

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION



School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Michael McCoy Elementary School 2012-2013

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

School Information

School Name: Michael McCoy Elementary School	District Name: Orange County Public Schools
Principal: Elaine Martinez	Superintendent: Dr. Barbara Jenkins
SAC Chair: Linda Rose	Date of School Board Approval:

Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

[School Grades Trend Data](#) (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

[Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test \(FCAT\)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data](#) (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

[High School Feedback Report](#)

[K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan](#)

Administrators

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
Principal	Elaine Martinez	Master's Educational Leadership Bachelor's of Elementary Education Certifications: Elem Ed. (1-6) ESOL Endorsement School Principal (K-12)	0	10	2004-2005 School Grade B- Pinar Elem AYP Provisional 2005-2006 School Grade B- Pinar Elem AYP Provisional 2006-2007 School Grade A- Pinar Elem AYP Met (100% met) 2007-2008 School Grade B- Pinar Elem AYP not met (92% met) 2008-2009 School Grade B- Pinar Elem AYP not met (90% met) 2009-10 School Grade C- Pinar Elem AYP not met (77% met) 2010-11 School Grade C- Pinar Elem AYP not met (82% met) 2011-2012 School Grade D- Pinar Elem
Assistant Principal	Judith Collins	Master's Counselor of Education Specialist's Degree Educational Leadership	5	5	2007-2008 School Grade C- McCoy Elem AYP not met 2008-2009 School Grade A- McCoy Elem AYP Yes 2009-2010 School Grade A- McCoy Elem AYP No 2010-2011 School Grade A – McCoy Elem 2011-2012 School Grade A – McCoy Elem

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Instructional Coaches

List your school’s instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Reading Coach	Denesha Pierre-Louis	Bachelor Elementary Education	0	0	2008-2009 School Grade A - Winegard Elem. AYP not met (95% met) 2009-10 School Grade C- Pinar Elem AYP not met (77% met) 2010-11 School Grade C- Pinar Elem AYP not met (82% met) 2011-2012 School Grade D- Pinar Elem
CRT-Instructional Coach	Christina Deese	Bachelor Elementary Education Master of Educational Leadership	0	1	2008-2009 School Grade A - Winegard Elem. AYP not met (95% met) 2009-10 School Grade A - Winegard Elem. AYP not met (79%) 2010-11 School Grade B - Winegard Elem. AYP not met (74%) 2011-12 School Grade D- Pinar Elem
Math Coach	To be hired				
Science Coach	To be hired				

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Effective and Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date
1. All teachers hired at McCoy Elementary School are highly qualified by their certification and degree.	Principal	Ongoing
2. Teachers work in teams to facilitate planning and provide support.	Leadership Team Teachers	Ongoing
3. A specific member of the Leadership Team is assigned to work with each grade level team to provide ongoing support and assistance.	Principal	August 2012
4. Ongoing staff development and team meetings with the Principal also serve as support for teachers.	Principal CRT Coaches District Personnel	Ongoing

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
None of our out-of-field teachers received less than an effective rating. All of our paraprofessionals are certified and considered highly qualified.	N/A

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Total number of Instructional Staff	% of first-year teachers	% of teachers with 1-5 years of experience	% of teachers with 6-14 years of experience	% of teachers with 15+ years of experience	% of teachers with Advanced Degrees	% of teachers with an Effective rating or higher	% of Reading Endorsed Teachers	% of National Board Certified Teachers	% of ESOL Endorsed Teachers
47	11% (5)	32% (15)	36% (17)	21% (10)	49% (18)	98% (46)	9% (4)	0	89% (42)

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Christina Deese	Phelecyia Ivey	Mentor is experienced in grade level, competent, trained as a mentor, willing to be a mentor	Introduction Meeting Ongoing Meetings Observations Planning Meetings
Annie Rogers	Michelle Lindas	Mentor is experienced in grade level, competent, trained as a mentor, willing to be a mentor	Introduction Meeting Ongoing Meetings Observations Planning Meetings
Shelli Carpenter	Barbara Nelson	Mentor is experienced in grade level, competent, trained as a mentor, willing to be a mentor	Introduction Meeting Ongoing Meetings Observations Planning Meetings

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Additional Requirements

Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A	
Title I, Part C- Migrant	The district's Migrant Liaison provides services and support to parents and students
Title I, Part D	Our school maintains class size requirements as required by the State of Florida. K-3: 18 students, 4-8: 22 students
Title II	Since at least 10% of our Title I funding is used for staff development, we do not use Title II funds. We give our allocation to our neighboring schools who do not receive staff development funds from Title I or the school district.
Title III	Title III funding is provided through the district for English Language Learners as well as the education of immigrant students.
Title X- Homeless	The district homeless liaison works with the Guidance Counselor and Title I Parent Resource Teacher at the school-level to ensure the needs are met for our homeless population.
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)	SAI funds provide after school tutoring services for our Level 1 and 2 students in the grades 4-5 and previously retained 3rd graders. In addition, SAI funds are used to provide a Basic Paraprofessional, Certified, to support students during the school day.
Violence Prevention Programs	McCoy Elementary School has incorporated the DARE program in partnership with the Orlando Police Department. The DARE curriculum is taught by our School Resource Officer to our 5th graders. In addition, our Guidance Counselor provides ongoing Character Education lessons to students in grades K-5.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Nutrition Programs McCoy	Elementary School promotes an environment of nutritional awareness and follows the OCPS Food and Nutrition Services Guidelines.
Housing Programs N/A	
Head Start	Although our school does not provide Head Start services, they are available through various schools in our school district.
Adult Education : McCoy provides an afterschool program for adults to learn English.	Adult education is provided through our district community schools and technical centers. Information is available in our office and district website regarding services available.
Career and Technical Education	Career and Technical Education is provided through our district community schools and technical centers. Information is available in our office and district website regarding services available.
Job Training	Job training is provided through our district community schools and technical centers. Information is available in our office and district website regarding services available
Other	

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team
Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team. Elaine Martinez, Christina Deese, Ludymar Rivera, Mayra Aguilar, Donna Griffin, Melissa Tozzoli, Denesha Pierre-Louis, Lauren Akesson, School Psychologist
Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts? MTSS Leadership Team meets on a regular basis to streamline the school process, documentation forms and communication. In addition, the team identifies staff development needs.
Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP? Some members of the MTSS serve on the School Advisory Council where the SIP is developed. In addition, the SIP includes parts of our instructional protocols which are developed through the leadership of the MTSS and LLT teams.
MTSS Implementation
Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior. Our school follows the district's progress monitoring plan. In addition, individual as well as grade level data talks are scheduled throughout the year. Progress monitoring data is collected on a regular basis and reviewed during PLC meetings. Individual student progress is also discussed through RtI meetings.
Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS. Staff has been previously trained on RtI. MTSS training will take place through our PLC meetings and some Wednesday afternoons.
Describe the plan to support MTSS. Develop standard protocols for instruction identifying tiered instructional supports and progress monitoring methods for content areas as well as behavior.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team
Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT). Elaine Martinez, Christina Deese, Ludymar Rivera, Mayra Aguilar, Donna Griffin, Melissa Tozzoli, Denesha Pierre-Louis, Lauren Akesson
Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). The LLT meets throughout the year. As a team, we identify the literacy focus, staff development and planning opportunities for staff. In addition, we identify opportunities for parent training and involvement. Each grade level team is represented with support from the school's leadership team.
What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year? Develop a standard protocol for reading instruction, lead CCSS training and planning, parent trainings.

Public School Choice

- **Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification**

Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

August 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

**Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition*

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

Kindergarten registration information is distributed starting in April. It is also made available in our school website, district website and via local media.

When parents register, they are provided Kindergarten readiness information and a book to take home to practice Kindergarten readiness skills.

