Florida Department of Education



DRAFT School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

School Information

School Name: Frank H. Peterson Academies of Technology	District Name: duval
Principal: Cathy B. Barnes	Superintendent: Ed Pratt-Dannals
SAC Chair: Angela Meadows	Date of School Board Approval:

Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading 11a

Administrators

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)		
Principal	Cathy B. Barnes	BS degree from Jacksonville University in 1981. M.Ed. from the University of North Florida in 1988.	2	18	2011 38 point increase, grade pending 2010 416 points "D" 2009 20 point increase "C"		

Assistant Principal	Brett Ray	BS from the University of North Florida's College of Allied Health and Human Services in Community Health. MA from the University of North Florida's College of Education in Guidance/ School Counseling and Mental Health Counseling. Post Graduate studies at the University of North Florida's College of Education in Educational Leadership	13	14	2011 451 points, grade pending, 5 point increase in lower quartile reading 2010 "D", 457 FCAT Points. 2009 "C", 453 FCAT points.
Assistant Principal	Louey Carter	B.S. Degree in History education from Florida A&M University. M.S. degree in Educational leadership from Nova Southeastern University	1.5	13	N/A
Assistant Principal	Jessica Parrish	B.S. degree in English, secondary Education as a minorCollege of Saint Benedict in St. Joseph, Minnesota M.S. degree in Educational Supervision for Grand Canyon University	1	1	N/A

Instructional Coaches

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Instruction al	Natalie Banning	Bachelor of Education from University of North Florida and Masters of Business Administration from the University of Phoneix	10	1	First year in position

Effective and Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

De	scription of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	
1.	Among strategies to recruit Highly Qualified teachers and retain them is a yearlong comprehensive Professional Development schedule: Please see attachment "A" for PD. Schedule. Last year's "turn-over" was 10.9%. 7 out of 64 either retired, were subject to Reduction In Force to meet budget constraints, died, or were less than satisfactory (LTS).	Principal Natalie Banning Lynne Turpin	On going	
2.	Regular meetings with new teachers and Principal or designee	Principal, Curriculum Manager, New Teacher Facilitator.	On Going	
3.	Partnering new teachers with veteran teachers	Lynne Turpin	Year Long Process	
4.	Soliciting referrals from current employees	Prinicpal	N/A	

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only). *When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
12/80	PDF-Lynne Turpin TIP Program

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Total number of Instructiona Staff	% of first- year teachers	% of teachers with 1-5 years of experience	% of teachers with 6-14 years of experience	% of teachers with 15+ years of experience	% of teachers with Advanced Degrees	% of teachers with an Effective rating or higher	% of Reading Endorsed Teachers	% of National Board Certified Teachers	% of ESOL Endorsed Teachers
102	19.6% (20)	18.6%(19)	29.4%(30)	32.4%(33)	35.3%(36)	56.9%58)	13.7%(14)	3.9%(4)	18.6%(19)

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities	
Pamela White	ela White Gary Skarpness		Weekly Meetings	
Gladys Ellis	Cynthia Meadows	Experience Teacher with strong classroom management skills	Weekly Meetings	
Nancy Yazdiya	Leisha Cowart	Same subject area, experienced teacher	As needed	

Randy Sawyer	Michael Townsend	Same subject area, experienced teacher	As needed
Tiffany Abbott	Brooke Mackoul	Same subject area, experienced teacher	As needed
Deborah Lepper	Efrain Padilla	Same subject area, experienced teacher	As needed
Kate Santos	Luke Beattie	Same subject area, experienced teacher	As needed
Sekou Smith	Ashley Thomas	Same subject area, experienced teacher	As needed
Amy Ward	Emily Yaros	Same subject area, experienced teacher	As needed
Stephen Nye	Robert Thomas Earle	Same subject area, experienced teacher	As needed
Heather Boos	Thomas Runger	Experienced Teachers	As needed
Maribel Hettrick/Lynne Turpin	Amy Wilson	Same subject area, experienced teacher	As needed
Kerri Reinsch	Jarutha Scott	Same subject area, experienced teacher	As needed
Deborah Lepper	Andrew Kline	Experienced teacher, same subject area	As needed
Gladys Ellis	Marion Farquhar	Experienced Teachers	As needed

Additional Requirements

Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

istrict sponsored summer
is also available in every
icularly those identified level
nefit from those monies.
nefit from those monies. ational interest.

August 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

Other	
N/A	

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Principal: Provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision making, ensures that the school based team is implementing RTI, conducts quarterly review of assessments, ensures implementation of intervention support with documentation, ensures adequate professional development to support RTI implementation and communicates with parents regarding school-based RTI plans and activities.

General education teachers: Provide information about core instruction, participate in student data collection, delivers Tier 1 instruction/intervention, and collaborates with staff to implement Tier 2 interventions.

ESE teachers: Participate in student data collection, integrates core instructional activities, into Tier 3 instruction. 80% of their days are in academic classes.

Identify systematic patterns of student need while working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies, assists with whole school screening programs that provide early intervening services for students that may be "at-risk". Assists in the design and implementation for Progress Monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; participates in the design and delivery of professional development; and provides support for assessment and implementation monitoring.

Student Services personnel: provide quality service and expertise on issues ranging from program design to assessment and intervention with individual students. In additions to providing interventions, school social workers continue to link child-serving and community agencies to the schools and families to support the child's academic, emotional behavioral and social success.

Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The leadership Team will focus meetings around one question: how do we develop and maintain a problem-solving system to bring out the best in our schools, our teachers and our students.

The team meets weekly, informally, to engage in the following activities: Review data and link to instructional decisions; review Progress monitoring at the classroom level. The team will identify professional development and resources based on above information. The team will collaborate regularly, problem solve, share effective practices, evaluate implementation and make decisions.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The RTI leadership team met with the Principal to assist in the development of the SIP. The team provided data on Tier 1 and tier 2 and tier 3 targets; helped set clear expectations for instruction (rigor, relevance, and relationships); facilitated the development of a systemic approach to teaching; and aligned

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

RTI training will initially occur during pre-planning

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

Professional Development training will be offered during teachers planning period (optional attendance), conducted by our RTI facilitator.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Department Heads; P. White, K. Santos, R. Henderlite, G. Techentien M. Hettrick, C. Tullington, D. Yarbrough, B. Parramore, G. Gregg III, Tom Perkins and Gwen White. Administrators; Ray and J. Parrish

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

LLT meets monthly to discuss overarching school goals in reading, mathematics and how to employ the best teaching strategies in a cross curricular environment to reach our goals.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

The major task of LLT is to show an increase in the FCAT scores of our lowest quartile in reading and mathematics. LLT meets to discuss student learning and effective common assessments.

Public School Choice

• Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

*Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413 (2)(b) F.S

For schools with grades 6-12, how does the school ensure that every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student?

The Academic Leadership Team (ALT) and the Literacy Leadership Team (LLT) will identify at least two research based high yield reading strategies (e.g. Annotating a Text and QAR: Question Answer Relationships) to incorporate into all content areas. It is an expectation that the strategies are modeled for the students and used throughout the school year. There should be evidence in the teacher's lesson plans, instruction and in the students' work showing that the strategies are being used. Every teacher will receive professional development on the two identified reading strategies and receive additional assistance (modeling, co-teaching) from the academic coaches as needed.

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(2)(g), (2)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

All students are scheduled in Cohorts. Academic teachers and CTE teachers meet weekly to provide integrated lessons related to the Academies. Instructional Focus lessons for CTE teachers are developed to coordinate reading strategies through the CTE curriculum.

