Weekly monitoring of attendance.</p>	<p>1.1. Attendance data from TERMS and Pasco Star.</p>		
-----------------------------	--	---	--------------------------------	--	--	--	--

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

	home rather than to deal with the school calling.						
<b>Attendance Goal #1:</b>	<u>2012 Current Attendance Rate:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Attendance Rate:*</u>					
<i>Regular school attendance is a vital component for school improvement. When students attend school regularly, they are happier, healthier, and they perform better academically. Looking at the long term, students with regular school attendance are also less likely to become school dropouts.</i>							
	93.66%	95%					
	<u>2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)</u>	<u>2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)</u>					
	212	191					
	<u>2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)</u>	<u>2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)</u>					
	76	68					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
--	--	------	------	------	------	------	--

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Attendance Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b> Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.							
	PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
	Attendance	All	Administration	Grade Level	Aug. 13-17	Weekly Monitoring	Student Services Team (SST)
	Attendance	All	Administration	Grade Level	Quarterly	Quarterly Review at Data Chats	Administration, SST
Attendance	All	SST	School-wide	End of Year	Presentation of End-of-Year Data	SST	

**Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
	<b>Subtotal:</b>		
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Attendance Incentives	Various Incentives	Title One	\$1,000.00
<b>Subtotal: \$1,000</b>			
<b>Total: \$1,000</b>			

*End of Attendance Goals*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Suspension Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

<b>Suspension Goal(s)</b>	<b>Problem-solving Process to Decrease Suspension</b>						
Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>1. Suspension</b>	1.1. Inconsistent use of school wide behavior program and processes.  Students lack behavior strategies needed to be successful in class.	1.1. Development of a school wide discipline flowchart.  Summer planning of grade level and classroom behavior plans that follow the school wide flowchart.  Second Step Behavior Program Implementation School-Wide	1.1. Administration, teachers, and discipline committee	1.1. Monthly review of school wide data discussed by the discipline committee to identify and address problem areas.	1.1. TERMS Pasco Star		

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

<p><b>Suspension Goal #1:</b> By June 2013, the number of in-school suspensions will decrease from 50 to 40 or lower and out-of-school suspensions will decrease from 109 to 80 or lower, in order to provide more academic engagement time, thus improving student achievement.</p>	<p><u>2012 Total Number of In-School Suspensions</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions</u></p>					
	50	40					
	<p><u>2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In-School</u></p>					
	<p><u>2012 Total Number of Out-of-School Suspensions</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions</u></p>					
	109	80					
	<p><u>2012 Total Number of Students Suspended Out-of-School</u></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out-of-School</u></p>					
	<p><i>Enter numerical data for current number of students suspended out-of-school</i></p>	<p><i>Enter numerical data for expected number of students suspended out-of-school</i></p>					



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

		1.2.Inability to track minor but repeated discipline infractions, which end in more serious problems.	1.2.Development of an Internal Incident Report (IIR) to track and monitor minor incidences that lead to chronic discipline problems so that the discipline can be dealt with and interventions developed earlier in the process.	1.2. Administration, teachers, Behavior Support Team	1.2.A program was developed to enter data from the IIR so it can be used at monthly data review.	1.2.Google Docs Data Report	
		1.3.Lack of a strong Tier II discipline program.	1.3.Implementation of the Behavior Education Program (BEP)	1.3.Administration, teachers, Behavior Support Team, and BEP coordinators.	1.3.BEP daily progress reports and student tracking.	1.3.BEP Tracking Tool	

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Suspension Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b> Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
	PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring
Behavior PD	All Levels	Behavior Support Team	School-Wide	Monthly	Coaching/Mentoring to those that are struggling with behavior	Administration
Behavior Education Program	All Levels	BEP Coordinators	School Wide	Beginning of Year	Daily Check In and Progress Monitoring of DPR	Administration, teachers, BEP coordinators
IIR	All Levels	Behavior Specialist	School Wide	Beginning of Year	Development if Tiered support in problem areas	Administration, teachers, Behavior Support Team

**Suspension Budget (Insert rows as needed)**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Behavior Education Program	DVD describing how to implement the program	Title One	\$55.00
Galaxy Club	Student Incentives and Snacks for Galaxy Club Winners	Title One	\$500.00
Second Step	K-5 Tier I Behavior Program	Title One	\$1649.00
<b>Subtotal: \$2199.00</b>			

June 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
BEP Incentives	Student Incentives	Title One	\$500.00
<b>Subtotal: \$500.00</b>			
<b>Total: \$2699.00</b>			

*End of Suspension Goals*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Dropout Prevention Goal(s)**

Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

<b>Dropout Prevention Goal(s)</b>	<b>Problem-solving Process to Dropout Prevention</b>						
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>1. Dropout Prevention</b>	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
<u>Dropout Prevention Goal #1:</u>  <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>  <i>*Please refer to the percentage of students who dropped out during the 2011-2012 school year.</i>	<u>2012 Current Dropout Rate:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Dropout Rate:*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for dropout rate in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected dropout rate in this box.</i>					
	<u>2012 Current Graduation Rate:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Graduation Rate:*</u>					