Kindergarten teachers make individual appointments with parents and students assigned to their class to familiarize them with the classroom and the teacher prior to the start of school.

**Grades 6-12 Only* Sec. 1003.413 (2)(b) F.S

For schools with grades 6-12, how does the school ensure that every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student?

N/A

**High Schools Only*

Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(2)(g), (2)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

N/A

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

N/A

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the [High School Feedback Report](#).

N/A

August 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENT

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Reading Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading.			Students lack the prerequisite skills needed to attain grade level proficiency	Provide tier 2/3 interventions during the school day	Administration	Progress Monitoring Data	Progress Monitoring Data FAIR Edusoft AR STAR Successmaker FAIR Progress Monitoring Mini-benchmarks
Reading Goal #1A:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*		Increase rigor by differentiating instruction within and beyond the reading block	Instructional Coaches	RtI Meetings	
Students at our school performed below expectation in reading based on the 2012 FCAT reading test.	In June 2012, 21% of students in grades 3-5 scored a level 3 on FCAT reading. (raw data)	By July 2013, 25% of students in grades 3-5 will score a level 3 on FCAT reading. (raw data)	Incorporate the Smart 7 reading comprehension strategies into reading instruction	Classroom Teachers	Leadership Team		
			Utilize the FCIM (Florida Continuous Improvement/Instruction Model) for targeted skills				
			Provide opportunities for after-school tutoring				
			Provide additional personnel to work with students during reading block as much as possible				
			Provide computer based support such as Successmaker , Accelerated Reading, and Imagine Learning				
			Provide teachers with support of previous grade levels curriculum (IMS) to scaffold instruction				
			Partner with YMCA pilot program to offer scholarships for students to attend YMCA tutoring program after school				
			SES tutoring on campus				

**August 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011**

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		<p>ESE students are performing one to two years below grade level</p>	<p>Provide tier 2/3 interventions during the school day</p> <p>Increase rigor by differentiating instruction within and beyond the reading block</p> <p>Provide opportunities for after-school tutoring</p> <p>Provide computer based support such as Successmaker , Accelerated Reading, and Imagine Learning</p> <p>Provide instructional support within an outside of the mainstream classroom by an ESE certified teacher</p> <p>Use Thinking Maps to model a concrete image of abstract thinking</p> <p>Use interactive word walls to increase vocabulary development</p>	<p>Administration</p> <p>Instructional Coaches</p> <p>Classroom Teachers</p> <p>ESE Teachers</p> <p>Leadership Team</p>	<p>Progress Monitoring Data</p> <p>RtI Meetings</p>	<p>Progress Monitoring Data</p> <p>FAIR</p> <p>Edusoft</p> <p>AR</p> <p>STAR</p> <p>Successmaker</p> <p>FAIR Progress Monitoring</p> <p>Mini-benchmarks</p>
		<p>Students lack of academic focus</p>	<p>Implement Destination College to assist students with organization, goal setting, and provide an expectation of higher education while teaching the fundamental skills needed to be successful in academic endeavors</p> <p>Grades 3-5 continue partnership with UCF Bennett Honors Program</p> <p>Use Common Board Configuration to guide and focus student learning</p> <p>Utilize Marzano’s strategies for engagement such as: using academic games, using physical movement, maintaining a lively pace, demonstrating intensity and enthusiasm, using friendly controversy, presenting unusual or intriguing information whenever possible</p> <p>Use of goals/scales for student monitoring of individual academic understanding</p>	<p>Classroom Teachers</p> <p>Leadership Team</p> <p>Administration</p>	<p>Binder checks</p> <p>Lesson plans</p> <p>CWT data</p>	<p>Progress Monitoring Data</p> <p>FAIR</p> <p>Edusoft</p> <p>AR</p> <p>STAR</p> <p>Successmaker</p> <p>FAIR Progress Monitoring</p> <p>Mini-benchmarks</p>

August 2012
 Rule 6A-1.099811
 Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			Use of SMART board technology for interactive academic presentations to enhance student interest in material being presented			
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.						
Reading Goal #1B: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	2012 Current Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* <i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>				
			1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.
			1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 in reading.			Challenging instruction for level 4-5 students	Increase rigor of higher students by differentiating instruction, using higher order skills, and providing engaging lessons that are challenging Provide instruction rich in Text complexity Utilize Response to literature projects when appropriate Utilize cross curricular activity based projects when appropriate Encourage participation in Sunshine State Young Readers' program Principal's Book Club with Sunshine State Young Reader's books	Classroom Teachers Leadership Team Instructional Coaches Administration	CWT data Lesson plans	Progress Monitoring Data FAIR Edusoft AR STAR Successmaker FAIR Progress Monitoring Mini-benchmarks
<u>Reading Goal #2A:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
There is a decrease in the number of students who score level 4 or above from grade 3 to grade 5.	In June 2012, 26% of students in grades 3-5 scored a level 4 or 5 on FCAT reading. (raw data)	By July 2013, 30% of students in grades 3-5 will score a level 4 or 5 on FCAT reading. (raw data)					
			Finding resources to challenge level 4-5 students	Utilize IMS systems curriculum links to project based learning Use computer based programs to accelerate learning Combine thinking maps to create more complex higher level thinking processes	Classroom Teachers Leadership Team Instructional Coaches Administration	CWT data Lesson Plans	Progress Monitoring Data FAIR Edusoft AR STAR Successmaker FAIR Progress Monitoring Mini-benchmarks
2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in reading.			2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.
<u>Reading Goal #2B:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3A. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading.			Students lack the prerequisite skills needed to attain grade level proficiency	Provide tier 2/3 interventions during the school day	Administration	Progress Monitoring Data	Progress Monitoring Data FAIR Edusoft AR STAR Successmaker FAIR Progress Monitoring Mini-benchmarks
<u>Reading Goal #3A:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>		Increase rigor by differentiating instruction within and beyond the reading block	Instructional Coaches	RtI Meetings	
Our school expects at least 70% of our students to make learning gains.	In June 2012, 78% of all students taking the FCAT reading test made learning gains.	By July 2013, 80% of all students taking the FCAT reading test will make learning gains.	Incorporate the Smart 7 reading comprehension strategies into reading instruction	Classroom Teachers	Leadership Team		
			Utilize the FCIM (Florida Continuous Improvement/Instruction Model) for targeted skills				
			Provide opportunities for after-school tutoring				
			Provide additional personnel to work with students during reading block as much as possible				
			Provide computer based support such as Successmaker , Accelerated Reading, and Imagine Learning				
			Provide teachers with support of previous grade levels curriculum (IMS) to scaffold instruction				
			Partner with YMCA pilot program to offer scholarships for students to attend YMCA tutoring program after school				
			SES tutoring on campus				
			ESE students are performing one to two years below grade level	Provide tier 2/3 interventions during the school day	Administration	Progress Monitoring Data	Progress Monitoring Data FAIR Edusoft AR STAR Successmaker FAIR Progress Monitoring Mini-benchmarks
				Increase rigor by differentiating instruction within and beyond the reading block	Instructional Coaches	RtI Meetings	
				Provide opportunities for after-school tutoring	Classroom Teachers		
					ESE Teachers		
					Leadership Team		