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

Many times a student's schedule is less flexible than one might imagine. However, students are encouraged to enter an AP/Honors track of core courses. Accelerated programs are taken concurrently with CTE classes. CTE teachers encourage college attendance for Academy students. Guidance staff arranges college admissions information night, Financial Aid night and a constant schedule of visiting college recruiters. Parents as well as students are invited to college visits and recruiting information days. All students are counseled through their assigned Guidance Counselor towards Career planning, both in the course work they are taking and their academy classes

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School Feedback Report.

In an effort to increase the number of college ready graduates Frank H. Peterson has increased the number of students taking SLS. During the summer SAT/ACT prep was offered with the opportunity for fee waivers for one administration of the SAT and ACT. All junior and senior academic and career academy teachers are given information for SAT and ACT focus lessons by the Post Secondary Readiness Committee to aide our students in being Post Secondary Ready when they graduate. A counselor has been assigned to the senior class to monitor College Readiness and meet with seniors.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Reading Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1A ECATAO.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1 1 1	1 1 1	1A.1.	
1A. FCAT 2.0:	Every	All CTE		1A.1.	Assessments of all types	
		teachers		In 9th and 10th grade, the		
Achievement Level 3	will be	will deliver		number of students below		
in reading.	expected to	1		standard in vocabulary		
	incorporate			will decrease.		
	reading	ACT/SAT		T 11th 110th 1		
	strategies	vocabulary		In 11 th and 12 th grade,		
		word of the		students' test scores will		
	content	day.		increase.		
	area. Some	uay.				
	teachers	Teachers		Classroom monitoring		
		1		for lesson plans and		
	may not be familiar	will develop and deliver		implementation of lessons		
	with any	lessons that		that include the school's		
				reading focus.		
	reading	include the				
		school's				
	and may	focus in				
	struggle	reading		Social studies teachers'		
	with the	according		professional development		
	incorpor	to the focus		point sheets to monitor		
	ation and	calendar.		that they are taking		
	instructiona			coursework toward		
	l deliver	Social		becoming CAR-PD		
		Studies and		endorsed.		
		Science				
		teachers are		Classroom monitoring.		
		encouraged				
		to become				
		CAR-PD				
		endorsed.				
		Teachers				
		must have				
		meaningful				
		Reading,				
		Talking and				
		Writing				
		(RTW) in				

		their lesson plans and be evident everyday in every class.			
Reading Goal #1A: Increase the students scoring 3 and above a minimum of 3% over last year, but shooting for 10% increase in the number of students reading at level 3 or above.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*			
		Acct-41% (239) Stretch-45%(261) AMO-48% (279)			

1B. Florida	Students who have achieved proficiency in Reading may not be engaged in enrichment activities that will maintain and strengthen their critical reading	Schedule as many level threes as possible in AP courses. All CTE teachers will deliver a focus lesson on ACT/SAT vocabulary word of the day. Teachers will develop and deliver lessons that include the school's focus in reading according to the focus calendar. Social Studies and Science teachers are encouraged to become CAR-PD endorsed. Teachers must have Reading, Talking and Writing (RTW) in their lesson plans and evident everyday in every class.	Administrators Administrators Administrators	IA.2. Student schedules Classroom monitoring Classroom monitoring for lesson plans and implementation of lessons that include the school's reading focus. Social studies teachers' professional development point sheets to monitor that they are taking coursework toward becoming CAR-PD endorsed. Classroom monitoring.	AP classes on schedules Monitoring results of all assessments, both formative throughout the year and summative at the end of the year.	
Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.	 					

•		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
2A. FCAT 2.0:	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.		2A.1.	
Students scoring	Lack of	Allow for	Administrators	Progress monitoring	AP Test Scores, FCAT	
		teachers			Scores, All students PSR	
		to attend,			and graduating.	
4 in reading.		provide and/		assessments, ACT/SAT		
		or encourage		tracking		
		PLC				
	instructional					
	approaches that promote					
		the topics				
		of rigor,				
	thinking and					
		expectations,				
	information.					
		common				
		core				
		standards.				
Reading Goal #2A:		2013 Expected Level of				
The number of students	Performance:*	Performance:*				
scoring a 4 or 5 on the						
FCAT reading in 9th and						
10 th grades will increase from 100 students to 127						
students in 2013.						
	17% (100)	22% (127)				
			l .	<u> </u>		

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

2A.2. 2	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	
			All 4s and 5s are	AP Scores	
	AP classes.			Ar Scores	
reading	Al classes.		enrolled in at least one		
	AD 400 alsour swill a440 ad		AP course		
	AP teachers will attend				
if they	AP training as needed and	Curriculum/		AP pass rate increases,	
are not	conduct study sessions for	Administrators	Follow-up on teachers	increases in other test	
	students.		attending AP trainings	results	
classes that			through observation of a		
	All CTE teachers will		strategy learned.		
eritical d	deliver a focus lesson on				
reading A	ACT/SAT vocabulary		Have AP teachers	AP pass rate increases,	
skills.	word of the day.			increases in other test	
	,		*	results	
	Teachers will develop		study sessions for their	Csuits	
	and deliver lessons that			FCAT, FAIR results	
	include the school's focus		ciass.	rcai, raik results	
	in reading according to the		I. Oth 1 10th 1.		
	focus calendar.		In 9th and 10th grade,		
	iocus caichdai.		the number of students		
	Social Studies and Science		below standard in vocab	-	
				increases in other test	
	teachers are encouraged			results	
	to become CAR-PD		In 11 th and 12 th grade,		
	endorsed.		students test scores will		
			increase.		
	Teachers must have				
	Reading, Talking and				
	Writing (RTW) in their				
	lesson plans and evident				
	everyday in every class.				

		Lack of Motivation to Improve	Students who become post-secondary ready will receive t-shirts; students who pass AP tests, will receive t-shirts, academy teachers with the most students each quarter who have the highest percentage of students PSR will get taken out to lunch.	Administrators/Guidance Counselors	Track PSR data, classroom observations for rigor and engagement	2A.3 PSR data; AP exam scores, FCAT data	
2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in reading.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		
Reading Goal #2B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2B.2. 2B.3.	2B.2. 2B.3.	2B.2. 2B.3.	2B.2. 2B.3.	2B.2. 2B.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
data and reference to						
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following group:						

3A. FCAT 2.0:	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	
Percentage of		Teachers	Administrators		FCAT, FAIR, other test	
students making	to the data,				scores increase	
learning gains in		intensive		classroom observations.	scores mercase	
reading.	graders, last	instructio		Conversations with		
r cuamg.	year, were	nal focus		students.		
		lessons		students.		
		around the				
	category of	skills and				
	vocabulary	provide				
	and literary	remedial	Administrators			
		and		Checking lesson plans		
	Our 10 th	enrichment		and delivery through		
	graders, last			classroom observations.		
		needed.		Conversations with		
	weakest			students.		
		Teachers				
	category of					
		incorporate				
	and reading					
	application.					
		reading/				
		instruction				
		al strategies				
		(e.g.	Reading Interventionist/			
		Selective	Admin			
		Underlinin		Monitoring Reading		
		g; Table of		Interventionist's work		
		Contents;		through assessments she		
		One		uses to determine whether		
		Sentence		students are improving or		
		Summary; 4 Column		not.		
		Method)				
		into their				
		content	A 3			
		area to	Administrators			
		increase		Charling lass an mlass s		
		reading		Checking lesson plans		
		rauing		and delivery through		

proficiency.	classroom observations.	
F	Conversations with	
Students	students.	
who are on		
the bubble		
and ho		
are part of		
the lowest		
quartile will		
be provided		
push in		
and pull		
out small		
group and		
one on one		
instruction		
with the		
Reading		
Interventi		
onist with		
a heavy		
emphasis		
on the two		
reporting		
clusters.		
Teachers		
must have		
Reading,		
Talking and		
Writing		
(RTW) in		
their lesson		
plans and		
evident		
everyday in		
every class.		
"		

 Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*			
68% (395)	73% (424)			

Teachers are in need of	3A.2. Teachers will be provided professional development and participate in coaching sessions with	Administrator	3A.2. Putting training dates on the calendar and sitting in on professional development; when in	3A.2. Lesson plan content aligns with the standards being taught. Test results.	
unpacking the benchmarks related	district coaches to assist in deepening their understanding of the benchmarks so that they can reinforce the skills		classroom observations, watching for strategies and techniques being used.		
categories and how to effectively teach	through their content. Teachers will have the opportunity to observe other teachers who have demonstrated success with	Administrator			
with their content material.	teaching the reading skills with their content. Teachers will work within PLCs to determine best practices, examine		Teacher reflections from experience that provides at least one thing the observing teacher learned that could alter		
	student work and make adjustments to their instructional approach.	Administrators	their own instructional approach.	Lesson plans and delivery; test results.	
			Agenda and minutes from PLC work; classroom observations.		