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

	<i>Enter numerical data for graduation rate in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected graduation rate in this box.</i>					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

**Dropout Prevention Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b> Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.							
	PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Dropout Prevention Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
<b>Total:</b>			

*End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Parent Involvement Goal(s)**

**Upload Option-**For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section.

**Online Template-** For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

<b>Parent Involvement Goal(s)</b>	<b>Problem-solving Process to Parent Involvement</b>						
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>1. Parent Involvement</b>	1.1. Transportation and lack of parent interest.	1.1. Various dates and times will be available for parent workshops.	1.1. Parent Involvement Coordinator Administration PTO Board Teachers and Staff	1.1. Sign in sheets will assist in monitoring attendance. Feedback forms will be turned in at the end of each event.	1.1. End of the Year parent involvement feedback form. Sign in sheets will be used to determine % of parents attending the workshops.		
<u>Parent Involvement Goal #1:</u>  <i>Continue to increase the level of family/parent involvement by not only providing a wide range of events, but also developing a parent resource room where we will be able to work with parents in small groups and/or individually.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:*</u>					
	26%	30-35%					

June 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

		1.2. Parents having difficulty helping their children with homework and afraid to ask for help.	1.2. To promote parent resources and volunteer to help parents with homework and school activities.	1.2. Parent Involvement Coordinator Administration	1.2. Sign in sheets and a log for each parent that utilizes the parent resource room.	1.2. End of the Year sign in sheets and log book to determine the % of parents utilizing the parent resource room.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

**Parent Involvement Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b> Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
	PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring
Parent Workshops with an academic focus	Pre K-5	Coaches, Parent Involvement Coordinator Admin.	Families and Staff	Quarterly	Surveys and log books	Parent Involvement



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Parent Involvement Budget**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Materials to support Parent Involvement Activities	Vaires	Title One	\$2,000.00
<b>Subtotal: \$2,000.00</b>			
<b>Total: \$2,000.00</b>			

*End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)**

<b>STEM Goal(s)</b>	<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>STEM Goal #1:</b> In the 2012-2013 school year, we will increase STEM awareness throughout all grades by providing information and activities to staff and students.	1.1. Faculty's lack of training and understanding of STEM	1.1. Provide training on how to implement STEM hands-on-activities in all science and math classes.	1.1. Science Coach Math/Science Committee Administration	1.1. Lesson Plans Walkthroughs	1.1. Core K12 Unit Science Tests
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

**STEM Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b>						

June 2012  
 Rule 6A-1.099811  
 Revised April 29, 2011

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content / Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
STEM	K-5	Science Coach	School-Wide	Monthly	Evidence of STEM instruction in classrooms	Administration

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)**

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
<b>Total:</b>			

*End of STEM Goal(s)*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)**

<b>CTE Goal(s)</b>	<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>CTE Goal #1:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

**CTE Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b> <small>Please note that each Strategy does not require a</small>						
---	--	--	--	--	--	--

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content / Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**CTE Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
<b>Total:</b>			

*End of CTE Goal(s)*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Additional Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

<b>Additional Goal(s)</b>	<b>Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement</b>						
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<b>1. Additional Goal</b>	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
<b>Additional Goal #1:</b> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level :*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level :*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current goal in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected goal in this box.</i>					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	



**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Additional Goals Professional Development**

<b>Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity</b> Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Additional Goal(s) Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
<b>Subtotal:</b>			
<b>Total:</b>			

*End of Additional Goal(s)*

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Final Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.	
<b>Reading Budget</b>	<b>Total: \$4,999.00</b>
<b>CELLA Budget</b>	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Mathematics Budget</b>	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Science Budget</b>	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Writing Budget</b>	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Civics Budget</b>	<b>Total:</b>
<b>U.S. History Budget</b>	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Attendance Budget</b>	<b>Total: \$1,00.00</b>
<b>Suspension Budget</b>	<b>Total: \$2,699.00</b>
<b>Dropout Prevention Budget</b>	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Parent Involvement Budget</b>	<b>Total: \$2,000.00</b>
<b>STEM Budget</b>	<b>Total:</b>
<b>CTE Budget</b>	<b>Total:</b>
<b>Additional Goals</b>	<b>Total:</b>

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Grand Total: \$10,698.00**

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**June 2012  
Rule 6A-1.099811  
Revised April 29, 2011**

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

**Differentiated Accountability**

**School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance**

Please choose the school’s DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under “Default value” header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an “x” in the box.)

<b>School Differentiated Accountability Status</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> Priority	<input type="checkbox"/> Focus	<input type="checkbox"/> Prevent

- Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the *Upload* page

**School Advisory Council (SAC)**

*SAC Membership Compliance*

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting *Yes* or *No* below.

- Yes       No

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.
Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.
The focus of the SAC this year will be to increase membership, awareness of the everyday activities of the school and receive input as to what the parents and community know and understand about Chester Taylor and Pasco County School System.

**2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1**

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
SAC Committee will decide and vote on the funds during the year.	