**August 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011**

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		<p>Provide computer based support such as Successmaker , Accelerated Reading, and Imagine Learning</p> <p>Provide instructional support within an outside of the mainstream classroom by an ESE certified teacher</p> <p>Use Thinking Maps to model a concrete image of abstract thinking</p> <p>Use interactive word walls to increase vocabulary development</p>			
	Students lack of academic focus	<p>Implement Destination College to assist students with organization, goal setting, and provide an expectation of higher education while teaching the fundamental skills needed to be successful in academic endeavors</p> <p>Grades 3-5 continue partnership with UCF Bernett Honors Program</p> <p>Use Common Board Configuration to guide and focus student learning</p> <p>Utilize Marzano’s strategies for engagement such as: using academic games, using physical movement, maintaining a lively pace, demonstrating intensity and enthusiasm, using friendly controversy, presenting unusual or intriguing information whenever possible</p> <p>Use of goals/scales for student monitoring of individual academic understanding</p> <p>Use of SMART board technology for interactive academic presentations to enhance student interest in material being presented</p>	<p>Classroom Teachers</p> <p>Leadership Team</p> <p>Administration</p>	<p>Binder checks</p> <p>Lesson plans</p> <p>CWT data</p>	<p>Progress Monitoring Data</p> <p>FAIR</p> <p>Edusoft</p> <p>AR</p> <p>STAR</p> <p>Successmaker</p> <p>FAIR Progress Monitoring</p> <p>Mini-benchmarks</p> <p>DC Binders</p>

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

3B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading.							
Reading Goal #3B:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					
			3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.
			3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to “Guiding Questions,” identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.			Limited time to provide adequate instruction for students who are significantly below grade level	Provide tier 2/3 interventions during the school day	Classroom Teachers	CWT data	Progress Monitoring Data FAIR Edusoft AR STAR Successmaker FAIR Progress Monitoring Mini-benchmarks
<u>Reading Goal #4:</u>				Provide opportunities for after-school tutoring	Leadership Team	RtI meetings	
Students in our lowest 25% making learning gains must be at least 50%.	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance</u> :* _	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance</u> :* _	Provide computer based support such as Successmaker , Accelerated Reading, and Imagine Learning	Administration			
	In June 2012, 80% of the lowest 25% of students taking the FCAT reading test made learning gains.	By July 2013, 80% of the lowest 25% of students taking the FCAT reading test will make learning gains.	Maximize instructional time through direct instruction using alternative reading programs				
			Students lack of academic focus	Implement Destination College to assist students with organization, goal setting, and provide an expectation of higher education while teaching the fundamental skills needed to be successful in academic endeavors Grades 3-5 continue partnership with UCF Bennett Honors Program Use Common Board Configuration to guide and focus student learning Utilize Marzano’s strategies for engagement such as: using academic games, using physical movement, maintaining a lively pace, demonstrating intensity and enthusiasm, using friendly controversy, presenting unusual or intriguing information whenever possible	Classroom Teachers Leadership Team Administration	Binder checks Lesson plans CWT data	Progress Monitoring Data FAIR Edusoft AR STAR Successmaker FAIR Progress Monitoring Mini-benchmarks DC Binders

**August 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011**

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		<p>Use of goals/scales for student monitoring of individual academic understanding</p> <p>Use of SMART board technology for interactive academic presentations to enhance student interest in material being presented</p>			
		<p>ESE students are performing one to two years below grade level</p> <p>Provide tier 2/3 interventions during the school day</p> <p>Increase rigor by differentiating instruction within and beyond the reading block</p> <p>Provide opportunities for after-school tutoring</p> <p>Provide computer based support such as Successmaker , Accelerated Reading, and Imagine Learning</p> <p>Provide instructional support within an outside of the mainstream classroom by an ESE certified teacher</p> <p>Use Thinking Maps to model a concrete image of abstract thinking</p> <p>Use interactive word walls to increase vocabulary development</p>	<p>Administration</p> <p>Instructional Coaches</p> <p>Classroom Teachers</p> <p>ESE Teachers</p> <p>Leadership Team</p>	<p>Progress Monitoring Data</p> <p>RtI Meetings</p>	<p>Progress Monitoring Data</p> <p>FAIR</p> <p>Edusoft</p> <p>AR</p> <p>STAR</p> <p>Successmaker</p> <p>FAIR Progress Monitoring</p> <p>Mini-benchmarks</p>

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years			2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
5A. In six years school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Baseline data 2010-2011 In June 2011, 41% of our ELL students scored level 3 or higher on FCAT reading as compared to 49% of all students.		55	59	63	67	71	76
	Reading Goal #5A: By July 2017, at least 75% of ALL students will score level 3 or higher on FCAT reading.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading.			Students lack the prerequisite skills needed to attain grade level proficiency	Provide tier 2/3 interventions during the school day Increase rigor by differentiating instruction within and beyond the reading block Incorporate the Smart 7 reading comprehension strategies into reading instruction Utilize the FCIM (Florida Continuous Improvement/Instruction Model) for targeted skills Provide opportunities for after-school tutoring Provide additional personnel to work with students during reading block as much as possible Provide computer based support such as Successmaker , Accelerated Reading, and Imagine Learning Provide teachers with support of previous grade levels curriculum (IMS) to scaffold instruction Partner with YMCA pilot program	Administration Instructional Coaches Classroom Teachers Leadership Team	Progress Monitoring Data RtI Meetings	Progress Monitoring Data FAIR Edusoft AR STAR Successmaker FAIR Progress Monitoring Mini-benchmarks	
Reading Goal #5B: Our only subgroup in this category is Hispanic. However, the majority of our school is Hispanic so it is not a literal subgroup.	2012 Current Level of Performance: * White: Black: Hispanic: 46% Asian: American Indian:	2013 Expected Level of Performance: * White: Black: Hispanic: 54% Asian: American Indian:						

August 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			to offer scholarships for students to attend YMCA tutoring program after school			
			SES tutoring on campus			
			5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading.			Students lack the prerequisite skills needed to attain grade level proficiency	Provide tier 2/3 interventions during the school day	Administration	Progress Monitoring Data	Progress Monitoring Data FAIR Edusoft AR STAR Successmaker FAIR Progress Monitoring Mini-benchmarks Imagine Learning
<u>Reading Goal #5C:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>		Increase rigor by differentiating instruction within and beyond the reading block	Instructional Coaches	RtI Meetings	
ELL students scored 7 percentage points below the total.	In June 2012, 42% of ELL students in grades 3-5 scored a level 3 or above on FCAT reading.	By July 2013, 51% of ELL students in grades 3-5 scored a level 3 or above on FCAT reading.	Incorporate the Smart 7 reading comprehension strategies into reading instruction	Classroom Teachers	Leadership Team		
			Utilize the FCIM (Florida Continuous Improvement/Instruction Model) for targeted skills				
			Provide opportunities for after-school tutoring				
			Provide additional personnel to work with students during reading block as much as possible				
			Provide computer based support such as Successmaker , Accelerated Reading, and Imagine Learning				
			Provide teachers with support of previous grade levels curriculum (IMS) to scaffold instruction				
			Partner with YMCA pilot program to offer scholarships for students to attend YMCA tutoring program after school				
			SES tutoring on campus				
			Parents' struggle to help support students with academics when English is a second language	Provide parents with opportunities to learn English	Administration	Progress Monitoring Data	Progress Monitoring Data
				Provide communication from school in native language whenever possible	CT	Program attendance	Rosetta Stone Newsletter Phone Messages School Calendar
				Provide parents a time for academic assistance afterschool with both			