3B. Florida Alternate Assessment:	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
Percentage of							
students making							
learning gains in							
reading.							
Reading Goal #3B:	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
Enter narrative for the		Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
	68%	73%					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	
		ļ					

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
data and reference to						
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following group:						

4. FCAT 2.0:	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	
Percentage of	Students in		Administrators		FCAT, FAIR, and all	
students in lowest	the lowest			lesson plans and lesson	assessment data.	
25% making		will provide		delivery.		
learning gains in	deficient	direct		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
reading.		instruction				
	skills in	in the areas				
	critical	of phonics,				
	areas.	vocabulary,				
		comprehe				
		nsion and				
		fluency.		Monitor reading		
				interventionist's work		
		Reading		with students by looking		
		teachers		at student work portfolios		
		will		that demonstrate		
		differentiate	e	improvement.		
		instruction		_		
		according				
		to				
		individual				
		student				
		needs.				
		Reading				
		intervent				
		ionist will				
		pull-out and	1			
		push-in to				
		work with				
		students				
		both one-				
		on-one and				
		in small				
		groups.				
		All teachers				
		will				
		incorporate				

		1*		T	
		reading			
		skills in			
		their lesson			
		plans			
		every day			
		according			
		to the			
		reading			
		focus			
		calendar.			
		All CTE			
		teachers			
		will teach a			
		SAT/ACT			
		word-of-			
		the-day.			
		the day.			
		Students			
		and parents			
		will be			
		encouraged			
		to utilize			
		FCAT			
		Explorer at			
		home.			
Reading Goal #4:	2012 Current	2013 Expected			
	Level of	Level of			
	Performance:*	Performance:*			
in the lowest 25% making					
learning gains in reading					
will increase from 194 students in 2012 to 207 out					
of 273students in 2013.					
of mrosmacius at mois.					
	71% (194)	76% (207)			

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	
Teachers	Provide reading	Administrators	Strategies/techniques	Assessment results	
experience	teachers with excellent		are shared with other		
lethargy.	professional development		reading teachers and		
	opportunities, ie		implemented in their		
	conferences, visiting		classrooms.		
	classrooms of great				
	reading teachers within				
	the district and region.				
	Provide reading teachers		Classroom monitoring		
	and students with		for positive attitudes		
	incentives for increases		toward teacher,		
	in progress monitoring		other students and		
	assessments, innovative		the content; student		
	ideas, hard work, goal-		engagement.		
	setting with students, data				
	chats.				

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
5A. In six years	Dustine and	<mark>48</mark>	<mark>53</mark>	<mark>57</mark>	<mark>62</mark>	<mark>67</mark>	<mark>72</mark>
school will reduce	2010-2011						
their achievement							
gap by 50%.							
Reading Goal #5A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

5B. Student	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	
subgroups by	White: Lack of	All CTE teachers will		Classroom monitoring	Assessments	
ethnicity (White,	vocabulary, knowledge	incorporate ACT/SAT				
Black, Hispanic,	and skills	vocabulary instruction in				
Asian, American	Black: Lack of	their classes each day.				
Indian) not making	vocabulary, knowledge					
satisfactory progress		All teachers will				
in reading.	Hispanic: Lack of	incorporate reading skills				
	vocabulary, knowledge	in their daily lesson plans				
	and skills. Language	according to the school's				
	barrier.	reading focus calendar.				
	Asian: Lack of					
	knowledge, vocabulary	All teachers will				
	and skills	utilize the school-wide				
	American Indian:	reading strategies that				
	Lack of vocabulary,	help students access				
	knowledge and skills.	content more readily,				
		ie Two-column notes,				
		summarizing, and				
		chunking the text.				
		All teachers will				
		incorporate reading,				
		writing and talking in each				
		of their lessons every day.				
		Teachers will work within				
		content area and cross-				
		curricular PLCs to create				
		rigorous and engaging				
		lessons and assessments.				
		1	Curriculum	Checking scheduling	Assessments, including	
		will be placed in AP			AP exams	
		courses.				
		More level 3 students than				
		last year will be placed in				
		AP courses				

Reading Goal #5B:	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
Reading Goal #3D.		Performance:*					
1	r crrormanec.	r criormanee.					
Data is not yet available.							
However, at FHP, we hope							
that all of our students							
make great gains in their							
performance on all of their							
assessments.							
	Enter numerical data for current	Enter numerical data for expected level					
		of performance in this box.					
		White:					
		Black:					
		Hispanic:					
	Asian:	Asian:					
		American Indian:					
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
data and reference to						
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following						
subgroup:						

5C. English	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	
Language Learners	Language	Use Use	Administrators		Assessments	
(ELL) not making	Language	paraprofess		Classi dom monitoring	Assessments	
satisfactory progress		ional to help				
in reading.		students				
in reading.		with				
		translations				
		ur ansiations				
		•				
		All CTE				
		teachers will				
		incorporate ACT/SAT				
		vocabulary				
		instruction				
		in their				
		classes each				
		day.				
		A 11 4 I				
		All teachers will				
		incorporate				
		reading				
		skills in				
		their daily				
		lesson plans				
		according				
		to the				
		school's				
		reading				
		focus				
		calendar.				
		A 11 4 a 1: -				
		All teachers				
		will utilize				
		the school-				
		wide				
		reading				

 <u>.</u>	<u>-</u>	 	
strategies			
that help			
students			
access			
content			
more			
readily,			
ie Two-			
column			
notes,			
summari			
zing, and			
chunking			
the text.			
All teachers			
will			
incorporate			
reading,			
writing			
and talking			
in each of			
their lessons			
every day.			
Teachers			
will work			
within			
content			
area and			
cross-			
curricular			
PLCs to			
create			
rigorous			
rigorous and			
engaging			
lessons and			
assessments			

	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

5D. Students	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	
with Disabilities	Lack the	All CTE			Assessments	
(SWD) not making	vocabulary,		Administrators	Classicom monitoring	Assessments	
satisfactory progress	knowledge.	will				
in reading.	and skills	incorporate				
in reading.	to increase	ACT/SAT				
	their scores.					
	their scores.	instruction				
		in their				
		classes each				
		day.				
		uay.				
		All teachers				
		will				
		incorporate				
		reading				
		skills in				
		their daily				
		lesson plans				
		according				
		to the				
		school's				
		reading				
		focus				
		calendar.				
		carendar.				
		All teachers				
		will utilize				
		the school-				
		wide				
		reading				
		strategies				
		that help				
		students				
		access				
		content				
		more				
		readily,				
		readily, ie Two-				

column		
notes,		
summari		
zing, and		
chunking		
the text.		
the teat.		
All teachers		
will		
incorporate		
reading,		
writing		
ond talking		
and talking		
in each of		
their lessons		
every day.		
Teachers		
will work		
within		
content		
area and		
cross-		
curricular		
PLCs to		
create		
rigorous		
rigorous and		
engaging		
lessons and		
assessments		
ļ.		
Reading		
Intervent		
ionist will		
conduct		
pull-outs		
and push-		
hara Pana		

		ins with students on targeted skills.			
<u></u>	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*			
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.			

			i	1		İ	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroup:							
	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		
	22.1.	22.11	22				
Disadvantaged							
students not making							
satisfactory progress							
in reading.							
Reading Goal #5E:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
Treating Comments.	Level of	Level of					
Data not yet available.	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Dum noi yei uvanuoie.							
SES students will increase							
proficiency							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.	SE 2	CE 2	CE 2	55.2	
		5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
		5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	
		J L.J.	22.5.		52.5.	22.3.	
			ļ		ļ		

Reading Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			

Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Cross-curricular PLC planning	9-12/ Communications, ELA, Social Studies, Automotive, Science, Math, Aviation, Culinary, Early Childcare, AgriScience, Cosmotology	Turnin	Communications, ELA, Social Studies, Automotive, Math, Science, Aviation, Culinary, Early Childcare, Agriscience, Cosmotology	Once/month mandatory	Cross-curricular lesson plans being implemented at least once/quarter	Administrators
Content Area PLCs	9-12 Ela, Math, Science, Social Studies, Reading,	Administrators	Content Areas	Once/month mandatory & quarterly PLC planning days	Evidence that flows from each meeting; Common lessons and assessments when doing classroom observations	Administrators
Inform/Insight	School-wide	Data Person, MacKoul	School-wide	Offered once/week	Use of data in PLC work, lesson plans	Administrators
Writing Across Curriculum	9-12 Social Studies	District	Social studies department	Sept. 27-28, 2012	Social Studies PLC work, lesson plans, student work that indicate writing	Administrators
Classroom Observations	Reading	J. Parrish	9-12 Reading Teachers	November-April, once/month	Reflections from observing another teacher's class/Implementing one strategy/technique in class	Administrators

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school funded activities/			
materials and exclude district funded			
activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CELLA Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition					
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
proficient in listening/speaking.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
CELLA Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking:					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.		1.3.
Students read grade- level text in English in a manner similar to non- ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
2. Students scoring proficient in reading.		2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	

CELLA Goal #2: Enter narrative for the	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading:					
goal in this box.						
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
3. Students scoring proficient in writing.		2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	
CELLA Goal #3: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing:					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

CELLA Budget (Insert rows as needed)

ELLETT Dauget (moet 10 ws as nee	<i>(</i> () () () () () () () () () (
Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities/materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of CELLA Goals

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary Mathematics Goals Based on the analysis	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent		Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.			1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.		
Mathematics Goal #1A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	

1B. Florida	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
Levels 4, 5, and 6 in							
mathematics.							
		2013 Expected					
#1B:	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
		this box. 1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		110.2.	10.2.	ID.2.	110.2.	110.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.		
Students scoring							
at or above							
Achievement							
Levels 4 and 5 in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#2 ∆ ·	Level of	Level of					
<i></i>	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	
		24.2	2 4 2	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	
		2A.3.	2A.3.	ZA.3.	ZA.3.	ZA.3.	
2B. Florida	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		
Alternate							
	I	1					
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
mathematics.							
mathematics.			l .		ļ		

Mathematics Goal #2B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	current level of	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

		_				•	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
3A. FCAT 2.0:	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.		
Percentage of							
students making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.	2012 G	2012 5					
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#3A:	Level of	Level of Performance:*					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	
		J. 1.2.	5. i.z.	51 1. 2 .	51.1. 2 .	[
		3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	
3B. Florida	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Percentage of							
students making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
mathematics.							

1	#3B.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
			3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.		
Percentage of							
students in lowest							
25% making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	
		4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
	Baseline data 2010-2011						
school will reduce							
their achievement							
gap by 50%.							
Mathematics Goal #5A:							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
		G		D. H. D.			
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions,"	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following subgroups:							
	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.		
subgroups by	White:						
41 (3371.)	Black:						
	Hispanic: Asian:						
	American Indian:						
Indian) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							

Mathematics Goal #5B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

			•				
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroup:							
5C. English	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		
Language Learners							
(ELL) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
THE COURT OF THE COURT	Level of	Level of					
#5C:		Performance:*					
	r criormanec.	r criormance.					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	E	F 4					
	Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroup:							
subgroup.							

5D. Students with Disabilities	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		
(SWD) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							
		2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
		data for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5E. Economically Disadvantaged	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		
students not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							
#5F:	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
			5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Middle School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.		1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.		
#1 A ·	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	

1B. Florida	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
Levels 4, 5, and 6 in							
mathematics.							
		2013 Expected					
#1B:	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
F4	r criormanec.	r criormance.					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
gour in inis oom							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of performance in	expected level of performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.		
Students scoring							
at or above							
Achievement							
Levels 4 and 5 in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#2 ∆ ·	Level of	Level of					
<i></i>	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	
		24.2	2 4 2	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	
		2A.3.	2A.3.	ZA.3.	ZA.3.	ZA.3.	
2B. Florida	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		
Alternate							
	I	1					
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
mathematics.							
mathematics.			l .		ļ		

	2B.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
E	Enter narrative for the oal in this box.	Performance:*	Performance:*					
ŀ		Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
		data for current level of performance in	data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
			2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
3A. FCAT 2.0:	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.		
Percentage of							
students making learning gains in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal		2013 Expected					
#3A:	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the	r criormanec.	r crromance.					
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	
		3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	
3B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		

Mathemati #3B: Enter narrati goal in this b	tive for the		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
			3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
20111 2000	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.		
Percentage of							
students in lowest							
25% making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	
		4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	

	1	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1			
Based on ambitious	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
but achievable Annual							
Measurable Objectives							
(AMOs), identify							
reading and mathematics							
performance target for the following years							
	Baseline data 2010-2011						
• /	Baseline data 2010-2011						
school will reduce							
their achievement							
gap by 50%.							
Mathematics Goal							
#5A:							
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
Based on the analysis	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to				63			
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroups:							
221 2144111		5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.		
subgroups by	White: Black:						
41 (3371	Hispanic:						
	Asian:						
	American Indian:						
Indian) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							

Mathematics Goal #5B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

			•				
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroup:							
5C. English	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		
Language Learners							
(ELL) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
THE COURT OF THE COURT	Level of	Level of					
#5C:	Performance:*	Performance:*					
	i citormance.	r criormance.					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	27	F 4					
	Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		1					
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroup:							
subgroup:							

5D. Students	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		
with Disabilities							
(SWD) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#5D:	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
	r criormance.	r criormance.					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
gour in inis oom							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
		data for					
	current level of performance in	expected level of performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	
	ļ						

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
subgroup:							
5E. Economically	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		
Disadvantaged							
students not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							
#5E:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
		5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

ool Mathemat	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Mathematics Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Florida Alternate Assessment:	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
3. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.			3.1.	3.1.	3.1.		
	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	
		3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

Algebra 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Algebra I EOC)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Algebra 1 EOC Goals Based on the analysis	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent Anticipated		Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Barrier	3.3.4g)	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra 1.	prer equ isite kno wled ge.	Focus Lessons	1.1. Math Coach, math interventionist, Principal.	1	1.1. Progress on Mini- Assessments, LSA's	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Algebra 1 Goal #1:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of			
	Performance:*	Performance:*			
Algebra 1					
Goal #1:					
Frank H					
Peterson will					
increase the					
percentage					
of students					
showing					
proficiency in					
mathematics					
from that					
of 42% as					
shown on the					
2012 Algebra I State EOC					
to that of					
47% as					
shown on the					
2013 Algebra					
I State EOC					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

42% (51)	<i>47%</i> (76)				
	spe assessments cial administered	Coach, math	,	1.2. Progress on Mini- Assessments	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		of spe		·	1.3. Classroom Observations, focused walks.	1.3. Classroom Observations	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

2. Students scoring at or above	2.Lack	2.1.	2.1. Math	2.1. Classroom	2.1. Progress	
A abjevement I avale	of	Focus	Coach, math	Observations,	on Mini-	
4 and 5 in Algebra 1.	prereq	Lessons	interventionist ,Pr	focused walks.	Assessments,	
	uisite	to begin	incipal.		LSA's	
	knowle	each				
	dge.	day				
		based				
		on				
		strands.				