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			parents and students to increase parental skill set on providing their child academic support			
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading.						
Reading Goal #5D: This is not one of our school's subgroups.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>				
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.			Students lack the prerequisite skills needed to attain grade level proficiency	Provide tier 2/3 interventions during the school day	Administration	Progress Monitoring Data	Progress Monitoring Data FAIR Edusoft AR STAR Successmaker FAIR Progress Monitoring Mini-benchmarks
<u>Reading Goal #5E:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>		Increase rigor by differentiating instruction within and beyond the reading block	Instructional Coaches	RtI Meetings	
ED students scored the same as the total.	In June 2012, 49% of ED students in grades 3-5 scored a level 3 or above on FCAT reading.	By July 2013, 56% of ED students in grades 3-5 scored a level 3 or above on FCAT reading.	Incorporate the Smart 7 reading comprehension strategies into reading instruction Utilize the FCIM (Florida Continuous Improvement/Instruction Model) for targeted skills Provide opportunities for after-school tutoring Provide additional personnel to work with students during reading block as much as possible Provide computer based support such as Successmaker , Accelerated Reading, and Imagine Learning Provide teachers with support of previous grade levels curriculum (IMS) to scaffold instruction Partner with YMCA pilot program to offer scholarships for students to attend YMCA tutoring program after school SES tutoring on campus	Classroom Teachers	Leadership Team		
			Students lacking school supplies necessary for academic success	Partner with Disney for donations of basic school supplies for students in need	Leadership Team	Progress Monitoring Data Donation Acknowledgement	Progress Monitoring Data FAIR Edusoft AR STAR Successmaker FAIR Progress Monitoring Mini-benchmarks

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Reading Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities						
Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
RtI	K-5	Principal	All Teachers	October - May	RtI Meetings	Administration, Leadership Team
IMS	K-5	IMS Champion	Instructional staff	August - March	PLC meetings	Administration, Leadership Team
Smart board Strategies	K-5	TC	New Staff to McCoy	October - May	PLC meetings	Administration, Leadership Team
Marzano Training	All instructional	Administration, Leadership Team	All instructional staff	October - May	PLC meetings	Administration, Leadership Team
FAIR Progress Monitoring	K-5	Reading Coach	All instructional staff	October - May	PLC meetings	Administration, Leadership Team

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Voyager	direct, explicit instruction program for lowest performers	Title I	\$3,000.00
			Subtotal: \$3,000.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Marzano Teacher Evaluation	Subs for Marzano Teacher Evaluation	Title I	\$6,000.00
FAIR Progress Monitoring	Subs for FAIR Progress Monitoring	Title I	\$2,500.00
			Subtotal: \$8,500.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Destination College	Binders and supplies	Title I	\$2,000.00
			Subtotal: \$2,000.00
			Total: \$13,500.00

End of Reading Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CELLA Goals		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition				
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking. CELLA Goal #1: 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking: Kdg 25% 1st 25% 2nd 70% 3rd 80% 4th 25% 5th 35%		Students lack the prerequisite skills language skills needed to attain grade level proficiency	Provide tier 2/3 interventions during the school day Increase rigor by differentiating instruction within and beyond the reading block Incorporate the Smart 7 reading comprehension strategies into reading instruction Provide opportunities for after-school tutoring Provide additional personnel to work with students during reading block as much as possible Provide computer based support such as Successmaker , Accelerated Reading, and Imagine Learning Provide teachers with support of previous grade levels curriculum (IMS) to scaffold instruction Partner with YMCA pilot program to offer scholarships for students to attend YMCA tutoring program after school SES tutoring on campus	Administration Instructional Coaches Classroom Teachers Leadership Team	Progress Monitoring Data RtI Meetings	Progress Monitoring Data Imagine Learning Teacher Observation In-Program Assessments
Kdg 7 15% 1st 32 60% 2nd 43 73% 3rd 8 15% 4th 15 26% 5th 30 68%						
		Parents' struggle to help support students with academics when English is a second language	Provide parents with opportunities to learn English Provide communication from school in native language whenever possible Provide parents a time for academic	Administration CT	Progress Monitoring Data Program attendance	Progress Monitoring Data Rosetta Stone Newsletter Phone Messages School Calendar

August 2012
 Rule 6A-1.099811
 Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			assistance afterschool with both parents and students to increase parental skill set on providing their child academic support			
		Students often deficient in vocabulary	Provide tier 2/3 level support (elements of vocabulary)	Classroom Teachers	Progress Monitoring Data	Progress Monitoring Data Imagine Learning Teacher Observation In-Program Assessments
Students read grade-level text in English in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring proficient in reading.	Students lack the prerequisite skills needed to attain grade level proficiency		Provide tier 2/3 interventions during the school day	Administration Instructional Coaches	Progress Monitoring Data RtI Meetings	Progress Monitoring Data Edusoft FAIR Imagine Learning FAIR Progress Monitoring Mini-Benchmarks In-Program Assessments
CELLA Goal #2:	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading:		Increase rigor by differentiating instruction within and beyond the reading block	Classroom Teachers Leadership Team		
Kdg 20%	Kdg 0 0%		Incorporate the Smart 7 reading comprehension strategies into reading instruction			
1st 30%	1st 13 25%		Provide opportunities for after-school tutoring			
2nd 35%	2nd 25 42%		Provide additional personnel to work with students during reading block as much as possible			
3rd 50%	3rd 10 19%		Provide computer based support such as Successmaker , Accelerated Reading, and Imagine Learning			
4th 30%	4th 22 39%		Provide teachers with support of previous grade levels curriculum (IMS) to scaffold instruction			
5th 50%	5th 31 70%		Partner with YMCA pilot program to offer scholarships for students to attend YMCA tutoring program after school			
		Parents' struggle to help support students with academics when English is a second language	Provide parents with opportunities to learn English	Administration CT	Progress Monitoring Data Program attendance	Progress Monitoring Data Rosetta Stone Newsletter Phone Messages School Calendar
			Provide communication from school in native language whenever possible			

August 2012
 Rule 6A-1.099811
 Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			Provide parents a time for academic assistance afterschool with both parents and students to increase parental skill set on providing their child academic support			
		Students often deficient in vocabulary	Provide tier 2/3 level support (elements of vocabulary)	Classroom Teachers	Progress Monitoring Data	Progress Monitoring Data Edusoft FAIR Imagine Learning FAIR Progress Monitoring Mini-Benchmarks In-Program Assessments

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool																										
3. Students scoring proficient in writing.		Students lack the prerequisite skills needed to attain grade level proficiency	Provide tier 2/3 interventions during the school day	Administration	Progress Monitoring Data	Progress Monitoring Data Writing Prompts – monthly Classwork																										
<table border="1"> <tr> <td colspan="2"><u>CELLA Goal #3:</u></td> <td colspan="2"><u>2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing :</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Kdg</td> <td>20%</td> <td>Kdg</td> <td>0 0%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1st</td> <td>20%</td> <td>1st</td> <td>11 21%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2nd</td> <td>30%</td> <td>2nd</td> <td>23 39%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3rd</td> <td>50%</td> <td>3rd</td> <td>16 30%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4th</td> <td>40%</td> <td>4th</td> <td>27 47%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5th</td> <td>55%</td> <td>5th</td> <td>28 64%</td> </tr> </table>			<u>CELLA Goal #3:</u>		<u>2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing :</u>		Kdg	20%	Kdg	0 0%	1st	20%	1st	11 21%	2 nd	30%	2 nd	23 39%	3 rd	50%	3 rd	16 30%	4 th	40%	4 th	27 47%	5 th	55%	5 th	28 64%	Increase rigor by differentiating instruction within and beyond the reading block	Instructional Coaches
<u>CELLA Goal #3:</u>		<u>2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing :</u>																														
Kdg	20%	Kdg	0 0%																													
1st	20%	1st	11 21%																													
2 nd	30%	2 nd	23 39%																													
3 rd	50%	3 rd	16 30%																													
4 th	40%	4 th	27 47%																													
5 th	55%	5 th	28 64%																													
			Incorporate the Smart 7 reading comprehension strategies into reading instruction	Classroom Teachers																												
			Provide opportunities for after-school tutoring	Leadership Team																												
			Provide additional personnel to work with students during reading block as much as possible																													
			Provide computer based support such as Successmaker , Accelerated Reading, and Imagine Learning																													
			Provide teachers with support of previous grade levels curriculum (IMS) to scaffold instruction																													
			Partner with YMCA pilot program to offer scholarships for students to attend YMCA tutoring program after school																													
			SES tutoring on campus																													
		Parents' struggle to help support students with academics when English is a second language	Provide parents with opportunities to learn English	Administration	Progress Monitoring Data	Progress Monitoring Data Writing Prompts – monthly Classwork																										
			Provide communication from school in native language whenever possible	CT	Program attendance																											
			Provide parents a time for academic assistance afterschool with both parents and students to increase parental skill set on providing their child academic support																													