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Algebra Goal #2:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of		 	
	Performance:*	Performance:*			
Ī					
Frank H					
Peterson will					
increase the					
percentage					
of students					
showing					
proficiency in					
mathematics					
from that					
of 42% as					
shown on the					
2012 Algebra					
I State EOC					
to that of					
47% as					
shown on the					
2013 Algebra					
I State EOC					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

data for data for current level of expecte	cted level of ormance in				
2.2	2. 2.2. Mini	2.2. Math	2.2 Classroom	2.2 Progress	
Lac	ack assessments	Coach, math	Observations,	on Mini-	
spe	eci administered	interventionist	focused walks.	Assessments,	
aliz	ized after each focus	Principal.		LSA's	
inst	structilesson cycle				
on.	to determine				
	specific areas of				
	concern.				
2.3	3. 2.3. Mini-	2.3. Math	2.3. Classroom	2.3. Classroom	
Lac	ack of lessons to review	Coach, math	Observations,	Observations	
spe	eci specific areas of	interventionist	focused walks.		
aliz	ized weakness.	Principal.			
inst	structi				
on.	1.				

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
3A. In six years, school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Baseline data 2010-2011						
Algebra 1 Goal #3A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.	of student motivation.	an incentives program to promote 3 and above student performance.	Principal, math interventionist	meet the standards an incentive (may	3B.1. Benchmarks, mini assessments data, Algebra I data, LSA's	
Algebra 1 Goal #3B:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				

White: 21%	White: 26% (42)					
(56)	Black: 21% (34)					
Black: 16% (4	3)Hispanic 12%					
Hispanic: 7%	(19)					
(18)	Asian 6% (5)					
Asian 1% (3)	Other 6% (5)					
Other 1% (3)						
	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
data and reference to						
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following						
subgroup:						

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

2C E1'-1		l	la ~			
II anguage Learners			3C.1. Math	3C.1. Evaluation	3C.1. LSA's	
(ELL) not making	Unfam	Teachi	department	of student		
satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.	iliarity	ng the	teachers, math	understanding		
	with the		coach, principal.	through a variety		
	verbs	needed		of tests and		
	and the	for		vocabulary		
	ability	operati		probing as		
	to	ons and		evidenced on the		
	identify	explain		TDL.		
	the	ing the				
	verbs –	part of				
	which	μ-				
	leads to	1 *				
	confus	repetiti				
		on and				
	answer	practice				
	ing the					
	word					
	prob					
	lem					
	questio					
	ns.					

	Level of	2013 Expected Level of			
	Performance:*	Performance:*			
To increase					
the cross-					
cultural					
proficiency					
of ELL					
students to					
understand					
the words,					
used in math					
and in other					
courses,					
and apply					
those words					
accordingly					
to achieve					
the desired					
operations					
and results,					
particularly					
action verbs					
in math					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	0.50/	200/					
	25%	29%					
	(3)	(6)					
		3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2. Math	3C.2. Lab	3C.2. mini-	
		Lack of	Reinforcement	department	work, teacher	assessment,	
				*	l '	LSA	
			through intensive	·	and formative		
			_	l	assessments,		
			l '		data chats		
			1 *	principal.	uata chats		
		skills.	computer-aided				
		202	practice.	202	202	202	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
3D. Students	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1. Math	3D.1	3D.1		
with Disabilities (SWD) not making	Inclusio	Com	Department	Mini	Data Chats		
satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.	n	puteriz		Assessments	Progress		
in Aigenia I.		<u></u>	1		Monitoring		
	Anxiety	work	Math Coach		Tool		
	Lack of		Principal,		Peer to Peer		
			Inclusion teacher		Feedback		
	10 1004	practice			i cononon		

	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Students with							
disabilities							
with improve 4% from 32% to 36%							
4% from 32%							
to 36%							
	32%	36%					
	(10)	(14)					
		3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	
		SD.3.	ג.עכ.	ט.ט.ט.	.כ.עט.	ג.עכ.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Disadvantaged			3E.1. Math	3E.1.Mini	3E.1.Progress	
students not making	Unfa	mputeri	Department	Assessments	monitoring tool	
satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.	miliari	zed and	Teachers	Lab work	Progress	
	ty with	work	Math Coach		reports, LSA's	
	concep	book	Principal			
	ts and	practice	_			
	Pre-Re					
Tigeora Toom nob.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
Economically						
disadvantag						
ed students						
will improve						
5% from						
46% to 51%						
learning						
gains.						

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

46%	51%					
(72)	(80)					
	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2. Math	3C.2. Lab	3C.2. mini-	
	Lack of	Reinforcement	department	work, teacher	assessment,	
	math	of basic skills	teachers, math	summative	LSA	
	skill	through intensive	coach, math	and formative		
	in the	math, hand's	interventionist	assessments,		
	basic	on practice and	principal.	data chats		
	skills.	computer-aided				
		practice.				
	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	

End of Algebra 1 EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Geometry EOC)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Geometry EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

1. Students scoring at Achievement	1.	Lack	1.2.	1.2. Math	1.2. Classroom	1.2. Progress	
Level 3 in Geometry.		spe	Mini	Coach, math	Observations,	on Mini-	
		cial	assess	interventionist	focused walks.	Assessments,	
		ized	ments	Principal.		LSA's	
		instr	admin				
		uctio	istered				
		n.	after				
			each				
			focus				
			lesson				
			cycle to				
			deter				
			mine				
			specific				
			areas of				
			concern				

2. Lack of	1.4	1.4			
prereq	Focus	Math			
uisite	Lessons	Coach,			
knowledge	based	math			
	on	interve			
	strands	ntionist			
	and	Princip			
	areas of	al.			
	concern				
	•				
	420/	47%			
	42%	(101)			
	(90)				

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	ack spe cial ized instr uctio	1.2. Mini assessments administered after each focus lesson cycle to determine specific areas of concern.	1.2. Math Coach, math interventionist Principal.	1.2. Classroom Observations, focused walks.	1.2. Progress on Mini- Assessments, LSA's	
	of spe	weakness.	1.3. Math Coach, math interventionist Principal.	1.3. Classroom Observations, focused walks.	1.3. Classroom Observations	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			1.4. Classroom Observations, focused walks.	1.4 Progress on Mini- Assessments, LSA's	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier				

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

2. Students scoring at or above	2.1	2.1	2.1 . Math	2.1 Classroom	2.1 Progress	
	Lack	Mini	Coach, math	Observations,	on Mini-	
Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Geometry.	speci	assess	interventionist	focused walks.	Assessments,	
		ments	Principal.		LSA's	
	instructi	admin				
	on with	istered				
	rigor	after				
		each				
		focus				
		lesson				
		cycle to				
		deter				
		mine				
		specific				
		areas of				
		concern				

2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
	Performance:*					
	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:* Performance:* Perf	Level of Performance:* Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:* Decomposition of Performance P	Level of Performance:* Level of Performance:* Devel of Performance:* Per	Level of Performance:* Performance:

34% (151)	40% (203)					
	Lack of speci	lessons to review specific areas of weakness.	Coach, math	2.2 Classroom Observations, focused walks.	2.2 Classroom Observations	
	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
(AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years						
3A. In six years, school will reduce	Baseline data 2011- 2012					
Geometry Goal #3A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

3B. Student subgroups by	3B.1.	3B.1	3B.1. Math	3B.1 Classroom	3B.1 Progress	
ethnicity (White,	Lack of	Focus	Coach, math	Observations,	on Mini-	
Black, Hispanic, Asian, American	prereq	Lessons	interventionist	focused walks.	Assessments,	
Indian) not making	uisite	to begin	Principal.		LSA's	
satisfactory progress in Geometry.	knowle	each				
		day				
		based				
		on				
		strands.				

Geometry Goal #3B:	2012 Current	2013 Expected		İ	
Geometry Goal #3B.	Level of	Level of			
	Performance:*	Performance:*			
Frank H					
Peterson will					
increase the					
percentage					
of students					
meeting the					
Geometry					
State EOC					
from that					
of 42% as					
shown on					
the 2012					
Geometry					
State EOC to					
that of 47%					
on the 2013					
Geometry					
State EOC.					
The other					
subgroups are					
non-reported.					
			1	1	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	White:		
Black:	35% (78)		
(62)	Black: 34%		
Hispan ic: 7%	Hispan		
(18) Asian	ic14% (23)		
3% (6)	Asian 8% (9)		
1% (2)	Other 6% (5)		

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	3B.2.	3B.2. Math	3B.2. Classroom	3B.2. Progress	зв.2. 3В.2	
1 1	Mini	Coach, math	Observations,	on Mini-	Progress	
	assess	interventionist	focused walks.	Assessments,	on Mini-	
	ments	Principal.		LSA's	Assessments,	
	admin				LSA's	
	istered					
	after					
	each					
	focus					
	lesson					
	cycle to					
	deter					
	mine					
	specific					
	areas of	•				
	concern					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

3B.3.	3B.3. Math	3B.3. Classroom	3B.3.	3B.3 Progress	
Mini-	Coach, Principal.	Observations,	Classroom	on Mini-	
lessons		focused walks.	Observations	Assessments,	
to				LSA's	
review					
specific					
areas of					
weakne					
SS.					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
3C. English Language Learners	3C.1.	3C.1	3C.1. Math	3C.1 Classroom	3C.1 Progress	
(FII) not moling	Lack of	Focus	Coach, math	Observations,	on Mini-	
satisfactory progress in Geometry.	prereq	Lessons	interventionist	focused walks.	Assessments,	
		to begin	Principal.		LSA's	
	knowle	each				
	dge.	day				
		based				
		on				
		strands.				

	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*			
Students will					
improve their					
proficiency					
level by					
learning					
key English					
vocabulary					
that will					
bridge the					
language gap			 	 	
	63%	69% (6)			
	(5)				

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

3C.2.	3C.2. Math	3C.2. Classroom	3C.2. Progress	3C.2. Progress	
Mini	Coach, Principal.	Observations,	on Mini-	on Mini-	
assess		focused walks.	Assessments	Assessments,	
ments				LSA's	
admin					
istered					
after					
each					
focus					
lesson					
cycle to					
deter					
mine					
specific					
areas of	•				
concern					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		1		3C.3. Classroom Observations, focused walks.	Classroom Observations	on Mini- Assessments, LSA's	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	SS. Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
(SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.	Lack of prereq uisite knowle dge.	Focus Lessons to begin	·	3D.1 Classroom Observations, focused walks.	3D.1 Progress on Mini- Assessments		

Castratus Cast #2D.	2012 Current	2013 Expected	l		
Geometry Goal #3D:	Level of	Level of			
1	Performance:*	Performance:*			
Students					
will work					
with their					
ESE support					
teachers to					
learn key					
skills in					
learning					
strategies to					
overcoming					
barriers and					
will use those					
classes to					
practice skills					
and seek					
individual					
support.					
	69%	74%			
	(13)	(15)			

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

JD.2. Lack	of 3D.2 Mini		3D.2. Classroom	3D.2 Progress on Mini-	
prere uisite know dge.	administered	interventionist	Observations, focused walks.	Assessments	
Lack prere uisite know dge.	specific areas of	Coach, math interventionist		3D.3. Classroom Observations	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
3E. Economically Disadvantaged	3E.1.	3E.1	3E.1. Math	3E.1 Classroom	3E.1 Progress	
students not maling	Lack of	Focus	Coach, math	Observations,	on Mini-	
satisfactory progress in Geometry.	prereq	Lessons	interventionist	focused walks.	Assessments	
		to begin	Principal,			
	knowle	each	Inclusion teacher			
	dge.	day				
		based				
		on				
		strands.				

 Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*			
78%	83%			
(83)	(88)			

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

3E.2	3E.2. Math	3E.2. Classroom	3E.2 Progress	<i>3E.2.</i> Progress	
Mini	Coach, math	Observations,	on Mini-	on Mini-	
assess	interventionist	focused walks.	Assessments	Assessments	
ments	Principal,				
admin	Inclusion teacher				
istered					
after					
each					
focus					
lesson					
cycle to					
deter					
mine					
specific					
areas of					
concern					
•					

	3E.3.	3E3. Math	3E.3. Classroom	3E.3. Classroom	3E.3 Progress	
	Mini-	Coach, math	Observations,	N HIGH VALIANIC	on Mini-	
	lessons	interventionist	focused walks.		Assessments	
	to	Principal,			Assessificitis	
	review	inclusion teacher				
	specific					
	areas of					
	weakne					
	SS.					

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Mathematics Professional Development

	Professional					
	Development					
	(PD) aligned with					
1	Strategies through					
	Professional					
	Learning					
- (Community (PLC)					
	or PD Activities					
	Please note that each					
	strategy does not require a					
	professional development or					
L	PLC activity.					
	PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Geometry	I/ Geometr y	Coach,		weekly	class data/ lesson	Math Coach, Math Department Chair, Principal
Strand	Algebra I/ Geometr y	Coach,		weekly	Model Lessons/	Math Coach, Math Department Chair, Principal
Algebra I and Geometry I Instructional Planning Workshop	Algebra I/ Geometr y	Math Coach, Prinicpal	Both PLCs (Algebra and Geometry)	One day per	Nodel Lessons/	Math Coach, Math Department Chair, Principal

<u>Mathematics Budget</u> (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
	D : :: 0D	T. 1. 0	
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			
	•		

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary and Middle Science Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.		
Students scoring at							
Achievement Level 3							
in science.							
Science Goal #1A:	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Performance:*	Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	

1B. Florida Alternate	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
Levels 4, 5, and 6 in							
science.							
Science Goal #1B:		2013 Expected					
Enter narrative for the	<u>Level of</u> <u>Performance:*</u>	Level of Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
			1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.		
Students scoring							
at or above							
Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in science.							
Science Goal #2A:		2013Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.	L	2	22		
		2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	
		2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	
		2n.j.	2A.3.	LA.J.	ZA.J.	2A.J.	
2B. Florida	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
science.							