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		Students often deficient in vocabulary	Provide tier 2/3 level support (elements of vocabulary)	Classroom Teachers	Progress Monitoring Data	Progress Monitoring Data Writing Prompts – monthly Classwork
--	--	--	---	--------------------	--------------------------	--

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

CELLA Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Imagine Learning	100 licenses for computer software to be used by ELL students	Title III – district	Not sure
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
IMS training on ELL strategies	Train teachers how to access and use ELL resources from IMS system (training during the school day – no cost)	General	\$0.00 (training during the school day – no cost)
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Adult ESOL Classes	ESOL classes for our parents twice a week after-school	Title III – district	Not sure
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of CELLA Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary Mathematics Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.			New students to our school are below grade level expectation giving us less than one school year to increase achievement	Provide tier 2/3 interventions during the school day	Classroom Teachers	Progress Monitoring Data	Progress Monitoring Data Edusoft EnVision Comp. Assessments In-Program Assessments ST Math
<u>Mathematics Goal</u> #1A:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>		Increase rigor by differentiating instruction within and beyond the remath block	Instructional Coaches Administration	CWT data RtI Meetings	
Students at our school performed below expectation in math based on the 2012 FCAT math test.	In June 2012, 23% of all students taking the FCAT math test scored a level 3.	By July 2013, 27% of all students taking the FCAT math test will score a level 3.		Incorporate the Smart 7 math strategies into math instruction Utilize the FCIM (Florida Continuous Improvement/Instruction Model) for targeted skills Provide opportunities for after-school tutoring Provide additional personnel to work with students during math block as much as possible Provide computer based support such as Successmaker , ST Math Provide teachers with support of previous grade levels curriculum (IMS) to scaffold instruction Partner with YMCA pilot program to offer scholarships for students to attend YMCA tutoring program after school SES tutoring on campus			
			Limited student engagement on academics towards the end of the school day	Implement Destination College to assist students with organization, goal setting, and provide an expectation of higher education while teaching the fundamental skills needed to be successful in	Classroom Teachers Instructional Coaches Administration	Progress Monitoring Data CWT data RtI Meetings	Progress Monitoring Data Edusoft EnVision Comp. Assessments In-Program Assessments ST Math

**August 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011**

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			<p>academic endeavors</p> <p>Grades 3-5 continue partnership with UCF Bennett Honors Program</p> <p>Use Common Board Configuration to guide and focus student learning</p> <p>Utilize Marzano’s strategies for engagement such as: using academic games, using physical movement, maintaining a lively pace, demonstrating intensity and enthusiasm, using friendly controversy, presenting unusual or intriguing information whenever possible</p> <p>Use of goals/scales for student monitoring of individual academic understanding</p> <p>Use of SMART board technology for interactive academic presentations to enhance student interest in material being presented</p> <p>Use of Envision animations to engage students</p>			
		Students lack math fluency needed in order to succeed in math	<p>Utilize grade level specific fluency strategies available on IMS</p> <p>Provide computer based support with programs such as Successmaker, ST Math</p>	<p>Classroom Teachers</p> <p>Instructional Coaches</p> <p>Administration</p>	<p>Progress Monitoring Data</p> <p>Lesson Plans</p> <p>CWT data</p>	<p>Progress Monitoring Data</p> <p>Edusoft</p> <p>EnVision Comp. Assessments</p> <p>In-Program Assessments</p> <p>ST Math</p>
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.				IB.1.	IB.1.	IB.1.
Mathematics Goal #1B:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>				

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in mathematics.			Challenging instruction for level 4-5 students	Increase rigor of higher students by differentiating instruction, using higher order skills, and providing engaging lessons that are challenging Utilize cross curriculum activity based projects when appropriate Combine thinking maps to create more complex higher level thinking processes	Classroom Teachers Leadership Team Instructional Coaches Administration	CWT data Lesson plans	Progress Monitoring Data Edusoft EnVision Comp. Assessments In-Program Assessments ST Math
<u>Mathematics Goal</u> #2A:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
There is a significant decrease in the number of students who score level 4 or above from grade 3 to grade 5.	In June 2012, 25% of all students taking the FCAT math test scored a level 4 or 5.	By July 2013, 29% of all students taking the FCAT math test will score a level 4 or 5.					
			2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.
			2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.
2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.			2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.
<u>Mathematics Goal</u> #2B:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3A. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.			New students to our school are below grade level expectation giving us less than one school year to increase achievement	Provide tier 2/3 interventions during the school day	Classroom Teachers	Progress Monitoring Data	Progress Monitoring Data Edusoft EnVision Comp. Assessments In-Program Assessments ST Math
<u>Mathematics Goal</u> #3A:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance</u> :* In June 2012, 80% of all students taking the FCAT math test made learning gains.	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance</u> :* By July 2013, 80% of all students taking the FCAT math test will make learning gains.		Increase rigor by differentiating instruction within and beyond the remath block Incorporate the Smart 7 math strategies into math instruction Utilize the FCIM (Florida Continuous Improvement/Instruction Model) for targeted skills Provide opportunities for after-school tutoring Provide additional personnel to work with students during math block as much as possible Provide computer based support such as Successmaker , ST Math Provide teachers with support of previous grade levels curriculum (IMS) to scaffold instruction Partner with YMCA pilot program to offer scholarships for students to attend YMCA tutoring program after school SES tutoring on campus	Instructional Coaches Administration	CWT data RtI Meetings	
Our school expects at least 70% of our students to make learning gains.			Limited student engagement on academics towards the end of the school day	Implement Destination College to assist students with organization, goal setting, and provide an expectation of higher education while teaching the fundamental skills needed to be successful in academic endeavors Grades 3-5 continue partnership with UCF Bennett Honors Program Use Common Board Configuration	Classroom Teachers Instructional Coaches Administration	Progress Monitoring Data CWT data RtI Meetings	Progress Monitoring Data Edusoft EnVision Comp. Assessments In-Program Assessments ST Math

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			<p>to guide and focus student learning</p> <p>Utilize Marzano’s strategies for engagement such as: using academic games, using physical movement, maintaining a lively pace, demonstrating intensity and enthusiasm, using friendly controversy, presenting unusual or intriguing information whenever possible</p> <p>Use of goals/scales for student monitoring of individual academic understanding</p> <p>Use of SMART board technology for interactive academic presentations to enhance student interest in material being presented</p> <p>Use of Envision animations to engage students</p>			
		Students lack math fluency needed in order to succeed in math	<p>Utilize grade level specific fluency strategies available on IMS</p> <p>Provide computer based support with programs such as Successmaker, ST Math</p>	<p>Classroom Teachers</p> <p>Instructional Coaches</p> <p>Administration</p>	<p>Progress Monitoring Data</p> <p>Lesson Plans</p> <p>CWT data</p>	<p>Progress Monitoring Data</p> <p>Edusoft</p> <p>EnVision Comp. Assessments</p> <p>In-Program Assessments</p> <p>ST Math</p>
3B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.		3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.
Mathematics Goal #3B:	<p><u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u></p> <p><i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i></p>	<p><u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u></p> <p><i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i></p>				