Science Goal #2B:		2013Expected					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

			_			•	·
High School Science Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Science Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.			2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
	Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
			2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

Biology 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Biology I EOC)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Biology 1 EOC	Problem-			
Goals	Solving			
	Process to			
	Increase			
	Student			
	Achievem			

	ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Biology 1.	district instructional pacing schedule does not align with the number of instructional	all of the benchmarks in the Biology I course	Department Chair; and Biology teachers.	administrator with on-going revisions through participation in the development process of common and cohort lesson plans.	1.1. 1.1. Lesson plans using instructional focus lessons specifically for Biology I. Biology I teachers will follow the FHP Biology PLC instructional focus calendar specifically targeting the benchmarks in the FDOE Biology I course description.	
Biology 1 Goal #1: Increase the percentage of students performing at the level of proficiency on the Biology I EOC exam by 5%.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
	30%(80)	35%(89)				

		1.2. Base line Biology data is not available to teachers initially.			1.2. Data chats during bi- weekly PLC meetings between Biology I teachers to compare student performance on these common assessments.	1.2. Biology I benchmark specific tests (5QAs) and Learning Schedule Assessments (LSAs) student performance results.	
		1.3. Ineffective instructional and/or learning activities.	1.3. Lesson Study - Peer modeling and observation of practices to determine effective instruction and learning.	1.3. District Science Coaches; Assistant Principal; Department Chair; and Biology teachers.	Plan Revisions, and Re-	1.3. Classroom observations for student engagement and critical thinking with usage of highly effective practices by teachers documented.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

at or above	students reading, writing and vocabulary	2.1. Teachers will incorporate the FHP reading, writing and vocabulary strategies into the Biology I lesson plans to enhance student reading comprehens ion, writing ability, and to increase familiarity with science vocabulary.	Department Chair; and Biology	for explicit reading writing		
Biology 1 Goal #2: Decrease the achievement gap between students scoring at Achievement Level 3 and students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology I.		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				

2.2 Remedial students the math (analysis of charts and graphs, diagrams, etc. requiring critical thinking skil to be demonstrated by student strategies into the Biology I lesson plans to enhance studer reading comprehension, writin ability	Department Chair; and Biology teachers.	2.2. Classroom observations for explicit math instruction and student engagement.	2.2. Classroom observations for explicit instruction and student engagement.	
2.3. 2.3. ESOL 2.3. ESOL and/or EE/SS and/or EE/SS resource teacher(s) and/ or paraprofessionals will work with Biology I teachers to implement approved modifications for identified students.	paraprofessionals; Biology teachers.		2.3. Biology I benchmark specific tests (5QAs) and Learning Schedule Assessments (LSAs) student performance	

End of Biology 1 EOC Goals

Science Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Interdisciplinary curriculum writing	9-1/	District personnel	School wide		Weekly observations by administrator to examine and observe cohort planned and delivered effective lessons.	Principal Assistant Principal
FCIM Instructional Focus Mini Lessons		District personnel	District Science Teachers	August 2012		Principal Assistant Principal
Common Lesson Planning (Biology)	9-10	District Personnel; Assistant Principal	School wide	Santambar 2011	Weekly observations by administrator for rigor, relevance and effective instructional delivery	Principal Assistant Principal

Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)

ocience budget (most to we do needed)								
Include only school-based funded								
activities/materials and exclude district								
funded activities/materials.								
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)								
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount					

Student Data Analysis	Insight/Inform		
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Increase opportunity for students to engage and use technology during student centered activities and inquiry based laboratory investigations	Bioscope		
Teachers to receive training using instructional technology	Schultz Center		
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Attend statewide science teachers conference(FAST)	Travel monies, lodging, and per diem		
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Writing Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1A. FCAT: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing.		IA.1. Teach lessons using anchor papers that are exemplars for Level 4, 5, and 6 writing and have students revide their paragraphs until they are comparable to the quality of the exemplar papers.	1A.1. Administrators	Timed writing revision papers	1A.1. Next timed writing scores FCAT Writes scores	
Writing Goal #1A: 93% of Students will score at achievement level 4 or higher.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				

	88% (238)	93% (252					
		are in the habit of writing in certain ways	1A.2. Teachers will provide instruction in multiple genres and provide models of writing in multiple genres that serve as exemplars	1A.2. Administrators	1A.2. Students' writing evaluated in PLCs	1A.2. FCAT Writes	
		in the content areas lack of professional development for writing	IA.3. Teachers created a monthly strategies and ideas calendar that they collaboratively create writing lessons around. Provide teachers with district training in writing	1A.3. Administrators Administrators	IA.3. Students writing improvement and lesson plans Student writing and lesson plans	IA.3. FCAT writes	
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.		1B.1.	IB.1.	1B.1.	IB.1.	i CAT Willes	
Writing Goal #1B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		1B.3.	IB.3.	1B.3.	IB.3.	IB.3.	

Writing Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
District Writing Training	9-10 th grades ELA and SS	District Literacy Coach	All 9 th and 10 th Grade ELA and Soc. St. teachers	September 24-25th	Evidence of strategies in lesson plans	Administrators
	9 th -10 th grade ELA	Techentien	ELA 9 th and 10 th grade PLCs	October 3 rd , December 12 th , January 9 th , February 6th	Student strengths and weaknesses with strategies to boost these.	Administrators

Writing Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Writing Goals

Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2014-2015)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

S = 5 -:							
Civics EOC	Problem-						
Goals	Solving						
	Process to						
	Increase						
	Student						
	Achievem						
	ent						
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to "Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
at Achievement							
Level 3 in Civics.							
Civics Goal #1:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
Enter narrative for the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
		Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Civics.		2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
	<u>Level of</u> <u>Performance:*</u>	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

Civics Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or						
PLC activity. PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g.,	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		FLC Leader	school-wide)	frequency of meetings)		

Civics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			•
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Civics Goals

U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2013-2014)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

U.S. History EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History.	and staff are experiencing a new test and format that they are not familiar with.	computer based testing. Teachers will meet to discuss EOC Field Test Fact Sheet.	1.1. Principal and Assistant Principal for the History Department	1.1. Assessment scores on the EOC	1.1. EOC Exam		
U.S. History Goal #1: For students to make a passing score on the EOC exam	2012 Current	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	N/A	N/A 1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	

	i	1.2	1.2	1.2	l _{1 2}	l _{1 2}	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
	L	_					
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
			Principal and Assistant Principal for		EOC Exam		
at or above	and staff are	computer based	the History Department	Assessment scores on the EOC	LOC LAMII		
		testing.	the moory Department				
4 and 5 in U.S.	a new test and						
History.	format that they	meet to discuss					
	are not familiar						
		Fact Sheet					
U.S. History Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
For students to make							
a passing grade on the							
History EOC							
History EOC							
1	N/A	N/A					
1							
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	
		[[-	[-	
1							
	Į		<u> </u>	Į	l .	Į	

U.S. History Professional Development

Professional		•				
Development						
(PD) aligned with						
Strategies through						
Professional						
Learning						
Community						
(PLC) or PD						
Activity						
Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a professional development or						
PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

U.S. History Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of U.S. History Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Attendance Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Increase Attendan ce					
Based on the analysis of attendance data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Attendance	weather, illness, transportation, etc	1.1. Daily contact of parents/ guardians of students via calling system. Parent use of On Course to monitor attendance Foundation lessons on attendance	1. 1.1. Attendance Clerk	1.1. Daily Attendance Reports	1.1. Daily Attendance Report	

			ì	İ	1	
Attendance Goal #1:	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
	<u>Attendance</u>	<u>Attendance</u>				
	Rate:*	Rate:*				
 Increase the student 						
attendance rate at						
Peterson by 2%						
2. Decrease the						
number of						
students with						
excessive						
absences (10 or						
more) by 5%						
D						
3. Decrease the						
number of						
students with						
excessive tardies						
(10 or more) by						
5%						
	98%	99%				
	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
	Number of	Number of				
	Students with	Students with				
	Excessive	Excessive				
	Absences	Absences				
	(10 or more)	(10 or more)				
		-				
	2					
	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
	Number of	Number of				
	Students with	Students with				
	Excessive	Excessive				
	Tardies (10 or	Tardies (10 or				
	more)	more)				
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical				
	data for current number of	data for expected number of				
	students tardy in	students tardy in				
	this box.	this box.		ľ	I	

	1.2. Inclement	1.2.Bi-weekly attendance	1.2. Assistant Principal	1.2. Weekly review of	1.2. Attendance analysis report	
	weather, illness,	intervention team meeting with		attendance analysis		
	transportation,	parent. Conference will be				
	etc.	scheduled				
		Foundation lessons on attendance				
	1.3. Inclement	1.3. Parent phone calls	1.3. Assistant Principal	1.3. Weekly review of	1.3. Tardy report	
	weather, illness,	Foundation lessons on attendance		attendance analysis.		
	transportation,					
	etc.					