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<p>4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.</p> <p>Mathematics Goal #4:</p> <p>The percentage of our lowest 25% of students making learning gains must be at least 50%.</p>			<p>Limited student engagement on academics towards the end of the school day</p>	<p>Implement Destination College to assist students with organization, goal setting, and provide an expectation of higher education while teaching the fundamental skills needed to be successful in academic endeavors</p> <p>Grades 3-5 continue partnership with UCF Bernett Honors Program</p> <p>Use Common Board Configuration to guide and focus student learning</p> <p>Utilize Marzano's strategies for engagement such as: using academic games, using physical movement, maintaining a lively pace, demonstrating intensity and enthusiasm, using friendly controversy, presenting unusual or intriguing information whenever possible</p> <p>Use of goals/scales for student monitoring of individual academic understanding</p> <p>Use of SMART board technology for interactive academic presentations to enhance student interest in material being presented</p> <p>Use of Envision animations to engage students</p>	<p>Classroom Teachers</p> <p>Instructional Coaches</p> <p>Administration</p>	<p>Progress Monitoring Data</p> <p>CWT data</p> <p>RtI Meetings</p>	<p>Progress Monitoring Data</p> <p>Edusoft</p> <p>EnVision Comp. Assessments</p> <p>In-Program Assessments</p> <p>ST Math</p>
			<p>Students lack math fluency needed in order to succeed in math</p>	<p>Utilize grade level specific fluency strategies available on IMS</p> <p>Provide computer based support with programs such as Successmaker, ST Math</p>	<p>Classroom Teachers</p> <p>Instructional Coaches</p> <p>Administration</p>	<p>Progress Monitoring Data</p> <p>Lesson Plans</p> <p>CWT data</p>	<p>Progress Monitoring Data</p> <p>Edusoft</p> <p>EnVision Comp. Assessments</p> <p>In-Program Assessments</p> <p>ST Math</p>
			4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years			2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
5A. In six years school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Baseline data 2010-2011 In July 2011, 46% of our ELL students scored level 3 or higher on FCAT math as compared to 49% of all students.		59	63	66	70	74	78
Mathematics Goal #5A: By June 2017, at least 75% of ALL students will score level 3 or higher on FCAT math.								
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.			Limited student engagement on academics towards the end of the school day	Implement Destination College to assist students with organization, goal setting, and provide an expectation of higher education while teaching the fundamental skills needed to be successful in academic endeavors Grades 3-5 continue partnership with UCF Bernett Honors Program Use Common Board Configuration to guide and focus student learning Utilize Marzano's strategies for engagement such as: using academic games, using physical movement, maintaining a lively pace, demonstrating intensity and enthusiasm, using friendly controversy, presenting unusual or intriguing information whenever possible Use of goals/scales for student monitoring of individual academic understanding Use of SMART board technology	Classroom Teachers Instructional Coaches Administration	Progress Monitoring Data CWT data RtI Meetings	Progress Monitoring Data Edusoft EnVision Comp. Assessments In-Program Assessments ST Math	
Mathematics Goal #5B:	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u> <i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i> White: Black: Hispanic: 48% Asian: American Indian:	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u> <i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i> White: Black: Hispanic: 55% Asian: American Indian:						
Our only subgroup in this category is Hispanic. However, the majority of our school is Hispanic so it is not a literal subgroup.								

**August 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011**

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			for interactive academic presentations to enhance student interest in material being presented			
			Use of Envision animations to engage students			
		Students lack math fluency needed in order to succeed in math	Utilize grade level specific fluency strategies available on IMS	Classroom Teachers	Progress Monitoring Data	Progress Monitoring Data
			Provide computer based support with programs such as Successmaker, ST Math	Instructional Coaches	Lesson Plans	Edusoft
				Administration	CWT data	EnVision Comp. Assessments
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	In-Program Assessments
						ST Math

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.			Limited student engagement on academics towards the end of the school day	Implement Destination College to assist students with organization, goal setting, and provide an expectation of higher education while teaching the fundamental skills needed to be successful in academic endeavors Grades 3-5 continue partnership with UCF Burnett Honors Program Use Common Board Configuration to guide and focus student learning Utilize Marzano's strategies for engagement such as: using academic games, using physical movement, maintaining a lively pace, demonstrating intensity and enthusiasm, using friendly controversy, presenting unusual or intriguing information whenever possible Use of goals/scales for student monitoring of individual academic understanding Use of SMART board technology for interactive academic presentations to enhance student interest in material being presented Use of Envision animations to engage students	Classroom Teachers Instructional Coaches Administration	Progress Monitoring Data CWT data RtI Meetings	Progress Monitoring Data Edusoft EnVision Comp. Assessments In-Program Assessments ST Math
<u>Mathematics Goal #5C:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Our ELL students scored 6 percentage points below the total.	In June 2012, 45% of ELL students in grades 3-5 scored a level 3 or above on FCAT math.	By July 2013, 55% of ELL students in grades 3-5 scored a level 3 or above on FCAT math.					
			Students lack math fluency needed in order to succeed in math	Utilize grade level specific fluency strategies available on IMS Provide computer based support with programs such as Successmaker, ST Math	Classroom Teachers Instructional Coaches Administration	Progress Monitoring Data Lesson Plans CWT data	Progress Monitoring Data Edusoft EnVision Comp. Assessments In-Program Assessments ST Math
			Parents' struggle to help support students with academics when English is a second language	Provide parents with opportunities to learn English	Administration CTT	Progress Monitoring Data Program attendance	Progress Monitoring Data Edusoft EnVision Comp. Assessments

August 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			Provide communication from school in native language whenever possible Provide parents a time for academic assistance afterschool with both parents and students to increase parental skill set on providing their child academic support			In-Program Assessments ST Math
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.						
<u>Mathematics Goal</u> #5D: This is not a subgroup for our school.	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>				
	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>				

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.			Limited student engagement on academics towards the end of the school day	Implement Destination College to assist students with organization, goal setting, and provide an expectation of higher education while teaching the fundamental skills needed to be successful in academic endeavors Grades 3-5 continue partnership with UCF Burnett Honors Program Use Common Board Configuration to guide and focus student learning Utilize Marzano's strategies for engagement such as: using academic games, using physical movement, maintaining a lively pace, demonstrating intensity and enthusiasm, using friendly controversy, presenting unusual or intriguing information whenever possible Use of goals/scales for student monitoring of individual academic understanding Use of SMART board technology for interactive academic presentations to enhance student interest in material being presented Use of Envision animations to engage students	Classroom Teachers Instructional Coaches Administration	Progress Monitoring Data CWT data RtI Meetings	Progress Monitoring Data Edusoft EnVision Comp. Assessments In-Program Assessments ST Math
<u>Mathematics Goal</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
#5E: Our ED students scored the same as the total.	In June 2012, 51% of ED students in grades 3-5 scored a level 3 or above on FCAT math.	By July 2013, 56% of ED students in grades 3-5 scored a level 3 or above on FCAT math.					
			Students lack math fluency needed in order to succeed in math	Utilize grade level specific fluency strategies available on IMS Provide computer based support with programs such as Successmaker, ST Math	Classroom Teachers Instructional Coaches Administration	Progress Monitoring Data Lesson Plans CWT data	Progress Monitoring Data Edusoft EnVision Comp. Assessments In-Program Assessments ST Math
			Students lacking school supplies necessary for academic success	Partner with Disney for donations of basic school supplies for students in need	Administration	Progress Monitoring Data	Progress Monitoring Data Edusoft EnVision Comp. Assessments In-Program Assessments ST Math