Attendance Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a						
professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Attendance Goals

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Suspension Goal(s) Based on the analysis	Problem- solving Process to Decrease Suspension	Stratogy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation 1001	
	1.1.The majority of ISSP assignments are the result of tardies and dress code violations.	parents with a Student/ Parent Handbook stating the dress	and Teachers	1.1.Review "Incidents by Action Code" report monthly.	1.1. Incidents by Action Code Report	

Suspension Goal #1: 2012 Total Number of Number of Number of	
Suspensions In- School	
Suspensions Suspensions	
1.1 Reduce	
the number of In	
School Suspensions Suspensions	
by 10%	
1.2 Reduce	
the number of	
students suspended students suspended	
in-school by 10%	
1.3 Reduce	
the number of Out-	
of-School	
suspensions by 10%	
1.4 Reduce	
the number of	
student's	
suspended out-of	
school by 10%.	
1332 1199	
2012 Total Number 2013 Expected	
of Students Number of Students	
Suspended Suspended In-School In -School	
442 39827	
2012 Total 2013 Expected Number of Out-of- Number of	
School Suspensions Out-of-School	
Suspensions	
27 24	
2012 Total Number 2013 Expected	
of Students Number of Students Supported	
Suspended Suspended Out- of- School Out- of-School	
$\frac{22}{2}$	

1.2. The majority of ISSP and parents with a Student/Parent Handbook stating the dress code policy and consequences for tardies. Start the year with "Start On Time" lesson plans to stress the importance of being in school and being on time. 1.2. Foundations Team and Teachers 1.2. Review "Incidents by Action Code Report	1.Foundations Team and Teachers		1.2. Incidents by Action Code Report	
	1.3. 1.3. Foundations Team and Teachers	1.3 Review "Incidents by Action Code" report monthly	I.3. Incidents by Action Code Report	

Suspension Professional Development

		ciopinent				
Professional						
Development						
(PD) aligned with						
Strategies through						
Professional						
Learning						
Community (PLC)						
or PD Activity						
Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a						
professional development or						
PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic	Grade Level/	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates (e.g. , Early	St. t. C. E. II. AM .: t. :	Person or Position Responsible for
and/or PLC Focus	Subject	and/or PLC Leader	(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Monitoring
		1 LC LCauci	school-wide)	requeries of meetings)		

Suspension Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Suspension Goals

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Dropout Problem- Prevention solving	
1	1 1
Goal(s) Process to	
Dropout	
Prevention	
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, Barrier Strategy Person or Position Process Used to Determine Evaluation Tool Responsible for Monitoring Effectiveness of	
and reference to "Guiding Strategy	
Questions," identify and	
define areas in need of	
improvement:	
1. Dropout 1.1.FCAT prep 1.1.Counselor 1.1.FCAT results 1.1.FCAT Results	
Prevention through intensive reading classes,	
pull outs, and	
Instructional Focus	
Calendar	
2012 Current	
Dropout Prevention Dropout Rate: Dropout Rat	
Goal #1:	
1.1 Reduce the Dropout Rate by 2%	
1.2 Increase the	
Graduation Rate by 2%	
5.7 3.7	
2012 Current 2013 Expected	
Graduation Rate:* Graduation Rate:*	
88% 86%	
1.2.GPA below 2.0 1.2.Club day activities 1.2.Prinicpal/teachers 1.2.Check GPA at the	e 1.2.Report Cards
including tutoring, PMP end of every quarter monitoring by counselor.	
1.3.Short Credits 1.3.Provide access to virtual 1.3.Counselor 1.3.Counselor check	1.3.Permanent records
school. permanent record	

Dropout Prevention Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Dropout Prevention Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

when using percentage	s, meruae un	c mumber of s	tudents the percentage	represents next to the p	creentage (e.g. 707)	(33)).	
Parent Involvement	Problem-						
Goal(s)	solving						
	Process						
	to Parent						
	Involveme						
	nt						
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Turent involvement	n	Involvement Workshops/ Activities	I.1.AP SAC Chair PTSA Chair Volunteer coordinator	1.1.Check parent sign-in logs Check volunteer log	1.1.Parent sign-in logs		
#1·	Level of Parent	2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:*					
1.1 Increase the percentage of parents who participate in school activities by 100%							
	50	100					
		1.2.Time	1.2.School Messenger Communications Activities available at various hours	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.Location	1.3.Provide access to city buses	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Parent Involvement Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or						
PLC activity. PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
				_		

Parent Involvement Budget

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
STEM Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.					1.1.
	1.2.			1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

STEM Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activity			
Please note that each			
Strategy does not require a			

professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				
,	*		•	

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
CTE Goal #1:75% OF THE Graduating Seniors will pass industry Certification Exam	Master Schedule reflects stacked classes	Academies are expected to track	1.1. CTE Lead Teachers Administrators	1.1.Passing Scores of Industry Certification Exams	1.1.Indurstry Certification Exams
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

CTE Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)	,		
or PD Activity			
Please note that each			

Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content / Topic	Grade Level/	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates (e.g., Early	Co. C. F. H. A. C.	Person or Position Responsible for
and/or PLC Focus	Subject	and/or PLC Leader	(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Monitoring
	Automotive Academy Aviation Academy Commercial Arts Academy Cosmetology Academy Culinary Academy Early Childhood	Cydney Meadows Roy Parramore Gilbert Gregg III Charles Tullington Dorothy Yarbrough Thomas Perkins Gwendolyn While	Norman Fuller Terrence Fraccello	Weekly cohorts PLC Meetings	NCAC Notebook Collection	Administrators

CTE Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Triteri asing percentage		mumber of s	tudents the percentage	represents next to the po	creentage (e.g. 707)	(33)).	1
Additional Goal(s)	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt						
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Additional Goal	1.1.		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Additional Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.		2013 Expected Level :*					
	Enter numerical data for current goal in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected goal in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Additional Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or						
PLC activity. PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		T EC Ecauci	School-wide)	requeries of meetings)		

Additional Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Total:			
Subtotal:			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Other			
Subtotal:			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Professional Development			
Subtotal:			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Technology			
Subtotal:			
Strategy	Description of Resources	1 unumg source	Amount
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s) Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
funded activities /materials.			
activities/materials and exclude district			
Include only school-based funded			

End of Additional Goal(s)

Final Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	
	Total:
CELLA Budget	
	Total:
Mathematics Budget	
	Total:
Science Budget	
	Total:
Writing Budget	
	Total:
Civics Budget	
	Total:
U.S. History Budget	
	Total:
Attendance Budget	
	Total:
Suspension Budget	
	Total:
Dropout Prevention Budget	
Dropout Trevention Budget	Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	Total.
1 archit involvement Budget	Total:
STEM Budget	Total.
STEW Budget	Totale
CODE D. 1. 4	Total:
CTE Budget	
	Total:
Additional Goals	
	Total:

2012-2013 Scho	ol Improvement P	lan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Grand Total:

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under "Default value" header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an "x" in the box.)

School Differentiated Accountability Status		
Priority	Focus	Prevent

Are you reward school? **X**Yes No

(A reward school is any school that has improved their letter grade from the previous year or any A graded school.)

• Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the *Upload* page

School Advisory Council (SAC)

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting *Yes* or *No* below.

X Yes No

11 100	
If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.	
Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.	

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
Calculators for Advanced math Classes, Flags for Academies. SkillsUSA, Prostart, FCCLA	\$3000.00

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1	