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Mathematics Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities						
Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Common Core Training	K-2	Math Coach	K-2 teachers	September, ongoing	PLC	Math Coach, Administration
Mathematical Practices	3-5	Math Coach	3-5 teachers	October, ongoing	PLC	Math Coach, Administration
IMS	K-5	IMS Champion Leadership Team	All instructional staff	August overview & periodical	PLC	IMS champion, Leadership Team
ST Math	3-5	Math Coach Consultant	3-5 teachers	October, ongoing	PLC, observations, reports	Math Coach

Mathematics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Intervention/Tutoring Materials	Intervention/Tutoring Materials	Title I	\$5,000.00
			Subtotal: \$5,000.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
ST Math	License	Title I	\$7,000.00
			Subtotal: \$7,000.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Common Core State Standards	Subs for Training and Planning	Title I	\$5,000.00
			Subtotal: \$5,000.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Total: \$17,000.00

**August 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011**

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary and Middle Science Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to “Guiding Questions,” identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science.			Students lack the prerequisite skills needed to attain grade level proficiency	Implement new science series Science Fusion with 3 prong approach to teaching benchmarks	Classroom Teachers Instructional Coaches	Progress Monitoring Data CWT data	Progress Monitoring Data Edusoft In-Program Assessments
Science Goal #1A:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*		Implement multimedia presentations, virtual labs, inquiry hands-on activities	Administration	RtI Meetings	
Our school’s science score decreased.	In June 2012, 37% of all students taking the FCAT science test scored level 3. (raw data)	By July 2013, 42% of all students taking the FCAT science test will score level 3. (raw data)		Increase rigor by differentiating instruction within and beyond the scheduled science time Provide additional personnel to work with students during science time as much as possible Provide computer based support with Think Central Provide teachers with support of previous grade levels curriculum (IMS) to scaffold instruction as it becomes available			
			Students lack academic focus	Implement Destination College to assist students with organization, goal setting, and provide an expectation of higher education while teaching the fundamental skills needed to be successful in academic endeavors Grades 3-5 continue partnership with UCF Bennett Honors Program Use Common Board Configuration to guide and focus student learning Utilize Marzano’s strategies for engagement such as: using	Classroom Teachers Instructional Coaches Administration	Progress Monitoring Data CWT data RtI Meetings	Progress Monitoring Data Edusoft In-Program Assessments

August 2012
 Rule 6A-1.099811
 Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			academic games, using physical movement, maintaining a lively pace, demonstrating intensity and enthusiasm, using friendly controversy, presenting unusual or intriguing information whenever possible			
			Use of goals/scales for student monitoring of individual academic understanding			
			Use of SMART board technology for interactive academic presentations to enhance student interest in material being presented			
		1A.3.	1A.3.			
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.		1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
Science Goal #1B:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>				
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in science.			Challenging instruction for level 4-5 students	Increase rigor of higher students by differentiating instruction, using higher order skills, and providing engaging lessons that are challenging Utilize cross curriculum activity based projects when appropriate	Classroom Teachers Leadership Team Instructional Coaches Administration	CWT data Lesson plans	Progress Monitoring Data Edusoft In-Program Assessments
<u>Science Goal #2A:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Our school's science score decreased.	In June 2012, 15% of our students scored a level 4 or 5. (raw data)	By July 2013, 18% of our students will score at level 4 or 5. (raw data)					
			Finding resources to challenge level 4-5 students	Utilize IMS systems curriculum links to project based learning Utilize Science Fusion online inquiry to provide increased rigor. Combine thinking maps to create more complex higher level thinking processes	Classroom Teachers Leadership Team Instructional Coaches Administration	CWT data Lesson Plans	Progress Monitoring Data Edusoft In-Program Assessments
			2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.
2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.			2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.
<u>Science Goal #2B:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Performance:*</u>	<u>2013Expected Level of Performance:*</u>					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.
			2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Science Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
IMS	K-5	IMS Champion	All instructional staff	August, ongoing as needed	PLC Meetings	Administration, Leadership Team
Think Central	K-5	Science Coach	All instructional staff	September, ongoing as needed	PLC Meetings	Administration, Leadership Team

Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Planning Sessions	Subs for Planning	Title I	\$1,500.00
			Subtotal: \$1,500.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total: \$1,500.00

End of Science Goals

August 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Writing Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to “Guiding Questions,” identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1A. FCAT: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing.			Students lack the prerequisite skills needed to attain grade level proficiency	Add grammar mechanics instruction Implement Write Source curriculum in all grade levels Utilize Thinking Maps in teaching writing process Provide daily writing instruction Differentiate writing instruction to meet the needs of students Conference with students at least twice a year to discuss their writing Use writing across the curriculum	Administration Leadership Team Classroom Teachers	Progress Monitoring Data Lesson Plans	Progress Monitoring Data Writing Prompts – monthly Classwork
Writing Goal #1A:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
The percentage of students scoring at level 3 or higher on FCAT writing decreased.	In June 2012, 75% of all students taking the FCAT writing test scored at level 3 or higher.	By July 2013, 80% of all students taking the FCAT writing test will score at level 3 or higher.					
			Students lack academic focus	Implement Destination College to assist students with organization, goal setting, and provide an expectation of higher education while teaching the fundamental skills needed to be successful in academic endeavors Grades 3-5 continue partnership with UCF Bennett Honors Program Use Common Board Configuration to guide and focus student learning Utilize Marzano’s strategies for engagement such as: using academic games, using physical movement, maintaining a lively pace, demonstrating intensity and enthusiasm, using friendly controversy, presenting unusual or	Classroom Teachers Instructional Coaches Administration	Progress Monitoring Data CWT data RtI Meetings	Progress Monitoring Data Writing Prompts – monthly Classwork

**August 2012
 Rule 6A-1.099811
 Revised April 29, 2011**

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			intriguing information whenever possible Use of goals/scales for student monitoring of individual academic understanding Use of SMART board technology for interactive academic presentations to enhance student interest in material being presented			
		1A.3.	1A.3.			
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.		1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
Writing Goal #1B:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
<i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.</i>				
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Writing Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Writing Planning Sessions and Training	K-5	Leadership Team	All instructional	Sept. 2012-May 2013	CWT data Writing Plans - Long Range Lesson Plans	Administration Leadership Team
District Writing Trainings	3-4	District Staff	3-4 teachers	Oct. 2012-May 2013	PLC Data Meetings	CRT Principal

Writing Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Writing Trainings and Planning	Subs for Writing Trainings and Planning	Title I	\$4,000.00
			Subtotal: \$4,000.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total: \$4,000.00

End of Writing Goals

**August 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011**

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Attendance Goal(s)			Problem-solving Process to Increase Attendance				
Based on the analysis of attendance data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Attendance			Parents who do not get their children to school or who take extended vacations without regard to academic impact	Provide opportunities for parent training Increase parent awareness of academic impact of absences Conduct attendance meetings with parents consistently starting at 5 days of unexcused absences	Administration Attendance Clerk Social Worker Guidance Counselor Classroom Teachers	Monitor attendance rates weekly/monthly Awareness through newsletter and school-wide phone messages	Attendance reports
Attendance Goal #1:	<u>2012 Current Attendance Rate:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Attendance Rate:*</u>					
Our school needs to decrease the number of students absent more than 10 days and increase the average daily attendance rate.	McCoy's average daily attendance was 94.23%.	Our goal is to have an average daily attendance of 95% or higher.					
	<u>2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)</u>	<u>2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)</u>					
	Last year, 278 students had more than 10 absences.	Our goal is to have less than 278 students with more than 10 absences.					
	<u>2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)</u>	<u>2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)</u>					
	Last year, 88 students were tardy	Our goal is to have less than 88 students					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	10 times or more.	tardy 10 times or more.					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Attendance Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Attendance Policy Overview	K-5	Attendance Clerk Social Worker	Instructional Personnel	November 2012	Monthly Review of Attendance Rates	Attendance Clerk Social Worker

Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Attendance Incentives	Attendance Incentives/Recognitions	General (001)	\$1,500.00
			Subtotal: \$1,500.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Total: \$1,500.00

End of Attendance Goals

August 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Suspension Goal(s)			Problem-solving Process to Decrease Suspension				
Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to “Guiding Questions,” identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Suspension			Students coming to school with inappropriate learned behaviors such as physical aggression to resolve conflict	Implement Tier 2/3 interventions as appropriate as we work through the RTI process Develop a School-Wide Behavior Plan	Classroom Teachers RTI leadership team Administration	Monthly monitoring of in/out suspension rates Ongoing monitoring of tier 2/3 interventions Ongoing RTI meetings	Suspension rates RTI plans Individual Behavior Plans
Suspension Goal #1:	2012 Total Number of In-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions					
Less than 10% of our students received either in-school or out-of-school suspensions last year. We would like to continue working towards reducing that number even more.	Last year, 0 in-school suspensions were served.	Our goal is to have 0 in-school suspensions served.					
	2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In-School					
	Last year, 0 students received in-school suspensions.	Our goal is to have 0 students receive in-school suspension as a consequence.					
	2012 Total Number of Out-of-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions					
	Last year, 31 out-of school suspensions were served.	Our goal is to have less than 31 out-of-school suspensions served.					
	2012 Total Number of Students Suspended Out-of-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out-of-School					
	Last year, 25 students received out-of-school	Our goal is to have less than 25 students receive out-of-					

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	suspensions as a consequence for their behavior.	school suspensions as a consequence.					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Suspension Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
CPI Training	K-5	District Trainer	Special Area Leadership Team	October 2012	CPI Practice and Refresher	Principal
Behavior Training	K-5	Principal	Instructional Personnel	November 2012	CWT Data Observations Conferences	Principal

Suspension Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of Suspension Goals

**August 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011**

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)			Problem-solving Process to Dropout Prevention				
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Dropout Prevention			Students lacking the prerequisite skills to attain grade level proficiency	Provide tier 2/3 interventions during the school day Increase rigor by differentiating instruction within and beyond the reading block, math block, writing instruction, science instruction Incorporate the Smart 7 reading comprehension strategies into reading instruction, and math strategies into math instruction Provide opportunities for after-school tutoring Provide additional personnel to work with students during reading, math and science block as much as possible Provide computer based support such as Successmaker , Accelerated Reading, Imagine Learning and ST Math Provide teachers with support of previous grade levels curriculum (IMS) to scaffold instruction Partner with YMCA pilot program to offer scholarships for students to attend YMCA tutoring program after school SES tutoring on campus	Administration Instructional Coaches Classroom Teachers Leadership Team	Progress Monitoring Data RtI Meetings	Progress Monitoring Data FAIR Edusoft Mini-Benchmark Tests FAIR Progress Monitoring EnVision Comp. Assessments ST Math Successmaker STAR In-Program Assessments
<u>Dropout Prevention Goal #1:</u>	<u>2012 Current Dropout Rate:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Dropout Rate:*</u>					
The biggest factor for school drop-out is retention. Our school had a total of retentions 35 in 2012.	In 2012, 35 students were retained.	In 2013, we expect to retain less than 35 students.					
	<u>2012 Current Graduation Rate:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Graduation Rate:*</u>					
	N/A	N/A					
			Students lack academic focus	Implement Destination College to assist students with organization, goal setting, and	Classroom Teachers Instructional Coaches	Progress Monitoring Data CWT data	Progress Monitoring Data FAIR Edusoft

**August 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011**

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			<p>provide an expectation of higher education while teaching the fundamental skills needed to be successful in academic endeavors</p> <p>Grades 3-5 continue partnership with UCF Bernett Honors Program</p> <p>Use Common Board Configuration to guide and focus student learning</p> <p>Utilize Marzano's strategies for engagement such as: using academic games, using physical movement, maintaining a lively pace, demonstrating intensity and enthusiasm, using friendly controversy, presenting unusual or intriguing information whenever possible</p> <p>Use of goals/scales for student monitoring of individual academic understanding</p> <p>Use of SMART board technology for interactive academic presentations to enhance student interest in material being presented</p>	Administration	RTI Meetings	<p>Mini-Benchmark Tests</p> <p>FAIR Progress Monitoring</p> <p>EnVision Comp. Assessments</p> <p>ST Math</p> <p>Successmaker</p> <p>STAR</p> <p>In-Program Assessments</p>
--	--	--	--	----------------	--------------	--

Dropout Prevention Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Dropout Prevention Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section.

Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involvement Goal(s)			Problem-solving Process to Parent Involvement				
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Parent Involvement			Parents limited time	Combine as many events as possible	Administration PTA SAC PLC CT	Review Sign-in sheets after each event to monitor progress	Sign in Sheets School Calendar Flyers
<u>Parent Involvement Goal #1:</u>	<u>2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement:*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:*</u>					
Our parent involvement is limited as our parents are working parents who have limited availability. <i>*Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.</i>	During the 2011-12 school year, approx. 33% of parents were involved in at least one school activity.	During the 2012-13 school year, parent participation in at least one school activity will increase to at least 50% as evidenced by sign-in sheets and PTA, SAC, PLC memberships.					
			Language Barrier	Provide written communication in English and Spanish Translate at meetings such as conferences, PTA, SAC, PLC Connect-Ed Messages in English and Spanish	Administration CT Attendance Clerk	Monitor written communication Ensure bilingual staff is available at meetings	Newsletters Meeting Notes Phone Messages
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Parent Involvement Professional Development

August 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Parent Involvement Budget

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<p>STEM Goal #1: <i>Provide opportunities for 100% of our students in grades 3-5 to be exposed to STEM careers.</i></p>	<p>Students are not aware of opportunities in STEM careers</p>	<p>Continue partnership with UCF Bennett Honors Program Teach-In Develop a college going culture through Destination College</p>	<p>CRT Guidance Counselor</p>	<p>School Calendar Lesson Plans</p>	<p>School Calendar Lesson Plans</p>
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

STEM Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of STEM Goal(s)

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
CTE Goal #1: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

CTE Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
<small>Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.</small>						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

CTE Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of CTE Goal(s)

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Additional Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Additional Goal			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Additional Goal #1: <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>	<u>2012 Current Level :*</u>	<u>2013 Expected Level :*</u>					
	<i>Enter numerical data for current goal in this box.</i>	<i>Enter numerical data for expected goal in this box.</i>					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Additional Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of Additional Goal(s)

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Final Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	Total: \$13,500.00
CELLA Budget	Total: District Provided Funds
Mathematics Budget	Total: \$17,000.00
Science Budget	Total: \$ 1,500.00
Writing Budget	Total: \$ 4,000.00
Civics Budget	Total:
U.S. History Budget	Total:
Attendance Budget	Total: \$ 1,500.00
Suspension Budget	Total:
Dropout Prevention Budget	Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	Total:
STEM Budget	Total:
CTE Budget	Total:
Additional Goals	Total:
	Grand Total: \$37,500.00

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school’s DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under “Default value” header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an “x” in the box.)

School Differentiated Accountability Status		
<input type="checkbox"/> Priority	<input type="checkbox"/> Focus	<input type="checkbox"/> Prevent
N/A	N/A	N/A

Are you reward school? Yes No

(A reward school is any school that has improved their letter grade from the previous year or any A graded school.)

- Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the *Upload* page

School Advisory Council (SAC)

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting *Yes* or *No* below.

Yes No

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.

Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.
SAC meets on a monthly basis to review our progress, conduct our annual needs assessment and develop the SIP for next year.

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
Student Agendas	\$6,500.00